Abstract—Text Summarization as a phenomenon has always been present and rather an evolving one with the advent of new technologies both in terms of data collection as well for the processing of this data. One reason of using text summarization is the huge amount of data floating over the internet in the form of text files, comments which is though potent enough to be used to extract useful information. but since the amount of text present in these sources is too huge, so the need of text summarization becomes justified by every argument. Some of the areas where text summarization is vastly used is applications involved in providing capsule information such as compact news applications, or websites providing academic notes for various exams.

This paper presents an auto text summarizer application which takes the URL of a web page as input, performs summarization on the selected elements and then presents this summarized text content on the front end of a web application. At the backend, the process of scraping of web page content (if an http URL is provided as input) using beautiful soup library or reading of text provided takes place. news in short forms, or micro blogging websites.

The scraped content after being preprocessed properly is summarized using a suitable library which in our case is one among NLTK, Spacy, Genism and Sumy. The summarized content is presented at the frontend using flask framework of Python. The results produced using different libraries are compared in the end in terms of reading time of the summarized content.

The application uses extractive text summarization technique in order to achieve its result which is a compact summary of the textual data prepared from the keywords already present in the document.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the expansion of Internet, users nowadays are surrounded by a jargon of online information and documents. This has led to a leap in the demand to have research in this domain. In [1] the summary of a given corpus of data is defined as “a text that is produced from one or more texts, that conveys important information in the original text(s), and that is no longer than half of the original text(s) and usually, significantly less than that”. Automatic text summarization is the task of producing a short yet a summary which is both meaningful as well as preserves the overall context of the document. The different approaches to text summarization can be broadly classified in 2 categories namely Extractive Text Summarization and Abstractive Text Summarization.

Extractive Text Summarization works by extracting several pieces of information from the existing text and group them together to create a summary. Abstractive Text Summarization techniques on the other hand produce a summary which is both more coherent with the context of the given document as well as contains words and phrases other than those already present in the document. This type of summary is close to a summary produced by human understanding and hence is considered better than the one produced by extractive summarization. In order to obtain such a summary, it employs some of the most advanced techniques of Natural Language Processing.

The application performs extractive summarization of a given webpage or a textual data using 4 different libraries as mentioned before and presents a relative comparison of results produced in terms of the estimated reading time of the resulting summarized content.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

In [1] a comparative analysis of performance of 3 different algorithms, based on keyword extraction namely Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA), Text Rank and Lex Rank, is presented. Extraction based techniques rely upon extracting keywords from the original text and attempt to present a summary out of them. The paper used Python programming language in order to implement the algorithms. ROGUE 1 is used to evaluate the significance of extracted keywords. A comparison of different techniques of sentiment analysis and text summarization has been presented in [2] In [3] a system to generate an abstractive summary from the extractive summary of a document has been proposed. The paper describes WordNet Ontology based method for achieving this. WordNet is a lexical database which consists of relationship between words of more than 200 languages on the basis of their semantic properties. It is used to relate words present in a document with their synonyms and antonyms on the basis of their semantic relationships. [7] introduces a model for processing of the text using graph-based ranking, namely Text Rank. Text Rank is an unsupervised method for keyword and sentence extraction.
A review of various abstractive text summarization techniques has been provided in [8]. A survey of various effects of preprocessing on extractive summarization has been reviewed in [11]. In [22] automatic text summarization of Wikipedia articles has been attempted.[23] proposes text summarization of documents using K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) based on feature similarity. Using sentiment analysis and text summarization

III. TEXT SUMMARIZATION PROCESS

A. Data Collection
There can be multiple ways to fetch the input corpus of text data. It can be done either by importing a dataset, or by scraping the textual data from the provided URL or it the text data can be simply fed directly to the application. The proposed application supports the latter 2 options. The user can either provide a valid http URL of a webpage, which allows scraping of textual data. Otherwise, the data can be simply inserted in the other input field which accepts textual data.

B. Preprocessing Of Data
The preprocessing of obtained raw data is performed so as to remove the anomalies such as redundancy, missing data in order to get the desired result in an appropriate manner.

Some of the steps of preprocessing are as follows:

1. Converting paragraphs to sentences
Instead of analyzing paragraphs as a whole, it is not suitable for preparing a summary, so a paragraph can be split into multiple sentences using some splitting function.

2. Removal of stop words
Stop words are those words which are the most common words in a document and hence should be refrained from being considered while preparing the summary of the document. The application uses NLTK corpus in order to remove the matching words from the given input text data.

C. Tokenization
Using NLTK modules, the given data is first tokenized into sentences and then those sentences are further tokenized into words. The output of word tokenization is the complete list of words present inside the tokenized sentences. It is used to find the most relevant terms with respect to a document.

D. Weighted Frequency Of Words
The weighted frequency of each word is calculated with respect to the maximum occurring term in the document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Weighted Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>esse</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eight</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tall</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>git</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>give</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>greater</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hardship</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 Finding Weighted Frequency of Words (Example)

E. Finding Weight Of Sentences
The words in each sentence are replaced with their weighted frequency. The sum of these frequencies is then calculated to obtain the final sum of each sentence.

F. Ranking sentences
The sum of sentences is ranked in descending order of the sum of frequencies of words and the most relevant sentences are segregated in the final summary of the document.

G. Estimated reading time
The reading time of a given text has been calculated by dividing the length of the document in terms of number of terms divided by 200.

IV. OVERVIEW OF USED TOOLS OF SUMMARIZATION

A. NLTK
It is a large collection of libraries and programs intended for supporting natural language processing tasks in Python programming language. The various tasks which are possible with this package includes preprocessing of data such as removing stop words from the data, lexical analysis of data which includes word tokenizing, sentence tokenizing etc. The application uses NLTK corpus in order to remove stop words from the input data and uses appropriate modules to tokenize the text into sentences and then further into words.

B. Spacy
Spacy is a free open-source library which is used for performing some advanced natural language processing tasks in Python programming language.

Some of the tasks which can be done using spacy are:
1. Dependency Parsing
2. Named Entity Recognition
3. Entity Linking

It also consists of a wide variety of statistical models which are basically of 2 types:
1. Core models: These are pretrained models which are used for generic purposes such as tagging parts-of-speech etc.
Starter models: These are more advanced models and are used to assist as support models while training the existing models. Spacy consists of a processing pipeline of various components which is used to transform a text document into a doc file which is then used for other purposes by the statistical models.

TextRank

In this paper the application uses Lex Rank algorithm which is an unsupervised approach based on PageRank algorithm used by Google to sort the result webpages based on the keywords present in the search query.

D. Gensim

It is a Python library which is employed in various tasks related to natural language processing such as topic modelling, document indexing, similarity retrieval. Some of the key features of genism include: 1. It is independent of the corpus size of input text. 2. Provides multicore implementation of algorithms like Latent Semantic Analysis, Latent Dirichlet Association, Random Projections (RP), Hierarchical Dirichlet Process (HDP) or word2vec deep learning. 3. It also supports distributed computing of various algorithms like Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA).

V. RESULTS

A Web application was developed using flask framework in Python programming language. It consists of 2 input fields provided for getting input either in the form of textual data or as a Web URL. The summarized results are presented along with the reading time of provided text as well as that of the summarized content. The summary of a document as produced by 4 different libraries can be compared using the “Compare Summarizers” option on the home page.

Following are some of the snapshots of the application:

3. TextRank
4. SumBasic
5. KL-Sum
In figures 4, and 5 (shown above), there are 2 input fields meant for taking text input in one of the two ways (as explained above). The user can choose to enter the text using either of the choices and press on “Summarize” button provided below. Fig. 6 shows the summarized output of the text provided, with reading time of both the texts highlighted.

The summary using different libraries are as follows:

**Fig.7 Summary Using NLTK (Reading Time:1.15 minutes)**

Similarly, summaries using other libraries were obtained along with their respective estimated reading time.

**Fig.8 Sumy Summary (Reading Time:1.225 minutes)**

In this paper an automatic text summarizer application using Flask framework was successfully built. The application summarized the contents of the textual data presented to it either as simple text or through a valid Web URL. Summarization was performed independently using NLTK and 3 other libraries in Python programming language namely Spacy, Sumy and Gensim.
The results obtained could be compared using the comparing option on the application where the comparison was based on the estimated reading Time of the summarized content.

This application can be further integrated with other applications as per their demand, due to the versatility of summarized results provided by the application.

FUTURE SCOPE

In this paper the application employed various algorithmic approaches of extractive text summarization such as Lex Rank. While it was able to produce a summary of the provided textual data successfully, one major scope in future is to implement it using Abstractive Summarization techniques.

Abstractive Summarization produces summaries which are nearer to human understanding and are more coherent to the context of the text provided. In addition to this, in future other libraries can be used to implement the application in order to get better results.
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