1)

# Impact of Irrigation and Rainfall on Wet Rice Cultivation in Mizoram

JV. Nunchunga<sup>1</sup>

Key words: WRC, Paddy, Rainfall, Irrigation, Production and Productivity.

Abstract: This paper analyzed the impact of irrigation and rainfall on the productivity of WRC as well as overall Paddy production. It was established that irrigation facility is of no use for increasing the productivity of WRC and paddy production in the state. Rainfall reduces the total production and Productivity of Paddy (WRC + Jhum) during the study period.

**1. INTRODUCTION:** Agriculture plays a very important role in the economy of Mizoram and has remarkable contribution to the state Gross Domestic Products. More than 70% of the State population depends on land based activities for their livelihood. Agriculture is one of the sustainable land based activities/industries for development of the State economy due to its favourable agro-climatic condition, hilly terrain nature of the landscape and abundant rainfall during monsoon season.

The agriculture & allied activities contributed 18.75% (2015-16) to the GSDP. With more than half of the population deriving the greater part of their income from agriculture, faster growth in agriculture is necessary to provide boost to their income. Rising incomes in agriculture will also be an impetus to non-agricultural income in rural areas thus helping redress the rural-urban imbalance.

The contribution of agriculture and allied sector to the economy in terms of Gross State Value added by economic activity at constant prices (2011-2012) was 20.12%, 18.43% 18.51% and 17.46% respectively in 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15.

Tuble T [beetofal bhale in percentage (base year 2011 2012)]									
Agricultu	re & Allied			-	10				
Se	ector	Industr	Industry Sector		ce Sector				
Current	Constant	Current	Constant	Current	Constant				
Price	(2011-12)	Price	(2011-12)	Price	(2011-12)				
20.12	20.12	20.46	20.46	59.43	59.43				
18.95	18.43	18.91	18.83	62.14	62.74				
18.74	18.51	23.24	20.47	58.02	57.03				
19.15	17.46	24.59	20.38	56.26	58.04				
18.75	16.39	25.60	19.88	55.64	57.43				
18.43	16.12	26.42	19.53	55.15	57.20				
	Agricultu Se Current Price 20.12 18.95 18.74 19.15 18.75	Agriculture & Allied SectorCurrent PriceConstant (2011-12)20.1220.1218.9518.4318.7418.5119.1517.4618.7516.39	Agriculture & Allied Sector         Industr           Current Price         Constant (2011-12)         Current Price           20.12         20.12         20.46           18.95         18.43         18.91           18.74         18.51         23.24           19.15         17.46         24.59           18.75         16.39         25.60	Agriculture & Allied Sector         Industry Sector           Current Price         Constant (2011-12)         Current Price         Constant (2011-12)           20.12         20.12         20.46         20.46           18.95         18.43         18.91         18.83           18.74         18.51         23.24         20.47           19.15         17.46         24.59         20.38           18.75         16.39         25.60         19.88	Agriculture & Allied Sector         Industry Sector         Servi           Current         Constant         Current         Constant         Current           Price         (2011-12)         Price         (2011-12)         Price           20.12         20.12         20.46         20.46         59.43           18.95         18.43         18.91         18.83         62.14           18.74         18.51         23.24         20.47         58.02           19.15         17.46         24.59         20.38         56.26           18.75         16.39         25.60         19.88         55.64				

Table	1 [Sectoral	Share in per	centag <mark>e (Base</mark>	e year 2011-2012)]
-------	-------------	--------------	-----------------------------	--------------------

Source: Economic Survey Mizoram 2016-17

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE ANALYSIS: The objectives of this study are

To analyse the impact of rainfall and irrigation facilities on the productivity of WRC

2) To describe the impact of WRC and rainfall on the state overall Paddy production and productivity.

<sup>1</sup> The author is the Associate Professor of Economics, Govt. J. Thankima College, Aizawl, Mizoram

IJCRT1892484 International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT) <u>www.ijcrt.org</u> 930

### 3. METHODOLOGY AND TOOLS OF ANALYSIS

Out of the two analytic approaches - Predictive and Descriptive analytics, this study is the Predictive analytics in nature as the analysis is based on past record of the production. Statistical tools like ANOVA, Standard error, R<sup>2</sup>, Correlation coefficient etc are employed through SPSS software.

### 4. NATURE OF DATA

www.ijcrt.org

All data are collected from various departments like Economics & Statistics, Agriculture and other publications of state government. As the data are secondary in nature, the accuracy and reliability shall rest on the concerned departments.

### 5. WRC STATUS IN THE STATE:

Due to hilly terrain, the available WRC areas of the state are very limited and scattered. The studies using Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System (GIS) techniques confirmed that there are 74,644 hectares of area having a slope of 0-25% potential for WRC area. The net cultivated area (WRC areas) is only 17,302 hectares and the remaining areas of 57,342 hectares needs to be developed to make the land suited for crop production to meet the growing food requirement of the State.

	× .	5			/
S1.	Name of	WRC Potential	Area under	% utilization of	Area need to be
No.	District	Area (in Ha.)	<b>Cultivation</b>	WRC Potential	developed
1	Aizawl	<mark>4,</mark> 140	875	21.14	3,265
2	Lunglei	12,797	1,202	39.00	11,595
3	Saiha	4,284	504	76.00	<mark>3,78</mark> 0
4	Champhai	8,697	4,554	52.36	4,143
5	Kolasib	9,429	4,335	98.00	5,094
6	Serchhip	3,710	2,308	62.21	1,402
7	Lawngtlai	11,405	2,556	22.41	8,849
8	Mamit	20,182	968	4.80	19,214
Y	Grand Total	74,644	17,302	23.18	57,342

Table 2 (District-wise availability of WRC Potential & Utilization Status 2015-16)

Source: Economic Survey Mizoram 2016-17

To maintain standing water required for wet rice cultivation, irrigation had been started as early as when WRC was first introduced in the state. Irrigation was implemented as a scheme from the year 1974-75 when Minor Irrigation Scheme was included in the Annual Plan of Agriculture Department.

Under infrastructure development, expansion of Rice area was the priority sector during the 12<sup>th</sup> Plan period. The marginal increase in WRC Area has been recorded from 12,700 hectare at the beginning of 12<sup>th</sup> Plan to 17,302 hectares during 2015-16 which accounts for 36.24% increase. The productivity of Rice under WRC also increased significantly from 2.00 MT/Ha. at the beginning of 12<sup>th</sup> Five Year to 2.18 MT/Ha. during 2015-16.

### 6. **RAINFALL:**

Mizoram receives good monsoon Rains and the average monthly rainfall during 1986-2013 is given in the following table:

### © 2018 IJCRT | Volume 6, Issue 2 April 2018 | ISSN: 2320-2882

	Table 3 [District-wise average monthly rainfall (in mm) for 1986 - 2013]									
Month	Aizawl	Champhai	Kolasib	Mamit	Serchhip	Lunglei	Lawngtlai	Saiha	Mizoram	
Jan	11	10.94	9	9.8	5.9	6.2	10.3	11.7	9.36	
Feb	27.6	20.17	36.6	14.6	20.9	15.3	18.1	24.1	22.17	
Mar	99.6	71.65	101.4	86.6	81.6	61.6	47.2	46.7	74.54	
Apr	191.4	127.05	215.5	236.8	116.1	110.6	116.7	103.8	152.24	
May	373.7	250.39	342.1	451	330.1	309.4	327.6	371.4	344.46	
Jun	449.5	355.5	431.1	432.2	426.3	462.5	474.3	457.1	436.06	
Jul	519.6	374.88	463.7	397.2	405.6	466	482.8	434.4	443.02	
Aug	557.6	392.01	514.7	529.1	395	462.6	389.7	450.1	461.35	
Sep	529.5	400.53	444.9	480.3	330.1	417.8	350.7	398.1	418.99	
Oct	295.5	234.03	218.4	309.6	184.8	225.6	206.8	230.3	238.13	
Nov	67.3	62.24	36.7	26	62.8	46.7	53	71.4	53.27	
Dec	29.3	19.98	19.9	9.2	21.6	12.2	5.8	12.2	16.27	
Total	3152	2319.37	2834	2982	2380.8	2596.5	2483	2611	2669.9	

Source: Source: Economic Survey Mizoram 2016-17

Even with this much of annual rainfall, irrigation is still indispensable due to uneven seasonal distribution of rainfall. 74.82%, 3.79% and 21.40% of the rain falls during June – October (Kharif), November – February (Rabi) and March – May (summer) respectively.

## 7. EFFECT OF RAINFALL AND IRRIGATION ON THE PRODUCTIVTY OF WET RICE CULTIVATION:

The district wise rainfall, Net irrigated area and Minor irrigation completed from 2005-06 to 2015-16 are taken as predictor for the WRC productivity, so that there is 88 observations. As there is no adequate district wise Net irrigated area data for the study period, using regression estimator, Culturable Command area is used as Net irrigated area. The correlation coefficient matrix is obtained using SPSS software. The correlation between Rainfall and WRC Productivity is -0.507 which is statistically significant at 5%. The rainfall reduces the productivity of WRC up to the extent of 25.70%.

		Rainfall	WRC	Net irrigated	MI
		(mm)	Productivity	area	Completed
	Pearson Correlation	1	507**	067	050
Rainfall (mm)	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.535	.644
	N	88	88	88	88
	Pearson Correlation	507**	1	.215*	.198
WRC Productivity	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.044	.064
	N	88	88	88	88
Net irrigated area	Pearson Correlation	067	.215*	1	.999**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.535	.044		.000
	N	88	88	88	88
	Pearson Correlation	050	.198	.999**	1
MI Completed	Sig. (2-tailed)	.644	.064	.000	
	N	88	88	88	88

 Table 4 (Effect of rainfall and Irrigation on Productivity of WRC)

### © 2018 IJCRT | Volume 6, Issue 2 April 2018 | ISSN: 2320-2882

jordorg	0 =011				
		Rainfall	WRC	Net irrigated	MI
		(mm)	Productivity	area	Completed
	Pearson Correlation	1	507**	067	050
Rainfall (mm)	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.535	.644
	Ν	88	88	88	88
	Pearson Correlation	507**	1	.215*	.198
WRC Productivity	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.044	.064
	N	88	88	88	88
Net irrigated area	Pearson Correlation	067	.215*	1	.999**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.535	.044		.000
	N	88	88	88	88
	Pearson Correlation	050	.198	.999**	1
MI Completed	Sig. (2-tailed)	.644	.064	.000	
	N	88	88	88	88
** Correlation is si	gnificant at the 0.01 l	evel (2-tai	led).	,	
* Correlation is sig	nificant at the 0.05 le	vel (2-taile	ed)		

Regarding the effect of irrigation facility on the productivity of WRC Cultivation, the relationship between Net irrigated area and Productivity of WRC are related up to the extent of  $(0.215^2 =) 4.62\%$  only. This implies that Irrigation facility has no significant impact for increasing productivity of WRC.

	Tab	le 5 (Mode	l Summary of WRC	<mark>for</mark> Mizoram)
Model	R	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.569	.324	.300	70.61485
Predicto	ors: (Cons	tant), Rainf	all, MI Completed,	Net irrigated area

The predictors - Rainfall, MI Completed and Net irrigated area explained 32.4% of the total change in the productivity of WRC.

	Model		dardized	Standardized					
			ficients	Coefficients	t	Sig.			
			Std. Error	Beta		_			
	(Constant)	472.342	66.097		7.146	.000			
	Net irrigated	.076	.036	4.200	2.137	.035			
1	area	.070	.050	4.200					
	MI Completed	-3.241	1.584	-4.018	-2.047	.044			
	Rainfall mm	101	.023	427	-4.448	.000			
Deper	Dependent Variable: WRC Productivity								

## Table 6 (Coefficients table of WRC for Mizoram)

Linear regression model for the above data is

<sup>W</sup>P = 472.342 + 0.076 C - 3.241 M - 0.101Ra,  $R^2 = 32.4\%$ (60.092) (0.036) (1.584) (0.023)

IJCRT1892484 International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT) <u>www.ijcrt.org</u> 933

where <sup>W</sup>P - Productivity of WRC for Mizoram (Kg/Ha), C –Net irrigated area (Ha), M – Minor Irrigation Completed (nos) and Ra – Rainfall(mm). Figure in parenthesis indicates Standard error of the coefficients. An increase in Net irrigated area /Culturable Command area (Ha) enhances the productivity of WRC by 0.076 Kg/Ha while Minor Irrigation completed and rainfall reduced the productivity by 3.241Kg/Ha and 0.101Kg/Ha respectively

## **8. EFFECT OF RAINFALL AND IRRIGATION ON PRODUCTION OF PADDY (District wise analysis):**

The effect of rainfall and irrigation facility (in terms of net irrigated area) on the state's overall Paddy production (WRC + Jhum) between 2008-09 to 2016-17 is summarized in table 7 in the form of model summary.

	S1.				Adjusted R	Std. Error of the
	No	District	R	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Square	Estimate
	1	Mamit	.657 <sup>a</sup>	.432	.204	3681.02969
	2	Saiha	.106 <sup>a</sup>	.011	384	2856.62787
	3	Serchhip	.230 <sup>a</sup>	.053	326	5012.59750
	4	Kolasib	.839 <sup>a</sup>	.704	.585	2172.49592
_	5	Champhai	.203 <sup>a</sup>	.041	342	6501.55558
	6	Lawngtlai	.355 <sup>a</sup>	.126	224	3424.65261
	7	Lunglei	.256 <sup>a</sup>	.065	308	5701.77405
	8	Aizawl	.150 <sup>a</sup>	.023	368	5047.05548
	Predicto	ors: (Constant), F	Rainfall, I	rrigation	L	1

Table 7 ( $R^2$  table for rainfall and irrigation on the district wise paddy production)

The Predictors are rainfall and net irrigated area while district paddy production is taken as independent variable. The value of  $R^2$  for 5 districts viz Saiha, Serchhip, Champhai, Lawngtlai, Lunglei and Aizawl are extremely low which implies that the predictors have negligible impact on independent variable. To be more specific, all the adjusted  $R^2$  are negative. However, the coefficient of determination for Mamit and Kolasib are 43.5% and 70.4% respectively.

Ta	ble 8 (	(Coefficients	table for	Ma	amit	district)	

				Standardized			
	Model	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Coefficients	t	Sig.	
		В	Std. Error	Beta		2	
	(Constant)	18919.888	7134.823		2.652	.045	
1	Irrigation	-1.447	4.256	115	340	.748	
	Rainfall	-5.301	2.774	644	-1.911	.114	
Depend	ent Variable: P	addy production	n (Mamit distric	ct)	• •		

The regression model for the variables under study is

<sup>m</sup>Pro = 18919.8 - 1.447 I - 5.301 Ra,  $R^2 = 43.2\%$ (7134.8) (4.256) (2.774), where <sup>m</sup>Pro – Paddy overall production of Mamit district, I – Net irrigated area (Ha), Ra – Rainfall (in mm). Figure in parenthesis indicates Standard error of the coefficients. An increase of irrigated area (Ha) and rainfall (mm) reduces the paddy production by 1.447Kg/ Ha and 5.301Kg/Ha respectively.

Model		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.		
		В	Std. Error	Beta		J		
1	(Constant)	12874.318	4839.779		2.660	.045		
	Irrigation	1.889	1.116	.419	1.692	.151		
	Rainfall	-4.349	1.329	811	-3.271	.022		
Depende	ent Variable: I	Paddy production	on (Kolasib dist	rict)	•			

Table 9	(Coefficients	table for	Kolasib	district)
---------	---------------	-----------	---------	-----------

<sup>K</sup>Yield = 12874.318 + 1.889 I - 4.349 Ra,  $R^2 = 70.4\%$ 

(4839.779) (1.116) (1.329), where <sup>K</sup>Yield – Paddy overall production of Kolasib district, I – Net irrigated area (Ha), Ra – Rainfall (in mm). Figure in parenthesis indicates Standard error of the coefficients. An increase of irrigated area (Ha) enhances the paddy production by 1.116Kg/Ha while rainfall (mm) reduces the paddy production by 4.349 Kg/ Ha.

## 9. THE WHOLE STATE AS A UNIT OF OBSERVATION.

**9.1. On state's overall Paddy production:** The state's overall Paddy production and its productivity, net irrigated area and rainfall from 1998-99 to 2015-16 was presented in annexure 5 and the relevant correlation coefficients in table 10.

		data	)		~~
		Irrigation	Rainfall	Production	Productivity
Irrigation	Pearson Correlation	1	469*	.028	.028
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.050	.911	.911
	N	18	18	18	18
Rainfall	Pearson Correlation	469*	1	068	111
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.050		.789	.661
	N	18	18	18	18
Production	Pearson Correlation	.028	068	1	.562*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.911	.789		.015
	N	18	18	18	18
Productivity	Pearson Correlation	.028	111	.562*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.911	.661	.015	
	N	18	18	18	18

Table 10 (Correlation Coefficients for rainfall and irrigation on the overall paddy production- Temporal

Irrigation does not increase the state's overall paddy production (0.028) as well as its productivity (0.028). Meanwhile, there is chance that an increase in rainfall decreases the production (-0.068) and productivity (-0.111) of paddy. The overall impact of irrigation and rainfall on Production (R<sup>2</sup>) is 5% (adjusted -12.8%) which is insignificant.

	Sum of											
l	Squares df M		Mean Square	F	Sig.							
Regression	7.073E7	073E7 2 3.537E7		.035	.966 <sup>a</sup>							
Residual	1.520E10	15	1.013E9									
Total	1.527E10	17										
Predictors: (Constant), Rain, Irrigation												
dent Variable	Production	Dependent Variable: Production										
	Regression Residual Total tors: (Constan	Sum of SquaresRegression7.073E7Residual1.520E10Total1.527E10tors: (Constant), Rain, Irrigat	Sum of SquaresdfRegression7.073E72Residual1.520E1015Total1.527E1017tors: (Constant), Rain, Irrigation	Sum of SquaresMean SquareRegression7.073E72Residual1.520E10151.013E9Total1.527E1017tors: (Constant), Rain, Irrigation	Sum of SquaresMean SquareRegression7.073E723.537E7.035Residual1.520E10151.013E91.527E10Total1.527E10171							

Table 11 (ANOVA table for paddy Production)

**9.2. On state's overall Paddy productivity:** The effect of irrigation and rainfall on the productivity of Paddy for the 18 years is insignificant as their correlation coefficients are 0.028 and - 0.111 respectively with  $R^2 = 3.1\%$ . That is to say, adequate water is not helpful for increasing the productivity of paddy in Mizoram. The coefficients table is depicted in table 12

		Sum of				
Model		Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	59041.958	2	29520.979	.243	.787
	Residual	1823119.153	15	121541.277		
	Total	1882161.111	17			
Predict	tors: (Constan	t), Rain, Irrigat	ion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ŀ	

### **10. FINDINGS**

- 1) Irrigation facility has no significant impact in increasing the productivity of WRC
- 2) In regard to district wise analysis, an increase in Rainfall and Net irrigated area have no significant impact on the total paddy production for Saiha, Serchip, Aizawl, Champhai and Lunglei districts.
- 3) However, in Mamit district, an increase of irrigated area (Ha) enhances the paddy production by 1.116Kg/Ha while rainfall (mm) reduces the paddy production by 4.349 Kg/ Ha. In Kolasib district, an increase of irrigated area (Ha) and rainfall (mm) reduces the paddy production by 1.447Kg/ Ha and 5.301Kg/Ha respectively
- Taking the state as a whole, Irrigation does not increase the state's overall paddy production (WRC + Jhum) as well as its productivity.

References

Government of Mizoram, Directorate of Agriculture, Agriculture Statistical Abstract 2004 - 2005

\_\_\_\_Agriculture Statistical Abstract 2009 – 2010

\_\_\_\_ Agriculture Statistical Abstract 2015 – 2016

Government of Mizoram, Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Statistical handbook Mizoram - 2002 , Statistical handbook Mizoram - 2004

, Statistical handbook Mizoram - 2006

www.ijcrt.org	© 2018 IJCRT   Volume 6, Issue 2 April 2018   ISSN: 2320-2882
	, Statistical handbook Mizoram - 2008
	, Statistical handbook Mizoram - 2010
	, Statistical handbook Mizoram - 2002
	, Statistical handbook Mizoram - 2012
	, Statistical handbook Mizoram – 2014
	, Statistical handbook Mizoram - 2016
Government of Mizoram, P	lanning & Programme Implementation Department, <i>Economic survey</i> – 2013-14
	, Economic survey – 2014-15
	, Economic survey – 2015-16
	, Economic survey – 2016-17

Nunchunga JV, (2011), Inter District Developmental Disparities of Mizoram, India, UGC Sponsored Minor Research Project (Unpublished)

Nunchunga JV, (2017), 'Analysis of data on Agricultural & Allied sector in Mizoram' Research Project Sponsored by Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Govt. of Mizoram.

> Annexure 1 (District wise rainfall from 2005-06 to 2015-16) Rainfall (mm)

		Kainan (hili)										
Year	Aizawl	Champha	i Kolasib	Lawngtlai	Lunglei	Mamit	Saiha	Serchhip				
2005-06	2436	164	) 2590	2461	1863	1968	2256	1370				
2006-07	2717	180	5 37 <mark>21</mark>	2136	2298	2965	1888	1745				
2007-08	3375	155	) 2820	2832	3314	3130	3730	2990				
2008-09	2330	179	2108	1872	1307	1225	2590	2054				
2009-10	2047	157	1 2764	2471	1378	2 <mark>651</mark>	1752	758				
2010-11	3013	222	) 3837	2041	2861	2781	2810	2494				
2011-12	2577	173	5 2978	2254	2368	2344	2714	1954				
2012-13	2939	194	) 2883	901	2685	2255	1596	2163				
2013-14	2689	201	5 2892	19 <mark>19</mark>	2584	2510	3572	1445				
2014-15	2284	154	3 2003	1541	1882	1817	1887	1445				
2015-16	2732	186	5 1998	1673	2287	2128	2231	1792				

Annexure 2 (Area, production and productivity of WRC for Aizawl, Champhai and Kolasib district from 2005-06 to 2015-16)

		Aizawl		(	Champhai			Kolasib	
Year	Area (Ha)	Production (MT)	Product ivity (MT/H a)	Area (Ha)	Produc tion (MT)	Produ ctivit y (MT/ Ha)	Area (Ha)	Producti on (MT)	Product ivity (MT/H a)
2005-06	1,358	3,841	283	4,809	10,685	222	2,828	8,010	283
2006-07	440	384	87	2374	1319	56	3515	1042	30
2007-08	440	384	87	2374	1319	56	3515	1042	30
2008-09	300	381	127	3562	9421	264	3547	7300	206
2009-10	308	695	226	3345	10070	301	2495	5488	220
2010-11	399	573	144	3750	8148	217	3592	5850	163
2011-12	621	1281	206	3775	7639	202	3657	6877	188
2012-13	656	1855	283	3993	8135	204	4141	7596	183

© 2018 IJCRT | Volume 6, Issue 2 April 2018 | ISSN: 2320-2882

2013-14	740	1665	225	4384	9338	213	4244	7983	188	
2014-15	835	2010	241	4479	8915	199	4333	8987	207	
2015-16	875	2100	240	4554	10018	220	4335	9050	209	

Annexure 3 (Area, production and productivity of WRC for Lawngtlai, Lunglei and Mamit district from 2005-06 to 2015-16)

Year	]	Lawngtlai			Lungle	i	Mamit		
	Area (Ha)	Produc tion (MT)	Produ ctivity (MT/ Ha)	Area (Ha)	Produc tion (MT)	Produc tivity (MT/Ha )	Area (Ha)	Produc tion (MT)	Product ivity (MT/Ha )
2005-06	992	2,157	217	793	2,890	364	1,365	4,248	311
2006-07	712	356	50	651	391	60	173	146	84
2007-08	712	356	50	651	391	60	173	146	84
2008-09	1025	1071	104	454	745	164	510	669	131
2009-10	1200	1764	147	507	813	160	589	1603	272
2010-11	1260	1282	102	472	533	113	635	980	154
2011-12	1639	2957	180	607	607	100	1470	755	51
2012-13	1795	3388	189	987	2372	240	798	1731	217
2013-14	2257	4351	<mark>19</mark> 3	4335	<mark>6</mark> 156	142	3138	4059	129
2014-15	2478	6216	<mark>2</mark> 51	1152	2719	236	968	2426	251
2015-16	2556	5735	<mark>22</mark> 4	1202	2422	201	968	2052	212

Annexure 4 (Area, production and productivity of WRC for Saiha, Serchhip district and all Mizoram from 2005-06 to 2015-16)

1.27	Saiha			Serchh	ip		TOTAL	(MIZOR	AM)
Year	Area (Ha)	Product ion (MT)	Producti vity (MT/Ha)	Area (Ha)	Produc tion (MT)	Productiv ity (MT/Ha)	Area (Ha)	Product ion (MT)	Produc tivity (MT/H a)
2005-06	555	2,259	407	1,450	3,850	266	14,150	37,940	268.13
2006-07	376	43	11	1353	652	48	9,594	4,333	45.16
2007-08	376	43	11	1353	652	48	9,594	4,333	45.16
2008-09	315	191	61	1485	4650	313	11,198	24,428	218.15
2009-10	384	577	150	1535	1136	74	10,363	22,146	213.70
2010-11	426	544	128	1596	2793	175	12,130	20,703	170.68
2011-12	1483	461	31	923	1635	177	14,175	22,212	156.70
2012-13	509	1311	258	1757	4184	238	14,636	30,572	208.88
2013-14	1163	1703	146	4154	6930	167	24,415	42,185	172.78
2014-15	487	1043	214	2134	4780	224	16,866	37,096	219.95
2015-16	504	1096	217	2308	5273	228	17,302	32,473	187.68

### © 2018 IJCRT | Volume 6, Issue 2 April 2018 | ISSN: 2320-2882

		Annexur	re 5	
	Mi	zoram ove	rall data	
Year	Net Irrigated	Rainfall	Paddy	Productivity
	area (Ha)	(mm)	Production(MT)	(Kg/MT)
1998-99	8.517	2623.74	38332.00	2279.77
1999-00	9.30	2571.7	30083.00	1884.55
2000-01	9.686	2883	103673	2000.00
2001-02	10.219	2535	105715	1892.00
2002-03	10.669	2648	109205	1914.00
2003-04	11.352	2546	114630	1936.00
2004-05	11.852	2751	107661	1886.00
2005-06	11.8	2094	107740	1908.00
2006-07	11.388	2338	42091	796.00
2007-08	9.446	3140	15688	288.00
2008-09	11.067	2175	68917	1326.00
2009-10	10.244	2052	66132	1401.00
2010-11	12.123	2889	67428	1657.00
2011-12	12.7	2379	75566	1939.00
2012-13	14.32	2532	77471	1969.00
2013-14	15.62	2487	61714	1520.00
2014-15	16.712	1883	60679	1643.00
2015-16	18.81	2206	62089	1671.00

Annexure 6 (Production (MT) and Productivity (MT/Ha) of Paddy)

			. ,		•		5/	
Year	Aiz	awl	Cham	phai	Kol	asib	Lawn	gtlai
I cal	Prod. in	Product	Prod. in	Produ	Prod. in	Product	Prod. in	Produ
	MT.	ivity	MT.	ctivity	MT.	ivity	MT.	ctivity
2005-06	10,333	102	13,172	126	12,106	143	792,007	14,048
200 <mark>6-</mark> 07	1404	16	5370	39	2812	40	3023	43
2007-08	1404	16	5370	39	2812	40	3023	43
2008-09	3497.4	53	12699.85	134	5451.12	81	2105.81	52
2009-10	4572.08	86	11540.75	145	6184.1	115	3356.03	64
2010-11	5091	97	12579	155	8196	131	3494	67
2011-12	5319	120	11643	155	9443	156	5987	107
2012-13	5678	139	15195	154	9923	161	7710	133
2013-14	5270	141	15780	158	10160	167	8936	144
2014-15	6310	161	13737	156	10865	185	10307	175
2015-16	6396	162	14910	169	10966	190	9672	166

Annexure 7 (Production (MT) and Productivity (MT/Ha) of Paddy)

	Lunglei		Mamit		Saiha		Serchhip	
Year	Prod. in	Produ	Prod. in	Produ	Prod. in	Produ	Prod. in	Product
	MT.	ctivity	MT.	ctivity	MT.	ctivity	MT.	ivity
2005-06	10,630	127	7,649	144	5,254	119	5,122	138
2006-07	595	12	974	25	475	8	1035	30
2007-08	595	12	974	25	475	8	1035	30
2008-09	5816.27	67	6375.05	75	483.07	25	9745.82	160

IJCRT1892484 International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT) <u>www.ijcrt.org</u> 939

### © 2018 IJCRT | Volume 6, Issue 2 April 2018 | ISSN: 2320-2882

0								
2009-10	6986.76	87	7482.56	101	1111.53	58	3075.3	52
2010-11	5562	108	1494	33	1387	93	6390	150
2011-12	6618	132	6343	115	1976	139	5566	162
2012-13	5934	141	4241	113	2384	169	6635	163
2013-14	1661	333	1126	149	562	226	1139	75
2014-15	6347	152	4397	139	1596	150	7120	174
2015-16	5935	142	4860	147	1756		7594	180

