# Tunable Quantum Wavelet Transform and Improved PSO Based Novel Evolutionary Extreme Learning Machine for Epilepsy Detection

Debasis Mohanta<sup>1</sup>, Sakuntala Mahapatra<sup>2</sup>, Santanu Kumar Nayak<sup>3</sup>, Sumant Kumar Mohapatra<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Department of electronic science, Berhampur University, Odisha, India.

<sup>2,4</sup>Department of etc, Trident Academy of Technology, Bput, Odisha, India.

*Abstract* — In our present work, EEG signals of various classes are broken down in Tunable Quantum Wavelet Transform (TQWT) system. The TQWT decays the EEG signals into sub-groups and arranged them into different descending order of frequencies. The nonlinearity of the EEG signals is evaluated by processing from the acquired features, which is additionally utilized as an element for ordering the typical classes of EEG signals. In this work, EEG signals are arranged in a solitary Random forest (RF) classification issues. In the first place classification is done in seizure classes, and the other one is the typical, seizure free classes. Features got from the EEG signal of these classes are feeded to the contribution of arbitrary Random Forest (RF), classifier prepared with improved PSO (IPSO). For seizure free and seizure classes, we accomplished 99.5581% precision, 98.5261% of Sensitivity and 99.6169% of Specificity and 99.0674% of Gmean esteem with RF classifier and TOWT feature outpatter.

TQWT feature extractor.

#### Keywords— EEG Signal, Epilepsy, TQWT, IPSO, Random Forest classifier.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The epilepsy is a typical issue of sensory system which influences nature of patient's life [1]. Around the world 65 million individuals are influenced from the epilepsy [2]. Event of the epilepsy is around 50 for each 100,000 populace every year in created nations. The rate of event is higher in low and middle income nations [2]. Electroencephalogram (EEG) can be utilized to distinguish epilepsy. The master specialists and neurologists investigate these signs to evaluate the conditions of the cerebrum, which might be a tedious procedure. The EEG based PC helped methods are discovered extremely viable in diagnosing the epilepsy [2, 3]. Besides, EEG signal processing methods, for example, [4] are useful to spare the memory necessity of PC based indicative frameworks. In this manner, to build up a computerized framework for epilepsy analysis is a territory of distinct fascination for the analysts. Numerous time-area based systems, for example, direct forecast blunder vitality [5] and the fractional linear prediction (FLP) strategy [6] are utilized to recognize epileptic seizures utilizing EEG signals. Time-area and recurrence space highlights are used with Artificial Neural Network (ANN) to identify the epileptic seizure in [7]. In [3], chief part examination is utilized with upgraded cosine outspread premise work neural system to distinguish epileptic seizures. A recently created time-recurrence portraval technique utilizing eigenvalue deterioration is connected for EEG signals [8]. Experimental mode distribution (EMD) method is effectively utilized for the investigation of EEG signals. This procedure decays the EEG signals into different sufficiency and recurrence regulated signs named as natural mode capacities (IMFs). Mean recurrence registered from IMFs of EEG signals indicated great separation capacity between seizure free and ictal EEG classes [9]. In addition, interquartile scope of Euclidian separations and 95% certainty circle territory are registered from stage space portrayal of IMFs, and indicated great execution in grouping the epileptic seizures [10]. In [11], histogram based highlights are processed from time-recurrence pictures, and used to isolate the EEG signs of seizure class. These pictures are the time- recurrence portrayal of EEG signals acquired utilizing Hilbert-Huang change. EMD and second request distinction plot based approach is investigated for seizure EEG signal order [12]. Additionally, direct, and nonlinear highlights are utilized to break down EEG signals [13]. They found that nonlinear highlights are more appropriate for catching the elements of EEG signals [13]. In writing, the epileptic EEG signals are likewise examined utilizing Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) based component extraction techniques which are discovered helpful in the examination of epileptic EEG signals [14, 15]. The Tunable-Quantum Wavelet Transform (TQWT) is connected for dissecting the central and non-central EEG signals [16]. Figure 1 shows the block diagram of the proposed model.



#### www.ijcrt.org

## © 2018 IJCRT | Volume 6, Issue 2 April 2018 | ISSN: 2320-2882

(1)

(3) (4)

In this work, our point is to build up a computerized network for ID of seizure and seizure free EEG signals utilizing lesser signal length in view of highlights removed in TQWT space. The execution of the extricated highlights are assessed utilizing different classifiers. The rest some portion of the paper is sorted out as takes after: In the second area, informational collection, TQWT, and separated element are given. Order strategies are portrayed in third segment. Gotten results and talk are given in the fourth and fifth areas, separately.

### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS PAGE LAYOUT

Dataset For this investigation, we have utilized freely accessible EEG dataset as depicted in [17]. The chronicles of EEG signals for both solid and epileptic subjects are accessible in this dataset. The EEG signals are isolated into five subsets to be specific, Z, O, N, F, and S. Every subset contains 100 single-channel EEG signs of length 23.6 s. The EEG signs of subsets Z and O are procured from five solid subjects utilizing standard cathode position plot [17]. These are the surface EEG accounts with eyes open and shut, individually. The subsets F and N comprise the EEG signs of without seizure interims from five patients. These signs are recorded in the epileptogenic zone for F subset and from the hippocampal arrangement of the contrary side of the equator of the cerebrum for N subset. The fifth subset S incorporates the EEG signs of seizure action and taken from all the chronicle locales which demonstrated the ictal movement. The EEG signs of subsets N, F, and S are recorded utilizing profundity terminals intra cranially. The inspecting rate of each recorded EEG signal is 173.61 Hz. The detail depiction of the dataset is given in [17].

#### A. Feature Extraction Method

Feature are extracted from TQWT. The TQWT is a signal extraction procedure which is broadly utilized as a part of the investigation of biomedical signs [18, 19] It is a reasonable system for breaking down the homeless people and oscillatory segments introduce in the signal. It comprises two movable parameters Quality-factor (Q) and repetition (r) [20]. Higher estimations of Q are reasonable to catch the motions of the signal. In any case, bring down qualities are reasonable to extricate the transient idea of the signs. By changing the estimations of Q and r, time and recurrence determination can be balanced [20]. The TQWT technique can be actualized utilizing two channel bank iteratively [20].

#### B. Random forest classifier

The order utilizing the Random Function (RF) classifier [21] depends on the aggregate choices of various arrangement trees. To choose the Final result, yield choice of the class made by each tree is considered with a weight. In this calculation, the nth tree is allocated with a stochastic vector  $\delta n$ . The new vector  $\delta n$  has produced autonomously from the past one, and has an indistinguishable dispersion from of the past irregular vectors. From that point, a choice tree is developed based on the preparation input information x and  $\delta n$ , and a tree classifier H(x,  $\delta n$ ) is gotten. At long last, the class label is given, in view of the margin function (MG).

#### C. Evaluation Criteria

#### STATISTICAL TEST

The classification performance of the classifiers is tested with three parameters namely, accuracy, sensitivity and specificity which are given as follows [22].

| Sensitivity (SEN) = $\frac{TP}{TP+FN} \times 100$                        |    |
|--|----|
| Specificity (SPE) = $\frac{\text{TN}}{\text{TN} + \text{FP}} \times 100$ |    |
| Accuracy (ACC) = $\frac{TN+TP}{TN+TP+FN+FP} \times 100$                  | )  |
| $Gmean = \sqrt{Sensitivity * Specificity}$                               | it |

In the above calculations values of TP, FN, TN, and FP are represented the total number of different samples such as true positive, false negative, true negative, and false positive, respectively.

#### D. Improved Particle Swarm Optimization (IPSO)

(ABC) is a swarm based algorithm very similar to PSO. In any case, it reproduces the scrounging practices of honey bees. For this reason, it utilizes utilized honey bees (in charge of conveying sustenance to the bee colony and for doing smooth movement), passed by honey and detective honey bees (in charge of arbitrary nourishment look). In ABC, utilized honey bee number is equivalent to nourishment source and to spectator honey bees. In addition, number of honey bees in settlement is equivalent to four fold of utilized honey bees number. The utilized honey bee, whose sustenance information source closes, turns into a detective honey bee.

It's clearly seen that PSO methodology is a lot of sensible and easier to know than first ABC algorithm. In each techniques, updating of particles is finished within the same principle, however via totally different approaches. For this purpose, PSO uses position and velocity operators whereas first algorithm uses utilized bee and looker-on bee phases. However, first method controls the useless particles that couldn't improve its fitness on a user outlined iteration range referred to as 'limit'. Also, first ABC makes this method once update a part. So, it's seen that a demand of limit is inevitable for PSO. Because, it hasn't got any management parameter reproducing the ineffective particles. First principle keeps in check its particles with scout bees. By adding the scout bee section to PSO, IPSO is obtained. In IPSO, all processes are constant with PSO. Following paragraph shows the Pseudocode for IPSO rule.

Introduce all particles inside the client characterized limits (The primary best position (Pbest) values are equivalent to position of particles)

- Define an utmost incentive inside the range [1, (most extreme cycle number-1)]

- While (emphasis number < most extreme cycle number)
- Calculate wellness as per cost work for all particles

#### www.ijcrt.org

#### © 2018 IJCRT | Volume 6, Issue 2 April 2018 | ISSN: 2320-2882

(5)

(6)

- Update best position esteems as indicated by wellness esteems for all particles

- Choose the best Pbest vector as being Gbest (the vector accomplished to least cost)

- Calculate new positions as indicated by following conditions for all particles

 $Vi(t+1) = \omega Vi(t) + c1r1(Xpbest(i)(t) - Xi(t)) + c2r2(Xgbest(t) - Xi(t))$ 

Xi(t+1) = Xi(t) + Vi(t+1)

-If a variable inertia weight is used, change it in accordance with the used rule

#### III. RESULT ANALYSIS

In our proposed work we have taken the seizure and seizure free signals of male and female having ages between 25-55 years. The TQWT decomposed the PKU affected signal into upto 6th level of decompositions with different frequency components. The parameters are updated with a suitable input values. Which are highly necessary for better performance of the RF network. IPSO algorithm is used as a trainer to the RF network. Table 1. Shows the statistical performance result (SEN, SPE, ACC, and Gmean of the proposed method, which shows that RF classifier trained with an improved PSO outperforms in all respects. Table 1. Shows the calculated statistical parameters on the basis of true negative (TN), true positive (TP), false negative (FN), false positive (FP). The authors are tried as their best to analyse the sensitivity, specificity, accuracy and Gmean. Table 2 shows the comparative analysis of the existing approach with the observed values of the statistical parameters. Table 3. Shows the clinical observation table. Fig:-2. shows Comparison plot between the original EEG and TQWT-IPSO-RF output data of EEG signal, figure 3 shows the pillar diagram of our different performance result. Figure 4 shows the ROC curve based of our proposed method. Calculated average values of the different performance results are 99.5581% precision, 98.5261% of Sensitivity and 99.6169% of Specificity and 99.0674% of Gmean esteem with RF classifier.

| Sl no             | TP   | FN  | TN    | FP  | ACC                       | SEN         | SPE                | Gmean       |
|-------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| 1                 | 9481 | 42  | 18221 | 182 | 99.19788011               | 99.55896251 | 99.01103081        | 99.28461867 |
| 2                 | 6480 | 72  | 19380 | 142 | 99.17925903               | 98.9010989  | 99.27261551        | 99.08668309 |
| 3                 | 2680 | 6   | 32944 | 61  | 99.8122776                | 99.77661951 | 99.81517952        | 99.79589765 |
| 4                 | 7345 | 16  | 11246 | 39  | 9 <mark>9.70503057</mark> | 99.78263823 | 99.65440851        | 99.71850276 |
| 5                 | 3791 | 41  | 51211 | 37  | 99.8583878                | 98.93006263 | 99.92780206        | 99.42768084 |
| 6                 | 1413 | 48  | 25277 | 78  | 99.53013126               | 96.71457906 | 99.69236837        | 98.19218626 |
| 7                 | 898  | 42  | 22388 | 62  | 99.55536554               | 95.53191489 | 99.72383073        | 97.60537132 |
| 8                 | 5220 | 131 | 41548 | 141 | 99.42176871               | 97.55185947 | 99.66178129        | 98.60117688 |
| 9                 | 1707 | 4   | 11320 | 33  | 99.71677893               | 99.76621859 | <u>99.70932793</u> | 99.7377692  |
| 10                | 2445 | 31  | 21720 | 65  | 99.6043032                | 98.74798061 | 99.70162956        | 99.22365939 |
| Average<br>values |      | 25  |       |     | 99.5581                   | 98.5261     | 99.6169            | 99.0674     |

Table:-1. Calculated values of the different statistical parameters.

| REFERENCE | METHODS                      | PERFORMANCE<br>ANALYSIS (%) |
|-----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| [23]      | SNN                          | ACCURACY: 92.5              |
| [24]      | GMM                          | SENSITIVITY: 92.2           |
|           |                              | SPECIFICITYC: 100           |
| [25]      | SVM+PSD Estimation           | ACCURACY: 93.3              |
|           |                              | SENSITIVITY: 98.3           |
|           |                              | SPECIFICITYC: 96.7          |
| [26]      |                              | ACCURACY: 95.6              |
|           |                              | SENSITIVITY: 98.9           |
|           | SVM+RQA                      | SPECIFICITYC: 97.8          |
| [27]      | Fuzzy sugeno, WPT            | ACCURACY: 96.7              |
|           |                              | SENSITIVITY: 95             |
|           |                              | SPECIFICITYC: 99            |
| [28]      | EMD, □HT, C4.5 decision tree | ACCURACY: 95.3              |
|           |                              | SENSITIVITY: 98             |
|           |                              | SPECIFICITYC: 97            |
| [29]      | Random forest, EMD,          | ACCURACY: 99.4              |
|           |                              | SENSITIVITY: 97.9           |
|           |                              | SPECIFICITYC: 99.5          |
|           |                              |                             |

| SENSITIVITY: 100   |  |
|--------------------|--|
| SPECIFICITY: 98.71 |  |
| •                  | SENSITIVITY: 100<br>SPECIFICITY: 98.71 |

# Table:-2 comparison analysis of the different optimized values (ACCURACY, SENSITIVITY, and SPECIFICITY) of the proposed method with existing methods.

| F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F |            |                    |          |               |                    |                        |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| PI(Patient                            | Age/Gender | No of seizure      | No. of   | Total Seizure | Total Seizure free | Seizure origin         |
| ID)                                   |            | events/Time in sec | Channels | time(sec.)    | time(sec.)         |                        |
| 1                                     | 29/F       | 4(15-34)           | Chb14    | 629           | 29823              | Right frontal lobe and |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    | central region         |
| 2                                     | 25/M       | 3(63-146)          | Chb15    | 909           | 27258              | Left temporal lobe     |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    |                        |
| 3                                     | 47/F       | 6(38-82)           | Chb16    | 289           | 14694              | Frontal lobe           |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    |                        |
| 4                                     | 52/F       | 8(22-89)           | Chb17    | 437           | 13732              | Right frontal lobe and |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    | temporal lobe          |
| 5                                     | 34/F       | 4(21-189)          | Chb18    | 249           | 10480              | Right frontal lobe     |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    |                        |
| 6                                     | 35/F       | 4(8-17)            | Chb19    | 741           | 11823              | Right central origin   |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    |                        |
| 7                                     | 46/F       | 3(9-91)            | Chb20    | 439           | 14998              | Right frontal lobe and |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    | central region         |
| 8                                     | 53/F       | 12(52-76)          | Chb21    | 1201          | 17428              | Left front and middle  |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    | temporal lobe          |
| 9                                     | 39/F       | 17(32-61)          | Chb22    | 388           | 10342              | Left front and middle  |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    | temporal lobe          |
| 10                                    | 36/F       | 13(7-25)           | Chb23    | 572           | 14444              | Left temporal lobe     |
|                                       |            |                    |          |               |                    |                        |













#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

From authors information first time for practical analysis, this work actualizes with enhanced method for the recognition and legitimate grouping of unique EEG (both seizure and non-seizure). This strategy utilized RF arrange as a classifier. TQWT utilized as a pre-processor to expel the instrumental and biological factors. Proposed altered calculations (IPSO) is utilized to advance and refreshing the parameters to prepare and test the RF organize for appropriate conclusion. From measurable tests and examination with existing strategies we have inferred that our proposed technique gives better execution in all regard. The out execution of the proposed technique is appeared in the ROC curve, comparison diagram and piller diagram with a higher accuracy as shown figure. Because of change of measurable parameters (high affectability, specificity and precision) when contrasted with the current approach said in table1, it might be utilized for constant arrangement of the seizure influenced EEG signal and unique EEG motion in practical applications for efficient clinical treatment.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Pati, A.V. Alexopoulos, Pharmaco resistant epilepsy: from pathogenesis to current and emerging therapies, Clevel. Clin. J. Med. 77 (2010) 457–467.
- [2] David J. Thurman et al., Standards for epidemiologic studies and surveillance of epilepsy, Epilepsia 52 (2011) 2–26.
- [3] S. Ghosh-Dastidar, H. Adeli, N. Dadmehr, Principal component analysis enhanced cosine radial basis function neural network for robust epilepsy and seizure detection, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 55 (2008) 512–518.
- [4] N. Sriraam, Context-based near-lossless compression of EEG signals using neural network predictors, AEU-Int. J. Electron. Commun. 63 (2009) 311–320.
- S. Altunay, Z. Telatar, O. Erogul, Epileptic EEG detection using the linear prediction error energy, Expert Syst. Appl. 37 (2010) 5661–5665.
   V. Joshi, R.B. Pachori, A. Vijesh, Classification of ictal and seizure-free EEG signals using fractional linear prediction, Biomed. Signal Process. Control 9
- V. Joshi, K.B. Pachori, A. Vijesh, Classification of ictal and seizure-free EEG signals using fractional linear prediction, Biomed. Signal Process. Control 9 (2014) 1–5.
- [7] V. Srinivasan, C. Eswaran, N. Sriraam, Artificial neural network based epileptic detection using time-domain and frequency-domain features, J. Med. Syst. 29 (2005) 647–660.
- [8] R.R. Sharma, R.B. Pachori, Time-frequency representation using IEVDHM-HT with application to classification of epileptic EEG signals, IET Sci., Meas. Technol. (2017).
- [9] R.B. Pachori, Discrimination between ictal and seizure-free EEG signals using empirical mode decomposition, Res. Lett. Signal Process. 2008 (2008) 1–5.
- [10] R. Sharma, R.B. Pachori, Classification of epileptic seizures in EEG signals based on phase space representation of intrinsic mode functions, Expert Syst. Appl. 42 (2015) 1106–1117.
- [11] K. Fu, J. Qu, Y. Chai, Y. Dong, Classification of seizure based on the timefrequency image of EEG signals using HHT and SVM, Biomed. Signal Process. Control 13 (2014) 15–22.
- [12] R.B. Pachori, S. Patidar, Epileptic seizure classification in EEG signals using second-order difference plot of intrinsic mode functions, Comput. Methods Programs Biomed. 113 (2014) 494–502.
- [13] U.R. Acharya, S.V. Sree, G. Swapna, R.J. Martis, J.S. Suri, Automated EEG analysis of epilepsy: a review, Knowl.-Based Syst. 45 (2013) 147–165.
- [14] A. Subasi, EEG signal classification using wavelet feature extraction and a mixture of expert model, Expert Syst. Appl. 32 (2007) 1084–1093.
  [15] R. Upadhyay, P.K. Kankar, P.K. Padhy, A comparative study of feature ranking techniques for epileptic seizure detection using wavelet transform, Comput. Electr. Eng. 53 (2016) 163–176.
- [16] R. Sharma, M. Kumar, R.B. Pachori, U.R. Acharya, Decision support system for focal EEG signals using tunable-Q wavelet transform, J. Comput. Sci. 20 (2017) 52–60.
- [17] R.G. Andrzejak, K. Lehnertz, F. Mormann, C. Rieke, P. David, C.E. Elger, Indications of nonlinear deterministic and finite-dimensional structures in time series of brain electrical activity: dependence on recording region and brain state, Phys. Rev. E 64 (2001) 061907.
- [18] S. Patidar, R.B. Pachori, U.R. Acharya, Automated diagnosis of coronary artery disease using tunable-Q wavelet transform applied on heart rate signals, Knowl.-Based Syst. 82 (2015) 1–10.
- [19] A. Bhattacharyya, R.B. Pachori, A. Upadhyay, U.R. Acharya, Tunable-Q wavelet transform based multiscale entropy measure for automated classification of epileptic EEG signals, Appl. Sci. 7 (2017).
- [20] I.W. Selesnick, Wavelet transform with tunable Q-factor, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 59 (2011) 3560–3575.
- [21] L. Breiman, Random forests, Mach. Learn. 45 (2001) 5–32.
- [22] Y.H. Hu, S. Palreddy, W.J. Tompkins, A patient-adaptable ECG beat classifier using a mixture of experts approach, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 44 (1997) 891–900.
- [23] . Ghosh-Dastidar, S., Adeli, H., 2007, Improved spiking neural networks for EEG classification and epilepsy and seizure detection, Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering, 14(3):187-212.
- [24] Acharya, U. R, Chua, K. C., Lim, T. C., Dorithy, Suri, J. S., 2009. Automatic identification of epileptic EEG signals using nonlinear parameters, Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology,
- [25] Faust, O., Acharya, U. R., Lim, C. M., Sputh, B. H., 2010. Automatic identification of epileptic and background EEG signals using frequency domain parameters, International Journal of Neural Systems 20(2):159-176
- [26] Acharya, U. R, Sree, S. V., Chattopadhyay, S., Yu, W. W., Ang, P. C. A., 2011a. Application of recurrence quantification analysis for the automated identification of epileptic EEG signals, International Journal of Neural Systems, 21(3):199-211.
- [27] Acharya, U. R, Sree, S. V., Ang, P. C. A., Suri, J. S., 2012c. Use of principal component analysis for automatic detection of epileptic EEG activities, Expert Systems with applications, 39(10):9072-9078.
- [28] Martis, R. J., Acharya, U. R., Tan, J. H., Petznick, A., Yanti, R., Chua, K. C., Ng, E. Y. K., Tong, L., 2012. Application of empirical mode decomposition (EMD) for automated detection of epilepsy using EEG signals, International Journal of Neural Systems, 22(6):1250027-1-1250027-16.
- [29] Bhattacharyya, A., Pachori, R. B., 2017a, A multivariate approach for patient- SPECIFICITY EEG seizure detection using empirical wavelet transform, IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 64(9):2003-2015.