# **DESIGNING of MANET FOR THROUGHPUT AND** DELAY CALCULATION WITH VARYING NODES

<sup>1</sup>Neelu Pareek, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Vishnu Shrivastava <sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Scholar, <sup>2</sup>Emeritus Scientist & Professor- AcSIR, CEERI, Pilani <sup>1</sup>ECE Department <sup>1</sup>Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, India

Abstract : Mobile Ad hoc network (MANET) is a collection of mobile nodes that are arbitrarily located so that the interconnection between nodes is dynamically changing. In this paper an attempt has been made to explore the analysis work for 5 different routing algorithms (AODV, DSR, OLSR, GRP and TORA) with 2 parameters like delay and throughput. The above work has been simulated through software OPNET 14.5 and has been compared for each nodes group for particular algorithms. In this work, a comparative study is made between different node groups for particular specific algorithm using IEEE802.11g standard with constant speed of 36Mbps. All traffic node groups (20, 40, 60) are compared for 5 different routing algorithms in terms of delay and throughput.

# IndexTerms – MANET, AODV, DSR, OLSR, GRP, TORA

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the field of communication many changes in way of communication are occurring day by day. MANETs have many properties like it can be set up anywhere, nodes can perform the roles of both hosts and routers, multi-hop radio relaying, operating without a central coordinator, autonomous, no infrastructure needed and Instant deployment. Routing protocols are used to find out routes between mobile nodes. But due to some shortcomings like topology changes, bandwidth constraint and power constraint MANETs gives delay in particular network communication and sometimes it is difficult to find routes. There are different routing algorithms (protocols) available like AODV, DSR, OLSR, GRP and TORA for providing efficient routes between mobile nodes, but it is difficult to identify which algorithm performs best at different traffic conditions. To resolve this problem we have analyzed these algorithms in different situations of traffic. Hence the motivation of this paper is to analyze different algorithms for different mobile node groups in terms of delay and throughput.

# **II. DESIGNING OF NETWORK** Work Scenario

TABLE 1 : Simulation Parameters and their Value					
Simulation Parameters	Value				
Routing protocols	AODV, DSR, OLSR,				
	GRP and TORA				
Data rate	36Mpps				
Transmit power	0.005W				
Topology	Circular				
Mobility profile	Random waypoint				
IEEE standard	802.11g				
Area	5000m <sup>2</sup>				
Applications	FTP and HTTP				

To design 20 nodes MANET select node value 20 and the above parameter given in table 1 are applied then the steps being followed are as shown below figure.1-



# Fig.2: 20 node MANET

To design 40 nodes MANET select node value 40 and the above parameter given in table 1 are applied then the steps being followed are as shown below figure.2 -



Fig.2: 40 node MANET

To design 60 nodes MANET select node value 60 and the above parameter given in table 1 are applied then the steps being followed are as shown below figure.3 –



Fig.3: 60 node MANET

# **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1 AODV Delay Parameter Analysis

In this simulation, routing protocol AODV is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and delay parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase delay also increases as shown in graph. For individual 20, 40 and 60 nodes the delay is high initially and then decreases continuously.



#### **3.2 AODV Throughput Parameter Analysis**

In this simulation, routing protocol AODV is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and throughput parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase throughput also increases as shown in graph. For individual 20, 40 and 60 nodes the load parameter increases initially very fast and then become constant.



Fig.5: Comparison Graph of Throughput for AODV (20, 40, 60)

#### 3.3 DSR Delay Parameter Analysis

In this simulation, routing protocol DSR is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and traffic delay parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase delay also increases but at very high traffic DSR response breaks down as shown in graph. Delay becomes very high at high traffic and for low traffic it is negligible.



Fig.6: Comparison Graph of Delay for DSR (20, 40, 60)

# 3.4 DSR Throughput Parameter Analysis

In this simulation, routing protocol DSR is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and throughput parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase throughput also increases but at very high traffic DSR response starts disappearing as shown in graph.



Fig.7: Comparison Graph of Throughput for DSR (20, 40, 60)

# **3.5 OLSR Delay Parameter Analysis**

In this simulation, routing protocol OLSR is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and delay parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase delay also increases but in contrast to DSR and AODV delay initially low than start to increase in OLSR as shown in graph.



Fig.8: Comparison Graph of Delay for OLSR (20, 40, 60)

#### **3.6 OLSR Throughput Parameter Analysis**

In this simulation, routing protocol OLSR is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and throughput parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase throughput also increases as shown in graph.



Fig.9: Comparison Graph of Throughput for OLSR (20, 40, 60)

## 3.7 GRP Delay Parameter Analysis

In this simulation, routing protocol GRP is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and delay parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increases delay also increases but for each node delay starts negatively and then becomes constant and at last increases positively as shown in graph.



Fig.10: Comparison Graph of Delay for GRP (20, 40, 60)

## 3.8 GRP Throughput Parameter Analysis

In this simulation, routing protocol GRP is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and throughput parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase, throughput also increases but for each node bit transmission is starts negatively then became constant and at last increases positively as shown in graph.



# **3.9 TORA Delay Parameter Analysis**

In this simulation, routing protocol TORA is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and delay parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase, delay also increases as shown in graph.



Fig.12: Comparison Graph of Delay for TORA (20, 40, 60)

# 3.10 TORA Throughput Parameter Analysis

In this simulation, routing protocol TORA is applied simultaneously to the 20, 40 and 60 MANET mobile station nodes and throughput parameters are analyzed. Simulation shows that as the no. of mobile nodes increase, throughput decreases in contrast to all other routing algorithms as shown in graph.



Fig.13: Comparison Graph of Throughput for TORA (20, 40, 60)

	Analysis for 20 Nodes in		Analysis for 40 Nodes in		Analysis for 60 Nodes in			
	MANET		MANET		MANET			
Algorithm	Delay(Sec)	Throughput	Delay(Sec)	Throughput	Delay(Sec)	Throughput		
		(bits/sec)	- 10 <sup>1</sup>	(bits/sec)		(bits/sec)		
AODV	0.001	12000	0.004	24000	0.007	46000		
DSR	0.001	7000	0.001	16000	increase	Increase		
OLSR	0.00086	18000	0.00093	49000	0.0012	90000		
GRP	0.0012	15000	0.0014	20000	0.0015	25000		
TORA	0	7000	15	8000	55	5000		

 Table 2: Observation for different parameters

## **IV: CONCLUSION**

From the above simulation result's conclusion can be summarize as -

- AODV performs best at every level node (20, 40, 60) mostly for throughput for both application ftp and http. Delay for every node is also good.
- DSR performs well only at low level (20, 40) and for 60 nodes its characteristic are undeterminable for application ftp and http.
- OLSR performs best for delay characteristics. For throughput parameter its characteristics are the best.

## V: REFERENCES

- Sarah Shaaban, Dr. Hesham, M. El Badawy and Prof. Dr. Attallah Hashad, "Performance Evaluation of the IEEE 802.11Wireless LAN Standards", Proceeding of the World Conference on Engineering 2008 Vol. 1, WCE 2008, July 2 - 4, 2008, London, U.K.
- [2] William Stallings, "Wireless Communications and Networks", 2nd edition Pearson Education, Inc. Pearson Prentice Hall Pearson Education, Inc. ISBN: 0-13-191835-4, chapter 13-15, 2005.
- [3] Ajay Singh and Dr. Pankaj Dashore, "Packet Flow Analysis in Wireless Scenario", International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering Volume 3, Issue 6, June 2013.
- [4] Sirwan A. Mohammed and Prof. Dr. Sattar B. Sadkhan, "Design Of Wireless Network Based On Ns2", Journal of Global Research in Computer Science Volume 3, No. 12, December 2012.

- [5] Almargni Ezreik and Abdalla Gheryani, "Design and Simulation of Wireless Network using NS-2", second International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology (ICCSIT'2012) Singapore April 28-29, 2012.
- [6] Nirendra Kumar and Pratap Singh Patwal, "Performance Evaluation of MANET Routing Protocols of with FTP Application Using an Optimized Scalable Simulation Model", International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering, Volume 3, Issue 6, June 2013.
- [7] Harmeet Singh, Sukhjeet Singh and Rahul Malhotra, "Modeling, Evaluation and Analysis of Ring Topology for Computer Applications Using Simulation", IJCSMC, Vol. 2, Issue. 1 January 2013, page 1 10.

