Morphometric Analysis of Sarabanga Basin for Sustainable Water Resource Management using Geoinformatics & Arc Objects with VB Customization, Tamil Nadu

Alaguraja .P¹ · Rajesh.M² , Yuvaraj .D³ Thangamani⁴ and Manivel.M⁵ ¹Post Doctoral Fellow - UGC, Dept. of Geography, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai ² Senior Associate, Cognizant Technology Solutions, Bangalore, ³Assiatant Professor, Dept. of Geography, Govt. Arts College (Aut), Coimbatore ⁴Assiatant Professor, Dept. of Geography, Kamaraj University, Madurai ⁵ Emeritus professors, Dept. of Marine Science,, Bharathidasan University

Abstract: In the present study, morphmetric analysis using GIS techniques has been carried out in parts of Sarabanga basin. Remote sensing techniques with an emphasis on lineament identification can play a great role in groundwater prospecting in Sarabanga Basin watershed in Salem districts, Tamilnadu. The area is bounded within latitudes 11°30'00"N and 12°00'00"N and Longitudes 77°50'00" E and 78°10'00" E. The area covered by Survey of India Toposheet Nos. 581/1, 581/2, 58E/10, 58E/11, 58E/13, 58E/14 of scale 1:50,000. The total aerial extent of the study area is 1215 Sq.km. Aim and Objectives To delineate drainage morphometric characteristic (Linear and Aerial) carried out from the SOI sheets. To study morphometric characteristic of concern sub-watershed. To calculate the parameters in Visual Basic programming language and to Produce the customized output using Arc objects. To integrate the thematic maps through the GIS software (ArcGIS).Recommendations for further planning and development of locally available water potential zones Methodology a) Field data collection include geological mapping; b) Meteorological data collection from Public Work Division (PWD); c) Remote sensing study through Landsat ETM satellite image. Except Muthunaickenpatty and part of Kadayampatty subbasin all other basin and having vegetative cover and settlements. More checkdams may be constructed to store the precipitated water, for the use of agriculture, settlement and for high yield of groundwater potentials even in dry area.

Index Terms -. Kadayampatty Sub Watershed, Muthunaickenpatty, Mecheri, Jalakandapuram and Idappadi Sub Watershed

I. INTRODUCTION

Remote sensing and GIS techniques have proved to be extremely useful tool in morphmetric analysis and groundwater studies. In the present study, morphmetric analysis using GIS techniques has been carried out in parts of Sarabanga basin. Remote sensing techniques with an emphasis on lineament identification can play a great role in groundwater prospecting in Sarabanga Basin watershed in Salem districts, Tamilnadu.

II.STUDY AREA

The study area "Sarabanga upper basin" falls within River Sarabanga originates from the Shevaroys and flows in the northwestern and western parts of Salem district and joins with the Cauvery near Komarapalayam town. The area is bounded within latitudes 11°30'00"N and 12°00'00"N and Longitudes 77°50'00" E and 78°10'00" E. The area covered by Survey of India Toposheet Nos. 58I/1, 58E/10, 58E/11, 58E/13, 58E/14 of scale 1:50,000. The total aerial extent of the study area is 1215 Sq.km.





III.AIM AND OBJECTIVES

- To delineate drainage morphometric characteristic (Linear and Aerial) carried out from the SOI sheets.
- To study morphometric characteristic of concern sub-watershed.
- To calculate the parameters in Visual Basic programming language and to
- Produce the customized output using Arc objects.
- To integrate the thematic maps through the GIS software (Arc GIS).
- Recommendations for further planning and development of locally available water potential zones

IV.METHODOLOGY

- a) Field data collection include geological mapping;
- b) Meteorological data collection from Public Work Division (PWD);
- c) Remote sensing study through Landsat ETM satellite image.

V.MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS:

• In the presently study, the morphometric analysis for the parameters namely basin perimeter, stream order, stream length, bifurcation ratio, stream length ratio, basin length, drainage density, stream frequency, elongation ratio, circularity ratio, form factor, etc.,



Figure: 2 Sarabanga Sub -Watershed

Description of the Sub Watershed

1. Kadayampatty Sub Watershed, 2. Muthunaickenpatty Sub Watershed, 3. Mecheri Sub Watershed, 4. Jalakandapuram Sub Watershed, 5. Idappadi Sub Watershed

1. Kadayampatty Sub Watershed

The Kadayampatty subbasin has an areal extent of 288.58 sq.km having six stream orders. The total number of streams is 537and basin perimeter is 93.05 km. The maximum length is 25.41 km.

2. Muthunaickenpatty Sub Watershed

The Muthunaickenpatti Subbasin has an areal extent of 129.81sq.km. having five stream orders. Total numbers of streams are 256. The basin perimeter is 54.71 km. The maximum length of the basin is 19.66 km.

3. Mecheri Sub Watershed

Mecheri subbasin has an areal extent of 351.05sq.km. having six orders. The total number of stream are 190. The basin perimeter is 104.75 km. The maximum length of basin is 30.85 km.

4. Jalakandapuram Sub Watershed

Jalakandapuram subbasin has an areal extent of 190.62sq.km. having six stream orders. The total number of streams is 252. The basin perimeter is 63.81 km. The maximum length of basin is 19.01 km.

5. Idappadi Sub Watershed

Idappadi subbasin has an areal extent of 255.15sq.km. having six stream orders. The total number of streams is 350. The basin perimeter is 97.05 km. Maximum length of the basin is 28.91 km. The lower orders are from the Sankari hill.

VI. CUSTOMIZATION (MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS)

1. Stream Count, 2. Stream Length, 3.Mean Stream Length, 4.Stream Length Ratio, 5.Bifurcation Ratio, 6.Mean bifurcation Ratio 7.Drainage density, 8.Stream Frequency, 9.Drainage Texture, 10.Form Factor 11.Circularity Ratio, 12.Elongation Ratio, 13.Length of Overland flow 14.Area, 15. Perimeter, 16.Basin Length

1. Stream Count



2.Stream Length





3.Mean Stream Length



4. Stream Length Ratio





5.Bifurcation Ratio



6.Mean bifurcation Ratio





7.Drainage density



8.Stream Frequency





10.Form Factor



11.Circularity Ratio





13.Length of Overland flow



473

14.Area





16.Basin Length



475

VII. RESULT AND CONCLUSION

The various Thematic maps are prepared through remote sensing and GIS in the scale of 1:50000 like Geomorphology, soil, drainage, geology, Lineament. The pediment forming 50% in the Kadayampatty, Mecheri, Tharamangalam, Virapandi, Thoppur and Residual Hill covered by SE, SW, and NW of the study area. Their contributes 75% of the total area and the remaining portion is occupied by valley Fill, Bajada Zone, Hill Top Pediment, Shallow Buried Pediment so on. The totally 93 lineaments present in the area of investigation. The prominent direction of the lineaments in NNE to SSW and NNW to SSE. The major trend line towards on the NNE to SSW. The major land uses are dry crop, wet crop, plantation, degraded forest land, water body and remaining are barren land, settlement and transport. The drainage density varies between 0.83 and 1.86 indicating low drainage density. In the study area, the low drainage density indicates the region has highly permeable subsoil and thick vegetative cover.

The form factor for Muthunaickenpatti, Idappadi and Kadayampatti subbasin shows elongated and flatten peak flow for longer duration; Jalakandapuram and Mecheri shows high form factors which is of in circular form having high peak flows for shorter duration.Circulatory Ratio ranges from 0.34 to 0.58 which categories as circular basins. The Re of sub-basins of the study area varies from 0.62 to 0.81. Morphometric parameters are calculated in visual Basic programming language and the concerned results are tabulated respectively to their aspects have been discussed. Further customization was carried out based on the needs of the project, so finally the calculation and the respective spatial features are displayed in the same VB from to produce the output.Based on the morphometric anlaysis the subbasin can be easily managed in flood period, because the subbasins are in elongated forms. These are due to highly weathered and minimum structured disturbances. Except Muthunaickenpatty and part of Kadayampatty subbasin all other basin and having vegetative cover and settlements. More checkdams may be constructed to store the precipitated water, for the use of agriculture, settlement and for high yield of groundwater potentials even in dry area.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chitra. C, Alaguraja. P, Ganeshkumari. K, Yuvaraj. D and Manivel. M (2011) Watershed characteristics of Kundah sub basin using Remote Sensing and GIS techniques, International Journal of Geomatics and Geosciences Volume 2, No 1, 2011,pp-311-335.
- [2] Horton RE (1945), Erosional development of streams and their drainage basins: hydrophysical approach to quantitative morphology, Bulletin of Geological Society of America, 5, pp 275-370.
- [3]Krishnamurthy J and Srinivas G (1995) Role of geological and geomorphological Factors in groundwater exploration: a study using IRS LISS data, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 16, pp 2595-2618.
- [4] Narendra K and Nageswara Rao K (2006), Morphometry of the Mehadrigedda watershed, Visakhapatnam district, Andhra Pradesh using GIS and Resource sat data, Journal of Indian SocietyRemote Sensing, 34, pp 101-110.
- [5] Price MJ and Heywood I (1994), Mountain Environmental and Geographic Information System. Taylor and Francis Publication. London. p309.
- [6] Pullar D and Springer D (2000), Towards integrating GIS and catchment models. Environmental Modelling & Software 15: 451 - 459.
- [7] R .Sathish (2007), Evalution of Land use Pattern and Geomorphology of Parts of Western Ghats using IRS P6 LISS data. IE (1) Journal- AG, 88, pp 14-18.
- [8] Rajesh Saxena, Dheerendra Pandey, Sandeep goyal and Mukesh Sahu (1995), Integrated Management of Natural Resources on Watershed Basis for Sustainable Development of the Area-A Remote Sensing Approach In: I.V. Muralikrishna (Ed.), Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System for Environmental Planning, Tata McGraw Hill Publishers, New Delhi. pp 647 - 651.
- [9] Rajwar (1996), Challenges for Environmental Management in the Headwaters of Western Himalaya: An Introduction. In. Josef Krecek, Rajwar GS and Martin J. Haigh (Eds.) Hydrological Problems and Environmental Management in Highlands and Headwaters. Oxford and IBH Publication, Co.Pvt.Ltd., New Delhi, pp. 21 - 26.

- [10] Ram Datt and Ramanathan NL (1981), Environmental Monitoring. In: Proceedings of Seminar on the Status of Environmental Studies in India, Thiruvananthapuram, pp. 284 287.
- [11] Rekha Devi and Singh DK (1992), Land Resource Management in an Orissan Hill Environment: The Saora Country in R. Udayagiri and its Environs as a Case Study. pp179 187.
- [12] Robertson DP and Hull RB (2001), beyond biology: toward a more public ecology for conservation, Conservation Biology 15 (4), pp 970 - 979.
- [13]Muthukrishnan. A, Bhuvaneswairan, C., Panneerselvam. A and Alaguraja.P (2013) Role of Remote Sensing and GIS in Artificial Recharge of the Ground Water Aquifer in the Shanmuganadi Sub Watershed in the Cauvery River Basin, Trichirapalli District, Tamil Nadu, International Journal of Applied Sciences and Engineering Research, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2013, pp-181-192.
- [14] Schumn SA (1956) Evaluation of drainage systems and slopes in badlands at Perth Amboy, New Jersy Bulletin of Geological Society of America, 67, pp 597-646.
- [15] Srivastava VK and Mitra D (1995), Study of drainage pattern of Raniganj Coalfield (Burdwan District) as observed on LandsatTM/ IRS LISS II imagery, Jour. Indian Soc. Remote Sensing, 23,pp 225--235.



477