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Abstract: A number of general efforts are being made and special programmes are being implemented by the Government of India during the planning era to improve the life of poor people in India. It is realized that the trickle down effect is no more effective, self and wage employment programmes have their limitations and defects and did not capable to wipe out the miserable life of the poor masses in India. Present practice of the Government of India of processing for cash transfers is not useful strategy to uplift the poor people. In fact, TPDS and other welfare programmes with some sort of improvement are very useful to increase the living standard of the targeted people in India. The researcher in this paper taken efforts to combine all welfare programme in single roof by making their evaluations. The researcher used primary and secondary data for scientific examination and concluded that the cash transfer system has limitations to work for, and to deal with, it is essential to implement properly the welfare programmes to uplift poor masses in India. This means TPDS and other welfare programmes are useful, but some kind of reforms therein is very vital to increase their relevance to enhance the life of the poor and general masses in India.

Index Terms – TPDS, welfare programmes.

I. INTRODUCTION:
The Government of India during the planning era and in the post reform period made a number of efforts and implemented special programmes to improve the life of masses. General efforts like economic growth are used only for income creation, and its trickle down aspect is no more effective way. Wage and self – employment Programmes have a number of limitations and did not capable to wipe out the miserable life of the poor. Welfare Programmes have capacity to improve the life of the poor. A number of studies have been carried out to evaluate welfare programmes in India. The researcher in this paper has brought almost all welfare programmes in a single roof and efforts taken to evaluate them with reference to micro level experiences in villages of Maharashtra. Recently, the Government of Maharashtra is processing cash transfer system for poor section of the society in combination with fair price shops. The cash transfer system is based on N.S.S. data but the data is highly objectionable. Hence, the system of cash transfer is based on unrealistic and unscientific premises. TPDS, with some reforms, in fact, is very useful programme taking care the neglected masses and downtrodden sections of the society in India.

II. Objectives of the Paper:
1. To bring in a single roof the all welfare programmes.
2. To evaluate the welfare programmes.
3. To study the effectiveness of welfare programmes.

III. The Methodology of the Paper:
The researcher used primary and secondary sources of data collection for the preparation of the paper. Data on programmes like Targeted Public Distribution System (TPDS) is being collected by observation and interviews of Fair Price Shops salesman and beneficiaries in some villages of Guhagar Development block of Ratnagiri District in Maharashtra. Also used Government Reports and Research Publications.

IV. Welfare Programmes in India:
Since independence the Government of India has implemented a number of welfare programmes to improve the life of school going children, women, poor and weaker sections of the society. More or less all are beneficial to targeted people. A brief evaluation of different programmes is as under –
1. PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (PDS):
Structure in India:
Public Distribution System is an Indian food security system. This system is established by the Government of India under the ministry of India. It distributes subsidized food and non-food items to poor people in India. The Commodities are distributed through a network of Fair Price Shops (FPSs) or also called Rating Shops established in several states across the country. The Government-owned Food Corporation of India (FCI) procures, maintains and issues food – grains to the states. The state Governments through their Revenue Departments distributes the food – grains to beneficiaries through either co-operative or private owners. As on today there are about 4.99 lakh fair price shops in India. The objective of this system is to provide essential goods to all card holders at subsidized rates. It was started initially to provide essential goods to urban population at the fair prices. In the seventh plan it was widely extended to rural areas with a view to making it supplementary to poverty eradication programmes. The main purpose of Public Distribution System (PDS) in India was to act as a price support programme for the consumer during the periods of food shortage of 1960s. So, it was considered as an instrument of price stabilization and because a countermeasure against private traders who were interested to exploit the consumers during the period of scarcity to get more and more profits. Since the mid-1980s the coverage of PDS was extended to rural areas in some states. It has given the status of a welfare programme. An effort was made to extend subsidized food grains in 1985 in all the tribal blocks. With a network of 4.99 lakh Fair Price Shops (FPS) distributing commodities worth of Rs. 30,000 crores annually to about 160 million families the PDS of India is largest network in the world. Also in several employment generation programmes, subsidized on food subsidy of PDS has been growing over the period of time. It was Rs. 650 crores in 1980–81 (at current prices). It increased to Rs. 23,071 crores in 2005–2006 and Rs. 75,000 crores in 2012–2013 (BE).

TARGETED PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (TPDS):
Public Distribution System was criticized,
1. Failed to serve below poverty line (BPL) population.
2. Biased in favour of Urban areas.
3. Negligible coverage in poor states with large population of the rural poor.
4. Wide leakage of PDS food grains procured from Food Corporation of India to the open market and supply of inferior grains in ration shops.

To overcome the above defects of the system, the Government tried to streamline it by issuing special cards to BPL families and provision of selling food – grains to them at specially subsidized prices with effect from June, 1997. Under Targeted Public Distribution System (TDPS) each family was entitled to 10 kg of food – grains allocation was increased from 10 kg to 20 kg per month at 50 percent of the economic cost from April 2000. The food – grains allocation to APL families was retained at 10 kg level fixed in 1997, the price equal to 100 percent of the economic cost. The TPDS was aimed to direct the subsidy to BPL and discourage APL families. It was also proposed to receive a kind of income transfer to BPL families.

The BPL allocation of food – grains was increased from 20 kg to 25 kg per family per month from July 2001. In addition, 25 kg of food – grains was to be provided to the poorest of the poor families of Antodaya Anna Yojana at a highly subsidized price of Rs. 2 kg for wheat and Rs. 3 kg for rice under PDS.

Restructuring of Public Distribution System:
It has been decided to restructure Public Distribution System considering the changing consumption pattern that have taken place in the post Green Revolution period. Tenth plan provides the following outline for restructuring of PDS.¹
1. As wheat and rice are the basic goods to meet necessities of the poor, items other than these two should be excluded from the scope of food subsidies.
2. Sugar should be kept outside the preview of PDS.
3. It is pointed out that the subsidy on kerosene is cornered by the non-poor. So, it was planned that the subsidy on kerosene should be phased out by raising its supply price for PDS shops, if kerosene is to be
retained under PDS, the extent of subsidy provided should be reduced so that there is less incentive for
diversion.
4. The coverage of PDS and food subsidy should be restricted to BPL population.
5. To reduce malpractices, food stamps should be issued to female members of the family who can be
designated as heads of households for the purpose. Under the system of food stamps, the state Governments
should issue a subsidy entitlement card (SES) instead of ration cards.
6. A food card system could be superior alternative to the prevalent fair price shop (FPS) system and perhaps
even a food stamp system. The customers could use food credit / debit cards t buy subsidized food – grains
from the market and the retailers can claim the subsidy from the government.
7. A food coupon scheme was introduced in Andhra Pradesh in 1998 – 99 for distribution of rice and kerosene
through PDS. This system was quite fruitful and can be replicated with some reforms in other states.

FOOD STAMPS:
Food stamps is an indirect financial support given to poor by issuing coupons, vouchers, electronic card
transfer etc. By this provision the poor can purchase commodities at any shop and would not have to purchase
food from a particular shop as in the case of PDS in India. The state Government would then pay back the
grocers shop for the stamps they possess. This was the plan proposed by the finance minister in his budget. But
the United Progressive Alliance (UPA) Government which came to power in 2004, decided on a common
minimum programme (CMP) and agenda was food and nutritional security to the people and the Government
planned for strengthening the public distribution system. However, the finance minister P. Chidambaram in his
budget speech contrarily proposed the idea of food stamp scheme and has proposed to try the scheme few
districts of India to know its viability. Experiments of food stamps will prove their viability.

NATIONAL FOOD SECURITY ACT (NFSA):
National food security Bill, 2011 was placed before the Lok Sabha on 22nd Dec. 2011. The aim of this
bill is to provide quality food and nutritional security at affordable prices to preserve human life with dignity.
By this Act about two third of the population will be entitled to receive subsidized food – grains under Targeted
Public Distribution System (TDPS). The special emphasis is on children, women and other groups such as
destitute, homeless people, people in starvation and disaster affected people. Also there is a provision of paying
maternity benefit of Rs. 1000 per months to pregnant and lactating women in the country.

Structure of FPSs in Maharashtra:
FPSs are considered to be the most important food security network. The structure of Fair Price Shop
(FPSs) in the village in Maharashtra is as under:
Card system is developed. The details regarding cards given to people, food and non – food items distributed
and prices charged, rebate given, and others are explained below.
1. White Card:
This card is given to a family having annual income above Rs. 1 lakh. No more benefits are given. With
the exception of 2 liter of Kerosene at the price of Rs. 15.90 per liter and a single gas celender is given to the
families belonging to this category.
2. Orange Color Card:
This card is issued to a family having income in the group Rs. 1 lakh – middle section of the society.
The 10 kg rice at the price of Rs. 9.60 per kg, 2 kg wheat at the price of 7.20 per kg and 750 ml. kerosene at the
price of Rs. 15.90 per liter is given to a card holder family.
3. Yellow Card:
This card is given to a BPL family having annual income below Rs. 15000/-. The 25 kg rice at the price
of Rs. 6 per kg, 10 kg wheat at the price of Rs. 5 per kg and 750 ml kerosene per head at rate of Rs. 15.90 per
liter given to a card holder family. This card is given to agriculture labourers, small farmers, rural artesian, and
unsupported people, handicapped serious illness people who have no source of income on persons who have no
social support and tribal families.
4. **Annapurna Yojana:**
   The 10 kg rice per card holder family is given free of cost.

5. **Antyodaya Anna Yojana (AAY):**
   This yojana has been introduce in December 2000 in order to make PDS more focused and targeted towards the poorest sections of population. Its emphasis is on identification of poorest of the poor families from amongst the BPL families covered under TPDS within the states. It aims to provide food – grains at a highly subsidized rate of Rs. 2/- per kg for wheat and Rs. 3/- per kg for rice. The states / UTs are required to bear the distribution cost, including margin to dealers and retailers and transportation costs. The quantity of 25 kg per family per month has been increased to 35 kg per family with effect from 1st April, 2002.

**Evaluation:**
   The researcher has made inquiry of some Fair Price Shops and their beneficiaries in Guhagar block of Maharashtra state. Also on the basis of some other empirical studies done by scholars following evaluative observations are made:
   1. No rebate is received from the government to sellers of Fair Price Shops over the last 10 years.
   2. Weight losses are incurred regularly.
   3. To run fair price shops only are not able to survive the salesmen.
   4. Most of the fair price shops are operating with Kirana business of the owner.
   5. Fair price shops are very beneficial to the targeted people as they improved their life sustenance.
   6. Food – grains distributed are not adequate to meet the needs of beneficiaries.
   7. Food and non – food items are not supplied regularly.
   8. Salesmen running the Fair Price Shops in losses.
   9. Quality food and non – food items are provided through Fair Price Shops.
   10. List of BPL family is defective.
   11. Transportation cost increased due to irregular supply of food items.

Although, with the above, a number of other nutritional or welfare programmes have been launched from the Third Five Year Plan, however with a very small coverage to improve the nutritional status of the people concerned. By 1963 the Applied Nutritional Programme (A.N.P.) was extended over the entire country. It was discontinued in 1970 – 71 and replaced the supplementary Nutrition Programme (S.N.P.). In 1975 – 76 the Integrated Child Development Service Scheme (I.C.D.S.) was launched and Supplementary Nutritional Programme (S.N.P.) was merged in it. All these programmes were launched to cover nutritional requirements of pre – school children, pregnant and lactating mothers. The school going children were to be provided supplementary nutrition via – school meals / lunches.

2) **APPLIED NUTRITIONAL PROGRAMME (A. N. P.):**
   It has following objective:
   i) To encourage the production and consumption of high nutritional foods.
   ii) To educate people about the nutritive value of various foods.
   iii) To provide food supplements to needy.

   But the programme has only got a little success in realizing the objectives. It was realized that, “the weaker sections who should have been beneficiaries remained. However, the performance was more encouraging as they did reach the poor section.” On the whole its performance was not good hence, discontinued at the end of the sixties.

3) **SUPPLEMENTARY NUTRITION PROGRAMME (S. N. P.):**
   During the period of Fourth Plan, 40 nutritional schemes were launched with a view to prvide better nutritional food to pre – school children, school going children, pregnant and lactating womens belonging to the lower income groups. The school launches programmes were for school going children.

(I) **SUPPLEMENTARY NUTRITION PROGRAMME (S. N. P.):**
   This was introduced in 1970 – 71 which was initially to improve the nutrition of 0 3 age group children. In 1971 – 72 it was extended to cover the children in the age group of 3 -6 years, pregnant and nursing mothers.
This programme has a number of defects like (a) lack of proper food supplies, (b) discontinuity of feedings, (c) pilferage, (d) lack of community participation, (e) non-integration with other services and (f) lack of funds etc.

II) INTEGRATED CHILD DEVELOPMENT SCHEME (I. C. D. S.):

In 1975, the Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) was started on a smaller scale, covering only 33 blocks. By 1980, 150 blocks had been covered, the coverage extended in the sixth plan and the seventh plan with over 2000 projects sanctioned. This scheme became board based when it incorporated with Supplementary Nutritional Programme (S.N.P.), health care and Nutritional education with following objectives.

i) To improve the nutrition and health condition of pre – school children and pregnant and nursing women.

ii) To reduce morbidity and malnutrition.

iii) To provide an incentive for greater enrolment and regular attendance at school.

iv) To enhance capability of a mother to look after the health and nutritional status of her child.

The first evaluation of Integrated Child Development Scheme (I.C.D.S.) reveals that, it was encouraging and declined the incidence of severe malnutrition from 21.6 percent to 11.2 percent among pre-school children in rural blocks which came under the scheme. The finding of the Planning Evaluation organization (P.E.O.) Suggests greater benefits accrued to the lower income group.

4) MID – DAY MEAL PROGRAMME (M.D.M.P.):

The provision of lunches to the schools going children was regular practice before independence also. Since independence the Government of India in 1962 – 63 decided to participate in providing lunches to the concerned class of children. The mid – day meal scheme was introduced from 15th August 1985 by the ministry of Human Resource Development. This scheme aimed to provide benefits to students in primary schools, initially in 2408 blocks of the country with a view to enhancing enrollment, retention, attendance and improving nutritional levels among the children. By the year 1997 – 98 the scheme was introduced in all blocks of the country. Presently, the scheme covers students of class I – VIII of Government and Government aided schools, Education Guarantee Scheme / Alternative and actively participation in the classroom activities. For that purpose the Mid – day Meal Programme was launched with the following objectives:

i) To improve the nutritional status of school going children.

ii) To encourage the enrollment and regular attendance of the school going children of the poor.

This programme has been implemented by the state governments. Some states such as Gujrat, Tamil Nadu, implemented this programme very effectively. This is quite beneficial to improve the enrollment of school going children.

ACHIEVEMENTS:

i) A study on effect of subsidy on household food consumption in western region of Tamil Nadu comparing Combatore and Feriyar districts is done by Kailasam C. and others. The study concludes that, the noon meal scheme or free meal played pivoted role to help and enhance the enrollment of urban and rural low income group children in primary school besides improving their health and status. The authors also added that, “Public distribution and noon – meal scheme help the poor people to increase their food consumption level. These, subsidy schemes, hence must be strengthened to improve the nutritional level to reduce the malnutrition and alleviate poverty among vulnerable and weaker sections of the people both in rural and urban areas.” They further added,”food susidy programmes (Public Distribution System and Noon – Meal Scheme) help to increase the food consumption and plays a substantial role in improving the nutritional status of the weaker sections of the society.”

ii) Another study is done by Franke R. W. in Kerala. He has undertaken two surveys of feeding programmes and food intake in a census block of Nandur village, in Cochin district of central Kerala. Historically, geographically, and sociologically Nandur has many features typical to Kerala. The surveys aimed the larger study of the assessment of redistributive programmes such as public distribution system through ration shops and feeding programmes.
The study concluded the schools and lunches have a redistributio
nal component. Author concludes, (i) lunches provide about 1 pe
cent of the total calories for the sample but raise the user househ
old by 5 percent, the lunches improves the distribution of calories, (ii) school lunches become statistically more important to low income households. (iii) both launches and ration shops probably reduce the incidence of debt amount of the poorest households. As all the welfare measures involve value component i.e. life sustenance which was prevailing in the past, now it can be considered by the majority rule in a democratic state to eradicate poverty among all the classes of population.

FINDINGS OF WELFARE PROGRAMMES:

1) Bharat Dogra finds out that, “cash generated by development found spent on non – essential goods and even on the consumption of harmful goods, example liquor.” This implies that increased income is spent on harmful goods rather than food. Increase in income or expenditure has no guarantee to increased nutritional level of the poor people. And hence, no guarantee of poverty eradication.

2) Welfare measures are found very influenced to enrich nutritional level of the people concerned, because they involved interferences with food preferences of the people, hence in their case food preferences are imposed by the government through mid – day meals, noon – meals etc. on the concerned sections of the society, hence attained the objectives. However, the coverage of welfare measures is very limited to certain population of classes. These measures are also inadequate to tackle poverty because money or cash assistance given to the poor people may be spent on harmful consumption rather than necessities of life. however, welfare measures involve a value component and it can be alternative and ultimate solution to deal the problem of poverty.

To sum up, welfare (redistribution) programmes, all the residual programmes to a greater extent have failed to deal with the problem of poverty. The poverty eradication strategy used, into a greater extent ignored the adoption of ethical considerations, which were preserved in the past. Hence, an alternative humanistic strategy which involve value component should be prepared to deal the problem of poverty and to form a better society.

Suggestions:

1. The puzzle of defining poverty and fixig poverty line should be resolved, so that benefit of welfare programmes be accrued properly to the targeted groups of population.
2. The amount of rebate to the salesmen should be given regularly, so that they will survive to run the fair price shops.
3. Quantity of food – grains provided through Public Distribution System should be increased. So the people will be able to meet their survival needs.
4. Income transfer schemes should be discontinued as they have not effective in enhancing the life of targeted people in India.
5. Weight losses of food – grains should be avoided, so that the salesmen running the fair price shops will not accrue the losses.
6. Adequate quantity of food and non – food items should be provided.
7. PDS is very beneficial to uplift targeted groups of the people.
8. Food and non – food items should be supplied regularly and even by fixing the particular dates for collecting their supply and allocating them to the people.
9. List of BPL families should be updated.
10. Precaution should be taken to avoid weight losses for food and non – food items.
11. Rebate arrears should be released immediately and the said amount should be given to the sellers regularly to avoid other malpractices, if any.
12. Quality check mechanisms of food and non – food items should be made stronger by creating controls of non – governmental agencies / NGOs.

Conclusions: Income generating and assets creating programmes in India has limited scope to improve the life of masses as this income spend otherwise may not uplift poor in India. the welfare programmes like Public Distribution System, Mid – day meal programmes have an important role to improve nutritional status and life of children and poor people in India.
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