# A Distribution Power System with PI and PR Controller to Suppress Harmonic Resonance

Polepalle Sumanth Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Kurakula Vimala Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Thalluru Anil Kumar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.Tech Student, <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>3</sup>Professor <sup>1,2</sup>Dept. of EEE, JNTUA College of Engineering, Pulivendhula, Andhrapradesh, India <sup>3</sup>Dept. of EEE, CVSR College of Engineering, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Abstract-Here a distribution power system with pi &pr controller to suppress harmonic resonance is presented. Here, in the distribution power system a parallel connected filter operates as a harmonic conductance, which can reduce the resonance produced harmonically. Normally filter behaves as admittance instead of conductance because of phase lagging concept in Digital Signal Processing (DSP). As a result an unwanted harmonic resonance. To control the currents of a converters which are connected in a grid way the PI controllers are used. The PR controllers compensate the needed harmonics. At harmonic frequencies to assure that the filter used here functions as conductance, the band pass filters are connected in parallel and tuned to the specific value. By the obtained result it is clear that the presented work provides good damping than other control methods.

*Keywords:* Harmonic resonance Active filter, *PR controller*, resonant current control.

# 1. Introduction

Distortions which are caused harmonically are because of variable filters and inductance. To suppress this above problem hybrid active filter is used. In the Distribution power system various concerns are been received regarding distortion in voltage [1]-[6]. Filters are adapted to the issues on the harmonics. Hence, constant calibration is needed to check performance of filtering. To reduce a harmonic resonance a filters connected parallel are controlled as conductance. Thus the admittance worsens the performance of damping of filter [7]. Performance of damping of filters are studied when so many current controls are used and when different loads are utilized. Normally filter behaves as admittance instead of conductance because of phase lagging concept in Digital Signal Processing (DSP). As a result an unwanted harmonics may get amplified at some different location while starting the filter. Here, PI and PR controllers are used for the suppression of harmonic resonance [8]. The PR controller tracks the current introducing an infinite gain at a certain frequency (resonant frequency). To control the currents of a converters which are connected in a grid way the PI controllers are used. The PR controllers compensate the needed harmonics. At harmonic frequencies to assure that the filter used here functions as conductance, the band pass filters are connected in parallel and tuned to the specific value.

# 2. Operation Principle

Our proposed frame work is shown in figure 1. To diminish the harmonic resonance an Active Filter Unit (AFU) will be integrated close to the radial line end. For different harmonic frequencies the AFU operates as conductance which is given as

$$i_{abc,h}^* = \sum_h G_h^* \cdot E_{abc,h} \tag{1}$$

Where, h means order of frequency.

 $G_h^*$  is control gain to Voltage E<sub>abc,h</sub>.



Fig. 1 Filters and its associated controls

#### A. AFU control

Synchronous Reference Frame (SRF) transformation determines the voltage harmonics of different frequencies.



Fig. 2 Variable control of  $G_{h}^{*}$ 

As illustrated,  $G_h^*$  is determined on behalf of harmonic voltage distortion VD<sub>h</sub> at AFU installation point E<sub>abc</sub>, in which VD<sub>h</sub> is the ratio of harmonic voltage component Eh (rms value) to the voltage E (rms value) by



# B. Modeling of control

Nomenclature used in this section is given as:

- V<sub>sh</sub>(s): voltage harmonic at input point
- E<sub>h</sub>(s): voltage harmonic of filter at installation location
- Ih(s): current harmonic of filter
- $I_h^*(s)$ : command for current harmonic of active filter.



Fig. 3 Block diagram of Current control

 $sL_i$ 

Output Filter

C



Fig. 4 Block diagram of Voltage control

# 3. Harmonic Resonance

Here, to calculate the harmonic resonance a distributed parameter model is used. With the admittance  $Y_h$  a filter is equipped.

$$Y_h = |Y_h| < \theta_h \tag{5}$$

The magnification factor is given as

$$M_{h}(x) = \frac{|v_{h}(x)|}{|v_{s,h}|}$$
(6)

# A. Harmonic conductance

The figure shown below gives the details about magnification factor's of 5<sup>th</sup> & 7<sup>th</sup> harmonics for pure conductance i.e.,  $\theta=0^0$ .



#### B. Harmonic admittance

The figure shown below gives the details about magnification factor's of  $5^{\text{th}} \& 7^{\text{th}}$  harmonics modeled at admittance  $-45^{\circ}$ 



Fig. 6 Magnification factors of 5<sup>th</sup> &7<sup>th</sup> harmonics modeled for  $\theta$ = -45<sup>0</sup> The figure shown below gives the details about magnification factor's of 5<sup>th</sup> & 7<sup>th</sup> harmonics modeled at admittance -90<sup>0</sup>





Line voltage	11.4 kV
Line frequency	$60\mathrm{Hz}$
Feeder length	9 km
Line inductor	$1.55 \mathrm{mH}/km(4.5 \%)$
Line resistor	$0.36 \Omega/km(1.2 \%)$
Line capacitor	$22.7\mu{ m F}/km(11.1\%)$
Characteristic impedance, $Z_o$	$8.45\Omega$
Wavelength of $5^{th}$ harmonics, $\lambda_5$	17.8  km
Wavelength of $7^{th}$ harmonics, $\lambda_7$	$12.7 \ km$
	3φ 11.4 kV 10 MVA base

# 4. PR Control

According to the Fig. 8 beneath demonstrates the current system which is PR controlled. It is inverter yield value which is utilized as input,  $I_i^*$  is current reference &  $U_i^*$  is voltage reference.



410

Fig. 8 PR Current Control

In PR current controller  $G_{PR}(s)$  which is denoted as:

$$G_{PR}(s) = K_p + K_i \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega_0^2}$$

Where,  $K_p$  is proportionality gain term,  $K_I$  is integral gain term &  $\omega_0$  is full recurrence. The perfect thunderous term alone in the PR controller gives an endless pick up at the air conditioner recurrence  $\omega 0$  and no stage move and pick up at alternate frequencies. The  $K_P$  expression decides the elements of the framework; transmission capacity, stage and pick up edges [5]. Condition (6) speaks to a perfect PR controller which can give solidness issues on account of the unending addition. To maintain a strategic distance from these issues, the PR controller can be made non-perfect by presenting damping as appeared in (7) underneath.

$$G_{PR}(s) = K_p + K_i \frac{2\omega_c s}{s^2 + 2\omega_c s + \omega_0^2}$$

The gain of controller is presently limited however it is still sufficiently huge to give just a little consistent state mistake. This condition likewise makes the controller all the more effectively feasible in computerized frameworks because of their limited exactness.

# 5. Results

The Alternative Transient Program is performed to check the performance of harmonic damping.

- Power: 3phase, 220 Volts, 20 kilovolts ampere, 60 Hertz.
- Parameters of line: C= 13.7 percentage, L=3.1 percentage
- Loads: Linear loads are off at starting point and rated with 0.1 to 0.09 power units, where as non linear loads are developed using 3 phase bridge rectifiers and are rated with 0.25 power units.
- To implement an AFU an inverter of 3phase v/g source along with pulse wave modulator of freq. 10kiloHertz is used.



Fig. 9 Circuit design and its steady state results (a) Circuit design (b) AFU is off (c) AFU is on

#### A. Results of steady state

The above figure shows the distortion which can seen clearly at Bus1 & Bus2. It can be explained clearly in the figure given below.

TABLE II BASEVALUES			
Voltage base	$220\mathrm{V}$		
Current base	$52.5\mathrm{A}$		
Impedance base	$2.42\Omega$		
Conductance base	$0.413 \Omega^{-1}$		



Fig. 10 VD<sub>5</sub> & VD<sub>7</sub> of different busses at AFU

# Transient behavior

The figure below shows the distortions for transient response during AFU operation



#### B. loop analysis of Current

The figure below gives the information of loop analysis for bode plots of A.



Fig. 12 Current loop analyses for different Bode plots (a) Open-loop gain (b) Closed-loop gain.

The figure below shows the voltage levels for different current controls.



Fig. 13 Voltage level comparison TABLE III Results for G<sub>5</sub> & G<sub>7</sub>

	$G_5$	$G_7$	RMS current
$k_p=50$	1.89 pu	$1.04\mathrm{pu}$	7.8%
$k_p=25$	$3.39\mathrm{pu}$	0.90 pu	12%
$k_p=25, k_i=100$	1.14 pu	$1.28\mathrm{pu}$	6%

# C. Damping analysis of Voltage

The following figure shows the 7<sup>th</sup> harmonic voltage is decreased by conductance as AFU is on





	$G_5^*$	$G_7^*$
NLs at Bus 2,5	1.14 pu	$1.28\mathrm{pu}$
NLs at Bus 3,7	$1.19\mathrm{pu}$	$0.32\mathrm{pu}$
NLs at Bus 4,6	$3.15\mathrm{pu}$	$1.23\mathrm{pu}$

# 6. Conclusion

D.

According to harmonic distortion technique the filter is operated as harmonic conductance. As a result the distortions are lowered to the accepted value by the proper variations in distribution power system. Here, the resonant current control is utilized along with filter to mitigate the harmonics in resonance. An infinite gain has been introduced by the PR control at the central frequency and therefore can accomplish zero steady-state error. The PR controller tracks the current introducing an infinite gain at a certain frequency (resonant frequency). To control the currents of a converters which are connected in a grid way the PI controllers are used. The PR controllers compensate the needed harmonics. At harmonic frequencies to assure that the filter used here functions as conductance, the band pass filters are connected in parallel and tuned to the specific value. By the obtained result it is clear that the presented work provides good damping than other control methods.

#### www.ijcrt.org

# References

[1] W. K. Chang, W. M. Grady, and M. J. Samotyj, "Meeting IEEE-519 harmonic voltage and voltage distortion constraints with an active power line conditioner," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1531–1537, Jul. 1994.

[2] E. J. Currence, J. E. Plizga, and H. N. Nelson, "Harmonic resonance at a medium-sized industrial plant," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 682–690, May/Jun. 1995.

[3] H. Akagi, "Control strategy and site selection of a shunt active filter for damping of harmonic propagation in power distribution system," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 354–363, Jan. 1997.

[4] C.-H. Hu, C.-J. Wu, S.-S. Yen, Y.-W. Chen, B.-A. Wu, and J.-S. Hwang, "Survey of harmonic voltage and current at distribution substation in northern taiwan," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 354–363, July 1997.

[5] Y. D. Lee, C. S. Chen, C. T. Hsu, and H. S. Cheng, "Harmonic analysis for distribution system with dispersed generation systems," in International Conference on Power System Technology, 2006, pp. 1–6.

[6] V. Corasaniti, M. Barbieri, P. Arnera, and M. Valla, "Reactive and harmonics compensation in a medium voltage distribution network with active filters," in IEEE/ISIE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics, 2007, pp. 916–921.

[7] H. Akagi, H. Fujita, and K. Wada, "A shunt active filter based on voltage detection for harmonic termination of a radial power distribution line," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., pp. 638–645, May/Jun. 1999.

[8] K. Wada, H. Fujita, and H. Akagi, "Considerations of a shunt active filter based on voltage detection for installation on a long distribution feeder," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., pp. 1123–1130, Jul./Aug. 2002.

