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#### Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce a new subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution. Coefficient inequalities, growth and distortion inequalities as well as closure result are obtained. Properties of an integral operator and its inversed defined on the new class is also discussed.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

let $\sum$ denote the class of normalized meromorphic functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined on the punctured unit disk $\Delta^{*}:=\{z \in C: 0<|z|<1\}$. A function $f \in \sum$ is meromorphic starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if

$$
-\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad\left(z \in \Delta:=\Delta^{*} \cup\{0\}\right) .
$$

The class of all such functions is denoted by $\sum(\alpha)$. Similarly the class of convex functions of order $\alpha$ is defined. Let $\Sigma_{p}$ be the class of functions $f \in \sum$ with $f_{n} \geq 0$. The subclass of $\Sigma_{p}$ consisting of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ is denoted by $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$.
The following class $M R_{p}(\alpha)$ is related to the class of functions with positive real part :
$M R_{p}(\alpha):=\left\{f: \mathfrak{R}\left\{-z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>\alpha, \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)\right\}$.
In Definition 1.1 below, we unify these classes by using convolution.
The Hadamard product or convolution of two functions $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} g_{n} z^{n}
$$

Definition 1.1. Let $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $f \in \sum_{p}$ be given by (1.1) and $g(z) \in \sum_{p}$ be given by (1.2) and
$h(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n} z^{n}$.
Let $h_{n}, g_{n}$ be real and
$\left[g_{n}+(1-2 \alpha)\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}\right] \leq 0 \leq\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}-g_{n}\right]\right.$.

The class $\operatorname{Mp}(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$ is defined by
$M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)=\left\{f \in \sum_{p} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{\lambda(f * g)(z)+(1-\lambda)(f * h)(z)}\right)>\alpha\right\}$.
Of course, one can consider a more general class of functions satisfying the subordination:
$\left\{\frac{(f * g)(z)}{\lambda(f * g)(z)+(1-\lambda)(f * h)(z)}\right\} \prec h(z) \quad(z \in \Delta)$.
By specialzing the parameters in the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, we obtain the following known subclassses studied earlier by various researchers.

1. $M p(g, h, \alpha, 0) \equiv M p(g, h, \alpha)$ studied by Kumar et al. [1].
2. $M p\left(\frac{1}{z}-\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \frac{1}{z(1-z)}, \alpha, 0\right) \equiv \sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$ studied by Ravichardran [4].
3. $M p\left(\frac{1}{z}-\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \frac{1}{z(1-z)}, \alpha, 0\right) \equiv \sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$ studied by Ravichardran [4].

In the present paper, motivating with the above mentioned work and work of ([2], [3], [5])coefficient inequalities, growth and distortion inequalities, convolution, convex combination, integral operator for functions of the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
2. Coefficients Inequalities

First, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the function $f$ to be the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \sum_{p}$ be given by (1.1). Then $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$ if and only if
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n} \leq 1-\alpha$.
Proof. If $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{(f * g)(z)}{\lambda(f * g)(z)+(1-\lambda)(f * h)(z)}\right\}>\alpha \\
& \mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} g_{n} z^{n+1}}{\lambda\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} g_{n} z^{n+1}\right)+(1-\lambda)\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} h_{n} z^{n+1}\right)}\right\}>\alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

By letting $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we have
$\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} g_{n}}{\lambda\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} g_{n}\right)+(1-\lambda)\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} h_{n}\right)}\right\}>\alpha$.
This show that(2.1)
Conversely, assume that (2.1) holds. Since $\mathfrak{R}\{w\}>\alpha$, if and only if $|w-1|<|w+1-2 \alpha|$, it is sufficient to show that

$\left|\frac{(f * g)(z)-[\lambda(f * g)(z)+(1-\lambda)(f * h)(z)]}{(f * g)(z)+(1-2 \alpha)[\lambda(f * g)(z)+(1-\lambda)(f * h)(z)]}\right|$
$=\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}\left[h_{n}(1-\lambda)-g_{n}(1-\lambda)\right] z^{n+1}}{2(1-\alpha)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[g_{n}+(1-2 \alpha)\left\{\lambda g_{n}-(\lambda-1) h_{n}\right\}\right] f_{n} z^{n+1}}\right|$
$\leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}\left[h_{n}(1-\lambda)-g_{n}(1-\lambda)\right]}{2(1-\alpha)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[g_{n}+(1-2 \alpha)\left\{\lambda g_{n}-(\lambda-1) h_{n}\right\}\right] f_{n}}$
$\leq 1$.
Thus we have $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
If we put $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 2.1 then we obtain the following result of Kumar et al. [1].
Corollary 2.2. Let $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ be given by (1.1). The $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha)$, if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\alpha h_{n}-g_{n}\right) f_{n} \leq 1-\alpha$.
Theorem 2.3. If $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$. Then
$f_{n} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$
The result is sharp for the function $F_{n}(z)$ given by
$F_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{(1-\alpha) z^{n}}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$
Proof. If $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, then we have for each $n$,
$\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n} \leq 1-\alpha$.

Therefore we have
$f_{n} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n}}$.
Since
$F_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1-\alpha}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]} z^{n}$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.1, $F_{n}(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$ and the inequality is attained for this function.
Theorem 2.4. Let $\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{\downarrow} \leq\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}\right.$, if $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$. Then
$\left.\frac{1}{r}-\frac{(1-\alpha) r}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right\}} \leq f(z) \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{(1-\alpha) r}{r\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]}, \quad(|z|=r)$.
The result is sharp for
$f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{(1-\alpha)(z)}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]}$.
Proof. Since $f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}$ we have
$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} r^{n} \leq \frac{1}{r}+r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}$.
Since
$\left.\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]$,
we have
$\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right] f_{n} \leq 1-\alpha$ and therefore
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]}$.
Using this, we have
$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{(1-\alpha) r}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]}$.
Similarly

$|f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{r}-\frac{(1-\alpha) r}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]}$.
The result is sharp for
$f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{(1-\alpha) z}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]}$.
Similarly we have the following:
Theorem 2.5. Let
$\left.\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right] \leq \frac{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}{n}$.
If $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, then
$\frac{1}{r^{2}}-\frac{1-\alpha}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{r^{2}}-\frac{1-\alpha}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]} \quad\{|z|=r\}$.
The result is sharp for the function given by (2.2).

## 3. Closure Theorems

Let the functions $F_{k}(z)$ be given by
$F_{k}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n, k}(z) z^{n}, \quad k=1,2,3, ., m$.

We shall prove the following closure theorems for the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
Theorem 3.1. Let the function $F_{k}(z)$ defined by (3.1) be in the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$ for every $k=1,2,3, \ldots m$. Then the function $f(z)$ defined by
$f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(a_{n} \geq 0\right)$
belongs to the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, where $a_{n}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n, k} \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots$.$) .$
Proof. Since $F_{n}(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n, k} \leq 1-\alpha$ for every $k=1,2, \ldots, m$. Hence
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] a_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n, k}\right)$
$=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n, k}\right)$
$\leq 1-\alpha$.
By Theorem 2.1, it follows that $f(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
Theorem 3.2. The class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$ is closed under convex linear combination.
Proof. Let the function $F_{k}(z)$ given by (3.1) be in the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, then it is enough to show that the function
$H(z)=\lambda F_{1}(z)+(1-\lambda) F_{2}(z), \quad(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$ is also the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$. Since for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \quad H(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\lambda f_{n, 1}+(1-\lambda) f_{n, 2}\right] z^{n}$ we observe that
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]\left[\lambda f_{n, 1}+(1-\lambda) f_{n, 2}\right]$
$=\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n, 1}+(1-\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n, 2}$
$\leq 1-\alpha$.
By Theorem 2.1, we have $H(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $F_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{z}$ and $F_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1-\alpha}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] z^{n}}$, for $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3, \ldots$.
Then $f(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, if and only if $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form
$f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} F_{n}(z)$, where $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathrm{n}}=1$.
Proof. Let $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} F_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}(1-\alpha)}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]} z^{n}$.
Then
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} g_{n}+(1-\alpha)\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda_{n} g_{n}+\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda_{n} g_{n}+\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right](1-\alpha)}$
$=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}$

$=1-\lambda_{0} \leq 1$.
By Theorem 2.1, we have $f(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
Conversely, let $f(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$. From Theorem 2.3 we have
$f_{n} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}-g_{n}\right]\right.}$, for $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3$,. we may take
$\gamma_{n}=\frac{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}-g_{n}\right]\right.}{1-\alpha}$ for $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3$, and $\lambda_{0}=1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}$.
Then $f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} F_{n}(z)$.

## 4. Integral Operators

In this section, we consider integral transform of functions in the class $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
Theorem 4.1. Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$. Then the integral operator
$F(z)=c \int_{0}^{1} u^{c} f(u z) d u \quad(0<u \leq 1,0<c<\infty)$
is in $\operatorname{Mp}(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, where
$\delta=\frac{(c+2)\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]+(1-\alpha) c g_{1}}{c\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]+(1-\alpha)(c+2) g_{1}}$.
The result is sharp for the function $f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{(1-\alpha) z}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{1}+(1-\lambda) h_{1}\right\}-g_{1}\right]}$.
Proof. Let $f(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$. Then
$F(z)=c \int_{0}^{1} u^{c} f(u z) d u$
$F(z)=c \int_{0}^{1} u^{c}\left[\frac{1}{u z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u^{n} z^{n} f_{n}\right] d u$
$F(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c}{(c+n+1)} f_{n} z^{n}$.
It is sufficient to show that
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c\left[\delta\left\{g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n}}{(c+n+1)(1-\delta)} \leq 1$.
Since $f(z) \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, we have
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\alpha\left\{-\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}{1-\alpha} \leq 1$.
Note that (4.1) satisfied if
$\frac{c\left[\delta\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}{(c+n+1)(1-\delta)} \leq \frac{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}{1-\alpha}$.
Re writing the inequality, we have
$c\left[\delta\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right](1-\alpha)$
$\leq(c+n+1)(1-\delta)\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]$
$\left[\delta c\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-c g_{n}\right](1-\alpha)$
$\leq(c+n+1)(1-\delta) \alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}$.
Solving for $\delta$ we have
$\delta \leq \frac{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right](c+n+1)+c g_{n}(1-\alpha)}{c h_{n}(1-\alpha)+\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right](c+n+1)}$
$=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{n})$
A computation show that
$F(n+1)-F(n)$
$\frac{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n+1}+(1-\lambda) h_{n+1}\right\}-g_{n+1}\right](c+n+1)+c g_{n+1}(1-\alpha)}{c h_{n+1}(1-\alpha)+\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n+1}+(1-\lambda) h_{n+1}\right\}-g_{n+1}\right](c+n+2)}$
$-\frac{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n+1}\right](c+n+1)+c g_{n}(1-\alpha)}{c h_{n}(1-\alpha)+\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right](c+n+1)}$
$=\frac{(1-\alpha) c\left[(1-\alpha)(n+1) g_{n} h_{n+1}+\left(h_{n}-g_{n}\right)\left(\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right)\right]}{\left[c h_{n}(1-\alpha)+\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right](c+n+1)\right]}$

$$
\left[\left(c h_{n+1}(1-\alpha)\right)+\left(\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n+1}+(1-\lambda) h_{n+1}\right\}-g_{n+1}\right)(c+n+2)\right]
$$

$>0$

for all $n$. This means that $F(n)$ is increasing and $F(n) \geq F(1)$. Using this, the result follows.
If we put $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 4.1 then we obtain the following result of Kumar et al. [1].
Corollary 4.2. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in $\Sigma_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$. Then the integral operator
$F(z)=c \int_{0}^{1} u^{c} f(u z) d u, \quad(0,<u \leq 1,=0<c<\infty)$
is in $\Sigma_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$., where $\delta=\frac{1+\alpha+c \alpha}{1+\alpha+c}$. The result is sharp for the function
$f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha)} z$.
Also, we have the following.
Corollary 4.3. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in $M R_{p}(\alpha)$. Then the integral operator
$F(z)=c \int_{0}^{1} u^{c} f(u z) d u, \quad(0,<u \leq 1,0<c<\infty)$
is in $\operatorname{MRp}\left(\frac{2+c \alpha}{c+2}\right)$. The result is sharp for the function $f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+(1-\alpha) z$.
Theorem 4.4. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1), be in $M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$.
$F(z)=\frac{1}{c}\left[(c+1) f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)\right]=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c+n+1}{c} f_{n} z^{n}, c>0$.

Then $F(z)$ is in $M p(g, h, \beta, \lambda)$ for $|z| \leq r(\alpha, \beta)$, where
$r(\alpha, \beta)=\inf _{n}\left(\frac{c(1-\beta)\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}{(1-\alpha)(c+n+1)\left[\beta\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}\right)^{1 / n+1}, n=1,2,3 \ldots$
The results is sharp the function $f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{(1-\alpha) z^{n}}{\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}, n=1,2,3 \ldots$.
Proof. Let $w=\frac{(f * g)(z)}{\lambda(f * g)(z)+(1-\lambda)(f * h)(z)}$.
Then it is sufficient to show that
$\left|\frac{w-1}{w+1-2 \beta}\right|<1$.
A computation shows that this is satisfied if
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left.\left.\beta\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n}\right]}{(1-\beta) c}|z|^{n+1} f_{n} \leq 1$.
Since $f \in M p(g, h, \alpha, \lambda)$, by Theorem 2.1, we have
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right] f_{n} \leq 1-\alpha$.
The equation (4.2) is satisfies if
$\frac{\left[\beta\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}{(1-\beta) c} f_{n}|z|^{n+1} \leq \frac{\left\{\alpha\left\{\lambda g_{n}+(1-\lambda) h_{n}\right\}-g_{n}\right]}{1-\alpha}$
Solving for $|z|$,we get the result.
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