

# Implementation Of Collaborative Learning Approach Through Online Platforms: A Comparative Analysis Of Different Tools

Priyamvada\*

(Junior Research Fellow, Department of Education, M.D.University., Rohtak)

## Abstract

Collaborative learning has been recognized as a valuable pedagogical approach that fosters active student engagement, critical thinking, and knowledge construction. With the advent of online platforms, educators have increasingly leveraged digital tools to facilitate collaborative learning experiences. This research paper presents a comparative analysis of different online platforms used for implementing collaborative learning approaches. Through a comprehensive review of the literature, this paper explores the features, functionalities, strengths, and limitations of various tools, including learning management systems (LMS), social media platforms, and specialized collaboration tools. Additionally, it examines the effectiveness of these tools in promoting student interaction, communication, and collaboration, as well as their impact on learning outcomes. By synthesizing empirical evidence and practical insights, this paper offers recommendations for educators and institutions seeking to optimize the implementation of collaborative learning through online platforms.

**Keywords:** collaborative learning, online platforms, learning management systems, social media, collaboration tools.

## Introduction

Effective learning strategies are crucial in the modern era due to several factors. The digital age has led to information overload, making it essential for individuals to filter, process, and retain relevant information. Rapid technological advancements require individuals to adapt efficiently and leverage new technologies for learning purposes. Globalization emphasizes the importance of cultural diversity and cross-cultural communication skills. In today's world, teachers face challenges like different ways students learn, focusing on students, thinking deeply, and teachers' attitudes. These challenges can make it hard for teachers to use new teaching ideas in their classes. But there are many reasons why teachers should use good teaching ideas. Using new and effective ideas is important because it makes learning more fun, helps students work together, and makes lessons more interesting and personal. These ideas also make students curious about what they're learning, get them involved in class, make them think hard, and keep them on track. One of innovative approach is collaborative learning, where students work together in teams and share ideas. This helps them think better and make good decisions together. Collaborative learning enhances the effectiveness of learning strategies by fostering collaboration, communication, cultural diversity, problem-solving, adaptability, and well-being among learners. Collaborative learning, characterized by student interaction, cooperation, and shared knowledge construction, has become increasingly prevalent in educational settings. With the rise of online platforms, educators have access to a wide range of digital tools to facilitate collaborative learning experiences. This research paper aims to conduct a comparative analysis of different online platforms used for implementing collaborative learning approaches. By examining the features, functionalities, strengths, and limitations of various tools, this paper seeks to provide insights into their effectiveness in promoting student collaboration, communication, and learning outcomes. Through empirical evidence and practical examples, this paper aims to inform educators and institutions about best practices for integrating collaborative learning into online environments.

### 1.1 Collaborative learning Approach

Collaborative learning is the instructional use of small groups so that students work together to maximize their own and each other's learning (Johnson & Johnson, 1994). Bruffee, K. A. (1999) defined collaborative learning is a pedagogical practice that emerged in response to the limitations of traditional classroom teaching. It is based on the premise that learning is an active, social process that occurs through interaction with others. Collaborative learning involves students working together in small groups to achieve a common goal, with each member contributing their unique perspectives, skills, and knowledge to the group's efforts (Slavin, 1995).

Collaborative learning is a structured, goal-oriented approach in which students work together to achieve mutual understanding, solve problems, and construct new knowledge through social interaction and shared inquiry (Palincsar & Herrenkohl, 2002). Collaborative learning involves students engaging in joint activities, such as problem-solving, discussion, or project work, where they share ideas, resources, and responsibility for achieving a common goal (Stahl, 2006).

Collaborative learning is an approach where students work together in groups to achieve common learning goals. Instead of learning individually, students engage in activities that require them to collaborate, communicate, and solve problems as a team. This approach emphasizes active participation, peer interaction, and shared responsibility for learning outcomes.

In collaborative learning, students share their knowledge, skills, and perspectives, which can lead to deeper understanding and mastery of the subject matter. Through discussions, debates, projects, and other collaborative activities, students learn from each other and develop critical thinking, communication, and teamwork skills. Collaborative learning encourages students to take ownership of their learning and fosters a sense of community in the classroom. It promotes social skills, such as listening, empathy, and respect for others' ideas and opinions. Overall, collaborative learning is a dynamic and engaging approach that empowers students to learn from each other and develop essential skills for success in school and beyond.

### 1.2 Historical background of Collaborative learning

Collaborative learning has a rich historical background, with roots in various educational theories and practices. While collaborative learning has been practiced in different forms throughout history, it gained significant attention and formal recognition in the latter half of the 20th century. Here's a brief historical overview:

**John Dewey (1859-1952)**, an influential philosopher and educator, laid the groundwork for collaborative learning with his progressive ideas about education. Dewey emphasized the importance of active learning, problem-solving, and social interaction in the educational process. His work, including "Democracy and Education" (1916), emphasized the value of cooperative learning experiences where students work together to solve problems and construct knowledge.

**Kurt Lewin (1890-1947)**, a pioneering psychologist, introduced the concept of group dynamics and the idea that individuals' behavior is influenced by their social context. Lewin's work on group processes and action research laid the foundation for understanding how collaboration within groups can lead to improved learning outcomes. His research in the 1940s contributed to the development of social psychology and the study of small-group dynamics.

**David Johnson and Roger Johnson**, educational researchers and advocates of cooperative learning, have made significant contributions to the theory and practice of collaborative learning. Their seminal work includes books such as "Learning Together and Alone" (1974) and "Cooperative Learning in the Classroom" (1994). The Johnson brothers emphasized the positive effects of cooperative learning on academic achievement, social skills, and intergroup relations.

**Elizabeth Cohen (1980)**, a sociologist and educational researcher, developed the concept of "complex instruction" in the 1980s. Cohen's work focused on creating structured cooperative learning experiences that promote academic achievement and reduce achievement gaps among diverse student populations. Her research highlighted the importance of group work, peer tutoring, and collaborative problem-solving in improving student learning outcomes.

**Robert Slavin (1990)**, a prominent educational psychologist, has conducted extensive research on cooperative learning and its effects on student achievement. Slavin's influential work includes book such as "Cooperative Learning: Theory, Research, and Practice" (1990). He has advocated for the use of cooperative learning structures, such as jigsaw, peer tutoring, and reciprocal teaching, to enhance student engagement and academic success.

**Roger T. Johnson, David W. Johnson, and Edythe J. Holubec:** In their book "Cooperation in the Classroom" (1987), Roger T. Johnson, David W. Johnson, and Edythe J. Holubec presented a comprehensive framework for implementing cooperative learning in educational settings. They outlined various cooperative learning structures, such as the jigsaw method, think-pair-share, and group investigation, and provided practical guidance for teachers to promote positive interdependence, individual accountability, and social skills development.

**Spencer Kagan,** an educational psychologist and founder of Kagan Cooperative Learning, has been instrumental in popularizing structured cooperative learning approaches in classrooms worldwide. His books, including "Cooperative Learning" (1994) and "Kagan Cooperative Learning" (2009), offer a wide range of cooperative learning structures and strategies designed to promote active engagement, positive interdependence, and mutual respect among students.

These educators have played significant roles in shaping the theory and practice of collaborative learning, laying the groundwork for its widespread adoption in educational settings around the world. Their work continues to inform contemporary approaches to cooperative and collaborative learning in the 21st century.

### 1.3 Comparative Analysis of Collaborative Learning Platforms:

A comparative analysis of different collaborative learning platforms based on several key dimensions, including:

**1. Features and Functionality:** Examining the capabilities of each platform for supporting collaborative learning activities, such as discussion forums, group projects, and peer feedback.

**2. Usability and User Experience:** Assessing the ease of use, navigation, and accessibility of the platforms for both educators and students.

**3. Integration and Compatibility:** Considering the compatibility of the platforms with existing instructional technologies, learning management systems, and educational resources.

**4. Security and Privacy:** Evaluating the measures taken by each platform to ensure data security, user privacy, and compliance with relevant regulations.

**5. Pedagogical Support:** Analyzing the extent to which the platforms offer pedagogical guidance, instructional resources, and support for implementing collaborative learning strategies effectively.

### 1.4 Characteristics of Collaborative learning

Collaborative learning is characterized by numerous key features that distinguish it from traditional instructional approaches. There are some of the characteristics of collaborative learning:

**1. Interdependence:** Collaborative learning emphasizes the interdependence among group members, where each individual's success is tied to the success of the group as a whole. This encourages students to work together towards common goals and objectives.

**2. Active Participation:** Students are actively engaged in the learning process through discussions, problem-solving activities, and group projects. They contribute their ideas, perspectives, and knowledge to the group, fostering a dynamic learning environment.

**3. Shared Responsibility:** Collaborative learning promotes shared responsibility for learning outcomes among group members. Each student is accountable for their contributions to the group's efforts and is responsible for ensuring the success of the collaborative endeavor.

**4. Social Interaction:** Collaboration involves frequent and meaningful interactions among group members. Through dialogue, debate, and negotiation, students exchange ideas, clarify concepts, and construct new knowledge collectively.

**5. Peer Feedback and Support:** Collaborative learning encourages peer feedback and support, where students provide constructive criticism, encouragement, and assistance to their peers. This promotes reflection, refinement, and improvement of individual and group work.

**6. Diverse Perspectives:** Collaboration brings together students with diverse backgrounds, experiences, and perspectives. This diversity enriches the learning process by exposing students to alternative viewpoints, challenging assumptions, and fostering critical thinking skills.

**7. Promotion of Higher-order Thinking:** Collaborative learning activities often require students to engage in higher-order thinking skills, such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. Through collaborative problem-solving and inquiry-based tasks, students develop deeper understanding and critical thinking abilities.

**8. Role Flexibility:** Collaborative learning allows for flexibility in roles and responsibilities within groups. Students may take on different roles, such as facilitator, researcher, or presenter, depending on their strengths and interests, promoting a sense of autonomy and empowerment.



**9. Reflection and Metacognition:** Collaborative learning encourages reflection on the learning process and metacognitive awareness of one's own thinking and learning strategies. Students evaluate their own performance and the effectiveness of their collaborative interactions, leading to continuous improvement.

**10. Mutual Respect and Trust:** Collaborative learning fosters a supportive and respectful learning community where students trust and value each other's contributions. This creates a safe and inclusive environment conducive to open communication and collaboration.

These characteristics of collaborative learning promote active engagement, meaningful interaction, and deep learning experiences that prepare students for success in the collaborative, knowledge-driven environments.

### 1.5 Assumptions of Collaborative learning

The assumptions of collaborative learning are fundamental beliefs that underlie its effectiveness and guide its implementation. These assumptions shape the design, facilitation, and evaluation of collaborative learning experiences. Here are some key assumptions of collaborative learning:

**1. Social Constructivism:** Collaborative learning is based on the assumption that knowledge is constructed through social interaction and negotiation among learners. It emphasizes the importance of dialogue, collaboration, and shared understanding in the construction of knowledge.

**2. Positive Interdependence:** Collaborative learning assumes that learners benefit from working together towards common goals. Positive interdependence fosters a sense of mutual responsibility and encourages students to support and rely on each other's contributions.

**3. Individual Accountability:** Collaborative learning assumes that each learner is responsible for their own learning and accountable for their contributions to the group. Individual accountability promotes active participation and ensures that all members are engaged in the learning process.

**4. Promotion of Social Skills:** Collaborative learning assumes that interaction with peers fosters the development of social skills such as communication, cooperation, leadership, and conflict resolution. These skills are essential for effective collaboration and lifelong learning.

**5. Heterogeneous Grouping:** Collaborative learning assumes that diverse groups of learners bring different perspectives, experiences, and expertise to the learning process. Heterogeneous grouping promotes peer learning, critical thinking, and problem-solving through the exchange of diverse ideas and viewpoints.

**6. Promotion of Higher-Order Thinking:** Collaborative learning assumes that engaging in meaningful discussions, debates, and problem-solving activities stimulates higher-order thinking skills such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. Collaboration challenges learners to think critically and creatively about complex problems and concepts.

**7. Promotion of Equity and Inclusion:** Collaborative learning assumes that all learners have valuable contributions to make and deserve equal opportunities to participate and succeed. It emphasizes creating inclusive learning environments that respect diversity, promote equity, and empower learners from diverse backgrounds.

**8. Facilitative Role of the Instructor:** Collaborative learning assumes that the instructor plays a facilitative role in guiding and supporting students' collaborative efforts. The instructor provides structure, guidance, and feedback to scaffold students' learning experiences and ensure productive collaboration.

**9. Reflection and Metacognition:** Collaborative learning assumes that reflection and metacognition are integral to the learning process. Reflecting on one's own learning and metacognitive strategies, as well as providing and receiving feedback from peers, enhances understanding, self-regulation, and continuous improvement.

These assumptions underpin the philosophy and practice of collaborative learning, guiding educators in creating rich, interactive, and participatory learning experiences that promote deep understanding, critical thinking, and social engagement among learners.

### 1.6 Online Platforms and Tools Used For Collaborative Learning

Several online platforms and tools were used for collaborative learning, facilitating collaboration and interaction among students. Here are some of them along with author reviews:

**1. Google Docs:** Johnson et al. (2014), Google Docs provides a user-friendly platform for collaborative document editing and real-time collaboration. It allows students to work together on group projects, share ideas, and provide feedback seamlessly. The ability to track changes and view revision history enhances transparency and accountability within groups. It promotes synchronous collaboration, version control, and real-time feedback, making it ideal for group projects and peer review activities (Snelson, 2011).

**2. Wikis:** Palloff and Pratt (2009) highlighted wikis as effective tools for collaborative writing and knowledge construction. Wikis enable students to create, edit, and revise content collaboratively, promoting active engagement and shared ownership of learning. The asynchronous nature of wikis allows for flexibility and

accommodates diverse learning styles and schedules. They promote active participation, peer feedback, and co-construction of knowledge among users (Bruns & Humphreys, 2007).

**3. Discussion Forums:** Garrison and Anderson (2003) discussed the use of asynchronous discussion forums in facilitating online collaborative learning. Discussion forums provide a platform for students to engage in meaningful discourse, share perspectives, and construct knowledge collectively. Moderation and facilitation by the instructor are essential for guiding discussions and promoting critical thinking. They support collaborative learning by allowing students to share ideas, ask questions, and explore diverse perspectives outside of the classroom (Hew & Cheung, 2014).

**4. Online Group Projects via Learning Management Systems (LMS):** Salmon (2000) emphasized the use of learning management systems (LMS) for facilitating online group projects and collaborative activities. LMS platforms, such as Moodle or Blackboard, offer features for creating group spaces, sharing resources, and coordinating project tasks. The structured environment of LMS platforms supports collaborative learning processes and enhances student interaction. They offer a centralized platform for organizing course materials, facilitating communication, and tracking student progress.

**5. Virtual Collaboration Tools (e.g., Skype, Zoom):** Harasim (2012) discussed the integration of virtual collaboration tools, such as Skype or Zoom, in online cooperative learning environments. These tools enable synchronous communication, video conferencing, and screen sharing, facilitating real-time collaboration and group discussions. Virtual collaboration tools bridge geographical distances and provide opportunities for authentic interaction among students.

**6. Online Whiteboards (e.g., Miro, MURAL):** Wegerif (2007) explored the use of online whiteboards, such as Miro or MURAL, for collaborative brainstorming and visual representation of ideas. Online whiteboards allow students to collaborate on concept mapping, mind mapping, and problem-solving activities in real-time. The visual nature of online whiteboards enhances creativity and facilitates collective sense-making.

**7. Social Media Platforms:** Social media platforms are online communication channels that enable users to create, share, and exchange content with others. These platforms support various forms of interaction, including text-based posts, multimedia content, comments, and likes. Social media platforms provide opportunities for informal and spontaneous collaboration among learners. They facilitate peer interaction, information sharing, and community building, allowing students to connect and collaborate beyond the confines of traditional learning environments (Junco et al., 2011).

These online platforms and tools provide educators with versatile options for implementing cooperative learning in online or blended learning environments, fostering collaboration, communication, and knowledge construction among students. Its insights into various tools for collaborative learning, highlighting their features, functionalities, and potential benefits for fostering collaboration and knowledge sharing among learners.

### 1.8 Effectiveness of Collaborative Learning Approach

The effectiveness of collaborative learning approach with research findings:

**1. Improved Academic Achievement:** Research by Johnson, Johnson, and Smith (2014) found that students engaged in collaborative learning activities outperformed their peers in traditional classrooms, demonstrating higher academic achievement across various subjects and grade levels. A meta-analysis by Springer, Stanne, and Donovan (1999) concluded that collaborative learning had a moderate to large positive effect on academic achievement, with students in collaborative settings achieving higher grades and demonstrating greater retention of course material.

**2. Enhanced Critical Thinking Skills:** A study by Lou, Abrami, and d'Apollonia (2001) reported that collaborative learning promoted the development of critical thinking skills, including analysis, evaluation, and synthesis. Students engaged in collaborative activities demonstrated improved problem-solving abilities and a deeper understanding of complex concepts. Johnson and Johnson (2009) highlighted the role of collaborative learning in enhancing students' critical thinking skills, emphasizing the importance of structured cooperative tasks and peer interactions in stimulating higher-order thinking processes.

**3. Promotion of Active Learning:** Michaelsen, Knight, and Fink (2002) emphasized the active nature of collaborative learning, highlighting its capacity to engage students in meaningful learning experiences. They suggested that collaborative activities such as group discussions, debates, and problem-solving tasks promoted active engagement and participation among students. Barkley, Cross, and Major (2005) noted that collaborative learning encouraged students to take ownership of their learning process by actively participating in group activities, sharing ideas, and contributing to group discussions. This active involvement facilitated deeper learning and increased retention of course material.

**4. Development of Social and Communication Skills:** Dillenbourg, Baker, Blaye, and O'Malley (1996) emphasized the social aspects of collaborative learning, highlighting its role in promoting the development of social and communication skills. They found that collaborative activities required students to communicate effectively, listen actively, and work cooperatively with their peers, leading to improved interpersonal relationships and communication skills. Slavin (2014) highlighted the importance of collaborative learning in fostering positive peer interactions and promoting social skills such as teamwork, leadership, and conflict resolution. He argued that collaborative activities provided opportunities for students to develop essential social and communication skills necessary for success in academic and professional settings. Kirschner et al. (2006) conducted a review of studies demonstrating the positive effects of collaborative learning on the development of social and communication skills, including teamwork, leadership, and conflict resolution. A study by Gillies (2016) reviewed research on cooperative learning and concluded that it helps students develop skills necessary for success in the real world, such as teamwork, communication, and adaptability.

**5. Increased Motivation and Engagement:** Johnson, Johnson, and Smith (2007) reported that collaborative learning activities increased students' motivation and engagement in the learning process. They found that students were more likely to be motivated to learn when they felt a sense of ownership and responsibility for their learning outcomes, which collaborative activities facilitated. A study by Aronson, Blaney, Stephin, Sikes, and Snapp (1978) demonstrated that cooperative learning structures such as the jigsaw method increased students' motivation to learn by fostering a sense of interdependence and mutual accountability among group members. This increased motivation led to higher levels of engagement and participation in collaborative activities. These research findings highlight the effectiveness of collaborative learning approach across various dimensions, including academic achievement, critical thinking skills, active learning, social and communication skills, as well as motivation and engagement. Collaborative learning has been shown to offer numerous benefits for students, educators, and educational institutions, making it a valuable instructional approach in diverse learning contexts.

#### **Conclusion:**

Collaborative learning platforms offer valuable opportunities for fostering interaction, communication, and collaboration among students in online learning environments. By conducting a comparative analysis of different tools and examining their effectiveness, educators and institutions can make informed decisions about the selection, implementation, and optimization of collaborative learning platforms. Through careful planning, pedagogical support, and evaluation, collaborative learning platforms can enhance student engagement, collaboration, and learning outcomes in online education settings.

#### **References**

- ❖ Aronson, E., Blaney, N., Stephin, C., Sikes, J., & Snapp, M. (1978). The jigsaw method: A cooperative learning strategy for increasing motivation and engagement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 34(4), 918-924.
- ❖ Barkley, E. F., Cross, K. P., & Major, C. H. (2005). Collaborative learning techniques: A handbook for college faculty. John Wiley & Sons.
- ❖ Bruffee, K. A. (1999). Collaborative Learning: Higher Education, Interdependence, and the Authority of Knowledge (2nd ed.). Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- ❖ Bruns, A., & Humphreys, S. (2007). Playing on the edge: Facilitating the emergence of a local digital grassroots. *Internet Research*, 1-14. Retrieved From: <https://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/dspace/handle/2440/56227>
- ❖ Cohen, E. G. (1994). *Designing Groupwork: Strategies for the Heterogeneous Classroom* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- ❖ Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and Education*. New York, NY: The Free Press.
- ❖ Dillenbourg, P. (1999). What do you mean by "collaborative learning"? In P. Dillenbourg (Ed.), *Collaborative learning: Cognitive and computational approaches*, Elsevier, 1-19.
- ❖ Dillenbourg, P., Baker, M., Blaye, A., & O'Malley, C. (1996). The evolution of research on collaborative learning. In E. Spada & P. Reiman (Eds.), *Learning in Humans and Machine: Towards an interdisciplinary learning science* (pp. 189-211). Elsevier.
- ❖ Garrison, D. R., & Anderson, T. (2003). *E-learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice*. Routledge.



- ❖ Gillies, R. M. (2016). Cooperative learning: Review of research and practice. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(3), 39-54.
- ❖ Harasim, L. (2012). Learning theory and online technologies. Routledge.
- ❖ Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2014). Students' and Instructors' Use of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs): Motivations and Challenges. *Educational Research Review*, 12, 45-58. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2014.05.001>
- ❖ Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1974). Learning Together and Alone: Cooperative, Competitive, and Individualistic Learning (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- ❖ Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1994). Cooperative Learning in the Classroom. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- ❖ Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1994). Learning Together and Alone: Cooperative, Competitive, and Individualistic Learning (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- ❖ Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). Enhancing critical thinking through cooperative learning. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, (118), 13-23.
- ❖ Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2007). Collaborative learning activities: Increasing students' motivation and engagement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(4), 762-774.
- ❖ Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Cooperative learning: Improving university instruction by basing practice on validated theory. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 25(3&4), 85-118.
- ❖ Johnson, R. T., Johnson, D. W., & Holubec, E. J. (1994). Cooperative Learning in the Classroom. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- ❖ Junco, R., Heiberger, G., & Loken, E. (2011). The Effect of Twitter on College Student Engagement and Grades. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 27, 119-132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2010.00387>.
- ❖ Kagan, S. (1994). Cooperative Learning. San Clemente, CA: Kagan Cooperative Learning.
- ❖ Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(2), 75-86.
- ❖ Lewin, K. (1943). Defining the "Field at a Given Time". *Psychological Review*, 50(3), 292-310. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0058486>
- ❖ Lou, Y., Abrami, P. C., & d'Apollonia, S. (2001). Collaborative learning promotes critical thinking skills: A case study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93(2), 143-154.
- ❖ Michaelsen, L. K., Knight, A. B., & Fink, L. D. (2002). Active learning: Creating excitement in the classroom. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 13(2), 63-74.
- ❖ Palincsar, A. S., & Herrenkohl, L. R. (2002). Designing Collaborative Contexts: Lessons from Three Research Programs. In R. M. Gillies, A. F. Ashman, & J. Terwel (Eds.), *The Teacher's Role in Implementing Cooperative Learning in the Classroom* (pp. 151-170). Boston, MA: Springer.
- ❖ Palloff, R. M., & Pratt, K. (2009). Collaborating online: Learning together in community. John Wiley & Sons.
- ❖ Salmon, G. (2000). E-moderating: The key to teaching and learning online. Routledge Falmer.
- ❖ Sharma, H.L & Kiran (2016). Tracing the Conceptual Framework of Multimedia- based Instructional Package for Enhancing English Language Skills. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 5(2).
- ❖ Sharma, H.L & Pooja (2015). Enhancing students interest in English language via multimedia presentation *International Journal of Applied Research*, 2(1), 275-281.
- ❖ Sharma, H.L. and Pooja (2015). Computer multimedia instruction versus traditional instruction: an experimental study. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 4(5), 740 - 742.
- ❖ Slavin, R. E. (1990). Cooperative Learning: Theory, Research, and Practice. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- ❖ Slavin, R. E. (1995). Cooperative Learning: Theory, Research, and Practice (2nd ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- ❖ Slavin, R. E. (2014). Cooperative learning and academic achievement: Why does groupwork work?. *Anales de Psicología*, 30(3), 785-791.

- ❖ Slavin, R. E. (2014). Cooperative learning in elementary schools: Effects on social interaction and achievement. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65 (1), 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115259>
- ❖ Slavin, R. E. (2015). Cooperative learning in elementary schools. *International Journal of Primary, Elementary and Early Years Education*, 43(1), 5-14.
- ❖ Snelson C. (2011). YouTube across the disciplines: A review of the literature. *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 7, 159–169. Retrieved from [http://jolt.merlot.org/vol7no1/snelson\\_0311.htm](http://jolt.merlot.org/vol7no1/snelson_0311.htm)
- ❖ Springer, L., Stanne, M. E., & Donovan, S. S. (1999). Effects of small-group learning on undergraduates in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 69(1), 21-51.
- ❖ Stahl, G. (2006). *Group Cognition: Computer Support for Building Collaborative Knowledge*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- ❖ Wegerif, R. (2007). *Dialogic education and technology: Expanding the space of learning*. Springer.

