IJCRT.ORG





"Analysis of 23-Floor Tall Building by using Approximate Analysis Method"

Mr. Ujwal Bhongade¹, Dr. Abhijeet Wadekar², Dr. Rahul Pandit³

- 1. Student of Master of Engineering (Structure), P.E.S. COE, Aurangabad (MH)
- 2. Department of Civil Engineering, Principal P.E.S. COE, Aurangabad (MH)
- 3. Department of Civil Engineering, Assistant Professor P.E.S. COE, Aurangabad (MH)

Abstract: Rapid progress in population calls for the increase in necessity of structures for living. Under the changed circumstances, the vertical growth of buildings i.e. constructions of multi-storeyed buildings has become inevitable both for residential and as well as office purposes. In order to compete in the ever growing competent market it is very important for a structural engineer to save time. As a sequel to this an attempt is made to analyze and design a Multi-storeyed building by using a software package such as STAAD-PRO, ETABS are very costly. In this study approximate analysis is presented for multistory building structures. The building is stiffened by an arbitrary combination of lateral load-resisting subsystems (shear walls, frames). The analysis is based on the approximate method (Vertical Load method, Portal Frame method, Cantilever Method, Substitute Frame Method). Approximate methods are useful in preliminary design, and also provide the analyst and the designer with a rapid means of rough checking "exact" solutions. The approximate analysis of building frames for vertical loads is covered in several papers. The intent of this paper is to review an approximate analysis of building frames for vertical loads.

Key Words: Vertical load method, Portal Frame Method, Cantilever Method, Spilt Frame Method, KBS, Storey wise Summation.

1. **INTRODUCTION:** Approximate methods are useful in preliminary design and also provide the analyst and the designers with a rapid means of rough checking "exact" solutions. The approximate analysis of building frames for vertical loads is covered in several papers. The current trend towards taller buildings and lighter forms of construction has brought to light a number of difficulties in the design of tall structures. Architectural and other requirements lead to a form of construction with a spine or core and with perimeter columns, or structural mullions, and a floor slab spanning between. In contrast to vertical loading, lateral load effects on a building increase exponentially with increase in its height. During the last four decades engineers have developed several new framing schemes for tall buildings in order to minimize the material used. In general, frame tube structures are widely accepted as an economical system in high rise buildings over a wide range of building heights.

2. METHODOLOGY:

1. Introduction: A residential G+23 storey building has been used for the study. The same plan is used for the analysis by approximate method and by STAAD-Pro. The structural system is taken as Rigid Frame system, with the following parameter as shown in Table no. 1 below.

The approximate analysis and Staad-Pro analysis are compare with respect to various parameter. The structure were subjected to static earthquake excitation. The different parameters upon seismic loading are compared below.

2. Seismic weight: The seismic weight of building is the sum of seismic weight of all the floors. The seismic weight of each floor is its full dead load plus appropriate amount of imposed load, the latter being that part of the imposed loads which may reasonably be expected to be attached to the structure at the time of earthquake shaking. It includes the weight of permanent and movable partitions, permanent equipment, a part of the live load, etc.

Table No.1 Parameters and design data for G+23 Floor Tall Building

| Parameter Consideration | Value | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|
| Live Load | 4 KN/Sq.M | | |
| Live Load at Terrace | 1.5 KN/Sq.M | | |
| Floor Finish Load / Water proofing load | 1.5 KN/Sq.M | | |
| Thickness of Shear Wall External | 230 mm | | |
| Thickness of Shear wall Internal | 150 mm | | |
| Thickness of Brick wall External | 230 mm | | |
| Parameter Consideration | Value | | |
| Thickness of Brick wall Internal | 115 mm | | |
| Slab thickness | 200 mm | | |
| Zone No | II | | |
| Soil Type | Medium soil | | |
| Floor Height | 3 m | | |
| Importance Factor | 1.0 | | |
| Response Reduction Factor | 5.0 | | |
| Grade of Steel | FE500 | | |
| Grade of Concrete | M35 | | |
| R.C.C. Design Code | IS 456:2000 | | |
| Live Load Code | IS875: Part II | | |
| Dead Load Code | IS875: Part I | | |
| Earthquake design code | IS1893: 2016 | | |



Figure No.1: Column beam plan



3. Story drift (Δ) : Storey drift is define as the displacement of the storey with respect to the other storey. As per Clause no. 7.11.1 of IS1893 (Part 1) the Store stiffness is not exceeds than = 0.004 X Height of Storey

| Storey | Δx | Δz |
|--------|-------|------------|
| 1 | 0.233 | 0.656 |
| 2 | 0.365 | 0.556 |
| 3 | 0.508 | 0.652 |
| 4 | 0.604 | 0.679 |
| 5 | 0.686 | 0.703 |
| 6 | 0.758 | 0.724 |
| 7 | 0.818 | 0.742 |
| 8 | 0.869 | 0.764 |
| 9 | 0.914 | 0.76 |
| 10 | 0.941 | 0.774 |
| 11 | 0.972 | 0.776 |
| 12 | 0.991 | 0.623 |

| Storey | $\Delta \mathbf{x}$ | Δz |
|--------|---------------------|------------|
| 13 | 1.005 | 0.918 |
| 14 | 1.012 | 0.755 |
| 15 | 1.014 | 0.736 |
| 16 | 1.011 | 0.714 |
| 17 | 0.619 | 0.683 |
| 18 | 1.376 | 0.647 |
| 19 | 0.975 | 0.604 |
| 20 | 0.956 | 0.553 |
| 21 | 0.934 | 0.488 |
| 22 | 0.909 | 0.398 |
| 23 | 0.883 | 0.233 |

 Table No. 2: Drift (1) Calculations in X-direction & Y-direction





4. Lateral displacement (Storey displacement): It's Define as the displacement of the structure with respect to the base of the structures.

$$Displacement = \begin{cases} Total \ Heigth \ of \ building/_{500} \end{cases}$$

Table No.3: Displacement Calculations in X-direction & Z-direction

| Storey | DisplX in mm | DisplZ in mm |
|--------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 0.233 | 0.233 |
| 2 | 0.628 | 0.631 |
| 3 | 1.136 | 1.119 |
| 4 | 1.740 | 1.672 |
| 5 | 2.426 | 2.276 |
| 6 | 3.184 | 2.923 |
| 7 | 4.002 | 3.606 |
| 8 | 4.871 | 4.32 |
| 9 | 5.785 | 5.056 |
| 10 | 6.726 | 5.811 |
| 11 | 7.698 | 6.729 |
| 12 | 8.689 | 7.352 |
| 13 | 9.694 | 8.128 |
| 14 | 10.706 | 8.902 |
| 15 | 11.720 | 9.662 |
| 16 | 12.731 | 10.426 |
| 17 | 13.35 | 11.168 |
| 18 | 14.726 | 11.892 |
| 19 | 15.702 | 12.595 |
| 20 | 16.658 | 13.274 |
| 21 | 17.592 | 13.926 |
| 22 | 18.501 | 14.482 |
| 23 | 19.384 | 15.138 |



Graph No.2: Displacement in X-direction & Y-direction

5. Time period (T): It's the time period of structure being the important factor affecting seismic performance of the building frames

Where,
$$T = \frac{0.09}{\sqrt{d}}$$
As per IS 1893 Table No. 2

Table No. 4: Manual (a) and Software (b) calculation of Time Period

| Direction | X dir. | <mark>Z</mark> dir. | Direction | X dir. | Z dir. |
|-----------|--------|---------------------|-----------|--------|--------|
| Та | 1.426 | 2.129 | Ta | 1.530 | 2.129 |

6. Base shear (V_B) : It's an estimation of the maximum expected lateral forces on the base of the structure due to seismic activity it's calculated using the seismic zone. Soil material properties and building code lateral forces equation.

Total Base Shear
$$(V_B) = \left\{\frac{CvI}{RT}\right\} w = Ah * W$$



Figure No.1: Base Shear Distribution

Table No.5: Calculation of Base shear by manually (a) and by Software (b)

| (a) | | | | (b) | |
|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Direction | X dir. | Z dir. | Direction | X dir. | Z dir. |
| Vb | 1124.413 | 753.275 | Vb | 1049.74 | 754.39 |

7. Lateral Shear (Q_i) : It's the forces that act's in the direction parallel to ground and perpendicular to the direction of gravitational pull of earth is known as lateral forces. The lateral forces are distributed vertically, it was a distribution of the base shear to different floor levels. As pre IS 1893 Cl no. 7.7.1.

$$Q_i = V_B \frac{W_{i h i^2}}{\sum_{j=1}^n W_i h i^2}$$

Where, $Q_i = Design Lateral force at floor, Wi = Seismic weight of floor i$ hi = Height of floor i measured from base n = Number of storey in the building is the number of levels atwhich the masses are located



- 3. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:** Linear Static analysis carried by STAAD-Pro software (V8i) under serviceability combination loads (gravity and seismic loads) on all the structures. All the structures are applied with same kind of loading and study is done on standard combinations of loads, but the results are produced for the critical combinations of loads.
 - a. All the storey drift are within the limit as per IS 1893:2002 (Storey Drift = 0.004*storey height).
 - b. The displacement is increase with height is increase of the building. In X-direction the displacement is more as compare to the Z-direction with respect to the gravity and seismic loading conditions.
 - c. In this study we calculate the time period results in STAAD-Pro and also manually but it has some changed in X-direction about 0.104 seconds more time period in X-direction in Software calculations. And in Z-direction it's same in both direction X & Z.
 - d. There is some variation in X-direction, in manual calculation base shear value is 1124.413 KN and in Software it's 1049.74 KN. And in Z-direction the base shear value is similar in manual calculation value is 753.275 KN and in software value is 754.39 KN.
 - e. The lateral force in top storey is maximum and to base storey is minimum.

4. Conclusion:

- **a.** The storey drift calculated by the software for different loading combination is within the limit as per IS:1893.
- **b.** The displacement of the structure is calculated by software for different loading condition and in different direction is within the limit as per IS1893.
- c. The time period calculated by the software and by manual calculation is very close
- **d.** In this study it's found that the base shear is nearby similar to each other (by manual calculated & by software calculated) and it's observe carefully at the time of analysis.
- e. Lateral forces are calculated by the manual method for both X & Z-direction.

5. References:

- Approximate analysis of building frames for vertical loads(Jinghai Wu 1995)
- Approximate methods of structural analysis and design in a knowledge-based system environment (Mathi Ravi & Claude Bedard 1993)
- 3 Approximate analysis of high-rise frames with flexible connections (J. C. D. Hoenderkamp, And H. H. Snijder 2000)
- 4 Analysis and design of shear wall structures (Semih S. Tezcan)
- 5 Approximate deflection analysis of non-symmetric high-rise structures (Hans J.C.D. Hoenderkamp 1995)
- 6 Approximate lateral load analysis of tall buildings- a comparative study (Nobin Samuel Philip, M.G. Rajendran 2015)
- 7 Potential errors in approximate methods of structural analysis (R. A. Behr 1989)
- 8 Analysis of tall buildings with shear walls under bending and torsion (W. M. Jenkins And T. Harrison)
- 9 An approximate method of analysis for infilled frames with or without opening (T.C. Liauw 1972)
- 10 A simple mathematical model for approximate analysis of tall buildings (Reza Rahgozar, Ali Reza Ahmadi, Yasser Sharifi 2010)
- 11 Optimization in the selection of structural systems for the design of reinforced concrete high-rise buildings in resisting seismic forces (Azzam Katkhoda, Rana Knaa 2012)
- 12 A simple method for the deflection analysis of tall wall-frame building structures under horizontal load (A.K.Zalka 2009)
- 13 Analysis of tall buildings considering the effect of axial deformation by the galerkin method (Somsak Swaddiwudhipong & Sitthichai Piriyakoontorn 1989)
- 14 Seismic analysis, design, and review for tall buildings (Jack P. Moehle 2006)
- 15 Simplified method for analysis of tall buildings in an earthquake (Yifei Xiao, Huaying Li 2019)
- 16 The effect of wind loads on the seismic performance of tall buildings (Shilpa Nirman Thilakarathna, Naveed Anwar 2018)
- 17 Analysis and design of multistoried building with shear wall (K. Nagarathna, Dr. B. Ramesh Babu 2016)
- 18 Stability of tall buildings with shear-wall structures (Q.S. Li 2000)
- 19 Dynamic analysis of rcc buildings with shear wall (Romy Mohan 2011)
- 20 A review on analysis and design of shear walls in high rise irregular building(S. Natarajan, S. Veeraragavan 2016)
- 21 Optimal location of shear wall in high rise building subjected to seismic loading (Rakshit Patil, Avinash S Deshpande 2016)
- 22 Parameters comparison for different structural system (Mayur N. Prajapati, Prof. Vishal V. Patel 2016)
- 23 Seismic performance of rc building using spectrum response and pushover analyses (Mehani Youcef, Kibboua Abderrahmane 2018)
- 24 Seismic effects on leaning slender structures and tall buildings (Piotr Adam Bońkowskia, Zbigniew Zembaty, Maciej Yan Minch 2019)
- 25 Sustainable development of high-rise building (Pan Fenga, Wu Xingkuan 2011)
- 26 Study of base shear, storey shear and base moment on multistory building for different seismic zones (Dipak M. Kolekar, Mukund M. Pawar 2017)
- 27 Stability of tall buildings with shear-wall structures (Q.S. Li 2001)
- 28 Performance-based seismic design of an irregular tall building a case study (Ali Ruzi O Zuygur 2015)
- 29 Optimum lateral load distribution for seismic design o fnonlinear shear-buildings considering soil-structure interaction (Behnoud Ganjavi, Iman Hajirasouliha 2016)
- 30 Response spectrum analysis of reinforced concrete buildings (N. R. Chandak 2012)
- 31 Seismic performance evaluation of a tall building: practical modeling of surrounding basement structures (Seung Yong Jeong , Thomas H.-K. Kang 2020)
- 32 Seismic performance of rc high-rise buildings a case study of 44 storey structure in skopje (macedonia) (Roberta Apostolska, Golubka Necevska-Cvetanovska 2016)
- 33 Wind drift serviceability (Finley A. Charney 1990)
- 34 A novel approximate method for analysis of high-rise building frames (Dipak J Varia, Dr. Harshvadan S Patel 2015)
- 35 A study on drift analysis for lateral stability of high rise building in sylhet city corporation area (Md. Anisur Rahman Muhammad Masum, Syeda Zehan Farzana 2014)
- 36 Comments on the approximate elastic analysis of continuous nonprestressed reinforced concrete beams and portal frames (Bahman Omar Taha 2018)
- 37 Comparative studies on exact and approximate methods of structural analysis (Life John, Dr. M G Rajendran 2013)
- 38 Revised method of approximate structural analysis (R. A. Behr, E. J. Grotton, C. A. Dwinal 1990)