STUDIES ON GROUND WATER CHEMISTRY IN VICINITY OF NADERGUL VILLAGE.RANGAREDDY DISTRICT

A.Samba Shiva Rao¹, Dr. A. Manjunath², M.Saradha devi³ Y. Hima Bindu³, P.Narender⁴ ¹ Department of Chemistry M.V.S.R. Engineering College, Hyderabad-501510 ² Department of civil Engineering M.V.S.R. Engineering College, Hyderabad-501510 ³ Department of chemistry S.W.E.C Hyderabad-500001

Abstract: Now a day the world attention is mainly focused on the depletion of the atmospheric ozone layer and environmental pollution by organizing world health day, earth day etc. A more serious problem of pollution of water resources is literally brewing under our feet Environmental pollution is the unfavorable alteration of our surroundings, wholly or largely as a byproduct of man's actions, through direct or indirect effects of changes in energy patterns, radiation levels, chemicals and physical constitution and abundances of organisms. In recent years a great deal of concern has been expressed over the problems of contamination to soil, sediments, groundwater and surface water with heavy metals due to rapid industrialization and urbanization. Nadergul village and its surrounding areas are the rapid developing areas in the telangana state, near to that multi notation organization are started which impact on the environmental pollution, quality of ground water. In urban areas the activities of man, including technological development, result in waste disposal leading to various types of pollutants such as trace elements, which often cause damage to the environmental systems, quality of ground water.

Key words: Water Samples, Anions, Cations, instrumental analysis.

Introduction:

Nadergul is located in south west of Saroornagar Mandal. This zone is not rapidly developed due various reasons. One reason may be central government organization such as DRDO, RCI, ARCI, DMRL etc. due to this at some patches irrigation practice is there from houses and much open land is available. But the recent trend that is in the period of 2010 on wards and new multi nation company are started. which made this area as new residential zone.

.Geologically Nadergul surrounding consists of granites, dolerites. Dolerites occurred as dyke rocks in some places, exposed dolerite dykes are there whose width ranges from feet to more than one km. height is also more as a result formed as pediplanes (Ridges) which act like water dividing line (water shade boundary). Dolerite dykes sometimes suffered, completely weathered, partially weathered which gave white color C^{a2+} predominant rocks converted as limestone. Fe rich rocks are red or reddish black soils were formed in and around study area. Mixed soils are their in this region the sample are collected in pre monsoon and post monsoon for a period of more then one 10 years and studied 12 parameter. to find out the impact of industrialization and urbanization of ground water quality.



Methods & Experimental Procedure:

2. Sample Collection: The sample is collected from selected location as season wise from post monsoon and pre monsoon. The sample collection points are some residency areas, agriculture area of Nadergul and surround areas. Test sample taken in Containers typically are made of plastic or glass, but one material may be preferred over the other. For example, silica, sodium, and boron may be leached from soft glass but not plastic. For samples containing organic compounds, do not use plastic containers except those made of fluorinated polymers.

Experimental Procedure

pН

pH can be measured in many methods such as electrometric method, pH-metry method using glass reference electrode. The method we used is pH-metry.

HARDNESS TEST

When total hardness is numerically greater than that of total alkalinity expressed as CaCO3, the amount of hardness is equivalent to less than total alkalinity, is called Carbonate hardness. When the hardness is numerically equal to total alkalinity, all hardness is Carbonate hardness. The amount of hardness in excess of total alkalinity expressed as CaCO3, is non-carbonate hardness, Non-Carbonate(Permanent) hardness is due to association of the hardness causing cations with sulphate, chloride or Nitrate. It cannot be removed by boiling. Hardness in water is determined using complex metric titrimetry. The titrant used is EDTA as complexing agent for Ca, Mg ions and EBT is used as indicator. The volume of EDTA consumed for the wine red color to change to blue is noted and hardness is calculated.

CHLORIDES:

Chloride ion is determined by Mohrs method titration with standard silver nitrate solution by which silver chloride is precipitate at first. The end of titration is indicated by formation of red-silver-chromate from excess AgNO3 and potassium chromate is used as an indicator in neutral to slightly alkaline solution.

POTTASIUM:

Trace amount of potassium is determined by direct reading of flame photometer at a specific wavelength of 766.5m by spraying the sample into the flame. The desired spectral lines are then isolated by the use of interface filters or suitable slit arrangements. The intensity of light is measured by the phototube.

Sodium : Trace amounts of sodium is determined by flame emission photometry at thewavelength of 589 nm.

UV spectrophotometer method for NO_3 : NO_3^- is determined with ultraviolet absorption at 220nm

Determination of sulphate ions: ultraviolet absorption method used for sulphate ion determination.

Ion selective electrode method Fluoride (F^{-} Fluoride-sensitive electrode, Reference electrode (calomel electrode.) are used foe Fluoride determination

SAMPLE-1&2 Location: : A shok Reddy Nager colony

pre monsoon Date: 03-04-08 Tested on: 04-04-08 post monsoon Date; 12-10-2008, Tested on: 14-10-08

| Sl.no | Characteristics | Groundwater pre monsoon | Groundwater post monsoon |
|-------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | рН | 6.98 | 7.19 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity-/cm | 964 | 1269 |
| 3 🥖 | Total Dissolved Solids-mg/l | 653 | 935 |
| 4 | Total Hardness as ca <mark>co3-mg</mark> /l | 388 | 486 |
| 5 | Calcium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 195 | 225 |
| 6 | Magnesium Hardness a <mark>s caco3-m</mark> g/l | 213 | 229 |
| 7 | Na+ | 83 | 119 |
| 8 | K+ | 4 | 4 |
| 9 🥢 | chloride | 142 | 185 |
| 10 | suiphate | 114 | 114 |
| 11 | Nitrate | 10 | 12 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 0.66 | 1.25 |

SAMPLE-3&4 Location: Devathala Gutta

pre monsoon Date: 10 -04-09, Tested on: 12-04-2009 post monsoon Date; 09-10-2008, Tested on: 10-10-2009

| Sl.no | Characteristics | Ground Groundwater | Groundwater |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| 1 | all all | | 7.41 |
| 1 | рн | | /.41 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 985 | 1158 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 865 | 963 |
| 4 | Total Hardness as caco3 | 412 | 488 |
| 5 | Calcium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 146 | 196 |
| 6 | Magnesium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 184 | 203 |
| 7 | Na+ | 112 | 88 |
| 8 | К+ | 4 | 5 |
| 9 | chloride | 154 | 190 |
| 10 | suiphate | 82 | 102 |
| 11 | Nitrate | 11 | 17 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 0.88 | 1.02 |

SAMPLE-5,6Location: Kurmal gudda

pre monsoon Date: : 4-4-2010 Tested on: 6-04-2010 post monsoon Date: 10-10-2010 Tested on: 12-10-2010

| Sl.no | Characteristics | Ground water pre | Groundwater post |
|-------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | | | 7.10 |
| 1 | рн | 0.09 | 7.19 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 1065 | 1263 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 798 | 814 |
| 4 | Total Hardness as caco3 | 422 | 463 |
| 5 | Calcium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 163 | 196 |
| 6 | Magnesium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 206 | 198 |
| 7 | Na+ | 97 | 89 |
| 8 | К+ | 4 | 6 |
| 9 | chloride | 190 | 194 |
| 10 | suiphate | 102 | 112 |
| 11 | Nitrate | 17 | 12 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 0.98 | 0.99 |

SAMPLE-7,8Location: Guram gudda

pre monsoon Date: :: 09-04-2011 Tested on: 10-04-2011 post monsoon Date: 09-11-2011 Tested on: 11-11-2011

| Sl.no | Characteristics | Groundwater Pre monsoon | Ground water post monsoon |
|-------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.89 | 7.14 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 978 | 1142 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 691 | 966 |
| 4 | Total Hardness as caco3 | 501 | 412 |
| 5 | Calcium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 245 | 183 |
| 6 | Magnesium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 233 | 201 |
| 7 | Na+ | 98 | 221 |
| 8 | K+ | 4 | 120 |
| 9 | chloride | 216 | 3 |
| 10 | suiphate | 78 | 298 |
| 11 | Nitrate | 8 | 14 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 1.62 | 1.12 |

SAMPLE-9, 10Location : Adibatla village

pre monsoon Date: : : : 09-02-2012, Tested on: 10-02-2012, post monsoon: Date: 12-11-2012, Tested on: 14-11-2012

| Sl.no | Characteristics | Groundwater premonsoon | Ground water Post monsoon |
|-------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | рН | 6.66 | 7.12 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 995 | 1089 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 698 | 785 |
| 4 | Total Hardness as caco3 | 513 | 401 |
| 5 | Calcium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 221 | 198 |
| 6 | Magnesium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 201 | 183 |
| 7 | Na+ | 173 | 114 |
| 8 | К+ | 4 | 4 |
| 9 | chloride | 212 | 221 |
| 10 | suiphate | 92 | 112 |
| 11 | Nitrate | 9 | 12 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 1.00 | 1.36 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 1.36 | 0.95 |

SAMPLE-11,12Location:kongarakolan village

pre monsoon Date: :: 10-04-2013, Tested on: 11-04-13, post monsoon : Date14-11-2013, Tested on: 17-11-13,

| Sl.no | Characteristics | Ground water | Ground water |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | premonsoon | post monsoon |
| 1 | рН | 7.1 | 7.26 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 899 | 1349 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 614 | 850 |
| 4 | Total Hardness as caco3 | 480 | 432 |
| 5 | Calcium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 213 | 248 |
| 6 | Magnesium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 211 | 193 |
| 7 | Na+ | 142 | 191 |
| 8 | К+ | 5 | 4 |
| 9 | chloride | 178 | 190 |
| 10 | suiphate | 88 | 80 |
| 11 | Nitrate | 8 | 5 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 0.99 | 1.24 |

SAMPLE-13,14Location :Nadergul village

pre monsoon Date: : : : 16-04-14, Tested on: 17-4-14, post monsoon 14-11-2013, Tested on: 17-11-13,

| Sl.no | Characteristics | Ground water | Ground water |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | pre monsoon | post monsoon |
| 1 | pH | 7.55 | 6.59 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 987 | 1287 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 681 | 958 |
| 4 | Total Hardness as caco3 | 465 | 398 |
| 5 | Calcium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 221 | 211 |
| 6 | Magnesium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 201 | 198 |
| 7 | Na+ | 88 | 102 |
| 8 | К+ | 3 | 4 |
| 9 | chloride | 190 | 221 |
| 10 | suiphate | 11 | 95 |
| 11 | Nitrate | 9 | 12 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 1 | 0.92 |

SAMPLE-15,16

Location:Gandhinager pre monsoon Date: : : 16-04-15, Tested on: 17-04-15 post monsoon Date: Date: 09-05--15, Tested on: 10-12-15,

| Sl.no | Characteristics | Ground water pre monsoon | Ground water post monsoon |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | pH | 7.14 | 7.12 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | 1411 | 1082 |
| 3 | Total Dissolved Solids | 910 | 785 |
| 4 | Total Hardness as caco3 | 352 | 401 |
| 5 | Calcium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 213 | 198 |
| 6 | Magnesium Hardness as caco3-mg/l | 199 | 183 |
| 7 | Na+ | 5 | 114 |
| 8 | К+ | 111 | 4 |
| 9 | chloride | 298 | 221 |
| 10 | suiphate | 114 | 109 |
| 11 | Nitrate | 12 | 12 |
| 12 | Fluoride | 1.22 | 1.22 |

η,





821



Cations: K, Na. Mg, Ca





Results and Discussions:

1. pH (6.5 to 8.5) has no direct adverse effect on health, however a lower value below 4 will produce sour taste and higher value above 8.5 a bitter taste.

2. Higher values of pH hasten the scale formation in water heating apparatus and also reduce the germicidal potential of chlorine.

3. High pH induces the formation of trihalomethanes which are causing cancer in human beings.

Electrical conductivity:

The electrical conductivity gives quantitative picture of water. The values of electrical conductivity range from 800 μ S/cm to 1800 μ S/cm for ground water sample. For Such anonymous values arise from various anthropogenic activities and geochemical processes prevailing in the region.

Total dissolved solids:

The weight of the residue consisting of pollutants left behind after the water sample is evaporated is a measure of TDS and gives the general nature of ground water quality and extent of contamination. The permissible limit is 500-1000ppm .The TDS value varies The high concentration may be due to leaching of solid waste from ground surface and solubility of mineral in ground water.

Total Hardness:

The total hardness is an important property indicating the quality of groundwater. The desirable limit for TH is up to 300mg/l and up to 600mg/l is The permissible limit, the sample values are various 120 to 1200 mg/liter. which leads to corrosion of steel, vessels and plant growth, Ca &Mg affect on the Renal system(Asim Kurjak Text book on prenatal medicine in for health care).

POTTASIUM: The potassium are in their permissible rage only which may useful for mobility on ion in the body.

Concussions:

Fluoride (F-): F- is an important element in the drinking water and although required for health, it is harmful when it exceeds the permissible limit of 1.5 mg/l in water (WHO, 2009). Low concentration of fluoride below 0.5 mg/l causes dental caries and high concentration above 1.5 mg/l may cause an endemic disease called dental fluorosis, intake of F- concentration above 3 mg/l may cause skeletal fluorosis (WHO, 2009). The concentration of F- in groundwater ranges from 0.8 to 1.6 mg/l with an average concentration of 2.1 mg/l. Figure shows the variation of Concentration in the study area and groundwater samples are within the maximum permissible limits of drinking water standards (BIS, 2012). From above results we can find that as the urbanition on the Nadergul vicinity the transfer of pollutant in to the ground water and changes thgw quality of ground water

Reference:

- 1.A. Sutharsiny, S. Pathmarajah, M. Thushyanthy, V. Meththika, Tropical Agricultural Research, 2012, 23,237-248.
- 2. A.M. Piper, Am Geophys Union Trans,1944, 25, 914–923.
- 3. A.N. Strahler, (Eds.), Handbook of AppliedHydrology. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964, pp 4.39-4.76
- 4. AWWA, Mc Graw Hill, New York, 1971,
- 5. B.I. Odoh, A.U. Utom, H.N. Ezeh, B.C.E. Environ Sci, 2012 19,1–9.
- 6. B.K. Kortatsi, Aquat Geochem, 2007, 13,41–74.
- 7. BIS, 2nd revision of 2012, 10500, 2012.
- 8. Bo.Olofsson, J. Mattsson, Proc. 33rd IGC,2008.
- 9. C.N. Sawyer, D.L. McCarty DL, (Eds.),
- New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967, p 518.
- 10. E. Vetrimurugan, L. Elango, Water Qual.Expo. Health, 2015, 7, 125-141.
- 11. F.M. Eaton, Soil Science, 1950, 69, 127-128.
- 12. G.A. Daghrah, Asian. J. Earth, Sci, 2009,2, 28-38.
- 13. G.K. Anudu, S.E. Obrike, L.N. Onuba, A.E.Ikpokonte, Res. Jour. of Appl. Sci, 2011,
- 6,128-135.
- 14. H.M. Raghunath, Groundwater. WileyEastern, New Delhi, 1987.