STUDY OF STEEL BOX COLUMNS FILLED WITH CONCRETE AS A CONSERVATIVE PRIMARY COMPONENTS

[1]Dr. Ajay Swarup and [2]Mohd Aijaz Uddin
[1]Professor, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Science-Sehore, India.
[2]Research Scholar, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Science-Sehore, India.

Abstract:

The use of steel-fibres as reinforcement in plain concrete not only enhances the tensile strength of the composite system but also reduces cracking under serviceability conditions. Further, steel-fibres improve resistance to material deterioration as a result of fatigue, impact, shrinkage and thermal stresses. The improvements in material properties, which improve structural performance, have extended the use of fibre-reinforced concrete to applications in the area of fire. The presence of micro cracks in the mortar-aggregate interface is responsible for the inherent weakness of plain concrete. The weakness can be removed by inclusion of fibers in the mixture.

Keywords: Steel, Fibres, reinforced, micro, materials.

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of steel-fibres as reinforcement in plain concrete not only enhances the tensile strength of the composite system but also reduces cracking under serviceability conditions. Further, steel-fibres improve resistance to material deterioration as a result of fatigue, impact, shrinkage and thermal stresses. The improvements in material properties, which improve structural performance, have extended the use of fibre-reinforced concrete to applications in the area of fire. The addition of steel fibres to concrete is advantageous as the melting point of the steel fibres is relatively high in comparison to the other materials. It improves the mechanical properties of the concrete and its fire resistance in comparison to plain concrete, a number of experimental investigations has been conducted up to date with the aim to observe the fire response of concrete composites. Particularly, the studies have focused on the effect of a type, shape and content of fibres on the mechanical properties of concrete composites, mostly compressive and tensile strength including elastic modulus different kinds of fibres including steel fibres, synthetic fibres and a mixture of steel fibres& polypropylene fibres.

2. COMPOSITECOLUMNS

The term 'composite column' implies a column constructed from two or more different materials in such a way that they work together in resisting stresses and strains induced by forces or conditions external to the column. (2) Strictly speaking, ordinary reinforced concrete columns fa1l within the scope of this definition. However, the term is normally used to indicate applications like either concrete-encased sections or concrete-filled tubes of square, rectangular or circular cross-section. Besides steel, newly emerging materials like Fiber Reinforced Plastics (FRPs) can be introduced as a tube material and they provide an interested field of study. In this way, concrete columns wrapped with FRP-sheets to provide confinement can also be attributed the name of 'composite column'.

2.1 Types of composite column

a. **Stub column** - A stub column is a column whose length is sufficiently small to prevent failure as a column, but long enough to contain the same residual stress pattern that exists in the column itself. It is a type of column mainly adopted in construction of underground water tanks.

b. **Short Column** - The column, whose lateral dimension is very small when compared to its length (or height), is called as long column. The column, whose lateral dimension is very large when compared to its length (or height), is called as short column. It is generally fails by buckling

c. **Medium column** is a column which fails either due to direct stress or buckling stress. For medium columns, the slenderness ratio is more than 32 and less than 120. For medium columns, the length is more than 8 times but less than 30 times their least lateral dimension

3. STEEL-FIBER REINFORCEDCONCRETE

The presence of micro cracks in the mortar-aggregate interface is responsible for the inherent weakness of plain concrete. The weakness can be removed by inclusion of fibers in the mixture. Different types of fibers, such as those used in traditional composite materials can be introduced into the concrete mixture to increase its toughness, or ability to resist crack growth. The fibers help to transfer loads at the internal micro cracks. Such a concrete is called fiber-reinforced concrete (FRC), the FRC in which Steel fibers are used is called Steel fiber- reinforced concrete (SFRC).

The one of the important properties of steel fiber reinforced concrete (SFRC) is its superior resistance to cracking and crack propagation. As a result of this ability to arrest cracks, fiber composites possess increased extensibility and tensile strength, both at first crack and at ultimate, particular under flexural loading; and the fibers are able to hold the matrix together even after extensive cracking. The net result of all these is to impart to the fiber composite pronounced post – cracking ductility which is unheard of in ordinary concrete. The transformation from a brittle to a ductile type of material would increase substantially the energy absorption characteristics of the fiber composite and its ability to withstand repeatedly applied, shock or impact loading

4. THE CONCEPT OF STEEL FIBRE REINFORCED CONCRETE, LIGHT GAUGE STEEL, AND COMPOSITE COLUMN

One of the unwanted attributes of the concrete as a weak material is its low rigidity and strain limit. In this way it requires reinforcement in request to be utilized as the most broadly development material. Traditionally, this reinforcement is in the type of continuous steel bars set in the concrete construction in the fitting situations to withstand the forced malleable and shear stresses. Fibers, then again, are for the most part short, discontinuous, and arbitrarily disseminated all through the concrete part to deliver a composite development material known as fiber reinforced concrete (FRC). Fibers utilized in concrete based composites are basically made of steel, glass, and polymer or got from normal materials. Fibers can handle cracking all the more successfully because of their propensity to be more firmly dispersed than customary reinforcing steel bars. It ought to be highlighted that fiber utilized as the concrete reinforcement is certainly not a substitute for regular steel bars. Fibers and steel bars have various tasks to carry out in cutting edge concrete innovation, and there are numerous applications wherein the two fibers and continuous reinforcing steel bars ought to be utilized. Steel fiber (SF) is the most well-known sort of fiber utilized as concrete reinforcement. Initially, SFs are utilized to forestall/control plastic and drying shrinkage in concrete. Further innovative work uncovered that expansion of SFs in concrete essentially increases its flexural strength; the energy assimilation limit, malleable conduct before a definitive disappointment, diminished cracking, and improved toughness.

Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete (SFRC) in 1910, Porter initially recommended the utilization of SFs in concrete. Nonetheless, the primary logical examination on fiber reinforced concrete (FRC) in the United States was done in 1963. SFRC is delivered using the regular pressure driven concretes, fine and coarse totals, water, and SFs. American concrete institution defines SFs as discrete, short lengths of steel having viewpoint (proportion of length to measurement) in the scope of 20 to 100 with any of the few cross-segments which are adequately little to be effectively and arbitrarily scattered in new concrete blend using traditional mixing techniques. To upgrade the usefulness and steadiness of SFRC, super plasticizers (compound admixtures) may likewise be added into the concrete blend.

Concrete-filled steel box columns are conservative composite primary components that are increasingly being utilized in the development of industrial and high-ascent office buildings. The composite activity of concrete-filled steel columns gives a huge increase in solidness, strength, and malleability comparative with concrete-just or steel-just segments. The concrete center gives hub solidness, pressure strength, and upgrades the buckling limit of the encasing steel. The encasing steel gives confinement to the concrete and accordingly increases the pivotal strength and pliability. Regularly, these frameworks comprise of thick steel segments where nearby buckling is certifiably not a controlling execution standard.

All the more as of late, other development materials have drawn the consideration of the development industry, for example, concrete-filled light check steel box columns that are imbedded within the cavities of pre-assembled measured dividers and fill in as gravity load resisting components for low-ascent buildings. Such a framework gives stay set up formwork notwithstanding primary limit with respect to the columns. Moreover, placing the columns within the pits of particular dividers gives open space within the building envelope. The pivotal compressive strength and confinement of composite columns is an element of the slimness of the dividers of the steel segment. To improve design, code arrangements limit the width-to-thickness proportion to forestall nearby buckling preceding yielding. Light measure cold framed steel composite columns, notwithstanding, don't meet this necessity

5. COMPARISON BETWEEN DESIGN PROVISIONS BETWEEN INDIAN, EUROPEAN, BRITISH, JAPANESE, AND AMERICANSTANDARDS

Underlying model is a craftsmanship and study of understanding the conduct of primary part oppressed powers and loads and designing them with economy and class to give a protected, functional and durable. The underlying model of buildings of any nation depends on certain codes of practices which give the fundamental information and standards in analyzing and designing the construction from strength point of view and prudent point of view. These codes are completed by highly experienced underlying engineers, academicians and other eminent colleagues of particular zones. This paper concerned the correlation of nominal burdens, load combination, load factor, design boundaries like bar, column and shaft and their reasonableness from different building codes. The utilization of various design techniques and codes give various outcomes in underlying examination and design that prompts fluctuation in conduct, expenses and solidness of constructions. Such investigation gives loads of information identified with foundational layout that at what degree one nation code is vary from another nation code as far as level of precision, wellbeing, intricacy and subtleties. Along these lines, it is the obligation of underlying engineer to give precise standards that lead to ideal execution and economy by regarding the most suitable design technique. Such innovative capacity and imagination is completely founded on experience of primary engineers.

The foundational layout measure involves primary planning, activity of powers and loads, part examination, part design, correlation among various buildings codes and their detailing. It assessed that those nations where more than one code is received for foundational layout so it is useful in determining what code has higher factor of wellbeing and level of precision than another. The utilization and application of building codes has been instrumental in safeguarding the wellbeing, security and government assistance of individuals. The "building code necessities for primary concrete" gives minimum prerequisites to the materials, design and detailing for the underlying concrete building. Such code close following boundaries like design and development for strength, usefulness and toughness, load combinations, load components and strength decrease factor, redirection standards, primary examination strategy, splicing and development of reinforcement, developments identified with documentation's information, quality and testing of materials, field inspection and testing and the strategies for assessment of strength of existing construction of different component like pillar, column and chunk.

Development is a fundamental piece of each developing country in this time. Each nation has explicit building design codes which give the standards to engineers to the design of different primary parts like pillar, column and chunk. RC building design of each nation depends on their geological area. In this day and age of globalization, an engineer should be proficient to understand and handle codes of different nations. Considering this the main focal point of this exploration work is to bring out contrasts and likenesses between various RC design codes and to utilize it to build up a typical stage. In this examination RC design code of USA, EUROPE, INDIA, JAPAN AND IRAN are thought of. The main center is the overall gains and shortcomings of different buildings design codes under certain models like loading investigation, design examination, convenience and efficient point of view. A few boundaries for various cross-section and diverse building material on premise of strength are thought of. Examination work has worked out based on loading correlation like live burden, dead burden, wind load and various boundaries for different components of the building, for example, pillar, column and chunk. Burden factor and burden combination are likewise looked at. This correlation investigates the design capacities with respect to different building design codes.

6. THE BEHAVIOR OF LIGHT GAUGE STEEL HOLLOW AND INFILLED SUBJECTED TO AXIAL LOADS, UNI-AXIAL ECCENTRIC LOADS AND BI-AXIAL ECCENTRICLOADS

The utilization of concrete-filled composite columns is becoming well known in development industry lately. Composite columns can give upgraded load-carrying limit because of their proficient utilization of both concrete and steel while enabling expense savings during development. They can be built from one or the other round or rectangular steel hollow primary section filled with concrete. Previously, most exploration work has zeroed in on the conduct of concrete-filled round HSS composite columns. As of late, the utilization of rectangular HSS composite columns is becoming mainstream because of its less difficult association detail. The infilled concrete changes the buckling method of the steel HSS and results in increase of buckling bearing limit. Notwithstanding, if the profundity to-thickness proportion of a rectangular HSS section surpasses a limiting worth, neighbourhood buckling diminishes a definitive bearing limit. With a steel yield stress fy of 235 N/mm2 and a versatile modulus Es of 200 000 N/mm2, for instance, the limiting profundity to-thickness proportions for axial burden are equivalent to 52, 50.5, 71.6, 60 and 60 according to EC4 (1992), LRFD (1999), AIJ (1997), CECS (2004), and DBJ (2003), separately. To dodge neighbourhood buckling, rib stiffeners can be welded to the dividers of HSS section. Past exploration on rectangular HSS composite columns was mainly cantered around testing of either stub columns or thin columns subjected to axial burden or axial burden combined with uni-axial bending. A concise rundown of the writing survey follows. In 1989, 7 rectangular HSS composite columns with a profundity to width proportion of 1.5 generally speaking buckling was seen during their analyses. No indication of nearby buckling was noted mainly because of the little profundity to-thickness proportion (=24) in 1990, 9 extra eccentrically stacked rectangular HSS composite columns, yet with a similar profundity to-thickness proportion and the profundity to-width proportion to check the BS5900 design codes.

The testing of 8 rectangular composite examples, whose eccentricities were diverse at the two closures 4 square and 20 rectangular composite stub columns subjected to an axial burden.

7. CONCLUSION

The main target of the examination is to investigate the conduct of hollow, Plain Cement Concrete (PCC) and Steel Fiber Reinforced Concrete (SFRC) in-filled stub, short and medium columns subjected to axial and eccentric loads and bars subjected to flexure. Trial, Theoretical and Numerical investigations have been completed. In the principal stage, trial investigations were completed to contemplate the conduct of hollow, PCC and SFRC in-filled light gauge steel stub, short and medium stature columns. 78 investigations were directed, out of which five tests were on stub columns, five tests were on short columns and 68 tests were on medium tallness columns. Examples were created from light gauge steel sheets, continuously welded at the center along its length. For PCC in-fill, M20 grade concrete was picked and for SFRC in-fills, in a similar evaluation of concrete creased shape steel fibers with angle proportion of 70 was utilized for the three distinctive volume parts viz. 0.75%, 1.00% and 1.25%.

REFERENCES

1. Ghannann S, Jawad Y A and Hunaiti Y (2004), 'Failure of light weight aggregate concrete-filled steel tubular columns', Journal of Steel and Composite Structures, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 1-8.

2. Motto T F, Mukai A and Nishiyama I (2004), 'Behavior of eccentric loaded concrete-filled steel tubular columns', Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol. 130, No. 2, pp. 203-212.

3. Liu D and Gho W M (2005), 'Axial load behavior of high strength rectangular concrete-filled steel tubular stub columns', Journal of Thin Walled Structures, Vol. 43, No. 8, pp. 1131-1142.

4. Hatzigeorgiou G.D. and Beskos D.E. (2005), 'Minimum cost design of fiber reinforced concrete-filled steel tubular columns', Journal of Construction Steel Research, Vol. 61, No. 2, pp. 167-182.

5. Hyun-Sik, Lim S-H and Moon T-S (2005), 'Experimental study on the behavior of CFT stub columns filled with PCC subjected to concentric compressive loads', Journal of Steel and Composite Structures, Vol. 5, No.1,

pp. 17-34.

6. Helena J H. and Knight S.G.M. (2005), 'Behavior of cold formed steel and concrete filled columns', Journal of Steel and Composite Structures, Vol. 5, No.1, pp. 35-47

7. Han L H, Yao G H and Zhao X L (2005), 'Test and calculation for hollow structural steel (HSS) stub columns filled with self compacting concrete (SCC)', Journal of Construction Steel Research, Vol. 61, No. 9, pp. 1241-1269.

8. Zhang S, Gud L.H, Ye Z and Wang Y (2005), 'Behavior of steel tube and confined high strength concrete for concrete filled RHS tubes', Journal of Advanced in Structural Engineering, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 101- 115

9. Tao Z, Han L.H and Wang Z.B (2005), 'Experimental behaviors of stiffened concrete-filled thin walled hollow steel structural (HSS) stub column', Journal of Construction Steel Research, Vol. 61, No. 7, pp. 962-983.

10. Young B and Ellobody E (2006), 'Experimental investigation of concrete-filled cold formed high strength stainless steel tube columns', Journal of Construction Steel Research, Vol. 62, No. 5, pp. 484-492.

11. Liu D (2006), 'Behavior of eccentric loaded high strength rectangular concrete-filled steel tubular columns', Journal of Construction Steel Research, Vol. 62, No.8, pp. 839-846.