

On Almost Complex Manifold in Complex Structure {F}

¹Dr. Navneet Kumar Verma, ²Dr. Shavej Ali Siddiqui

Sagar Institute of Technology & Management
Barabanki, Pin-225001, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract: - Complex structure {F} is almost complex manifolds is shown. It has been defined and studied by Schouten and Dontzing (1930) introduced the concept of complex structure and a Hermitian metric in a differentiable manifold and called it a complex manifold In this article we discuss the, Almost complex structure {F} is not unique and also discuss that the complex structure {F} has $2m$ Eigen values. An almost complex manifold is that it contain a tangent bundle π_m of dim m and a tangent bundle $\tilde{\pi}_m$ conjugate to π_m such that $\pi_m \cap \tilde{\pi}_m = \phi$ and they span together a tangent bundle of dim $2m$.

Keywords:- Differentiable manifolds, Complex Manifold, Complex Structure, Nijenhuis Tensor, Contravariant vector, covariant vector, symmetric connection, linear manifold of dim $2m$, tangent bundle, linearly independent.

Introduction: - Let V_n , $n = 2m$ be an even dimensional differentiable manifold of differentiability class C^{r+1} and let there exists a vector valued real linear function F of differentiability class C^{r+1} on V_n , satisfying

$$F^2 + I_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \bar{X} + X = 0. \quad X \in T_p$$

For arbitrary vector field X , where $\bar{X} = FX$.

Then V_n is said to be an almost complex manifold and {F} is said to give an almost complex structure on V_n .

Example.1: Let us consider V_4 , on which F given by,

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}$$

Therefore,

$$F^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}$$

i.e. $F^2 + I_4 = 0$.

Example.2: Let $m = R^2$, considered as a manifold with local coordinate the ordinary Cartesian coordinates (x, y) . For each $p \in M$ the endomorphism of M_p given by,

$$J_p : a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)_p + b \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)_p \longrightarrow -b \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)_p + a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)_p$$

Let $X = a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)_p + b \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)_p$

Then $J_p X = -b \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)_p + a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)_p$

$$J_p^2 X = -a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)_p - b \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)_p = -X$$

$$(J_p^2 + I)X = 0$$

Since X is arbitrary vector field, therefore we have

$$(J_p^2 + I) = 0.$$

Theorem: The $rank(F) = n$.

Proof: Let $\bar{X} = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\bar{X}} = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0$

Hence $\bar{X} = 0$ has only trivial solution $X = 0$, consequently $rank(F) = n$.

Theorem:- Almost complex structure $\{F\}$ is not unique.

Proof:- Let us define

$$(1) \quad \mu F' = F\mu$$

Where μ is a non-singular tensor of type $(1, 1)$ and $\{F\}$ is an almost complex structure,

Post multiplying (1) by F' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu F') F' &= (F\mu) F' \\ \mu F'^2 &= F(\mu F') = F(F\mu) = F^2 \mu = -\mu \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F'^2 + I_n = 0$ since $\mu \neq 0$

i.e. $\{F'\}$ is an almost complex structure.

Nijenhuis Tensor: Nijenhuis with respect to F is a vector valued bilinear function N , given by

$$N(X, Y) = [F, F](X, Y) \stackrel{def}{=} [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] + [\bar{X}, Y] - [\bar{X}, Y] - [X, \bar{Y}].$$

In an almost complex manifold,

$$N(X, Y) = [F, F](X, Y) \stackrel{def}{=} [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] - [X, Y] - [\bar{X}, Y] - [X, \bar{Y}]$$

Theorem: On an almost complex manifold, we have

- (i) $N(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = -N(X, Y) = -N(\bar{X}, Y) = -N(X, \bar{Y})$
- (ii) $\overline{N(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})} = -\overline{N(X, Y)} = N(\bar{X}, Y) = N(X, \bar{Y})$

Proof: Proof is obvious.

Definition: An almost complex manifold with vanishing Nijenhuis tensor is a complex manifold.

Definition: On an almost complex manifold V_n , a bilinear function A is said to be

Pure if $A(X, Y) + A(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = 0$

Hybrid if $A(X, Y) = A(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$.

Theorem: F has m Eigen values $+i$ and m Eigen values $-i$.

Proof: Let λ be an Eigen values of F and let P be the corresponding eigen vector. Then

$$FP = \lambda P$$

i.e. $\bar{P} = \lambda P$.

Barring P we get,

$$\bar{\bar{P}} = \lambda^2 P$$

i.e. $\lambda^2 = -1$ (Since $P \neq 0$)

Therefore $\lambda = \pm i$

Thus $+i$ and $-i$ are the Eigen values of F . Since $n = 2m$, show $+i$ repeated m times, $-i$ repeated m times.

Theorem: Let $\{F\}$ and $\{F'\}$ be two almost complex structures of the almost complex manifold V_n connected by $\mu F' = F\mu$ then, if P is an Eigen vector of F' , μP is an Eigen vector of F corresponding to some Eigen value.

Proof: Let P be an Eigen vector of F' corresponding to the Eigen values λ ,

Then $F'P = \lambda P \Rightarrow \mu F'P = \lambda \mu P$

Since $\mu F' = F\mu$, we get $F\mu P = \lambda \mu P$

Hence μP is Eigen vector of F corresponding to Eigen values λ .

Theorem: The necessary and sufficient condition that V_n be an almost complex manifold is that it contain a tangent bundle π_m of $dim\ m$ and a tangent bundle $\tilde{\pi}_m$ conjugate to π_m such that $\pi_m \cap \tilde{\pi}_m = \phi$ and they span together a tangent bundle of $dim\ 2m$. Projections on π_m and $\tilde{\pi}_m$ being L and M given by

$$2L = I_n - iF, \quad 2M = I_n + iF$$

Proof: (Necessary) Let V_n be an almost complex manifold with almost complex structure $\{F\}$ whose Eigen values are $+i$ and $-i$. Let $P_x, x=1, 2, \dots, m$ are Eigen vectors corresponding to Eigen value $+i$ and $Q_x, x=1, 2, \dots, m$ are m linearly independent Eigen vectors conjugate to P_x corresponding to the Eigen values $-i$. Then,

$$a P_x = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0 \quad \forall x$$

and $b Q_x = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0 \quad \forall x$

Now let $c P_x + d Q_x = 0$

Then $c \overline{P_x} + d \overline{Q_x} = 0 \quad \dots(1)$

Since $F P_x = i P_x, \quad F Q_x = -i Q_x$

Then $i \left\{ c P_x - d Q_x \right\} = 0$

$i \neq 0 \quad \left\{ c P_x - d Q_x \right\} = 0 \quad \dots(2)$

From (1) and (2), we get

$$c P_x = 0 \text{ and } d Q_x = 0$$

i.e. $c = 0$ and $d = 0$

since $P_x = 0$ and $Q_x = 0$ are linearly independent.

Thus $c P_x + d Q_x = 0 \Rightarrow c = 0, d = 0 \quad \forall$

Therefore $\left\{ P_x, Q_x \right\}$ is a linearly independent set.

Further, we have

$$L P_x = P_x \quad L Q_x = 0$$

$$M \underset{x}{P} = 0 \quad M \underset{x}{Q} = \underset{x}{Q}$$

Because $2L \underset{x}{P} = (I_n - iF) \underset{x}{P} = \underset{x}{P} - iF \underset{x}{P} = \underset{x}{P} + \underset{x}{P} = 2 \underset{x}{P}$,
and similarly.

Thus we have proved that there is a tangent bundle π_m of *dim m* and there is a complex conjugate tangent bundle $\tilde{\pi}_m$ of *dim m* such that $\pi_m \cap \tilde{\pi}_m = \phi$ and they span to gather a tangent bundle of *dim 2m*. Projection on π_m and $\tilde{\pi}_m$ being *L* and *M*.

Conversely: Suppose that there is a tangent bundle π_m of *dim m* and a tangent bundle $\tilde{\pi}_m$ complex conjugate to π_m such that $\pi_m \cap \tilde{\pi}_m = \phi$ and the span together linear manifold of *dim 2m*, Let $\underset{x}{P}$ and $\underset{x}{Q}$ (complex conjugate to $\underset{x}{P}$) be *m* linearly

independent vector in π_m and $\tilde{\pi}_m$ respectively. Let $\left\{ \underset{x}{P}, \underset{x}{Q} \right\}$ span a linear manifold of *dim 2m*, therefore $\left\{ \underset{x}{P}, \underset{x}{Q} \right\}$ is a linearly

independent set. Let $\left\{ \underset{x}{p}, \underset{x}{q} \right\}$ be the inverse set of $\left\{ \underset{x}{P}, \underset{x}{Q} \right\}$. Then

$$I_n = \underset{x}{p} \otimes \underset{x}{P} + \underset{x}{q} \otimes \underset{x}{Q}$$

This equation yields,

$$\underset{x}{p} \left(\underset{y}{P} \right) = \delta_y^x = \underset{x}{q} \left(\underset{y}{Q} \right)$$

$$\underset{x}{p} \left(\underset{y}{Q} \right) = \underset{x}{q} \left(\underset{y}{P} \right) = 0$$

Let us define,

$$F \stackrel{def}{=} i \left\{ \underset{x}{p} \otimes \underset{x}{P} - \underset{x}{q} \otimes \underset{x}{Q} \right\}$$

$$F^2 = FF = i^2 \left\{ \underset{x}{p} \otimes \underset{x}{P} - \underset{x}{q} \otimes \underset{x}{Q} \right\} \left\{ \underset{x}{p} \otimes \underset{x}{P} - \underset{x}{q} \otimes \underset{x}{Q} \right\}$$

After solving, we get

$$F^2 + I_n = 0$$

Thus the manifold admits an almost complex structure.

Corollary: Prove that

- (i) $L^2 = L, \quad M^2 = M, \quad LM = ML = 0$
- (ii) $FL = LF = iL, \quad FM = MF = -iM$

Corollary: Prove that, $L = \underset{x}{p} \otimes \underset{x}{P}$ and $M = \underset{x}{q} \otimes \underset{x}{Q}$.

Proof: Since $\left\{ \underset{x}{p}, \underset{x}{q} \right\}$ is inverse set of $\left\{ \underset{x}{P}, \underset{x}{Q} \right\}$, we have

$$I_n = \underset{x}{p} \otimes \underset{x}{P} + \underset{x}{q} \otimes \underset{x}{Q} \quad \dots(1)$$

and we also know,

$$2L = I_n - iF, \quad 2M = I_n + iF$$

Therefore $L + M = I_n \quad \dots(2)$

Operating (2) by F and using (1), we get

$$FL + FM = \underset{x}{p} \otimes \underset{x}{P} + \underset{x}{q} \otimes \underset{x}{Q}$$

$$i(L - M) = p \otimes_x (iP) + q \otimes_x (-iQ)$$

$$i \neq 0, \quad L - M = p \otimes_x P + q \otimes_x Q \quad \dots(3)$$

From (2) and (3), we get the result.

Contravariant and covariant almost analytic vectors

Definition: A vector field V is said to be contravariant almost analytic if it satisfies

$$L_V F = 0$$

i.e. Lie derivatives of F with respect to V vanishes. A vector field V is said to be strictly contravariant almost analytic, if both V and \bar{V} are contravariant almost analytic i.e.

$$L_V F = 0 \text{ And } L_{\bar{V}} F = 0.$$

Lemma: We have on an almost complex manifold,

$$(i) \quad L_{\bar{V}} F = L_V F + N(V, X)$$

Equivalent to,

$$(ii) \quad L_{\bar{V}} F + L_V F = \overline{N(V, X)}$$

$$(iii) \quad (L_{\bar{V}} F)(X) = \overline{(L_V F)(\bar{X})} + N(V, \bar{X})$$

$$(iv) \quad \overline{(L_V F)(\bar{X})} + (L_{\bar{V}} F)(X) = \overline{N(V, \bar{X})}$$

Proof: Consider,

$$L_{\bar{V}} \bar{X} = (L_{\bar{V}} F)(X) + F(L_{\bar{V}} X)$$

$$\text{or} \quad [\bar{V}, \bar{X}] = (L_{\bar{V}} F)(X) + [\bar{V}, X] \quad \dots(1)$$

Further taking Lie derivative of \bar{X} with respect to V , we get

$$L_V \bar{X} = (L_V F)(X) + F(L_V X)$$

$$\text{or} \quad [V, \bar{X}] = \overline{(L_V F)(X)} - [V, X] \quad \dots(2)$$

From (1) and (2), we have

$$(L_{\bar{V}} F)(X) - \overline{(L_V F)(X)} = N(V, X) \quad \dots(3)$$

$$\text{Where} \quad N(V, X) \stackrel{def}{=} [\bar{V}, \bar{X}] - [V, X] - [\bar{V}, X] - [V, \bar{X}]$$

Barring equation (3), we get

$$\overline{(L_V F)(X)} + (L_{\bar{V}} F)(X) = \overline{N(V, X)} \quad \dots(4)$$

From (3) and (4) we get results.

Theorem: A necessary and sufficient condition that vector field V on an almost complex manifold be contravariant almost analytic is

$$L_V \bar{X} = \overline{L_V X} \Rightarrow [V, \bar{X}] = \overline{[V, X]}$$

Proof: A vector field V is contravariant almost analytic if

$$L_V F = 0 \quad \dots(1)$$

$$L_V \bar{X} = (L_V F)(X) + \overline{L_V X}$$

Using (1) in above equation, we get

$$L_V \bar{X} = \overline{L_V X}.$$

Theorem: Lie derivative of Nijenhuis tensor with respect to a contravariant almost analytic vector V , on an almost complex manifold vanishes, i.e.

$$L_V N = 0.$$

Definition: A 1-from ω is said to be covariant almost analytic if it satisfies,

$$\omega(((D_X F)Y) - (D_Y F)X) = (D_{\bar{X}}\omega)(Y) - (D_X\omega)(\bar{Y})$$

Where D is a symmetric connection in V_n .

Theorem: If a 1-from ω is covariant almost analytic then $d\omega$ is pure in both the slots, i.e.

$$(d\omega)(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) + (d\omega)(X, Y) = 0.$$

Proof: Since,

$$(d\omega)(X, Y) = (D_X\omega)(Y) - (D_Y\omega)(X) \quad \dots(1)$$

Using definition,

$$\omega(((D_X F)Y) - (D_Y F)X) = (D_{\bar{X}}\omega)(Y) - (D_X\omega)(\bar{Y}) \quad \dots(2)$$

and

$$\omega(((D_Y F)X) - (D_X F)Y) = (D_{\bar{Y}}\omega)(X) - (D_Y\omega)(\bar{X}) \quad \dots(3)$$

Adding (2) and (3) then barring Y , we get the result.

Cor.: If $\tilde{\omega}(X) \stackrel{def}{=} \omega(\bar{X}) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\omega}(\bar{X}) = -\omega(X)$

Then $d\tilde{\omega}(X, Y) = d\omega(\bar{X}, Y)$

Equivalent to $d\tilde{\omega}(\bar{X}, Y) + d\omega(X, Y) = 0.$

Proof: We have from definition,

$$\tilde{\omega}(Y) = \omega(\bar{Y})$$

Taking covariant derivative with respect to X , we get

$$(D_X\tilde{\omega})(Y) = (D_X\omega)(\bar{Y}) + \omega((D_X F)Y) \quad \dots(1)$$

since ω is covariant almost analytic, we have

$$\omega(((D_X F)Y) - (D_Y F)X) = (D_{\bar{X}}\omega)(Y) - (D_X\omega)(\bar{Y}) \quad \dots(2)$$

From (1), we have

$$(D_Y\tilde{\omega})(X) = (D_Y\omega)(\bar{X}) + \omega((D_Y F)X) \quad \dots(3)$$

From (1) and (3), we have

$$(D_X\tilde{\omega})(Y) - (D_Y\tilde{\omega})(X) = (D_X\omega)(\bar{Y}) - (D_Y\omega)(\bar{X}) + \omega((D_X F)Y) - \omega((D_Y F)X) \quad \dots(4)$$

Using (2) in (4), we get

$$(D_X\tilde{\omega})(Y) - (D_Y\tilde{\omega})(X) = (D_{\bar{X}}\omega)(Y) - (D_Y\omega)(\bar{X}) \quad \dots(5)$$

Since,

$$(d\omega)(X, Y) = (D_X\omega)(Y) - (D_Y\omega)(X) \quad \dots(6)$$

From (5) and (6) we get the result.

Theorem: If 1-from ω is covariant almost analytic on an almost complex manifold then $\tilde{\omega}$ is also covariant analytic.

Where $\tilde{\omega}(X) \stackrel{def}{=} \omega(\bar{X}) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\omega}(\bar{X}) = -\omega(X)$

Proof: Since 1-from ω is covariant almost analytic, then we have

$$\omega(((D_X F)Y) - (D_Y F)X) \stackrel{def}{=} (D_{\bar{X}}\omega)(Y) - (D_X\omega)(\bar{Y}) \quad \dots(1)$$

Taking covariant derivative of $\tilde{\omega}(Y) = \omega(\bar{Y})$ with respect to X and \bar{X} , we get

$$(D_X\tilde{\omega})(Y) = (D_X\omega)(\bar{Y}) + \omega((D_X F)Y) \quad \dots(2)$$

and

$$(D_{\bar{X}}\tilde{\omega})(Y) = (D_{\bar{X}}\omega)(\bar{Y}) + \omega((D_{\bar{X}} F)Y) \quad \dots(3)$$

Barring Y in (2)

$$(D_X\tilde{\omega})(\bar{Y}) = -(D_X\omega)(Y) + \omega((D_X F)(\bar{Y})) \quad \dots(4)$$

Now consider

$$F(\bar{Y}) = -Y$$

Taking its covariant derivative with respect to X , we get

$$(D_X F)(\bar{Y}) = -F((D_X F)(Y)) \quad \dots(5)$$

Operating by ω , we get

$$\omega((D_X F)(\bar{Y})) = -\tilde{\omega}((D_X F)(X)) \quad \dots(6)$$

Putting (6) in (4), we get

$$(D_X \tilde{\omega})(\bar{Y}) = -(D_X \omega)(Y) - \tilde{\omega}((D_X F)(Y)) \quad \dots(7)$$

Now using (3) and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (D_X \tilde{\omega})(Y) - (D_X \tilde{\omega})(\bar{Y}) &= (D_{\bar{X}} \omega)(\bar{Y}) + (D_X \omega)(Y) + \omega((D_{\bar{X}} F)(Y)) \\ &\quad + \omega((D_{\bar{X}} F)(Y)) + \tilde{\omega}((D_X F)(Y)) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(8)$$

Interchanging X and Y in (1), then barring X , we get

$$-\tilde{\omega}((D_Y F)(X)) = (D_{\bar{X}} \omega)(\bar{Y}) + (D_X \omega)(Y) + \omega((D_{\bar{X}} F)(Y)) \quad \dots(9)$$

Using (9) in (8), we get the result.

Theorem: If on an almost complex manifold, the covariant almost analytic vector field ω is closed then $\tilde{\omega}$ is also closed.

Where $\tilde{\omega}(X) \stackrel{def}{=} \omega(\bar{X}) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\omega}(\bar{X}) = -\omega(X)$

Proof: We have

$$d\tilde{\omega}(X, Y) = d\omega(\bar{X}, Y)$$

or

$$d\tilde{\omega}(\bar{X}, Y) = -d\omega(X, Y)$$

If ω is closed, then $d\omega = 0 \Rightarrow (d\tilde{\omega})(\bar{X}, Y) = 0 \Rightarrow d\tilde{\omega} = 0$.

Theorem: If ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ are both closed on an almost complex manifold then they are both covariant almost analytic, where

$$\tilde{\omega}(X) \stackrel{def}{=} \omega(\bar{X}) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\omega}(\bar{X}) = -\omega(X).$$

Proof: If ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ are both closed then

$$d\omega(X, Y) = (D_X \omega)(Y) - (D_Y \omega)(X) = 0 \quad \dots(1)$$

and

$$d\tilde{\omega}(X, Y) = (D_X \tilde{\omega})(Y) - (D_Y \tilde{\omega})(X) = 0 \quad \dots(2)$$

Now consider,

$$\tilde{\omega}(Y) = \omega(\bar{Y})$$

Taking its covariant derivative with respect to X , we get

$$(D_X \tilde{\omega})(Y) = (D_X \omega)(\bar{Y}) + \omega((D_X F)(Y)) \quad \dots(3)$$

Interchanging X and Y , we get

$$(D_Y \tilde{\omega})(X) = (D_Y \omega)(\bar{X}) + \omega((D_Y F)(X)) \quad \dots(4)$$

From (3) and (4), we have

$$(D_X \tilde{\omega})(Y) - (D_Y \tilde{\omega})(X) = (D_X \omega)(\bar{Y}) - (D_Y \omega)(\bar{X}) + \omega((D_X F)(Y)) - \omega((D_Y F)(X))$$

or

$$(D_Y \omega)(\bar{X}) - (D_X \omega)(\bar{Y}) = \omega((D_X F)(Y)) - \omega((D_Y F)(X))$$

Now

$$(D_{\bar{X}} \omega)(Y) - (D_X \omega)(Y) - (D_{\bar{X}} \omega)(Y) + (D_Y \omega)(\bar{X}) = \omega((D_X F)(Y)) - \omega((D_Y F)(X))$$

Using (1), we get

$$(D_{\bar{X}} \omega)(Y) - (D_X \omega)(\bar{Y}) = \omega((D_X F)(Y)) - \omega((D_Y F)(X))$$

Hence l -from ω is covariant almost analytic.

We know that if l -from ω is covariant almost analytic then $\tilde{\omega}$ is also covariant almost analytic.

F-Connection

Def.: An affine connection D on an almost complex manifold is called an F -connection if,

$$(D_X F)(Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow D_X \bar{Y} = \overline{D_X Y}$$

In an almost complex manifold, we have

$$\overline{D_X Y} + D_X \overline{Y} = 0.$$

Theorem: Given an arbitrary connection B , connection D is defined by,

$$2D_X Y \stackrel{def}{=} B_X Y - \overline{B_X \overline{Y}}.$$

Then show that D is an F -connection.

Proof: We have,

$$2D_X Y = B_X Y - \overline{B_X \overline{Y}} \tag{1}$$

Barring Y in (1), we get

$$2D_X \overline{Y} = B_X \overline{Y} + \overline{B_X Y} \tag{2}$$

Barring whole equation (1), we get

$$2\overline{D_X Y} = \overline{B_X Y} + B_X \overline{Y} \tag{3}$$

From (2) and (3), we get the result.

Theorem: On an almost complex manifold if the F -connection D is symmetric then Nijenhuis tensor vanishes.

Proof: Nijenhuis tensor on an almost complex manifold is defined as

$$N(X, Y) = [F, F](X, Y) \stackrel{def}{=} [\overline{X}, \overline{Y}] - [X, Y] - [\overline{X}, Y] - [X, \overline{Y}].$$

When connection D is symmetric F -connection,

- (i) Torson tensor $s = 0$,
- (ii) $D_X F = 0$

Where

$$s(X, Y) \stackrel{def}{=} D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y]$$

Since $s = 0$

Therefore $D_X Y - D_Y X = [X, Y]$

$$N(X, Y) = D_X \overline{Y} - D_Y \overline{X} - D_X Y + D_Y X - \overline{D_X Y} + \overline{D_Y X} - \overline{D_X Y} + \overline{D_Y X} \tag{1}$$

Since D is an F -connection,

$$D_X F = 0 \Rightarrow D_X \overline{Y} = \overline{D_X Y} \tag{2}$$

Using (2) in (1), we get the result.

References

- [1] A.Z. Petrov (1969): Einstein Spaces Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- [2] Hicks, N.J. (1965): Notes on Differential Geometry D. Van Nostrand Company Inc., New York.
- [3] K. Yano (1965): Differential geometry on Complex and Almost Complex Spaces Pergmon Press.
- [4] K. Yano and M. Kon (1984): Structures on Manifolds World Scientific Publishing Company Pvt. Ltd., P.O. Box 128, Farrer Road, Singapore, 9128.
- [5] R.S. Mishra (1984): Structures on a differentiable manifold and their applications Chandrama Prakashan, 50A Balrampur House, Allahabad, India.
- [6] Lovejoy S. Das and Ram Nivas: Harmonic morphism an almost r-contact metric manifolds Algebras Groups and Geometries, 22 (2005), 61-68.
- [7] Lovejoy S. Das and Ram Nivas: On certain structures defined on the tangent bundle Rocky Mountain J. of Mathematics, U.S.A., vol. 36, no. 6 (2006), pp. 1857-1866.