Inertia of Distance Matrix of Neuron Graph Distance Matrix

Prof. Vinay Dukale

Abstract :-

Let D denote the distance matrix of a connected graph G. The inertia of D is the triple of integers $(n_+(D), n_-(D), n_0(D))$, where $n_+(D), n_-(D), n_0(D)$ denote the number of positive, negative and 0 eigenvalues of D, respectively. In this paper, we will find the inertia of distance matrix of spider graph which is a extension of wheel graph. [1]

1. Introduction :-

Let G be a undirected connected graph with n vertices. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, then the distance between two vertices v_i and v_i is the length of shortest path between v_i and v_i , denoted by $d_G(v_i, v_j)$. The distance matrix of a graph is defined in a similar way as the adjacency matrix: the entry in the i^{th} row, j^{th} th column is the distance between the i^{th} and j^{th} vertex. In this paper we will denote distance matrix of garph G by G only. The D-eigenvalues of a graph G are the eigenvalues of its distance matrix G which form the distance spectrum or D - spectrum of G.

The inertia of a real symmetric matrix G is triple (x, y, z) where x, y, z are the number of positive, negative and zero eigenvalues of distance matrix of a graph G, respectively. It is denoted by In(G) = (x, y, z).

Definition: Inertia of distance matrix of an undirected connected graph G

Let G be an undirected connected graph. Then inertia of G is triple (x, y, z) where x, y, z are the number of positive, negative and zero eigenvalues of distance matrix of a graph G, respectively. It is denoted by In(G) = (x, y, z).

Definition :- Neuron of tree T, $\aleph(T)$

Let T be a tree on r+1 vertices. Then $\aleph(T)$ is a Neuron form by replacing edges of T by connected graph G_i , for $1 \le i \le r$.

We say $\aleph(T) = G$ is Neuron with r blocks, labled by G_1, G_2, \dots, G_r .

Definition:- Lotus of star S, $\Psi(S)$

Let S be a star on r+1 vertices. Then $\Psi(S)$ is a lotus form by replacing edges of S by connected graph G_i , for $1 \le i \le r$.

We say $\Psi(S) = G$ is lotus with r blocks, labled by G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_r .

Every Lotus is a Neuron as every star is a tree.

Sylvester Theorem :-

Let A and B be two symmetric matrices. Then there exists an invertible matrix S such that $A = S \cdot B \cdot S'$ if and only if In(A) = In(B), where S' is a transpose of S.

Cauchy's Interlacing Theorem:

Let A be a Hermitian matrix of order n and B be a principal submatrix of A of order m. If $\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq \lambda_{n-2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$ lists the eigenvalues of A and $\mu_m \leq \mu_{m-1} \leq \mu_{m-2} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_1$ lists the eigenvalues of B. Then $\lambda_{i+n-m} \leq \mu_i \leq \lambda_i$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. In perticular, if m = n - 1, then, $\lambda_{i+1} \le \mu_i \le \lambda_i$, where i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1.

Notations :-

- $\overline{(1)}$ We will denote distance matrix of graph G by G only.
- (2) If G and H be any two connected graph, then $Gu \cdot vH$ is graph obtain by merging vertex u of G with vertex v of H.
- (3) Matrix \widetilde{G}_u is a matrix formed by removing row and column of G corresponding to vertex u, after subtracting it from remaining rows and columns respectively.
- (4) $n_{+}(G)$, $n_{-}(G)$ and $n_{0}(G)$ are the number of positive, negative and zero eigenvalue of G respectively.
- (5) $d_G(a,b) = \text{length of shortest path from vertex } a \text{ to vertex } b \text{ in graph } G.$
- (6) We will denote transpose of matrix A by A'.

Theorem 1:-

Let G & H be any two connected graphs. Let $Gu \cdot vH$ be a graph formed by merging vertex u of graph G and vertex v of graph H. Then $In((Gu \cdot vH)_u) = In(\widetilde{G}_u) + In(\widetilde{H}_u)$

Proof:-

Let
$$V(G) = \{u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n+1}\}$$
 & $V(H) = \{v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m+1}\}$
 $\therefore V(Gu \cdot vH) = \{u_{n+1}, u_n, \dots, u_1 = u = v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m+1}\}$
 $\therefore |V(Gu \cdot vH)| = n + m + 1$

Since u = v is the only common vertex of G and H.

 $\therefore d_{Gu \cdot vH}(u_i, v_j) = d_G(u_i, u) + d_H(v_j, u).$

Consider distance matrix of $Gu \cdot vH$.

Where, $d(u, G - u) = [d_G(u, u_2) \cdots d_G(u, u_{n+1})]$, $d(u, H - u) = [d_H(v, v_2) \cdots d_H(v, v_{m+1})]$. G_u and H_u is principal submatrix of distance of matrix G and H respectively, corresponding to u.

$$L_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times n}.$$

$$Let \ Q = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -L'_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -L'_m & I_m \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1+m) \times (n+1+m)}$$
Where I is a identity matrix of order.

Where I_n is a identity matrix of order n.

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{\text{\textbf{Consider }} Gu \cdot vH} = Q \cdot (Gu \cdot vH) \cdot Q' = \\ & \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -L'_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -L'_m & I_m \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} G_u & d(u,G-u)' & d(u,G-u)' \cdot L_m + L'_n \cdot d(u,H-u) \\ d(u,G-u) & 0 & d(u,H-u) \\ L'_m \cdot d(u,G-u) + d(u,H-u)' \cdot L_n & d(u,H-u)' & H_u \end{bmatrix} \times Q' \end{split}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} G_u - L'_n \cdot d(u, G - u) & d(u, G - u)' & d(u, G - u)' \cdot L_m \\ d(u, G - u) & 0 & d(u, H - u) \\ d(u, H - u)' \cdot L_n & d(u, H - u)' & H_u - L'_m \cdot d(u, H - u) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ -L_n & 1 & -L_m \\ 0 & 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\begin{bmatrix}G_{u}-d(u,G-u)'\cdot L_{n}-L'_{n}\cdot d(u,G-u) & d(u,G-u)' & 0\\ d(u,G-u) & 0 & d(u,H-u)\\ 0 & d(u,H-u)' & H_{u}-d(u,H-u)'\cdot L_{m}-L'_{m}\cdot d(u,H-u)\end{bmatrix}$$
 Note that $\widetilde{G_{u}}=G_{u}-d(u,G-u)'\cdot L_{n}-L'_{n}\cdot d(u,G-u)$ and $\widetilde{H_{u}}=H_{u}-d(u,H-u)'\cdot L_{m}-L'_{m}\cdot d(u,H-u)$

$$Gu \cdot vH =$$

Corollary 1.1:-

Let G be Neuron with blocks G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_r . Let $U = \{u : u \in V(G_i) \cap V(G_j), 1 \le i < j \le r\}$.

Then,
$$In(\widetilde{G}_u) = \sum_{i=1}^r In(\widetilde{(G_i)}_u)$$
, for $u \in U$.

Proof:-

We will prove this result by induction on $r \ge 2$ (number of blocks).

Let r=2. That is $G=G_1\cdot G_2$

Let u be a merging vertex of G_1 and G_2 .

By Theorem 1, we get result, $In((\widetilde{G_1} \cdot \widetilde{G_2})_u) = In(\widetilde{G_1}_u) + In(\widetilde{G_2}_u)$

Hence result is true for r=2

Assume result is true for number of blocks less than r.

Let $u \in U$.

 $\therefore \exists p, q \text{ for } 1 \leq p < q \leq r \text{ such that } u \in V(G_p) \cap V(G_q).$

Since G is cactus, therefore \exists subgraph H_1 and H_2 such that $G = H_1 \cdot H_2$ merged at u and G_p, G_q are subgraph of H_1 and H_2 respectively.

W.L.O.G

Let H_1 is a cactus containing blocks G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k and H_2 is a cactus containing blocks $G_{k+1}, G_{k+2}, \ldots, G_r$, where $1 \le p \le k < q \le r$

$$\therefore \text{ by induction hypotheses, } In(\widetilde{(H_1)}_u) = \sum_{i=1}^k In(\widetilde{(G_i)}_u) \text{ and } In(\widetilde{(H_2)}_u) = \sum_{i=k+1}^r In(\widetilde{(G_i)}_u)$$

Also $G = H_1 \cdot H_2$,

: by theorem 1 we get,

$$In(G_u) = In((H_1 \cdot H_2)_u)$$

$$= In((H_1)_u) + In((H_2)_u)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{k}In(\widetilde{(G_i)}_u)+\sum_{i=k+1}^{r}In(\widetilde{(G_i)}_u)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{r}In(\widetilde{(G_i)}_u)$$

by induction, result is true for r blocks.

Hence proved.

...000

 $\dots (2.2)$

Theorem 2:-

Let G & H be any two connected graphs such that $In(G) = (1, y_1, z_1)$, $In(\widetilde{G}_u) = (0, y_1, z_1)$, $In(H)=(1,y_2,z_2)$ and $In(\tilde{H}_u)=(0,y_2,z_2)$. Let $Gu\cdot vH$ be a graph formed by merging vertex u of graph G and vertex v of graph H. Then $In(Gu \cdot vH) = (1, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2)$

Proof:-

Let
$$V(G) = \{u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n+1}\}$$
 & $V(H) = \{v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m+1}\}$.

$$\therefore V(Gu \cdot vH) = \{u_{n+1}, u_n, \dots, u_1 = u = v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m+1}\}.$$

$$\therefore |V(Gu \cdot vH)| = n + m + 1.$$

(1) To prove, $n_{+}(Gu \cdot vH) = 1$.

We have
$$In((\widetilde{Gu}\cdot vH)_u)=In(\widetilde{G}_u)+In(\widetilde{H}_u)$$
 ... by theorem 1

$$\therefore$$
 By given condition, $In((\widetilde{Gu \cdot vH})_u) = (0, y_1, z_1) + (0, y_2, z_2) = (0, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2)$

$$\therefore n_{+}((\widetilde{Gu \cdot vH})_{u}) = 0$$
, $n_{0}((\widetilde{Gu \cdot vH})_{u}) = z_{1} + z_{2}$ and $n_{-}((\widetilde{Gu \cdot vH})_{u}) = y_{1} + y_{2}$... (2.1)

$$\therefore n_+(Gu \cdot vH) \le 1$$
 ... by interlacing theorem But $Trace(Gu \cdot vH) = 0 \implies n_+(Gu \cdot vH) > 1$

But
$$Trace(Gu \cdot vH) = 0 \implies n_{+}(Gu \cdot vH) \ge 1$$

 $\therefore n_{+}(Gu \cdot vH) = 1$...(*)

(2) To prove, $n_0(Gu \cdot vH) = z_1 + z_2$.

Since
$$n_+(Gu \cdot vH) = 1$$
.

.. By interlacing theorem
$$n_0(Gu \cdot vH) \leq n_0((Gu \cdot vH)_u)$$

.. By (2.1) $n_0(Gu \cdot vH) \leq z_1 + z_2$

Claim: $z_1 + z_2 \leq n_0(Gu \cdot vH)$.

Case (i):-
$$z_2 = 0$$
.

Since G be induce subgraph of $Gu \cdot vH$.

 \therefore by interlacing theorem, $n_0(G) \leq n_0(Gu \cdot vH)$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \therefore z_1 \leq n_0(Gu \cdot vH) & \dots \text{given } n_0(G) = z_1 \\ \therefore z_1 + z_2 \leq n_0(Gu \cdot vH) & \dots \text{(2.3)} \\ \text{Case (ii):-} \ z_2 \neq 0. & \end{array}$$

Where,
$$d(u, G - u) = [d_G(u, u_2) \cdots d_G(u, u_{n+1})]$$
, $d(u, H - u) = [d_H(v, v_2) \cdots d_H(v, v_{m+1})]$.

 G_u and H_u is principal submatrix of distance of matrix G and H respectively, corresponding to u and

$$L_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times n}$$
Let $Q_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -L'_{n-1} & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$

Where I_n is a identity matrix of order n. Note that, $det(Q_n) = 1$.

Hence by Sylvester theorem, for any symmetric matrix A, $In(Q_n \cdot A \cdot Q'_n) = In(A)$...(2.4)

Let
$$R_{n,m} = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -L'_m & I_m \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1+m)\times(n+1+m)}$$
. Note that, $det(R_{n,m}) = 1$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -L'_m & I_m \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1+m)\times(n+1+m)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Consider}, & (R_{n,m})(Gu \cdot vH)(R_{n,m})' = \\ & \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -L'_m & I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G_u & d(u,G-u)' & d(u,G-u)' \cdot L_m + L'_n \cdot d(u,H-u) \\ d(u,G-u) & 0 & d(u,H-u)' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -L_m \\ 0 & 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} G_u & d(u,G-u)' & d(u,G-u)' \cdot L_m + L'_n \cdot d(u,H-u)' \\ d(u,G-u) & 0 & d(u,H-u) \\ d(u,H-u)' \cdot L_n & d(u,H-u)' & H_u - L'_m \cdot d(u,H-u) \\ d(u,H-u)' \cdot L_n & d(u,H-u)' & H_u - L'_m \cdot d(u,H-u) \\ d(u,G-u) & 0 & d(u,H-u) \\ d(u,H-u)' \cdot L_n & d(u,H-u)' & \widetilde{H_u} \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1+m)\times(n+1+m)}$$

$$\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Where}, \end{aligned}$$

Where,
We have
$$Q_{m+1} \cdot H \cdot Q'_{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & d(u, H-u) \\ d(u, H-u)' & \widetilde{H_u} \end{bmatrix}_{(m+1)\times(m+1)} = H_u^*$$
 (say)

 $\begin{array}{l} \therefore \text{ by } \textbf{(2.4)} \ In(H) = In(Q_{m+1} \cdot H \cdot Q'_{m+1}) = In(H^*_u) \\ \therefore \ Nullity(H^*_u) = Nullity(H) = z_2 \geq 1 \end{array}$

 $\dots H_u^*, H$ are symmetric

W.L.O.G. $\exists z_2$ row transformations which vanishes rows corresponding to $v_{m+2-z_2}, v_{m+3-z_2}, \dots, v_{m+1}$ in H_u^* .

Since $Nullity(H_u^*) = z_2 = Nullity(\widetilde{H_u})$ and $\widetilde{H_u}$ is principle submatrix of H_u^* corresponding to first row.

 \therefore The above row transformations are independent of first row of H_u^* , i.e. R_{n+1}

Consider a submtrix A of order $m \times (n+1+m)$ which contain last m rows of $(R_{n,m})(Gu \cdot vH)(R_{n,m})'$. From (2.5), we get, first n column of A are same as the $(n+1)^{th}$ column of A, which is a first column of H_n^* excluding first entry(0).

 \therefore Above row transformation also vanishes last z_2 entries of first n column of A.

Let the row transformation corresponding to v_{m+1} is $R_{n+m+1} = b_{(n+m+1)(n+2)}R_{n+2} + b_{(n+m+1)(n+3)}R_{n+3} + b_{(n+m+1)(n+3)}R_{n+3}$

$$\cdots + b_{(n+m+1)(n+m)}R_{n+m} + b_{(n+m+1)(n+m+1)}R_{n+m+1}$$
, where $b_{(n+m+1)(n+m+1)} \neq 0$

Let P_{n+m+1} be the corresponding row transformation matrix.

 $\therefore P_{n+m+1} =$

Here,
$$det(P_{n+m+1}) = b_{(n+m+1)(n+m+1)} \neq 0$$
. $\dots : d(u, H-u) > 0$
By using (2.5), we get $P_{n+m+1} \cdot (R_{n,m})(Gu \cdot vH)(R_{n,m})' \cdot P'_{n+m+1} =$
= Simillarly, let the row transformation corresponding to v_i is $R_{n+i} = b_{(n+i)(n+2)}R_{n+2} + b_{(n+i)(n+3)}R_{n+3} +$
 $\dots + b_{(n+i)(n+i-1)}R_{n+i-1} + b_{(n+i)(n+i)}R_{n+i}$, where $b_{(n+i)(n+i)} \neq 0$, for $i = m+2-z_2, m+3-z_2, \dots, m+1$.
And P_{n+i} be the corresponding row transformation matrix.

$$\therefore P_{n+m+2-z_2} \cdot P_{n+m+3-z_2} \cdots P_{n+m} \cdot P_{n+m+1} \cdot (R_{n,m}) (Gu \cdot vH) (R_{n,m})' \cdot P'_{n+m+1} \cdot P'_{n+m} \cdots P'_{n+m+3-z_2} \cdot P'_{n+m+2-z_2} =$$

Let S be the principle submatrix of $Gu \cdot vH$, formed by removing last z_2 rows and columns. Note that S is also the principle submatrix of $(R_{n,m})(Gu \cdot vH)(R_{n,m})'$, formed by removing last z_2 rows

Let $\vec{R} = P_{n+m+2-z_2} \cdot P_{n+m+3-z_2} \dots P_{n+m} \cdot P_{n+m+1} \cdot (R_{n,m})$

$$\therefore R \cdot (Gu \cdot vH) \cdot R' = \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore In(R \cdot (Gu \cdot vH) \cdot R') = In(S) + (0, 0, z_2)$$
Since $det(P_i) \neq 0$ and $det(R_{n,m}) = 1 \implies det(R) \neq 0 \implies R$ is invertible.
$$\therefore \text{ By Sylvester theorem, } In(Gu \cdot vH) = In(R \cdot (Gu \cdot vH) \cdot R') = In(S) + (0, 0, z_2)$$

$$\therefore n_0(Gu \cdot vH) = n_0(S) + z_2 \qquad \dots (2.6)$$

Note that G is principle submatrix of S.

 $n_0(G) \leq n_0(S)$... by Interlacing theorem i.e. $z_1 \leq n_0(S)$ $z_1 + z_2 \le n_0(S) + z_2 \implies z_1 + z_2 \le n_0(Gu \cdot vH).$...By (2.6) \therefore by both the cases, we get, $z_1 + z_2 \le n_0(Gu \cdot vH)$. $\dots (2.7)$

:. By (2.2) and (2.7), we get,
$$n_0(Gu \cdot vH) = z_1 + z_2$$
 ... (**)

(3) To prove $n_{-}(Gu \cdot vH) = y_1 + y_2$.

We have
$$|V(Gu \cdot vH)| = |V(G)| + |V(H)| - 1$$

 $\therefore n_+(Gu \cdot vH) + n_-(Gu \cdot vH) + n_0(Gu \cdot vH) = (1 + y_1 + z_1) + (1 + y_2 + z_2) - 1$
 $\therefore (1) + n_-(Gu \cdot vH) + (z_1 + z_2) = 1 + (y_1 + y_2) + (z_1 + z_2)$... by (*) and (**)
 $\therefore n_-(Gu \cdot vH) = y_1 + y_2$
Hence proved. ... \bigcirc

Theorem 3:-

Let G be Neuron with blocks
$$G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_r$$
. Let $U = \{u : u \in V(G_i) \cap V(G_j), 1 \le i < j \le r\}$. If $In(G_i) = (1, y_i, z_i)$ and $In((\widetilde{G_i})_u) = (0, y_i, z_i)$, where, $u \in U$
Then, $In(G) = (1, \sum_{i=1}^r n_i, \sum_{i=1}^r z_i)$.

Proof:-

We will prove this result by induction on $r \ge 2$ (number of blocks).

Let r=2. That is $G=G_1\cdot G_2$

Let $In(G_1) = (1, y_1, z_1)$ and $In(G_2) = (1, y_2, z_2)$

Let u be a merging vertex of G_1 and G_2 .

By Theorem 2, we get result, $In(G_1 \cdot G_2) = (1, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2)$

Hence result is true for r=2

Assume result is true for number of blocks less than r.

Let $u \in U$.

 $\therefore \exists p, q \text{ for } 1 \leq p < q \leq r \text{ such that } u \in V(G_p) \cap V(G_q).$

Since G is Neuron, therfore \exists subgraph H_1 and H_2 of G such that $G = H_1 \cdot H_2$ merged at u and G_p, G_q are subgraph of H_1 and H_2 respectively.

Let H_1 is a Neuron containing blocks G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k and H_2 is a Neuron containing blocks $G_{k+1}, G_{k+2}, \ldots, G_r$, where $1 \le p \le k < q \le r$

where
$$1 \le p \le k < q \le r$$
 \therefore by induction hypotheses, $In(H_1) = (1, \sum_{i=1}^k y_i, \sum_{i=1}^k z_i)$ and $In(H_2) = (1, \sum_{i=k+1}^r y_i, \sum_{i=k+1}^r z_i)$

Also by Corollary 1.1, $In(\widetilde{(H_1)}_u) = (0, \sum_{i=1}^k y_i, \sum_{i=1}^k z_i)$ and $In(\widetilde{(H_2)}_u) = (0, \sum_{i=k+1}^r y_i, \sum_{i=k+1}^r z_i)$

Note that, $G = H_1 \cdot H_2$, merged at u .

Note that, $G = H_1 \cdot H_2$, merged at u.

: by theorem 2 we get,

$$In(G) = In(H_1 \cdot H_2)$$

$$= (1, \sum_{i=1}^k y_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^r y_i, \sum_{i=1}^k z_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^r z_i)$$

$$= (1, \sum_{i=1}^r y_i, \sum_{i=1}^r z_i)$$

: by induction, result is true for r blocks. Hence proved.

...000

Corollary 3.1:-

Let T be tree on n vertices. Then In(T) = (1, n-1, 0).

Proof:-

Note that Tree is cactus having n-1 blocks K_2 .

We have $In(K_2) = (1, 1, 0)$ and $In(\widetilde{K_2}) = (0, 1, 0)$.

: by theorem 2,
$$In(T) = (1, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 0) = (1, n-1, 0)$$
.

Corollary 3.2:-

Let G be Lotus with blocks G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_r . Let u be the merging point of G_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If $In(G_i) = (1, y_i, z_i)$ and $In((\widetilde{G_i})_u) = (0, y_i, z_i)$, where, $u \in U$

Then,
$$In(G) = (1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i).$$

Proof:-

Since every lotus is a cactus.

result is true directly from Theorem 3.

...000

References

- [1] X. Zhang, C. Song, The distance matrices of some graphs related to wheel graphs, J.Appl. Math. 2013 (2013) 707954, 5 pp.
- [2] X. Zhang, C. Godsil, The inertia of distance matrices of some graphs, Discrete Math. 313 (2013) 1655-1664.
- [3] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, and H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs, vol. 87, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1980, Theory and application.