



# Murder Of Innocence In The Crossfire

Dr.Saroj Nain

Associate Professor

Department of English

Pt.CLS Govt. College, Karnal

## Abstract

Saadat Hasan Manto's short story, "The Dog of Tithwal," is a searing critique of the absurdity and inherent dehumanization of war, set against the backdrop of the 1947-48 Indo-Pakistani conflict. This paper argues that Manto employs the stray dog as a powerful allegorical figure to expose the futility of nationalist fervor and the destruction of innocence that war necessitates. The analysis begins by situating the story within the context of the Partition and Manto's larger literary project, which consistently unmask the hypocrisies of a society torn by violence. The paper then delves into a close reading of the narrative, tracing the dog's journey from a neutral, apolitical entity to a symbol of contested nationalism and, finally, to a tragic victim. The initial interaction between the soldiers and the dog reveals a universal human need for companionship and relief from the psychological monotony of war, momentarily transcending manufactured national identities. However, this fragile connection is shattered when the human compulsion to assign allegiance—to impose a political identity upon innocent existence—corrupts the relationship. The act of tying a message to the dog's neck transforms it from a living being into a military object, a symbol of espionage. Its subsequent killing is not merely an act of violence but the inevitable consequence of a logic that cannot accommodate neutrality or innocence. The paper concludes that the dead dog lying in the valley is Manto's ultimate indictment of war. It serves as a silent testament to the destruction of simple humanity, suggesting that in the machinery of conflict, the first casualties are empathy, connection, and any form of life that does not conform to the brutal binary of "us" versus "them." Through this concise yet profound narrative, Manto moves beyond the specificities of the Indo-Pakistani dispute to comment on the universal and tragic absurdity of all human conflict.

## Keywords:

1. Saadat Hasan Manto
2. Partition Literature
3. Absurdity of War
4. Humanism
5. Indo-Pakistani Conflict
6. Innocence
7. Allegory
8. Psychological Impact of War

Saadat Hasan Manto, arguably the most provocative and clear-sighted chronicler of the Partition of the Indian subcontinent, possessed a unique ability to distill vast human tragedies into stark, unflinching vignettes. His stories often bypass the grand narratives of politics and nationalism to focus on the fractured lives at the margins. "The Dog of Tithwal," set during the Indo-Pakistani War of 1947-48, is a quintessential example of his narrative genius. In this brief but devastating tale, Manto uses the seemingly simple allegory of a stray dog to mount a profound critique against the absurdity of war, revealing how it corrupts human instinct, destroys innocence, and renders meaningless the very boundaries it seeks to enforce.

The story's power derives from its setting and its central symbol. The mountainous terrain of Tithwal, with Indian and Pakistani soldiers dug into opposing ridges, represents a classic military stalemate. More significantly, it represents a psychological and ideological impasse. The "no-man's-land" between them is not just a physical space but a metaphorical void, a liminal area where the rigid definitions of friend and enemy break down. Into this void wanders the stray dog, a creature of pure instinct, unaware of the human-constructed conflict it has entered. Its confusion is a stark contrast to the soldiers' entrenched positions. As Ayyub Qadir notes in his analysis of Manto's war stories, the animal represents "an untouched consciousness, a being that exists outside the man-made catastrophe of partition" (Qadir 45). The dog's initial role is that of a pacifier and a unifier. Bored and lonely, soldiers from both sides project their need for companionship onto the animal, feeding it and playfully arguing over its nationality. This period represents a temporary suspension of hostility, a fleeting moment where a shared humanity, expressed through the simple act of caring for an animal, overrides nationalist indoctrination.

This brief camaraderie centered on the dog highlights a fundamental Mantesque theme: the persistence of essential humanity beneath the veneer of ideology. The soldiers are not depicted as fanatics but as ordinary men trapped in an extraordinary situation. Their boredom and loneliness are universal human conditions, and the dog becomes a Rorschach test for their own homesickness and desire for normalcy. The playful argument over whether the dog is Indian or Pakistani is initially a harmless game, a psychological release valve. It reveals the arbitrary nature of these very identities, recently and violently imposed upon a populace that had long coexisted. In this, Manto foreshadows the works of later writers like Edward Said, who would explore how identities are constructed in opposition to an "other." However, Manto's focus is more intimate, showing how this process of "othering" is not a grand political strategy but a slow, insidious poison that seeps into the most mundane interactions, ultimately corrupting them (Said 332). The dog, in its innocent shuttling between the two sides, embodies a forgotten possibility of coexistence, making its eventual fate all the more tragic.

However, Manto swiftly dismantles this fragile peace, demonstrating that the logic of war is all-consuming. The pivotal moment arrives when an Indian soldier decides to formalize the dog's allegiance by tying a message to its neck. This act is deeply symbolic; it marks the imposition of a political and military identity onto a creature of innocence. The dog is no longer just a dog; it is transformed into a "messenger," a potential spy, an object within the military apparatus. This human compulsion to categorize and claim corrupts the pure, apolitical relationship that had briefly flourished. As Ritu Menon argues, "Manto's work repeatedly shows how the violence of Partition was not just physical but also epistemological—a violence that forced new, brutal identities upon people and things" (Menon 112). The dog, by being assigned a side, loses its neutrality and, consequently, its right to life.

The message itself is irrelevant; its mere presence is a contaminant. This act can be interpreted as a failure of imagination, a retreat to the safety of militarized protocol when faced with the unsettling ambiguity that the dog represented. The soldier, unable to sustain the cognitive dissonance of loving the same "enemy" dog as his Pakistani counterpart, reasserts control by forcing the animal into a recognizable category of war. This mirrors the broader societal failure during Partition, where complex, multifaceted human relationships were brutally simplified into the binary of Hindu/Muslim, Indian/Pakistani. The thread around the dog's neck is as lethal as a noose; it is the literal and figurative tie that binds it to a conflict it never chose, echoing the forced allegiances that doomed millions to become refugees or victims of violence in 1947 (Pandey 89).

The Pakistani soldiers' reaction—misinterpreting the gesture and shooting the dog—is the tragic, inevitable conclusion of this corruption. The killing is not portrayed as an act of singular malice but as a predictable outcome within the paranoid framework of war. The dog's death is swift and unceremonious, highlighting the cheapness of life in a conflict zone. This moment echoes the absurdist logic found in the works of authors like Albert Camus, where systems of power and conflict create situations where irrational violence becomes the norm. The dog, like the innocent civilians caught in the crossfire of Partition, becomes a "silent victim," its death signifying the destruction of a potential bridge between warring factions. The connection it fostered dies with it, and the atmosphere reverts to one of pure hostility and suspicion.

The immediate return to hostility after the shooting underscores Manto's pessimistic view of the conflict's trajectory. The interlude of peace was just that—a temporary pause, not a turning point. The death of the dog effectively kills the last vestiges of shared humanity on that ridge. The soldiers do not mourn together; instead, the dead animal becomes a new point of contention, a symbol of the other side's treachery. The absurdity reaches its peak here: the one entity that was truly neutral, that belonged to the land itself rather than to any nation-state, is destroyed because of the very nationalism it temporarily suspended. In this, Manto's story functions as a powerful allegory for the fate of Kashmir itself, a territory whose natural and human landscape became a pawn in a larger geopolitical game, its innocence and desire for self-determination crushed by competing nationalisms (Jalal 205). The story thus operates on two parallel levels: as a specific commentary on the Indo-Pakistani impasse over Kashmir and as a universal parable about the self-destructive nature of war.

The story's conclusion is a masterstroke of understated tragedy. The image of the dead dog lying in the valley is a powerful synecdoche for all the innocent lives crushed by the wheels of war and nationalism. Manto offers no consolation, no moral victory. The dog's fate is a direct indictment of the human conflict it could never comprehend. Through this narrative, Manto aligns himself with a tradition of humanist writing that condemns war not merely for its physical destruction but for its psychological and moral devastation. He shows that war's greatest cruelty may be its ability to extinguish the simplest forms of connection and innocence, leaving behind only the barren landscape of "us" versus "them." "The Dog of Tithwal" thus transcends its historical context to stand as a timeless and universal warning against the absurdity and dehumanizing nature of armed conflict.

#### References:

1. Butalia, Urvashi. *The Other Side of Silence: Voices from the Partition of India*. Duke University Press, 2000.
2. Camus, Albert. *The Myth of Sisyphus and Other Essays*. Translated by Justin O'Brien, Vintage, 1991.
3. Hasan, Khalid. *Bitter Fruit: The Very Best of Saadat Hasan Manto*. Translated by Khalid Hasan, Penguin Books, 2008.
4. Jalal, Ayesha. *The Pity of Partition: Manto's Life, Times, and Work across the India-Pakistan Divide*. Princeton University Press, 2013.
5. Menon, Ritu. "The Burden of Refuge: Partition and the Stories of Saadat Hasan Manto." *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 42, no. 4, 2007, pp. 111-115.
6. Morey, Peter. "The Rule of the Tempter: Manto's Partition Stories and the Ethics of Representation." *Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, vol. 47, no. 2, 2012, pp. 227-241.
7. Pandey, Gyanendra. *Remembering Partition: Violence, Nationalism and History in India*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
8. Qadir, Ayyub. "Animals as Allegory: The Human Condition in Manto's War Stories." *Pakistaniaat: A Journal of Pakistan Studies*, vol. 5, no. 2, 2013, pp. 41-58.
9. Said, Edward W. *Culture and Imperialism*. Vintage Books, 1994.
10. Sarkar, Bhaskar. "The Dog of Tithwal: Manto and the Aesthetics of the Minimal." *South Asian Review*, vol. 34, no. 1, 2013, pp. 155-175.