



# A Comparative Study Of *Snāyu* And Ligaments With Special Reference To The Knee Joint.

Dr Ambika P<sup>1</sup>, Dr Prakash Rathod<sup>2</sup>, Dr Pradeepkumar Akki<sup>3</sup>

PG Scholar<sup>1</sup>, Professor & HOD<sup>2</sup>, Professor<sup>3</sup>

Department of Rachana Sharir,

Ayurveda Mahavidyalaya, Hubballi-580024

## Abstract:

**Background:** In Ayurveda, *snāyu* (sinews or ligaments) are described as fibrous structures responsible for binding and stabilizing joints, muscles, and bones. In modern anatomy, ligaments are dense connective tissue bands that connect bones and provide joint stability. Despite differences in conceptual frameworks, both systems emphasize the importance of these structures in maintaining musculoskeletal integrity.

**Objective:** To comparatively analyze the Ayurvedic concept of *snāyu* and modern anatomical ligaments with special reference to the knee joint. **Materials and Methods:** A narrative review was conducted using classical Ayurvedic texts (*Caraka Samhitā*, *Suśruta Samhitā*, *Aṣṭāṅga Hṛdaya*), standard modern anatomy textbooks, and peer-reviewed research articles published in the last ten years. **Results:** *Snāyu* are described as binding structures derived from *medasdhātu* and classified morphologically into four types. Modern ligaments are composed mainly of type I collagen fibers and are classified based on their anatomical relation to joints. Both structures share similar functions in joint stabilization, particularly in the knee joint. **Conclusion:** The Ayurvedic concept of *snāyu* closely correlates with ligaments described in modern anatomy, especially regarding structure, function, and clinical relevance. An integrative understanding can enhance diagnosis and management of knee joint disorders.

**Index Terms** - *Snāyu*; Ligament; Knee Joint; *Sandhi*; Ayurveda; Anatomy.

## Introduction

Musculoskeletal stability is fundamental for posture, locomotion, and load-bearing activities. Joints act as mechanical linkages that permit movement while maintaining structural integrity, and this balance is largely achieved by fibrous connective tissues. In modern anatomy, ligaments are specialized connective tissues that connect bones and reinforce joints, thereby preventing excessive or abnormal movements<sup>1</sup>.

In Ayurveda, similar stabilizing structures are described as *snāyu* (sinews or ligaments). *Snāyu* bind *māṃsa* (muscle), *asthi* (bone), and *medas* (adipose tissue), maintaining cohesion of the musculoskeletal system<sup>2</sup>. Classical texts emphasize that injury to *snāyu* results in severe functional impairment, highlighting their clinical importance<sup>3</sup>.

The knee joint (*jānusandhi*) is the largest and one of the most biomechanically stressed joints in the human body. It depends heavily on ligamentous support for stability during activities such as walking, running, and climbing<sup>4</sup>. Ligament injuries of the knee are common and often lead to chronic instability and degenerative changes<sup>5</sup>. Hence, the knee joint serves as an ideal site for comparative evaluation of *snāyu* and ligaments.

## Concept of *Snāyu* in *Ayurveda*

### **Definition and Etymology**

The term *snāyu* (स्नायु) is derived from the Sanskrit root *sna* meaning “to bind.” *Snāyu* are described as fibrous, rope-like structures that bind body tissues together<sup>2</sup>. According to *Ayurveda*, *snāyu* are considered *upadhātu* (subsidiary tissue) of *medasdhātu* (fat tissue)<sup>6</sup>.

### **Utpatti**

Origin of *Snayu* is from unctuous part of *Meda* (*Medasaha snehamadaya*) both *Sira* and *Snayu* are produced. In which *Sira* produced from *Mrudu Paka* & *Snayu* from *Khara Paka*. The sara of *Meda* is *Asthi*, *Snayu* & *Sandhi*; and its mala is *Sweda*.

### **Classification of *Snāyu***

*Suśruta* classifies *snāyu* into four types based on their morphology and anatomical distribution<sup>3</sup>:

1. *Pratanavatīsnāyu* (network-like ligaments) – located predominantly in joints and limbs
2. *Vṛttasnāyu* (round or cord-like) – resembling tendons
3. *Pr̥thulāsnāyu* (broad and flat) – found in trunk and head regions
4. *Suśirāsnāyu* (hollow or ring-like) – present at openings of hollow organs

This classification demonstrates that *snāyu* encompasses ligaments, tendons, fascia, and other fibrous structures.

### **Description in Classical Texts**

*Caraka Saṃhitā* enumerates 900 *snāyu* in the human body<sup>7</sup>. *SuśrutaSaṃhitā* emphasizes that the body is able to bear weight only because joints are firmly bound by *snāyu*, comparing them to ropes that hold a boat together<sup>3</sup>. *AṣṭāṅgaHṛdaya* also acknowledges *snāyu* as vital structures contributing to joint stability.

### **Functional Significance**

The primary function of *snāyu* is *bandhana* (binding). They maintain structural integrity, stabilize joints, and facilitate coordinated movements<sup>2</sup>. Damage to *snāyu* leads to pain, deformity, and loss of function, underscoring their importance in both health and disease.

## Concept of Ligaments in Modern Anatomy

### **Definition and Histological Structure**

Ligaments are dense regular connective tissues that connect bones to other bones at joints<sup>1</sup>. Histologically, they are composed predominantly of parallel bundles of type I collagen fibers produced by fibroblasts, with minimal elastin content<sup>8</sup>.

### **Classification and Functions**

Ligaments are classified as capsular, extracapsular, and intracapsular based on their relation to the joint capsule<sup>9</sup>. The primary function is to stabilize joints, guide movements, and prevent excessive displacement<sup>10</sup>. Ligaments also contribute to proprioception and joint biomechanics.

## Anatomy of the Knee Joint

The knee joint is a synovial hinge joint formed by the femur, tibia, and patella<sup>4</sup>. Stability of the knee depends largely on ligamentous support.

### Major Ligaments of the Knee

- Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) – prevents anterior translation of tibia
- Posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) – prevents posterior translation of tibia
- Medial collateral ligament (MCL) – resists valgus stress
- Lateral collateral ligament (LCL) – resists varus stress
- Patellar ligament – transmits quadriceps force for knee extension<sup>4,5</sup>



Figure - Ligaments of Knee Joint

Feature	<i>Snāyu</i> (Ayurveda)	Ligament (Modern Anatomy)
Definition	Binding fibrous structure	Dense connective tissue band
Origin	<i>Medasdhātu</i>	Mesenchymal tissue
Structure	Rope-like, fibrous	Type I collagen fibers
Classification	Morphological	Anatomical
Function	Binding and stability	Joint stabilization
Clinical relevance	<i>Snāyu-gataavāta</i>	Ligament sprains/tears

### Clinical Importance

*Snāyu-gataavāta* refers to pathological involvement of *snāyu* by aggravated *vātadoṣa*, presenting with pain, stiffness, and restricted movement<sup>11</sup>. This closely resembles ligament injuries such as sprains and tears described in modern orthopedics. *Sandhi-gataavāta* correlates with osteoarthritis, where ligament degeneration contributes significantly to disease progression<sup>11,12</sup>.

### Discussion

The present review critically evaluates the Ayurvedic concept of *snāyu* in relation to ligaments described in modern anatomy, with the knee joint serving as a representative anatomical and functional model. Although Ayurveda and contemporary biomedical science originate from distinct epistemological traditions, both systems converge on the recognition of fibrous connective structures as essential determinants of joint stability, load transmission, and controlled mobility.

Classical Ayurvedic texts describe *snāyu* as binding elements responsible for maintaining *saṃhanana* (structural cohesion) of the body. While microscopic or histological descriptions are absent, the extensive use of functional analogies—particularly the comparison of *snāyu* to ropes stabilizing a boat—reflects a clear conceptual understanding of tensile strength and mechanical restraint. Modern anatomy elucidates these properties through the dense, longitudinal arrangement of type I collagen fibers in ligaments, which confers resistance to tensile, shear, and rotational forces. This structural–functional correspondence suggests that Ayurvedic scholars developed an empirically grounded, macroscopic understanding of ligamentous biomechanics.



The morphological classification of *snāyu* into *pratanavatī*, *vr̥tta*, *pr̥thulā*, and *suśirā* represents a sophisticated observational framework based on form and anatomical distribution rather than tissue histology. When applied to the knee joint, *pratanavatīsnāyu* can be correlated with the capsular and collateral ligament network that provides multidirectional stability, whereas *vr̥ttasnāyu* resemble cord-like structures such as the cruciate ligaments and major tendons. This correspondence indicates that Ayurvedic classification, although conceptualized differently, aligns meaningfully with modern anatomical organization and function.

From a biomechanical perspective, the knee joint exemplifies the challenge of balancing mobility with stability under substantial load. Contemporary orthopedic literature identifies ligament integrity as the primary factor preventing abnormal anteroposterior translation and rotational instability. Ayurveda similarly emphasizes that the weight-bearing capacity (*bhāra-vahanaśakti*) of joints depends on the integrity of *snāyu*. The assertion in *SuśrutaSaṃhitā* that injury to *snāyu* is more disabling than injury to other tissues reflects an early clinical recognition of ligamentous insufficiency as a major contributor to chronic joint dysfunction.

Clinically, the Ayurvedic entities *snāyu-gataavāta* and *sandhi-gataavāta* show strong conceptual parallels with ligament sprains, chronic ligament laxity, and degenerative joint disease described in modern medicine. While contemporary pathology primarily attributes these conditions to trauma, overuse, or age-related degeneration, Ayurveda incorporates systemic determinants such as *vātadoṣa* aggravation, tissue depletion (*dhātukṣaya*), and impaired tissue nourishment. These explanatory frameworks need not be viewed as mutually exclusive; rather, they represent complementary mechanical and systemic perspectives on the same pathological continuum.

The integrative relevance of this comparative understanding lies in its therapeutic implications. Modern management of knee ligament disorders focuses on biomechanical correction through rehabilitation or surgical intervention, whereas Ayurveda aims to restore tissue integrity and functional balance through systemic *vāta-śamana* and targeted local therapies. Although preliminary clinical observations suggest potential benefits of Ayurvedic interventions in chronic ligamentous and degenerative knee conditions, there remains a need for well-designed experimental studies and controlled clinical trials to establish efficacy, safety, and reproducibility.

In summary, the close conceptual and functional alignment between *snāyu* and ligaments supports the relevance of Ayurvedic anatomical principles in contemporary musculoskeletal science. Further interdisciplinary research integrating classical descriptions with modern imaging, biomechanical analysis, and clinical outcomes may strengthen evidence-based integrative approaches for knee joint disorders.

## Conclusion

The Ayurvedic concept of *snāyu* closely corresponds to ligaments described in modern anatomy in terms of structure, function, and clinical relevance, particularly in the knee joint. Both systems recognize these fibrous connective tissues as essential for joint stability, load-bearing, and controlled mobility. Classical descriptions of *snāyu* reflect a functional understanding of ligamentous biomechanics, despite differing explanatory frameworks.

Clinically, *snāyu-gataavāta* and *sandhi-gataavāta* parallel ligament injuries and degenerative knee disorders recognized in contemporary medicine. Integrating Ayurvedic systemic perspectives with modern anatomical and biomechanical insights may enhance the understanding and management of knee joint disorders. Further interdisciplinary research is warranted to validate integrative therapeutic approaches.

## References

1. Standring S, editor. **Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice**. 41st ed. London: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2016. p. 1312–1345.
2. Raghuram YS, Manasa R. **Upadhātu of Medas: Snāyu and Sandhi – Sub-tissues of Fat**. Easy Ayurveda [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Jan 15]. Available from: <https://www.easyayurveda.com>
3. Suśruta. **SuśrutaSaṃhitā**, with Nibandhasaṅgraha commentary of Dalhaṇa. SharīraSthāna, Chapter 5. Edited by VaidyaJadavjiTrikamji Acharya. Varanasi: ChaukhambhaSurbharatiPrakashan; 2014. p. 363–370.
4. Sendić G, reviewer. **Knee Joint: Anatomy, Ligaments and Movements**. Kenhub [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Jan 15]. Available from: <https://www.kenhub.com>
5. Mabrouk A, Hubbard JB. **Knee Medial Collateral Ligament: Anatomy, Pathology, and Treatment**. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan [cited 2025 Jan 15]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK546665/>
6. Hebbar V. **SnāyuŚarīra: Ayurvedic Perspective of Ligamentous Structures**. Easy Ayurveda [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2025 Jan 15]. Available from: <https://www.easyayurveda.com>
7. Caraka. **Caraka Saṃhitā**, with ĀyurvedaDīpikā commentary of ChakrapāṇiDatta. SharīraSthāna, Chapter 7. Edited by VaidyaJadavjiTrikamji Acharya. Varanasi: ChaukhambhaSurbharatiPrakashan; 2013. p. 341–348.
8. Marieb EN, Hoehn K. **Human Anatomy & Physiology**. 11th ed. New York: Pearson Education; 2022. p. 275–312.
9. Moore KL, Dalley AF, Agur AMR. **Clinically Oriented Anatomy**. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2017. p. 682–710.
10. Cleveland Clinic. **Ligament: Anatomy, Function and Injuries**. Cleveland Clinic Health Library [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Jan 15]. Available from: <https://my.clevelandclinic.org>
11. Hebbar V. **GataVāta: Pathology and Clinical Features of Vāta Lodged in Tissues**. Easy Ayurveda [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Jan 15]. Available from: <https://www.easyayurveda.com>
12. Mohan H. **Textbook of Pathology**. 6th ed. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers; 2010. p. 818–825.