IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# WHY DO WE BELIEVE LIES?

**Unreliable Narrators and Reader Response Theory in Contemporary Literature**

**[1]Deeksha Chhatwani, [2]Swarali Joshi**

[1]PG Student, [2]Assistant Professor

[1]Department of English, Symbiosis College of Arts and Commerce, Pune, India

**Abstract**

This study investigates why unreliable narrators in contemporary fiction remain convincing to readers despite their explicit unreliability. Examining five 21st-century texts—*Gone Girl*, *The Girl on the Train*, *The Sense of an Ending*, "Cat Person," and "The Husband Stitch"—we test the hypothesis that fragmented digital communication patterns, therapeutic discourse familiarity, and media skepticism make unreliable narration believable to contemporary readers. Using close reading combined with reader-response theory (Iser, Fish, Rosenblatt) and unreliable narrator scholarship (Booth, Nünning, Olson), our analysis reveals that these three cultural mechanisms operate convergently to create interpretive conditions in which unreliable narration becomes a recognizable and preferred mode of storytelling. We find that contemporary unreliable narrators succeed not by deceiving readers but by reflecting the communicative realities readers navigate daily, positioning them as knowing participants in collaborative meaning-making.

**Keywords:** unreliable narration; reader-response theory; contemporary fiction; digital communication; therapeutic discourse; media skepticism

## Introduction

The proliferation of unreliable narrators in 21st-century popular fiction—from *Gone Girl*'s Amy Dunne to *The Girl on the Train*'s Rachel Watson—raises a compelling question: what makes these deceptive storytellers so convincing to contemporary readers? This research examines how contemporary reading practices interact with narrative techniques to create believability in unreliable narration.

Since Wayne C. Booth's foundational work, *The Rhetoric of Fiction* (1961), which established unreliable narration as a technical device requiring reader detection, scholarship has evolved considerably. Modern theorists like Ansgar Nünning have shifted focus from text-centric definitions to reader-centric interpretations, arguing that "unreliability is not a quality inherent in the narrator but rather the result of the reader's interpretation" ("Reconceptualizing" 95). Greta Olson further refined this approach by distinguishing between fallible narrators (lacking knowledge or perception) and untrustworthy narrators (deliberately deceiving), a typology particularly valuable for analyzing contemporary fiction where narrators often combine both elements (93-94).

Parallel to these developments, reader-response theory has illuminated how readers actively construct meaning. Wolfgang Iser's phenomenological approach emphasizes textual gaps or indeterminacies that readers must fill through interpretation (*The Act of Reading* 169-170). Stanley Fish's theory of "interpretive communities" highlights how meaning is produced by readers sharing interpretive strategies developed through common cultural contexts (*Is There a Text in This Class?* 579-582). Louise Rosenblatt's transactional model emphasizes that meaning emerges from the transaction between reader, text, and context (*The Reader, the Text, the Poem* 16-17).

Despite these rich developments, a significant gap exists in research specifically addressing how contemporary cultural contexts shape engagement with unreliable narration. This study addresses that gap by examining how digital communication patterns, therapeutic discourse, and media skepticism function as mechanisms that shape readers' interpretive strategies, contributing to understanding why unreliable narrators in 21st-century fiction remain convincing despite their explicit unreliability.

**Methodology**

This study employs qualitative methodology prioritizing interpretive analysis through close reading and theoretical application. Five contemporary works were selected for their diverse use of unreliable narration across narrative forms and genres. Close reading identified techniques of unreliability—contradictory accounts, temporal gaps, memory lapses, manipulative rhetoric, and withheld information—which were analyzed using typologies provided by Booth, Nünning, and Olson. Reader-response theory frameworks from Iser, Fish, and Rosenblatt examined how readers engage with unreliable narration. Cultural contextualization considered how fragmented digital communication, therapeutic discourse familiarity, and media skepticism shape interpretive habits. This interdisciplinary approach emphasizes the dynamic relationship between text, reader, and cultural contexts while maintaining literary analysis as the central mode of inquiry.

**Digital Communication Patterns and Narrative Believability**

Contemporary readers operate within a communicative landscape characterized by fragmentation, curation, and multiplicity. Social media platforms, messaging applications, and digital forums have normalized non-linear, often contradictory, and performative modes of information consumption. This section demonstrates how digital communication patterns provide an interpretive environment within which unreliable narrators become particularly believable.

Amy Dunne's diary entries in *Gone Girl* function as masterclass in curated self-presentation, mirroring strategic identity construction characteristic of social media platforms. In one entry describing her marriage to Nick, Amy writes with calculated enthusiasm: "It doesn't matter what colour I paint our room, or how late traffic makes me... It doesn't matter, because I have found my match. It's Nick, laid-back and calm, smart and fun and uncomplicated... Big penis" (Flynn 43). The passage operates through a catalogue of domestic contentment reminiscent of lifestyle blog posts, with parenthetical asides mimicking the conversational tone of social media posts that perform casualness while being carefully composed. Contemporary readers recognize this register immediately—the forced breeziness, the self-aware humour, the performance of authenticity that characterizes online relationship presentations.

What makes this effective is precisely its resemblance to contemporary digital self-presentation. Readers later discover this entire diary is fabricated to frame Nick for murder, but the technique works because the mode of presentation mirrors how contemporary readers encounter identity construction on digital platforms daily. The diary operates like a social media feed designed for a specific audience, and readers believe it not despite its performativity but because that performativity feels familiar.

Rachel Watson's narration in *The Girl on the Train* employs patterns of obsessive observation and speculative reconstruction reflecting digital scrolling habits. During her commute, Rachel becomes obsessed with a couple she observes, remarking "I know this house by heart. I know every brick" (Hawkins 19), describing details with the precision of someone who has reviewed the same content repeatedly. This ritualized re-viewing mirrors scrolling through social media or refreshing Instagram stories. Rachel turns limited visual data into comprehensive narrative: "They are a perfect, golden couple. He is dark-haired and well built, strong, protective, kind... She is one of those tiny birdwomen, a beauty" (Hawkins 19). Her method of filling narrative gaps with speculation shows how digital platforms prompt users to piece together narratives from curated posts and status updates.

Kristen Roupenian's "Cat Person" positions unreliability of digital communication as the central mechanism of narrative deception. The story traces Margot and Robert's relationship unfolding primarily through text messages—a medium contemporary readers recognize as both intimate and ambiguous. Margot notes that "when she texted him he usually texted her back right away, but if she took more than a few hours to respond his next message would always be short and wouldn't include a question" (Roupenian). This pattern becomes text Margot must interpret, seeking meaning in both what is withheld and expressed. The narrative resonates because it dramatizes a nearly universal experience in digital culture: constructing elaborate fantasies of another person based on textual exchanges, only to find in-person reality diverges significantly.

These narrative techniques demonstrate how contemporary unreliable narration operates not as violation of reader expectations but as confirmation of contemporary interpretive practices. Fish's concept of interpretive communities explains why these narrators remain believable: contemporary readers share

interpretive strategies shaped by digital literacy, having learned to navigate fragmented information streams, curate self-presentations, and decode ambiguous textual communication as regular features of life (576). When Amy presents a strategically constructed diary, when Rachel circles obsessively back to revise observations, when Margot interprets Robert's texting patterns for emotional subtext, they employ techniques readers recognize from their communicative practices.

**Therapeutic Discourse and Reader Acceptance**

If digital communication patterns have trained contemporary readers to navigate fragmented information, therapeutic discourse has shaped how readers interpret confessional narrative voices and assess authenticity of self-revelation. The mainstreaming of psychological and therapeutic language has transformed concepts once confined to clinical settings into everyday modes of self-expression. This creates a cultural context in which unreliable narrators employing the language of psychological self-awareness become paradoxically more believable.

Rachel Watson's narration in *The Girl on the Train* uses therapeutic language to create a paradox: by admitting her own untrustworthiness, she becomes more believable. Throughout the novel, Rachel describes her alcoholism and memory gaps using recovery and self-diagnosis language. She reflects: "After a while, I learned that when you wake up like that, you don't ask what happened, you just say that you're sorry: you're sorry for what you did and who you are and you're never, ever going to behave like that again" (Hawkins 336). This confession draws from therapeutic culture—language of learned behaviours, cycles of shame, and self-correction that contemporary readers recognize from recovery narratives and trauma discussions.

The narrative exploits cultural belief that vulnerability and self-criticism connect with honesty. Rachel explains how her memories feel authentic but have been replaced by Tom's version: "When I closed my eyes I could feel her hand, warm against my skin, but that didn't actually happen. What really happened is that Tom had to half carry me out of the house" (Hawkins 347). Contemporary readers' familiarity with concepts like gaslighting and emotional manipulation makes them receptive to Rachel's confusion. Her therapeutic language shows the hard-earned self-knowledge therapy promotes, making her retrospective analysis feel genuine rather than evasive.

Julian Barnes's *The Sense of an Ending* presents unreliable narration through therapeutic self-examination. Tony Webster foregrounds his own unreliability with candor that paradoxically establishes credibility: "This last isn't something I actually saw, but what you end up remembering isn't always the same as what you have witnessed" (Barnes 8). He continues: "If I can't be sure of the actual events any more, I can at least be true to the impressions those facts left. That's the best I can manage" (Barnes 8). This therapeutic framing—admission of compromised memory combined with commitment to emotional honesty—deploys language of recovery narratives and trauma processing.

Tony reflects on personal narrative with metacognitive awareness: "How often do we tell our own life story? How often do we adjust, embellish, make sly cuts? And the longer life goes on, the fewer are those around to challenge our account" (Barnes 68). This sophisticated psychological insight signals to contemporary readers a narrator engaged in authentic self-excavation. Yet the novel's final revelation—that Tony's understanding has been fundamentally mistaken—exposes how therapeutic self-awareness can itself be unreliability. The novel reveals the limitation of therapeutic discourse as guarantor of truth: self-awareness about biases doesn't necessarily lead to accurate understanding.

These narratives demonstrate how therapeutic discourse creates believability through cultural logic equating psychological insight with authenticity. Contemporary readers, immersed in therapy-speak, memoir, and confessional media, read vulnerability and self-examination as truthfulness markers. This creates productive space for unreliable narration: narrators deploy therapeutic language to signal their unreliability while using that very admission to establish credibility.

**Media Skepticism and Narrative Complicity**

If digital communication patterns trained readers to navigate fragmented information and therapeutic discourse shaped interpretation of confessional voices, media skepticism has fundamentally altered readers' baseline expectations about truth itself. The contemporary information landscape—characterized by awareness of "fake news," corporate spin, political manipulation—has produced readers who approach storytelling with interpretive wariness. This skepticism creates reading practice where unreliability is anticipated, recognized, and engaged with as familiar narrative construction mode.

We introduce "narrative complicity" to describe how media-skeptical readers interact with unreliable narrators. Contemporary readers expect narratives to be constructed, partial, and strategic. Media literacy—recognizing how stories are framed, what information is withheld, whose interests the narratives serve—has

become fundamental reading competence. When encountering unreliable narrators, readers apply this media literacy, analyzing texts not to discover "truth" but to understand how and why particular truth versions are constructed.

*Gone Girl* presents unreliable narration as deliberate media manipulation, positioning readers as media-literate observers recognizing public narrative construction mechanics. The novel's treatment of media dramatizes how contemporary information ecosystems construct competing truth versions through strategic framing. Ellen Abbott's cable news coverage demonstrates media manipulation contemporary readers recognize from cable news culture: "Ellen Abbott Live was a cable show specializing in missing, murdered women, starring the permanently furious Ellen Abbott" (Flynn 183). The "permanently furious" host performing outrage, strategic selection of decontextualized images, and presumptive framing expose mechanics contemporary readers encounter constantly.

Amy's revelation of her strategy makes media manipulation explicit. She explains: "So I began to think of a different story, a better story... Because everyone loves the Dead Girl... I had to maintain an affable if somewhat naive persona... leading the reader (in this case, the cops) toward the conclusion that Nick was indeed planning to kill me" (Flynn 263-267). Amy's phrase "everyone loves the Dead Girl" articulates what contemporary readers know from true crime media exposure. Her strategic construction of "Diary Amy" demonstrates sophisticated understanding of characterization and narrative arc. Contemporary readers recognize Amy's strategy as deliberate deployment of media conventions, creating complicity by making readers admire construction while recognizing falseness.

Carmen Maria Machado's "The Husband Stitch" extends media skepticism beyond contemporary news cycles to expose foundational unreliability of cultural storytelling itself. The story operates through double structure: narrator recounts her life while embedding folk tales, blurring boundaries between lived experience and inherited mythology. Metafictional instructions explicitly draw attention to storytelling as performance: "Me: as a child, high-pitched, forgettable; as a woman, the same... All other women: interchangeable with my own" (Machado). These directions reveal storytelling as construction but also encode devastating critique: cultural narratives render women "forgettable" and "interchangeable" while granting men robust individuality.

The story embeds traditional folklore throughout the narrator's marriage account, blurring myth and lived experience. The narrator often revises familiar tales, offering alternative endings revealing suppressed details. Of the girl on the grave, she notes: "She was not wrong, but it didn't matter any more. Afterwards, everyone believed that she had wished to die, even though she had died proving that she could live" (Machado). This revision exposes how cultural narratives systematically misinterpret female experience. The green ribbon functions as central symbol of narrative withholding and revelation violence. When the husband finally unties it, the narrator's head falls off—literal and metaphorical violence representing how demanding access to women's secrets destroys the woman herself.

These texts demonstrate how media skepticism functions not as barrier to belief but as mechanism making unreliable narration more convincing. Fish's interpretive communities concept illuminates why media-skeptical readers find these narrators believable: contemporary readers constitute a community whose shared interpretive strategies have been shaped by constant manipulation exposure (Fish 579-582). When Amy explains how she engineered media persecution, or when Machado's narrator embeds folk tales exposing cultural storytelling's unreliability, readers aren't fooled—they're invited into complicity, positioned as sophisticated interpreters understanding how narratives work.

## Conclusion

This study demonstrates that fragmented digital communication patterns, therapeutic discourse familiarity, and media skepticism function as convergent mechanisms creating interpretive conditions in which unreliable narration becomes recognizable and preferred storytelling mode for contemporary audiences. Contemporary readers bring to literature interpretive competencies developed through daily digital culture engagement. Digital communication has normalized fragmentation, contradiction, and performative self-presentation. Therapeutic discourse has established psychological self-awareness as authenticity marker, creating paradox where admitting unreliability generates credibility. Media skepticism has transformed readers' relationship with narrative construction, producing audiences engaging with manipulation as complicity rather than deception.

The application of reader-response theory proves essential to understanding this transformation. Iser's emphasis on textual gaps illuminates how contemporary readers fill indeterminacies using interpretive skills developed through digital literacy. Fish's interpretive communities concept explains why readers shaped by common exposure to digital communication, therapeutic discourse, and media skepticism respond similarly

to unreliable narration. Rosenblatt's transactional model demonstrates how cultural context transforms reader-text interaction.

The convergence of these mechanisms has produced a cultural moment in which unreliable narration flourishes not despite contemporary reading practices but because of them. The unreliable narrators examined succeed because they speak contemporary culture's language, deploy techniques readers recognize from everyday experience, and invite interpretive engagement contemporary readers are uniquely equipped to provide. They convince not by hiding unreliability but by performing it in ways contemporary readers find familiar, sophisticated, and honest.

This research opens several opportunities for further study. Empirical reader-response research could test these theoretical claims through surveys or interviews. Cross-cultural studies could investigate whether readers in different societies engage with unreliable narration using similar interpretive strategies. Research examining unreliable narration in digital-native media—interactive fiction, podcasts, streaming narratives—could reveal how medium-specific features shape engagement. Finally, this study's finding that readers engage with unreliable narration as complicity raises questions extending beyond literary scholarship about ethical and political implications of reading practices that normalize manipulation in democratic discourse.

In an age when all communication is understood as constructed, when self-presentation is recognized as performance, when narratives are suspected of serving hidden interests, the unreliable narrator who admits these conditions becomes paradoxically the most trustworthy voice available. This is the strange truth at the heart of contemporary unreliable narration: in a culture saturated with skepticism about truth-telling, the narrator who confesses to lying may be the only one that readers are willing to believe.

**Works Cited**


**[1]** Barnes, Julian. *The Sense of an Ending*. Jonathan Cape, 2011.

**[2]** Booth, Wayne C. *The Rhetoric of Fiction*. 2nd ed., U of Chicago P, 1983.

**[3]** Fish, Stanley. "Is There a Text in This Class?" *Critical Inquiry*, vol. 6, no. 4, 1980, pp. 573-85.

**[4]** Flynn, Gillian. *Gone Girl*. Phoenix, 2013.

**[5]** Hawkins, Paula. *The Girl on the Train*. Black Swan, 2016.

**[6]** Iser, Wolfgang. *The Act of Reading: A Theory of Aesthetic Response*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1978.

**[7]** Machado, Carmen Maria. "The Husband Stitch." *Granta*, 1 Oct. 2014, www.granta.com/the-husband-stitch/.

**[8]** Nünning, Ansgar. "Reconceptualizing Unreliable Narration: Synthesizing Cognitive and Rhetorical Approaches." *A Companion to Narrative Theory*, edited by James Phelan and Peter J. Rabinowitz, Blackwell Publishing, 2005, pp. 89-107.

**[9]** Olson, Greta. "Reconsidering Unreliability: Fallible and Untrustworthy Narrators." *Narrative*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2003, pp. 93-109.

**[10]** Rosenblatt, Louise M. *The Reader, the Text, the Poem: The Transactional Theory of the Literary Work*. Southern Illinois UP, 1978.

**[11]** Roupenian, Kristen. "Cat Person." *The New Yorker*, 11 Dec. 2017, www.newyorker.com/magazine/2017/12/11/cat-person.