IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Reviews On Industrial Relations In India Since 1991

Dr. Kritika Neetu

M.B.A., Ph.D.

Department of Management

B.R.A.Bihar University, Muzaffarpur

Abstract: This research paper dives deep into the world of industrial relations in India since 1991, focusing on the major shifts that have come with economic liberalization. By weaving together insights from academic articles, policy assessments, and real-world research, the study sheds light on how labor-management dynamics have evolved, the changing role of trade unions, trends in industrial disputes, and the effects of new laws. The results show a clear transition from a system heavily influenced by state control to one that is increasingly shaped by market forces. This shift has led to a weakening of union power, a growth in informal jobs, and a move towards decentralized bargaining. While the Indian economy has seen impressive growth during this time, ongoing challenges like job insecurity and a rise in lockouts underscore the urgent need for reforms that balance economic progress with the need for industrial harmony.

Key-words : Industrial relation, Industrial disputes, Industrial harmony, Economic progress, Job insecurity.

1. Introduction :

Since the economic liberalization that kicked off in 1991, industrial relations in India have gone through some major changes. Prior to this crucial turning point, the scene was heavily influenced by government intervention, strict labor laws, and a strong presence of trade unions, particularly in the public sector. The liberalization reforms, which were mainly a reaction to a serious balance-of-payments crisis, set the stage for a shift towards deregulation, privatization, and greater globalization. This transformation has fundamentally altered the landscape of labor relations in the country. This paper aims to delve into these changes, looking closely at their impact on trade unions, the nature of labor disputes, and the development of relevant policies, all while drawing on a variety of scholarly sources and empirical data to offer a thorough analysis.

2. Historical Context: Industrial Relations Pre-1991

Before the era of liberalization, India's industrial policy was largely defined by a state-led development model. This approach was supported by various laws designed to regulate labor relations and encourage collective bargaining. A significant piece of legislation was the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947, which created a systematic way to resolve disputes between employers and employees, helping to cultivate a more organized labor environment. The Trade Unions Act of 1926 also played a vital role by legitimizing trade unions, allowing them to function within a legal framework that backed their efforts. These unions, often linked to political parties, held substantial power, especially in public sector enterprises, where they could negotiate employment terms on behalf of their members. This atmosphere nurtured a robust culture of collective action, empowering workers to advocate for their rights and interests, often with state support, reflecting the broader goals of the industrial policy during that time. Back in 1989, union density was quite impressive at 35.8%, mainly found in formal sectors like railways and coal mining. Even with this strong level of organization, the labor system was grappling with some serious issues due to its rigid structure, which included tough rules on layoffs and business closures. These limitations led to operational inefficiencies and a troubling situation known as industrial sickness, where companies found it hard to keep up with the shifting economic landscape. On top of that, initiatives aimed at boosting participation before 1991, like Joint Management Councils and Works Committees, didn’t really hit the mark. The main reason for this shortfall was the ongoing rivalries between unions and a widespread lack of trust among all parties involved, which really got in the way of working together and making progress in labor relations.

3. The 1991 Liberalization and Its Immediate Impact

The New Economic Policy (NEP), which rolled out in 1991, brought about a major shift in India's economic scene by effectively breaking down the "License Raj." This change paved the way for deregulating numerous industries, scaling back the public sector's influence, and welcoming more foreign investment. Together, these factors boosted capital's power and improved its negotiating strength. Consequently, there was a rising demand for labor flexibility, which significantly impacted employment trends. Formal jobs saw a sharp decline, falling from 19.6 million in 1991 to just 17.5 million by 2008, while informal labor skyrocketed to make up an incredible 93% of the entire workforce. In light of these shifts, management started adopting various strategies, such as voluntary retirement schemes (VRS), outsourcing, and ramping up the use of contract labor, which jumped from 14.6% in 1995-96 to 26.4% by 2004-05. Labor unions viewed these changes as direct threats to job security, sparking a wave of nationwide strikes that began in 1992, highlighting the growing discontent among workers in response to these economic reforms.

The government handed over control of labor reforms to regional administrations, rolling out a variety of "soft" initiatives aimed at boosting economic activity. These measures included offering exemptions in Special Economic Zones (SEZs) and relaxing regulatory inspections to draw in investment and encourage business growth. In the wake of the major political and economic shifts of 1991, court decisions further solidified the move towards privatization, while also placing restrictions on the right to strike, particularly in the public sector. This legal setup was designed to foster a more welcoming atmosphere for private enterprises, all while limiting labor actions that might interfere with essential services.

4. Changes in Trade Unions and Bargaining Power

After the economy opened up, trade unions found themselves facing a lot of fragmentation and a noticeable drop in their power. By 2021, union membership had plummeted to just 6.3%, with the private sector taking an even bigger hit at a mere 1.8%. The presence of various political groups, like the Indian National Trade Union Congress (INTUC) and the Centre of Indian Trade Unions (CITU), made it even harder for unions to come together. Although there was a slight uptick in membership—hitting around 100 million by 2013, mainly because of workers from the informal sector—traditional unions struggled to keep up with the changing times. Bargaining became more decentralized, focusing on negotiations at the enterprise level that emphasized productivity and flexibility. This change led to longer agreement cycles, usually lasting four to five years, and often involved wage concessions. In response to the needs of informal workers, new organizations like the Self-Employed Women's Association (SEWA) popped up, but mainstream unions still faced structural challenges that made it tough for them to stay effective and relevant in the shifting labor market.

The Industrial Relations Code of 2020 really shook things up for labor unions by putting in place tough new rules for getting bargaining agent status, requiring unions to have at least 51% of their members on board. This change also brought in mandatory strike notice protocols, making it even trickier for unions to rally their members effectively. By moving away from a tripartite system, where the government, employers, and unions worked together, to a bipartite one that mainly focuses on the relationship between employers and employees, the Code weakened the collective power and influence of unions. This shift not only made it harder for unions to fight for workers' rights but also changed the whole landscape of labor relations, making it more difficult for them to negotiate better terms for their members.

5. Labor Laws and Reforms

During this time, some key reforms took shape, like the introduction of the Workers' Participation in Management Bill in 1990. This bill aimed to create a three-tiered decision-making framework within organizations. Unfortunately, despite its good intentions, the bill's implementation fell short, which really limited its impact. The National Renewal Fund (NRF) also played a crucial role by helping to manage the retrenchment of workers from struggling companies, affecting over 350,000 employees. There were also proposals to amend the Industrial Disputes Act, which sought to make it easier for smaller businesses with fewer than 100 workers to shut down and introduced the idea of strike ballots. However, due to significant political pushback, more radical reforms were mostly sidestepped, leading to what some have called "stealth" reforms—changes that were more subtle and gradual rather than bold and transformative.

After the economic reforms of 1991, there was a significant shift towards encouraging voluntary participation, setting up quality circles, and boosting workers' skills. This change marked a major transformation, turning blue-collar workers into what we now call "knowledge workers." A great example of this evolution is Hero Honda, which showcased impressive gains in productivity. For instance, the value added per worker at Hero Honda jumped from Rs.2.9 lakhs in the fiscal year 1990-91 to Rs.3.26 lakhs in 1991-92. This clearly illustrates how effective these new strategies were in creating a more skilled and engaged workforce. Not only did this shift benefit individual companies, but it also played a vital role in the overall economic growth of the region.

6. Patterns of Industrial Disputes, Strikes, and Lockouts

After 1991, the world of labor conflicts saw some major shifts, with lockouts taking the lead over strikes. In fact, between 2003 and 2006, lockouts accounted for nearly half of all work stoppages. The number of workers caught up in these disruptions skyrocketed to 85.13 per thousand employees from 2003 to 2005, showcasing just how much unrest was brewing in the workforce. In the private sector, both the frequency and intensity of strikes took a noticeable dip, while there was a growing trend towards arbitration as a way to settle disputes. The root causes of these conflicts included illegal workplace closures, struggles with union recognition, and issues related to layoffs. On top of that, the rise of the informal sector left many workers more vulnerable, as this area often lacked proper channels for resolving disputes, making the labor relations scene even more complicated.

7. Empirical Evidence and Case Studies

Empirical research has shown a mixed bag of results when it comes to how public and private sector units perform. Back in the early 1990s, the public sector was dealing with around 100 struggling units, while the private sector was facing a jaw-dropping 250,000. The main culprits behind these issues were mismanagement, which accounted for 52% of the cases, and poor planning, contributing another 14%. The failure of participation schemes can be traced back to their non-mandatory nature and the complications that arose from having multiple unions, which made collaboration tough. Case studies at ABB Baroda revealed that small group initiatives could really help improve workplace relationships. Plus, the introduction of Japanese-inspired Joint Productivity Councils showed just how crucial cooperation is for boosting productivity without leading to job losses. Even with these initiatives, low labor productivity remained a big hurdle, mainly because of outdated technology and protective policies that held back innovation and efficiency.

8. Discussion

Liberalization has certainly fueled economic growth, but this progress has come with a cost—namely, the security of labor and the power of labor unions. The rise of contract work and the informalization of the workforce is striking, with a staggering 93% of workers now in non-standard jobs. This situation highlights a concerning trend known as "jobless growth," where the economy may be expanding, as shown by GDP figures, but it doesn't lead to enough new jobs, leaving many people without stable employment. To tackle these issues, future reforms need to prioritize inclusive participation in the labor market, improve training programs to help workers gain essential skills, and ensure that the benefits of economic growth are fairly distributed among everyone involved. These steps are crucial for easing the tensions and conflicts that arise from the current inequalities in labor conditions and for building a more sustainable and equitable economic landscape.

9. Conclusion

Since 1991, India’s industrial relations scene has seen quite a makeover, shifting from a rigid set of rules to a more flexible approach. This change has led to a noticeable drop in the power and sway of labor unions, while giving management a stronger foothold across various industries. While this evolution has boosted productivity and helped integrate India into the global economy, it hasn’t come without its challenges, like the rise of informal labor and ongoing tensions between workers and employers. To foster lasting industrial harmony, it’s essential to create balanced policies that encourage cooperation and open dialogue among all parties involved, ensuring that the fruits of economic growth are shared fairly and that workers' rights are well protected.

References

[1] Chaudhuri, K. & Pal, R. (2005) ‘An Empirical Analysis of Industrial Disputes: Evidence from Indian States’, Journal of Quantitative Economics, New Series 3(1), 115 - 132.

[2] Ghosh, Biswajith, (2008), Economic reforms and trade unionism in India-A Micro view, Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 43(3), p. 355-384.

[3] K.K.Ahuja, (1988) "Industrial Relations theory and practice", Kalayani Publishers, New Delhi, pg.16 .

[4] Mamta Panda, (2004) 'Industrial relations environment and work culture in public and private sector organizations:A case study, Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 39(4), p. 465-488.

[5] S. Nagaraju, (1981) Industrial Relation System in India, Chugh Publications, Allahabad, , pp.184-188.

[6] The Indian Journal of Industrial Relations Contents - https://www.ilo.org/media/153296/download

[7] The 1991 Economic Crisis and Liberalisation in India - https://polsci.institute/india-democracy-development/1991-economic-crisis-liberalisation-india/

[8] India The declining bargaining power of trade unions - https://ires.fr/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/C191_india.pdf

[9] INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS IN INDIA • THE POST LIBERALISATION ... - http://etd.lib.jnu.ac.in/TH6458.pdf