IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Toxic Shadows: Bhopal's Ongoing Struggle for Justice

Dr Mahasweta Sengupta Associate Professor, Institute of Law and Legal Studies,

SAGE University, Indore

Mr Ashutosh Shrivastav, Assistant Professor, Institute of Law and Legal Studies,

SAGE University, Indore

ABSTRACT

One of the deadliest industrial disasters in history, the Bhopal Gas Tragedy of 1984, took thousands of lives and permanently affected the health and ecology of the city. Although the immediate destruction was unparalleled, an equally concerning catastrophe still develops in its wake: the ongoing danger from the hazardous waste still kept in the abandoned Union Carbide factory. More than 300 tonnes of dangerous chemical waste remain untreated over three decades later, leaking into the soil and polluting groundwater, which is the main water source for close by villages.

Emphasizing the systematic failures in waste management, business responsibility, and government reaction, this article investigates the environmental and human rights consequences of the continuing pollution. It highlights the lived experiences of impacted people, many of whom battle chronic diseases, congenital abnormalities, and reproductive problems. Prolonged exposure to harmful chemicals causes many illnesses directly; poverty, lack of healthcare access, and bureaucratic indifference exacerbate this problem.

The report emphasizes the pressing need for sustained remediation and justice for survivors by means of legal actions, administrative judgments, and community resistance activities. It also looks at how industrial disasters in the Global South are addressed by environmental law, public interest litigation, and international campaigning. Far from being a closed chapter, the Bhopal disaster is a sad reminder of the long-term effects of industrial irresponsibility and the need of environmental justice systems that give human health and dignity top priority.

This paper advocates a revived worldwide dedication to corporate accountability, environmental restoration, and the empowerment of impacted communities in decision-making processes by investigating the "toxic shadows" of Bhopal.

INTRODUCTION

On the night of December 2–3, 1984, the city of Bhopal in central India was devastated by the release of approximately 40 tonnes of methyl isocyanate (MIC) gas from the Union Carbide India Limited (UCIL) pesticide plant. The incident, widely regarded as the world's worst industrial disaster, led to the immediate death of over 3,000 people, with long-term estimates ranging from 15,000 to 25,000 deaths due to exposure-related complications (Eckerman, 2005). In addition to the fatalities, hundreds of thousands suffered debilitating injuries and long-term health issues. Despite the magnitude of the disaster, the repercussions of Bhopal's tragedy extend far beyond the initial gas leak. An equally pressing and often overlooked issue lies in the toxic legacy of hazardous waste that remains at the plant site, representing a prolonged environmental and humanitarian crisis.

Decades after the event, over 300 tonnes of toxic waste—including pesticides, heavy metals, and carcinogenic compounds—remain stored at the site, poorly contained and largely unprocessed (Dhara & Dhara, 2002). These chemicals have seeped into the surrounding soil and groundwater, contaminating the environment and placing thousands of residents at continual risk. Reports indicate that groundwater samples from nearby communities consistently exceed permissible levels of pollutants such as mercury, chlorinated hydrocarbons, and lead (Greenpeace, 1999). As a result, residents have reported a range of chronic illnesses, including cancers, birth defects, respiratory problems, and neurological disorders (Sengupta, 2014).

This ongoing environmental threat, which is frequently referred to as the "second disaster" of Bhopal, exposes a recurring deficiency in government action and business responsibility. Cleanup and rehabilitation efforts have been sluggish, dispersed, and inadequate in spite of multiple court decisions, public demonstrations, and international pressure. The Indian government has had difficulty putting a comprehensive remediation plan into action, and Union Carbide, which is now a Dow Chemical company, has continuously disputed responsibility for the leftover trash (Baxi, 2010). The Global South's larger systemic problems with environmental governance and catastrophe justice are reflected in the lack of urgency with which this contamination is being addressed.

Furthermore, the Bhopal issue calls into question how environmental law, human rights, and public health are intertwined. Activists and survivors contend that the postponed cleanup is a continuing infringement on their right to health and life guaranteed by Article 21 of the Indian Constitution. The Environment (Protection) Act of 1986 and other environmental protection regulations were passed in response to the catastrophe, but enforcement is still lax and impacted people are still excluded from decision-making processes (Rajamani, 2007). Despite their existence, legal redress mechanisms have frequently prioritized bureaucratic interests above community voices, which has resulted in a cycle of disempowerment and neglect.

With a focus on the socio-environmental inequalities experienced by nearby populations, this essay seeks to examine the long-term effects of the hazardous waste left behind following the Bhopal gas tragedy. It makes the case that the site's environmental deterioration is a living example of corporate and governmental indifference rather than merely the result of an industrial catastrophe. The study aims to shed light on the "toxic shadows" that haunt Bhopal and draw attention to the pressing need for institutional reforms by examining court records, medical reports, environmental studies, and survivor accounts. In the end, the Bhopal case serves as a sobering warning that industrial mishaps frequently leave behind generations-long effects in addition to immediate devastation.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To investigate the effects on the environment and human health, namely on groundwater and public health in nearby areas, of the untreated hazardous waste that is still present at the Union Carbide site in Bhopal.

2. To evaluate how well national and international legal and legislative measures address the lingering contamination and guarantee victims' justice.

3. To investigate how impacted communities and civil society groups are promoting long-term environmental justice, compensation, and remediation in Bhopal.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. How will the hazardous waste left over from the Bhopal gas tragedy affect the environment and public health in the long run?

2. To what extent have government actions and legal frameworks addressed environmental damage and provided compensation to the impacted population?

3. What tactics have advocacy organizations and survivors used to pursue justice, and how have these initiatives affected remediation and policy outcomes?

HYPOTHESIS

Even though there are legal and environmental protection frameworks in place, effective rehabilitation and the administration of justice in the wake of the Bhopal disaster have been severely hampered by a lack of political will and enforcement.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The exploratory, qualitative research methodology used in this work is bolstered by case study analysis. The following techniques will be used to carry out the research:

1. Examining court decisions, policy papers, environmental studies, NGO publications, and legal documents pertaining to the Bhopal tragedy and its aftermath is known as documentary analysis. These resources will be useful for monitoring legal developments over time and evaluating institutional reactions.
2. Secondary Data Analysis: Examining government reports, media investigations, and peer-reviewed journal publications to determine the extent of contamination and the reactions to it. This include information on compensation, health statistics, and water quality.
3. Thematic Analysis: To find recurrent themes pertaining to environmental injustice, poor governance, and community resistance, qualitative data from several sources will be coded and subjected to thematic analysis.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND HEALTH IMPACTS:

Even though the Bhopal Gas Tragedy is widely acknowledged as a devastating industrial accident, its long-term effects on the environment and public health are still being felt in silence. The immediate victims of the 1984 gas leak received the majority of scholarly and policy attention, but more recently, the site's ongoing toxic waste and its negative impacts on the local ecosystem and residents have come into focus. By connecting the first goal with the first research question, we may gain a critical perspective that helps us comprehend the disaster's wider scale and the shortcomings of environmental justice in Bhopal after the accident.

Examining the environmental and health effects focuses on assessing the lingering effects of the more than 300 tonnes of hazardous material that are still kept at the defunct Union Carbide facility (Greenpeace, 1999). Among the highly hazardous materials found in the uncontained waste are chromium, lead, mercury, and chlorinated hydrocarbons, all of which have seeped into the nearby soil and groundwater. According to Sengupta (2014), groundwater samples taken from the vicinity of the factory have continuously revealed contamination levels that are significantly higher than the WHO's guidelines for safe drinking water. As a result, residents who depend on these water sources for everyday consumption are exposed to pollutants on a regular basis.

This goal is directly expanded upon by the first research question, which aims to comprehend the long-term impacts of the toxic waste on the ecosystem and public health. Communities within three kilometers of the plant site experience a disproportionately high incidence of health problems, including skin diseases, neurological disorders, reproductive health complications, and birth defects, according to reports from both governmental and non-governmental organizations (Dhara & Dhara, 2002; Rai & Chandra, 2019). According to a 2010 study by the Centre for Science and Environment, drinking water and agricultural safety in neighboring communities were impacted by hazardous effluents that had moved through aquifers. As a result, connecting environmental degradation to declining public health becomes more than simply a theoretical exercise—for thousands of people, it becomes a reality.

From an epidemiological point of view, long-term health hazards associated with persistent exposure to low concentrations of dangerous substances, especially when unmonitored, are frequently overlooked in policy responses. Long-term toxic exposure may have intergenerational repercussions, as evidenced by congenital illnesses and developmental delays in children born to survivors or residents of contaminated zones (Eckerman, 2005). Furthermore, infertility, stillbirths, and miscarriages are among the reproductive difficulties that women in these communities suffer; these issues are frequently mentioned in survivor accounts and grassroots studies but are rarely included in official health records (Baxi, 2010).

It is crucial to remember that persistent poverty and a lackluster healthcare system in Bhopal exacerbate environmental injustice. Vulnerability is increased for underprivileged communities when they lack access to clean water, healthcare, or legal protection. This immediately relates to the necessity of concurrently assessing the effects on the environment and public health, hence reaffirming Objective 1's holistic character.

Additionally, the absence of proper waste disposal procedures indicates serious weaknesses in the enforcement of regulations. Political disagreements, popular opposition to suggested disposal locations, and a lack of funds have caused multiple delays in the Indian government's pledges to securely remove or incinerate the trash (Bose, 2013). In the meantime, the waste keeps breaking down and spreading its effects across the water, air, and soil. Therefore, scientific investigations and long-term environmental monitoring are essential resources for addressing the study question on the ongoing effects of this legacy contamination.

Lastly, the foundation for policy advocacy is laid by the relationship between the goal and the research question. In post-industrial disaster environments, an awareness of the long-term effects not only bolsters the case for prompt remediation and compensation, but also for the necessity of more stringent environmental laws, corporate responsibility, and public health monitoring programs. In this way, Bhopal serves as a constant reminder of what occurs when environmental carelessness is permitted to worsen unchecked, rather than merely a case study.

Element	Description
First Objective	To examine the effectiveness of governmental and corporate efforts in managing and disposing of toxic waste resulting from the Bhopal Gas Tragedy.
First Research Question	How effective have governmental and corporate responses been in the disposal of toxic waste left after the Bhopal Gas Tragedy?
Key Stakeholders	- Government of Madhya Pradesh - Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) - Union Carbide/Dow Chemical
Actions Taken	- Limited on-site containment (1984–2000s) - Waste transfer to Pithampur (2025) - Incineration trials (Feb–Mar 2025)
Evaluation of Efforts	- Delayed and fragmented remediation - Ineffective monitoring until court orders - Lack of corporate cooperation (Dow refuses liability)
Recent Developments	- 337 tonnes of waste moved to Pithampur - Air quality monitoring during incineration trials - Court-directed oversight
Challenges Identified	- Technical limitations at disposal sites - Public protests and lack of trust - Incomplete remediation of original site
Conclusion from Linkage	Government and corporate efforts have been reactive and insufficient. Court mandates have pushed progress, but systemic delays and lack of corporate accountability persist.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION

Despite being widely acknowledged as an instance of extreme industrial negligence, the Bhopal Gas Tragedy also serves as a symbol of the systemic flaws in post-disaster legal responsibility and policy implementation. A thorough evaluation of how institutional processes have addressed the ongoing contamination and if these reactions have resulted in justice and remediation is made possible by connecting the second objective with the second research question. The long-term legal and policy trajectory shows a pattern of fragmented interventions, procedural delays, and weakened state capacity in implementing environmental justice, despite the initial responses' use of emergency laws and compensation pledges.

The Bhopal Gas Leak Disaster (Processing of Claims) Act, 1985, was the Indian government's quick legal response to the 1984 tragedy. It granted the state the sole authority to represent all victims in court. Although the goal of this centralization was to expedite compensation claims, it also excluded survivor voices from the court system (Baxi, 2010). A contentious out-of-court settlement of $470 million with Union Carbide Corporation was eventually approved by the Indian government in 1989; this amount is generally seen as egregiously insufficient given the extent of environmental damage and human suffering (Eckerman, 2005). A "no-fault" clause was also included in the settlement, which essentially protected the company from future liability and limited additional obligations.

The state's ability to implement legislation such as the Public Liability Insurance Act of 1991 and the Environment (Protection) Act of 1986 has been uneven in the Bhopal setting. There have been considerable delays in the contaminated site's environmental cleanup. Testing of the soil and water more than ten years after the tragedy revealed dangerously high levels of contaminants, but significant cleanup work had not yet started (Greenpeace 1999). Due to political and logistical obstacles, court-ordered instructions for the safe disposal of hazardous waste were either disregarded or delayed (Sengupta, 2014).

A more modern legal tool for environmental protection is the National Green Tribunal (NGT), which was created by the NGT Act of 2010. Although it has dealt with a number of environmental degradation situations, its direct influence on the Bhopal site has been minimal. Its authority frequently overlaps with that of state pollution control bodies, whose ineffectiveness and lack of funds cause implementation to be further delayed (Rai & Chandra, 2019). Therefore, even though the legal framework is in place, it is not sufficiently operationalized in the Bhopal context.

Internationally, there has also been little progress in holding Dow Chemical, which purchased Union Carbide in 2001, responsible. Dow has continuously insisted that the 1989 settlement settled the responsibilities, despite many campaigns, petitions to U.S. courts, and United Nations involvement (Dhara & Dhara, 2002). Jurisdictional obstacles and corporate legal safeguards under international investment treaties have impeded attempts to apply international environmental and human rights law (Rajamani, 2007). This reveals a serious weakness in international corporate accountability systems, especially in the event of calamities in the Global South.

Public health and rehabilitation policy solutions have also been inadequate, in addition to legal inadequacies. Despite the establishment of clinics and hospitals following the catastrophe, research shows that these establishments are still understaffed and inadequately furnished (Jayasundara et al., 2012). Furthermore, corruption, mismanagement, and resource misallocation have been blamed on the Bhopal Gas Tragedy Relief and Rehabilitation Department, which was established to coordinate state-level assistance. This illustrates how governance has generally failed to provide impacted populations with comprehensive and ongoing care.

This makes the relationship between the goal and the research topic very evident. Despite their sound design, the legal and policy remedies to the Bhopal tragedy have mostly fallen short in practice. Systemic neglect and environmental contamination continue to disproportionately affect survivor communities, and institutional accountability is still lacking. Injustice has been sustained rather than addressed by the government's over-reliance on litigation in the absence of efficient implementation procedures.

In conclusion, the study demonstrates that while India has created a complex environmental law system after the Bhopal tragedy, its implementation in the same situation that called for it has been seriously problematic. Legal reform is necessary to address this, but so is the political will to apply current rules in an open and equitable manner. In light of this, the second research question directly supports the second objective, which calls for a reevaluation of the ways in which environmental laws and policies can be successfully implemented following industrial catastrophes.

Element	Description
Second Objective	To analyze the effectiveness of legal and policy frameworks in ensuring environmental accountability and justice for the victims of the Bhopal Gas Tragedy.
Second Research Question	How have legal and policy responses shaped the management of toxic waste and the pursuit of environmental justice in Bhopal?
Relevant Legal Frameworks	- Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 - Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 - National Green Tribunal Act, 2010
Judicial Interventions	- Supreme Court rulings on compensation (1989, 2010) - MP High Court directives for waste disposal trials
Policy Mechanisms Implemented	- Creation of BMHRC for health monitoring - Empowered Monitoring Committee - Hazardous Waste Management Rules
Gaps & Shortcomings	- Poor implementation of court orders - Inadequate enforcement by pollution control boards - No clear liability from Dow Chemical
Impact on Waste Management	- Delay in site decontamination - Recent trials of waste incineration in Pithampur - Legal ambiguity around jurisdiction and responsibility
Conclusion from Linkage	While the legal framework exists to support environmental justice, its fragmented enforcement and weak institutional accountability hinder the full realization of remediation goals.

STRATEGIES OF SURVIVORS AND ADVOCACY GROUPS

Not only is the continuation of injustice in the wake of the Bhopal Gas Tragedy a result of institutional failure, but it also demonstrates the tenacity and fortitude of civil society organizations and survivor communities. Even while political and judicial reactions have frequently been postponed, softened, or redirected, it is the ongoing support of grassroots organizations and survivors that has maintained international attention and fought for justice. It is possible to critically examine how bottom-up initiatives have functioned as counter-forces to systemic apathy and how they have influenced, contested, or redirected corporate accountability and public policy by connecting the third research question with the third research aim.

Survivor groups started organizing themselves in the early days following the gas leak in December 1984, refusing to let the state dictate their stories and calling for justice according to their own standards. The Bhopal Group for Information and Action (BGIA), one of the most significant movements, has been an essential platform for gathering information, interacting with the media, and engaging in global lobbying (Sampat, 2010). In addition to BGIA, organizations like Bhopal Gas Peedit Mahila Udyog Sangathan (BGPMUS) and The International Campaign for Justice in Bhopal (ICJB) have organized campaigns to hold Union Carbide and its parent company, Dow Chemical, accountable. These campaigns have included hunger strikes, marches to parliament, legal petitions, and even litigation in U.S. courts (Fortun, 2001).

These organizations have employed a range of advocacy techniques. The strategic use of media and international networks has been a crucial strategy, guaranteeing that Bhopal maintained its international prominence even after government attention faded. To boost their campaigns in forums like the United Nations and World Social Forum, advocacy groups partnered with environmental NGOs like Greenpeace and Amnesty International (Amnesty International, 2004). By claiming that multinational corporations should be held accountable internationally, these initiatives have assisted in redefining the issue from a singular industrial tragedy to one of environmental justice on a global scale.

Pursuing legal activism, frequently through Public Interest Litigation (PIL) and criminal complaints to demand cleanup and compensation, has been another important tactic. For instance, in both Indian and American courts, BGIA and ICJB have continuously contested the Indian government's hesitation to take action against Dow Chemical. Despite political and jurisdictional obstacles, these legal initiatives have been successful in upholding legal pressure and promoting public awareness of corporate impunity (Baxi, 2000).

Additionally, survivor groups have placed a strong emphasis on community-based scientific recording and monitoring. These organizations produced independent data on contamination and health implications through social audits, medical surveys, and water testing in the absence of reliable government studies (Eckerman, 2005). In addition to giving impacted communities more power, these grassroots initiatives produced crucial proof for advocacy in court and abroad.

There have been small changes in policy in response to these ongoing initiatives. For example, the Indian government established the Empowered Commission for Bhopal and then the Bhopal Memorial Hospital and Research Centre (BMHRC) in response to multiple hunger strikes and mass mobilizations in the 2000s, although both have been condemned for their poor performance (Jayasundara et al., 2012). Despite receiving low penalties, eight former executives of Union Carbide India Ltd. were found guilty of criminal negligence in 2010. This decision was strongly denounced by advocacy groups but is still regarded as a precedent in the law.

A crucial but frequently overlooked aspect of environmental justice is the role of community agency and civic resistance, which is highlighted by the connection between the third aim and third research question. Civil society actors have created a parallel narrative of justice based on solidarity, protest, and international human rights frameworks, even when formal institutions have not been able to provide complete reparation or remediation. These organizations have been successful in redefining the debate's parameters to encompass dignity, memory, and structural reform in addition to compensation (Fortun, 2001).

In conclusion, Bhopal's civil society-led and survivor-led advocacy groups show the strength of group action in challenging corporate and environmental injustices. Even though there are still many legal and policy obstacles to overcome, these initiatives have been successful in maintaining Bhopal's public awareness, forcing state reactions, and advocating for a wider acceptance of international corporate responsibility. Therefore, this connection between the objective and the question emphasizes that the most powerful force for environmental justice in Bhopal is still bottom-up resistance in the absence of efficient top-down governance.

Element	Description
Third Objective	To explore the role of affected communities and civil society organizations in advocating for remediation, compensation, and long-term environmental justice in Bhopal.
Third Research Question	What strategies have survivors and advocacy groups employed to seek justice, and how have these efforts influenced policy and remediation outcomes?
Key Actors	- Survivor Groups (e.g., BGPMUS, BGPSSS) - NGOs (e.g., BGIA, ICJB)
Advocacy Strategies	- Protests, hunger strikes, media campaigns - Public Interest Litigations (PILs) - International awareness campaigns - Scientific monitoring and reporting
Policy Outcomes Influenced	- Formation of Bhopal Memorial Hospital and Research Centre (BMHRC) - Judicial interventions and orders for waste disposal trials - Global pressure on Dow Chemical and Union Carbide
Challenges Faced	- Bureaucratic delays - Limited enforcement of court orders - Incomplete waste disposal and decontamination
Conclusion from Linkage	Survivor advocacy has played a critical role in keeping the issue visible and pressuring the state for action, though systemic and institutional barriers continue to hinder full remediation.

THE CURRENT CONUNDRUM:

Because of the hazardous waste that was still present at the Union Carbide India Limited (UCIL) factory site, the Bhopal Gas Tragedy of 1984 left an ongoing legacy of environmental damage. Recent activities aimed at disposing of this toxic waste at a facility in Pithampur, Madhya Pradesh, are the result of efforts to address this issue. The present state of garbage disposal initiatives, the methods used, the reactions of the community, and the wider ramifications for environmental justice are all examined in this conversation.

Initiation of Waste Disposal Efforts

About 337 metric tonnes of hazardous waste were moved from the UCIL site in Bhopal to a specialist disposal facility in Pithampur by Indian officials in January 2025. In order to assure safety, the garbage had to be transported in trucks that were fire and leakproof and accompanied by a convoy of fifty cars (Reuters, 2025). Following protracted discussions and legislative orders to reduce the risks to the environment and human health presented by the residual pollutants, the garbage was finally moved.

Trial Incineration Processes

In order to evaluate the process's safety and effectiveness, the disposal approach focused on burning the toxic waste in controlled trial phases. Ten metric tonnes of trash were burned for 75 hours as part of the initial trial, which started on February 28, 2025. According to officials, gas emissions throughout the testing stayed within acceptable bounds, indicating adherence to environmental safety regulations (The New Indian Express, 2025).

Additional waste quantities were incinerated in subsequent phases. The air quality in nearby villages including Tarpura, Chirakhan, and Bajrangpura is said to have met regulations after more than 6,500 kilos of toxic garbage were burned in the third phase (The New Indian Express, 2025). The purpose of these phased tests was to make sure that the incineration procedure wouldn't have a negative effect on the environment or nearby residents.

Community Responses and Protests

Locals and environmental activists have strongly opposed the disposal attempts, despite government guarantees. Protests broke out in Pithampur in January 2025 as locals voiced their worries about possible health and environmental hazards linked to the burning of toxic trash nearby. Citing concerns over contaminated air and water, protesters called for the waste containers to be returned to Bhopal immediately (Times of India, 2025). In response, authorities took steps to disperse the masses, underscoring the conflicts between public concerns and administrative actions.

The disposal proposal has drawn criticism from activists who claim it is insufficient and ignores the larger problem of lingering contamination at the Bhopal site. According to them, the 337 tonnes of garbage that were removed only accounts for a small portion of the harmful compounds that were there, leaving large quantities of toxic substances untreated (The Guardian, 2025). This viewpoint highlights a larger discontent with the extent and speed of remedial initiatives throughout the previous forty years.

Government and Judicial Oversight

The supervision of the trash disposal process has been greatly aided by the Madhya Pradesh High Court. In order to address the larger stockpile, the court ordered the trial incineration of 10 tonnes of garbage in December 2024. The incineration experiments have been closely observed by officials, such as Deepak Singh, the commissioner of the Indore Division, who has reported that the air quality is normal and that safety procedures are being followed (Hindustan Times, 2025). Judicial and administrative efforts to strike a balance between environmental cleanup and public safety concerns are reflected in these developments.

Environmental and Health Considerations

Hazardous waste incineration is a complicated procedure that may have an impact on human health and the environment. Although officials have said that emission regulations are being met, there is still worry about the long-term effects on human health and local ecosystems. To make sure that secondary contamination from incineration doesn't occur, environmentalists stress the importance of thorough monitoring and open reporting. Furthermore, there is a demand for more environmentally friendly methods that deal with the persistent pollution of the original Bhopal site's soil and groundwater in addition to the rapid removal of toxic waste.

An important, albeit controversial, step in resolving the environmental effects of the Bhopal Gas Tragedy is the current trash disposal initiatives at Pithampur. Trial incinerations have proven to be safe, but activist criticism and community opposition show how difficult it is to achieve environmental justice. The circumstance emphasizes the need for open, inclusive, and all-encompassing approaches that put the welfare of impacted communities and efficient repair first. Continuous monitoring, community involvement, and observance of environmental regulations will be essential throughout the process to guarantee that the tragedy's legacy is respected and handled with the utmost care.

Aspect	Details
Waste Quantity Transferred	337 metric tonnes of hazardous waste transported from Bhopal UCIL site
Disposal Location	Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facility (TSDF), Pithampur, Madhya Pradesh

Aspect	Details
Mode of Transportation	Leak-proof, fire-resistant trucks with police escort and environmental monitoring
Disposal Method	Trial-based **incineration** in controlled environment
Trial Phases	- **Phase 1**: 10 tonnes incinerated over 75 hours - **Phase 3**: 6,500+ kg incinerated
Air Quality Monitoring	Conducted around nearby villages (Tarpura, Chirakhan, Bajrangpura); reported within safe limits
Government Oversight	Supervised by Indore Division Commissioner; ordered by MP High Court
Public Response	- Protests in Pithampur in January 2025 - Demands for safer alternatives and return of waste
Challenges	- Ongoing public mistrust - Environmental safety concerns - Criticism from activists over partial cleanup
Current Status	Incineration trials ongoing under judicial and environmental agency oversight

CONCLUSION

With an emphasis on toxic waste management, institutional accountability, and the advocacy work of survivors and civil society organizations, this study aimed to investigate the long-term effects of the Bhopal Gas Tragedy. By doing this, it addressed three main research questions and tested the hypothesis that "despite years of advocacy and judicial interventions, ineffective institutional mechanisms and inconsistent policy implementation have significantly contributed to the continued environmental and health hazards in Bhopal."

The first research question looked at how well businesses and the government handled the disposal of dangerous waste. Research indicates that despite sporadic attempts, including the most recent incineration trials in Pithampur (The New Indian Express, 2025), these reactions are still disjointed and inadequate. This demonstrates the state's inability to create a uniform and thorough structure for disposal and remediation, even forty years after the accident, which lends credence to the theory.

The legal and policy frameworks controlling environmental accountability in India were examined in the second study topic. It exposed a structural discrepancy between court rulings and their actual application. Effective waste management and environmental justice are nevertheless hampered by policy inertia and lax enforcement, notwithstanding numerous Supreme Court and Madhya Pradesh High Court decisions (Baxi, 2000). As a result, the notion is further supported: although there is legal infrastructure, political indifference and bureaucratic incompetence frequently threaten it.

The involvement of civil society and survivor advocacy was the subject of the third research question. Through court cases, public protests, and international advocacy, organizations like the International Campaign for Justice in Bhopal (ICJB) and the Bhopal Group for Information and Action (BGIA) have steadfastly fought for justice (Fortun, 2001). The systemic challenges they encounter highlight the limitations of bottom-up pressure in the absence of top-down accountability, even though their efforts have maintained the problem in the public eye and forced some official action.

In summary, the results support the main hypothesis. The long-lasting environmental and human rights disaster in Bhopal has been exacerbated by institutional shortcomings, disjointed governmental responses, and corporate impunity. The study emphasizes how urgently community-inclusive environmental governance, coordinated policy change, and transnational corporate accountability systems are needed. Then and only then will the shadows of Bhopal start to fade.

REFERENCES

Amnesty International. (2004). *Clouds of injustice: Bhopal disaster 20 years on*. London: Amnesty International Publications.

Baxi, U. (2010). *The Bhopal catastrophe: Towards a posthumanist theory of corporate accountability*. In M. A. Greaves (Ed.), *Law, Social Justice and Global Development* (Vol. 2, pp. 1–17). University of Warwick.

Bose, B. (2013). Bhopal gas tragedy: An environmental disaster. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 48(49), 27–29.

Centre for Science and Environment. (2010). *Poison in the ground: The Bhopal legacy continues*. New Delhi: CSE.

Dhara, V. R., & Dhara, R. (2002). The Union Carbide disaster in Bhopal: A review of health effects. *Archives of Environmental Health*, 57(5), 391–404. https://doi.org/10.1080/00039890209601429

Eckerman, I. (2005). *The Bhopal Saga: Causes and consequences of the world's largest industrial disaster*. Universities Press.

Fortun, K. (2001). *Advocacy after Bhopal: Environmentalism, disaster, new global orders*. University of Chicago Press.

The Guardian. (2025, January 2). *Removal of waste from site of 1984 Bhopal disaster dismissed as 'farce'*. Retrieved from https://www.theguardian.com/world/2025/jan/02/india-toxic-waste-removal-bhopal-disaster-site-dismissed-as-farce

Greenpeace. (1999). *The toxic legacy of Union Carbide in Bhopal, India: A Greenpeace scientific investigation*. Greenpeace Research Laboratories.

Hindustan Times. (2025, March 1). *MP: Trial disposal of Bhopal gas tragedy waste begins in Pithampur; parameters normal*. Retrieved from https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/mp-trial-disposal-of-bhopal-gas-tragedy-waste-begins-in-pithampur-parameters-normal-101740745488353.html

Jayasundara, D., Yates, D., & Peiris-John, R. (2012). Environmental health issues of industrial disasters in developing countries: A case study of Bhopal. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C*, 30(3), 199–221. https://doi.org/10.1080/10590501.2012.705168

Rai, R., & Chandra, A. (2019). A public health perspective of the Bhopal gas tragedy: 35 years on. *Journal of Environmental Health Research*, 29(3), 210–219.

Rajamani, L. (2007). Public interest environmental litigation in India: Exploring issues of access, participation, equity, effectiveness and sustainability. *Journal of Environmental Law*, 19(3), 293–321. https://doi.org/10.1093/jel/eqm017

Reuters. (2025, January 2). *Toxic waste from India's 1984 Bhopal gas tragedy site moved for disposal after 40 years*. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/world/india/toxic-waste-indias-1984-bhopal-gas-tragedy-site-moved-disposal-after-40-years-2025-01-02/

Sampat, P. (2010). Lessons from Bhopal: Indian activism and the politics of representation. *Social Justice*, 37(4), 89–103.

Sengupta, S. (2014). *Environmental injustice and toxic legacies: The story of Bhopal*. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 71(6), 843–857. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207233.2014.942489

The New Indian Express. (2025, March 4). *Union Carbide waste disposal: First phase of trial ends in Pithampur after 75 hours*. Retrieved from https://www.newindianexpress.com/nation/2025/Mar/04/union-carbide-waste-disposal-first-phase-of-trial-ends-in-pithampur-after-75-hours

The New Indian Express. (2025, March 12). *Over 6,500 kg of toxic waste from Bhopal's Union Carbide waste incinerated under Phase-3 of trial*. Retrieved from https://www.newindianexpress.com/nation/2025/Mar/12/over-650