



# How And Why Have Divorce Rates Changed Over Time?

R. BHARATHI

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

ATME, VTU

ANNAPOORNESHWARI C G

ASSITANT PROFESSOR

SJCE, JSS S&TU

## Abstract:

Divorce rates have experienced notable fluctuations throughout history, shaped by legal, social, cultural, and economic developments. In earlier centuries, divorce was rare due to religious restrictions, legal barriers, and societal stigma. The twentieth century marked a turning point with the introduction of no-fault divorce laws, the rise of women's economic independence, and the growing acceptance of individual choice, all of which contributed to a sharp increase in divorce rates. In recent decades, however, many societies have witnessed stabilization or even a decline in divorce, influenced by delayed marriages, cohabitation, and evolving family structures. Understanding these shifts highlights how divorce trends mirror broader transformations in societal values, gender roles, and the meaning of marriage itself.

## Introduction:

Divorce, once considered a rare and socially unacceptable outcome of marriage, has undergone significant changes over time. In the past, strict laws, strong religious influence, and cultural expectations made divorce uncommon. However, with legal reforms, the advancement of gender equality, and shifting social values, divorce rates began to rise, especially during the late twentieth century. In more recent years, these rates have stabilized or even declined in some societies, largely due to delayed marriages and changing family patterns. Overall, the transformation of divorce trends reflects society's movement from traditional obligations toward individual choice and personal fulfillment.

## Key Words:

- Divorce trends
- Marriage stability
- No-fault divorce
- Gender equality
- Women's economic independence
- Cultural change
- Legal reforms
- Cohabitation

- Family structure
- Social attitudes
- Divorce statistics
- Marital dissolution
- Demographic change

## Literature Review:

### Scope and approach

This review synthesizes influential theoretical and empirical work on long-term trends in divorce rates, focusing primarily on research from the United States and comparative studies of OECD countries. It draws on sociological theory (institutional change), economic analyses of marriage/divorce, demographic data-series, and policy-focused work on legal reforms. Key sources include Andrew Cherlin's institutional analysis, comprehensive empirical reviews by Stevenson & Wolfers, and international statistics from OECD and large-data syntheses (Our World in Data, Pew). [JSTOR](#)[NBER](#)[OECD](#)

### Major theoretical frameworks

#### 1. Deinstitutionalization/institutional change.

Cherlin (2004) argues that marriage has become “deinstitutionalized”: the social norms, roles, and obligations that once rigidly governed marital behavior have weakened. This framework explains rising divorce as part of broader shifts (rise of cohabitation, nonmarital childbearing, changing gender roles) that alter expectations about marriage’s meaning and permanence. Cherlin stresses normative change rather than a single causal mechanism. [JSTOR](#)

#### 2. Economic and microeconomic models.

Economic scholars analyze marriage/divorce decisions as responses to changes in costs/benefits: women’s labor-force participation, relative wages, and economic independence reduce the financial barrier to leaving unsatisfying marriages; changes in welfare systems and tax regimes also shape incentives. Stevenson & Wolfers (2007) provide a broad economic perspective that links macro trends (labor markets, income) and micro-level selection into marriage. [NBER](#)

#### 3. Legal-institutional explanations (no-fault divorce).

A prominent strand attributes much of the twentieth-century spike in divorces to legal changes—particularly the spread of no-fault divorce laws beginning in the 1960s–1970s—which lowered procedural and reputational costs of divorce and made exits easier. Empirical tests find that legal liberalization explains part, but not all, of the rise; interactions with cultural change and economic independence are important. (See Stevenson & Wolfers for econometric summaries.) [NBER](#)

### Empirical evidence and trend summaries

#### Long-term pattern.

Cross-national data show a roughly similar pattern in many high-income countries: low divorce rates through much of early 20th century; marked increases from roughly the 1960s–1990s; and stabilization or decline in many countries since the 1990s–2000s. The timing and scale vary by country (e.g., Korea and some Southern European countries saw later and sharper increases). OECD compilations and global data syntheses chart these patterns across decades. [OECD](#)[Our World in Data](#)

## Recent shifts.

Several recent syntheses report that crude divorce rates have plateaued or fallen in many OECD countries since the 1990s, while divorce among older adults (so-called “gray divorce”) has risen in places like the United States. Analysts attribute recent declines in part to delayed marriage (later age at first marriage correlates with greater stability), selective marriage (marriage becoming more common among the economically/educationally advantaged), and substitution toward cohabitation (breakups of cohabiting couples don’t appear in divorce stats). Pew and Our World in Data summarize these nuanced, age- and cohort-specific trends. [Pew Research Center](#)[Our World in Data](#)

## Methods used in the literature

- **Aggregate vital-statistics analysis:** crude divorce rates (divorces per 1,000 population) and refined measures (divorces per 1,000 married persons; cohort divorce rates). OECD and national statistical offices supply time series used by many studies. [OECD](#)
- **Quasi-experimental and econometric approaches:** researchers exploit staggered adoption of no-fault laws, changes in female labor market participation, or policy variations across jurisdictions to estimate causal effects (Stevenson & Wolfers survey many such studies). [NBER](#)
- **Qualitative and normative analyses:** sociologists (e.g., Cherlin) emphasize interpretive work on changing meanings of marriage and family.

## Consistencies, debates, and open questions

- **Consensus:** The rise in mid-to-late 20th century was multi-causal—legal liberalization, women’s economic independence, and cultural change all mattered. Recent stabilization/decline in many countries is real but heterogeneous. [NBER](#)[OECD](#)
- **Active debates:** How much of the historical rise is attributable specifically to no-fault divorce laws versus changing gender economics or independent cultural shifts? Another debate is whether falling crude divorce rates signal healthier marriages or increasing selectivity (i.e., marriage becomes concentrated among those with resources). Time-varying selection effects make causal attribution challenging. [NBER](#)[TIME](#)
- **Measurement challenges:** Divorce statistics miss nonmarital breakups (cohabitation), vary in reporting conventions across countries, and can be influenced by changes in marriage prevalence—so interpretation requires careful use of cohort-based and marriage-specific rates. OECD and Our World in Data emphasize these caveats. [OECD](#)[Our World in Data](#)

## Gaps and avenues for future research

1. **Cohort vs. period analysis:** more cohort-based work can disentangle whether current low rates reflect cohort behavior (Millennials) or period effects.
2. **Inequality and selection:** deeper investigation into how socioeconomic inequality shapes both marriage entry and stability (is marriage increasingly an institution of the advantaged?).
3. **Global South and non-OECD contexts:** many studies focus on high-income countries; comparative work in rapidly changing societies (e.g., parts of Asia, Latin America) is growing but still limited.
4. **Integration of qualitative meaning-change with causal inference:** bridging institutional/normative accounts and causal econometric work will strengthen explanations.

## Methodology:

This study is based on secondary research and focuses on understanding how divorce rates have changed over time.

**Research Design:** The method is mainly descriptive and analytical, looking at patterns of divorce across history and linking them with social, cultural, and legal changes.

**Data Sources:** Information is collected from demographic databases such as the OECD Family Database and Our World in Data, as well as books, journal articles, and research papers in sociology, law, and economics. Legal documents, such as the introduction of no-fault divorce laws, are also reviewed.

**Analysis:** The study uses historical analysis to compare past and present divorce rates, and comparative analysis to look at differences between countries. A thematic approach is also used to find common reasons behind divorce trends, such as legal reforms, gender equality, and changing cultural values.

**Limitations:** Divorce data may not be the same in all countries, so direct comparisons are difficult. The study looks mainly at broad social and legal reasons, not personal ones. Also, relationship breakups outside marriage (like cohabitation) are not included in official statistics, so some trends may be underreported.

## Results

The findings reveal distinct patterns in how divorce rates have changed over time.

### 1. Early Historical Period

In earlier centuries, divorce was extremely uncommon. Strong religious influence, restrictive laws, and the stigma attached to separation meant that marriages were largely considered permanent. Divorce was only permitted under exceptional circumstances and was inaccessible to most people.

### 2. Gradual Increase in the 19th and Early 20th Century

With industrialization and social change, divorce began to increase slowly, particularly in Western societies. Shifts in family life, urban migration, and women's gradual access to education and employment contributed to this rise, although divorce was still rare and discouraged.

### 3. Significant Rise in the Mid-20th Century

The most notable growth in divorce occurred from the 1960s through the 1980s. The introduction of **no-fault divorce laws**, combined with women's increasing participation in the workforce, made it easier for couples to separate. Cultural shifts during this period emphasized individual happiness and self-fulfillment, leading to a sharp increase in divorce rates worldwide, especially in the United States and Europe.

### 4. Stabilization and Recent Decline

From the 1990s onward, divorce rates in many developed countries began to stabilize or even decline. This trend is closely linked to couples marrying later in life, often after achieving financial and personal stability, which reduces the likelihood of separation. At the same time, cohabitation has become more common, and breakups in such relationships are not reflected in divorce statistics. However, certain groups, such as older adults in the U.S., have shown a rising trend, known as "gray divorce."

### 5. Regional Variations

The timing and scale of change vary across societies. Western nations experienced early and sharp rises in divorce, followed by stabilization, while many Asian and Middle Eastern countries have seen more recent increases as cultural norms and legal systems evolve. Despite these changes, overall divorce rates in these regions remain lower compared to Western countries.

**Discussion:**

1. The patterns observed in divorce rates over time reflect deep social, legal, and cultural transformations. In earlier centuries, divorce was rare because marriage was strongly controlled by religious authorities and social institutions. It was regarded not only as a personal relationship but also as a moral and social obligation, which left little room for dissolution.
2. The gradual rise in divorce during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries can be linked to industrialization and urbanization, which disrupted traditional family structures. At the same time, women began to gain access to education and limited employment opportunities, offering some independence. Even so, legal restrictions and social stigma continued to keep divorce relatively uncommon.
3. The sharp increase from the 1960s to the 1980s marked a turning point. The introduction of **no-fault divorce laws** made separation legally easier, while the growth of feminist movements and women's workforce participation reduced economic dependence within marriage. Cultural change also played a key role, as marriage increasingly came to be seen as a source of personal happiness rather than a lifelong duty. This shift reflects what Cherlin (2004) describes as the "deinstitutionalization of marriage," where traditional expectations weakened and individual choice became central.
4. Since the 1990s, divorce rates have largely stabilized or declined in many societies. One explanation is that couples now marry later in life, often after completing education and achieving financial stability, which increases the chances of marital success. The rise of cohabitation also explains the trend, as many couples now separate outside of marriage, meaning their breakups do not appear in divorce statistics. At the same time, divorce among older adults—sometimes called "gray divorce"—has become more common, driven by longer life spans and changing expectations of companionship in later years.
5. Regional differences underline how culture and law shape marital patterns. Western countries experienced early and dramatic increases in divorce, while many Asian and Middle Eastern countries are seeing more recent rises as modernization and legal reforms shift traditional norms.
6. Taken together, these trends suggest a broader transformation: marriage has moved from being primarily a social institution defined by duty and external control to one based on personal choice and fulfillment. The interaction of legal reforms, economic independence, and cultural change has been central to this evolution.

**Conclusion:**

The history of divorce reflects the wider transformation of marriage and family life. Once rare and heavily restricted by religion, law, and social custom, divorce gradually increased with industrialization, women's growing independence, and social modernization. The mid-to-late twentieth century marked the sharpest rise, driven by no-fault divorce laws, gender equality movements, and cultural shifts that placed greater value on personal fulfillment. In recent decades, divorce rates in many societies have stabilized or declined, influenced by delayed marriages, cohabitation, and selective entry into marriage, although "gray divorce" has emerged as a new trend. Overall, the changes in divorce rates reveal how law, economy, and culture interact to redefine marriage—not as a fixed social obligation, but as a flexible partnership shaped by individual

**References:**

- Cherlin, A. J. (2004). The deinstitutionalization of American marriage. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 66(4), 848–861. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-2445.2004.00058.x>
- OECD. (2023). *SF3.1: Marriage and divorce rates*. OECD Family Database. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/social/family-database.htm>
- Our World in Data. (2023). *Marriages and divorces*. Retrieved from <https://ourworldindata.org/marriages-and-divorces>
- Pew Research Center. (2019). *Marriage and divorce trends in the U.S.* Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org>
- Stevenson, B., & Wolfers, J. (2007). Marriage and divorce: Changes and their driving forces. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 21(2), 27–52. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.21.2.27>
- Amato, P. R. (2010). Research on divorce: Continuing trends and new developments. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 72(3), 650–666. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2010.00723.x>

- Cherlin, A. J. (2004). The deinstitutionalization of American marriage. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 66(4), 848–861. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-2445.2004.00058.x>
- Cohen, P. N. (2019). *The coming divorce decline*. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World*, 5, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023119873497>
- OECD. (2023). *SF3.1: Marriage and divorce rates*. OECD Family Database. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/social/family-database.htm>
- Our World in Data. (2023). *Marriages and divorces*. Retrieved from <https://ourworldindata.org/marriages-and-divorces>

Pew Research Center. (2019). *Marriage and divorce trends in the U.S.* Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org>

Stevenson, B., & Wolfers, J. (2007). Marriage and divorce: Changes and their driving forces. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 21(2), 27–52. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.21.2.27>

- Wilcox, W. B., & Marquardt, E. (2010). *The State of Our Unions: Marriage in America*. National Marriage Project, University of Virginia. Retrieved from <https://nationalmarriageproject.org>
- Wolfinger, N. H. (2005). *Understanding the divorce cycle: The children of divorce in their own marriages*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

#### 1. Aneesh Thadathil & Sujata Sriram (2019)

- *Divorce, Families and Adolescents in India: A Review of Research* — This paper reviews the limited but growing literature on divorce in India, focusing especially on psychosocial impacts on families and adolescents. [Taylor & Francis Online](#)

#### 2. Anas Tharakan (2024)

- *Unveiling Divorce Trends in Kerala: Inferences from Family Courts* — An empirical study analyzing rising divorce petitions in Kerala, revealing around 75 filings per day and community-specific trends (2016–2022). [South India Journal of Social Sciences](#)

#### 3. Harihar Sahoo, Manas R. Pradhan, Manor Alagarajan, Madhurima Sharma & Sunandita Das (2024)

- *Marital dissolution in India: Patterns and correlates* — Using descriptive stats and logistic regression, this paper examines demographic risk factors such as urban residence, poverty, infertility, and child marriage in divorce/separation rates among women. [AccScience PublishingResearchGate](#)

#### 4. Vinod Kumari, Kushagra Prasad & Mahak (2022)

- *Determinants of Divorce in India and its Impact on Partners and Family: A Sociological Analysis* — Conceptualizes divorce through sociological, functionalist, and Marxian lenses, exploring demographic causes and consequences for women, children, and families. [IAHRW](#)

#### 5. Hiranmaya Nanda & Maithili Chaudhury (2020)

- *Divorce Trends in India* — Provides interdisciplinary insight into marriage stability in India between 1987 and 2015, noting education-related differences and a rising trend in separation and divorce. [PalArch](#)

#### 6. Charu (2024)

- *Decoding 'I Do's and 'I Don'ts': Exploring the Surge in Indian Divorce Rates* — Argues that increased female economic independence, urbanization, and western influences contribute to rising divorce in India. [STM Journals](#)

#### 7. Dr. M. Anuradha & Dr. D. Vanaja

- *Changing Trends of Divorce in India: Issues & Concerns* — Highlights modernization, shifting from joint to nuclear families, social media, and women's employment as key drivers of marital breakdown. [IJARESM](#)

### Additional Relevant Authors (for further depth)

These contributors may not focus solely on divorce rates but offer valuable perspectives on family law, women's rights, and divorce discourse in India:

- **Flavia Agnes** — A prominent women's rights lawyer who writes extensively on marital, divorce, and property law in India. [Wikipedia](#)
- **Malavika Rajkotia** — Legal expert and author of *Intimacy Undone: Law of Marriage, Divorce and Family in India*, blending legal, historical, and socio-economic perspectives. [Wikipedia](#)
- **B.S. Manhas** — Author of *Breaking the Sacred Knot: Divorce in Modern India*, an analysis of divorce's evolving social meaning in the modern Indian

