IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Recent Trends In NGO Management In India: Problems Of Fund Management, Ethical Pressures And Pathways To Fair Practice

Nilesh H. Kale
Director, Edusan Foundation
[Section – 8 Company, Reg. Under Ministry of Corporate Affairs & DPIIT]

Abstract :
The management of non-government organizations (NGOs) is undergoing significant transformations in the context of evolving social, regulatory and corporate-donor landscapes. This Paper particularly focus on growing influence of corporate social responsibility (CSR) funding, the reputational risk arising from unethical practices in CSR-NGO partnership and internal pressure such as over-commitment, commercialization, and mission drift faced by NGO leadership. Adopting mixed method approach, including case study of small size and mid-sized NGO and secondary literature review, the study identifies key challenges and proposes actionable recommendations of government policy and NGO governance to ensure sustainability and national development. The finding suggest that while CSR present major opportunities for NGOs, misalignment of donor-NGO objectives, lack of transparency and transient approach threaten NGO reputation and effectiveness. Policy interventions and strengthen governance framework are required to safeguard the public trust in NGOs and advance their contribution to nation building.

Keywords : NGO management, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), NGO reputation, mission drift, governance, India

Introduction

Non-governmental organizations plays crucial role in social-economic development, advocacy and community empowerment. In recent development NGOs are proved to be important segment as an essential complement to government and corporate efforts. At the same time NGOs sector evolving in response to new pressure as regulatory framework, heightened public scrutiny of accountability and transparency and growing expectations from donors for measurable outcome and social or community impact. In the recent conditions , managing an NGO calls for not only mission oriented but also professional governance, strategic partnership, financial sustainability and ethical integrity. New trends in recent scenario is seen. NGO increasingly collaborating and partnering with corporate for CSR funding, adopting business style management practices , leveraging digital technologies for transparency and reporting and diversifying revenue sources. But these trends also carry risk as pressure to secure funds may invite over – promising, mission drift, dependency on large donors and reputational damage if ethical practices default.

Problem Statement

Inadequate systems for financial management: many NGOs have weak internal controls, lack of skilled financial staff, poor accounting & auditing practices.

Unethical pressures / malpractices: Sometimes by intermediaries/consultants pushing inflated proposals, misreporting, overpromising impact, bending accounting to win CSR contracts.

Regulatory and compliance burden: FCRA, audit, tax, disclosures can be complex, expensive, time-consuming; some NGOs struggle to comply, leading to reputational risk or legal risk.

Skewed funding patterns: Short-term project funding, underfunding of indirect / overhead costs, unpredictable funding flows, lack of reserves.

Inequality among NGOs: Smaller, rural NGOs or those led by marginalized communities often have less access to funds, less capacity to navigate the system.

Trust deficit: Among donors/corporates and the public, due to past cases of misuse, or opaque reporting, or failed delivery.

Methodology

This study uses a mixed-method approach consisting of

(i) review of literature and secondary data of recent trends in NGO management, CSR-NGO partnerships, transparency and accountability literature.
(ii) A case study of a mid-sized Indian NGO to illustrate real world management challenges and responses.

Literature Review - Recent Trends

From recent reports and academic studies, some key findings:

1. Under-funding of indirect/overhead costs, organizational development & capacity building. Many funders expect NGOs to deliver big results but do not want to pay for administration or long-term capacity.
2. Short financial reserves leads to instability: Many NGOs report having fewer than three months of financial reserves; no operating surplus.
3. Leadership gaps: Over-reliance on founders; lack of second-tier leadership; poor succession planning.
4. Regulatory pressure and changing legal framework: Stricter FCRA amendments, compliance costs rising. NGOs depend less on foreign funds or face obstacles from these changes.
5. CSR growth but challenges: CSR spending is rising, but many NGOs receive delayed payments, uncertain partnerships, high competition, documentation / reporting burdens.
6. Use of technology, measurement, impact evaluation: Need for better monitoring & evaluation, MIS systems, standardization of financial reporting. Some NGOs are adopting digital tools but many lag.
7. Emergence of CSR consultancies and intermediaries: Many firms offering to help NGOs / corporations with CSR compliance, proposal writing, project implementation oversight. Sometimes this consultancy layer adds cost, or may influence NGO behavior (e.g. pushing outcomes, reports) that compromise ethical practice.

Case Studies

Here are two hypothetical or real examples (you may collect primary data to fill in) to illustrate challenges.

Case Study 1: A medium NGO in Maharashtra

Background: Works in education and women's health; receives CSR funding from several corporations; occasional foreign grants.

Challenges: Delays in CSR fund disbursement; corporate partners insisting on tight timelines and quantifiable metrics, sometimes at cost of quality. Overhead costs (admin, rent, staff salaries) not fully covered; NGO using core funds to fill gaps.

Unethical pressure: Consultancy engaged by corporate pushes to submit inflated beneficiary numbers; NGO feels it must exaggerate to win funding.

Case Study 2: Rural NGO in tribal district

Background: Small, local NGO; no in-house finance staff; operates with small grants; heavily dependent on foreign donor or grant awarded via intermediaries.

Challenges: Difficulty complying with detailed documentation, audit requirements, FCRA formalities. High cost (in time & money) of compliance; sometimes legal/regulatory confusion. Also, risk of misuse allegations because of poor record keeping.

Analysis: Fair Practices vs. Unethical Practices

Practice Area	Fair / Ethical Practices	Unethical / Risky Practices
Proposal & Reporting	Accurate beneficiary numbers, realistic targets, transparency of budgets, including indirect/overhead costs.	Inflated numbers; misreporting; hiding costs; excluding overheads; cutting corners in reports.
Fund Utilization & Accounting	Full compliance with accounting standards; regular audits; clear separation of donor- restricted vs unrestricted funds; reserves maintained.	Mixing funds; shifting costs; using restricted funds for other purposes; minimal audit.
Governance & Leadership	Succession planning; strong internal controls; conflict of interest policies; board oversight; transparency.	Founder dependence; weak boards; opaque decision making; conflict of interest unaddressed.
Engagement with Consultants / Intermediaries	Using consultants with clear terms; transparency in fees; ensuring they follow ethical norms; NGOs retaining ownership & responsibility.	Consultants imposing terms that compromise integrity; advising exaggeration; charging high fees; acting as gatekeepers.
Regulatory Compliance & Legal Ethics	Following FCRA/tax law; correct reporting; compliance with data protection ; ensuring donations are handled ethically	Non-compliance; ignoring regulations; risking revocation of licenses; unethical fundraising tactics.

Problems Specific to Consultancy & CSR Intermediaries

➢ Proliferation of consultancies positioning themselves as "CSR experts" may lead to standardized but not tailored approaches, sometimes pushing NGOs to modify their mission/objectives to align with what corporates want.

➢ NGOs may feel pressurized to pay high consulting fees, or lose negotiation power of corporates and consultants.

➢ Some consultancies may suggest or share unethical practices (e.g. overpromising, cutting corners in reporting) to secure contracts.

➢ This dynamic may skew the sector toward organizations that can pay for these services, disadvantaging smaller ones.

Proposed Solutions / Recommendations

Here are strategies to mitigate problems and promote fair practices.

1. SWOT analysis of Module under operation.
An individual must focus on own skill base strategy to avoid dependency. Must approach to experts of relevant area or study collective data before commencement of any program and make ready SWOT analysis chart.
2. Strategic alignment of donor – NGO partnership : Develop a standard partnership MoU with clearly defined roles, risk sharing, exit clauses and realistic deliverables. Encourage donors to adopt multi-year funding and flexible outcome metrics rather than short term KPIs.

3. Transparent Financial Management Systems

➢ Ensure NGOs adopt robust accounting systems; maintain clear budgets, track restricted vs unrestricted funds.
➢ Invest in financial management software, MIS systems.
➢ Regular external audits; publish financial reports.

4. Funding Overhead / Indirect Costs

➢ Donors / CSR funders to agree to cover indirect/overhead/administrative costs and organizational development, not just direct program costs.
➢ Multi-year grants to provide stability.
➢ Encourage unrestricted grants allowing NGOs flexibility.

5. Reserves & Risk Management

➢ NGOs should aim to maintain financial reserves (For example; operating costs, Regulatory and compliance cost) to deal with delays or funding shortfalls.
➢ Risk assessment and mitigation plans (for legal/regulatory, donation dependency, etc.).

6. Strengthening Governance & Leadership

Clear board oversight; conflict of interest policies; code of ethics.

Leadership development: train mid-level staff; plan for succession.

Capacity building in management, finance, impact measurement.

7. Ethical Guidelines for Consultancies & CSR Partnership

Develop a code of ethics / best practices for CSR consultancies.

NGOs to vet consultants; have clear contracts; transparency on fees; alignment with mission.

Corporates to require transparency from both NGOs and consultants.

8. Regulatory Simplification & Clearer Compliance Requirements

Advocacy to simplify compliance / reporting burdens, especially for small/grassroots NGOs.

Clearer guidelines around FCRA, tax, audits.

9. Standardization and Metrics

Develop sector-wide standards / frameworks for impact, reporting, financial disclosure.

Use third-party platforms / rating systems to help donors assess NGOs.

10. Technology Adoption

Tools for monitoring, evaluation, real-time reporting, financial tracking.

Use digital dashboards, cloud tools, mobile apps to facilitate remote work and oversight.

11. Building Trust & Public Engagement

- Transparency with donors: publish annual reports, outcomes, stories.
- Communication strategies: social media, local community engagement.
- Third-party evaluations; independent assessments to build credibility.

Current Unethical Practices in CSR and in NGO market and their Impact on NGO reputation

Donor driven agenda : Corporates may push NGOs to adopt programs that serves companies marketing objectives rather than community need resulting into which mismatch priorities, short term projects. It harms public trust and NGO credibility.

Over promising, under delivering : To secure funding, NGO may commit to ambitious outcomes without adequate potential capacities. When results are delayed NGO reputation suffers , donor may withdraw and regulatory scrutiny increases.

Lack of transparency : Fund diversion cases, inflated audit report, misleading non-viable activities, wrong data in audit adversely affect income-tax exemption report. It may lead to with-drawl of these income-tax exemption which largely downgrade the credibility of organization.

Commercialization mindset : Some NGOs adopting business style practices, focusing on revenue generation, rapid scale up, partnership that maximize funds rather than maximize community benefit. This drift changes stakeholder perception from trusted non-profit to a "project vendor". This leads to blacklisting or caution by donor or regulators results are weak.

Regulatory or Compliance Failure : NGOs operating without strong governance especially holding FCRA license, 12 A and 80 G, LEI certification may fail audits, violate donor term or regulatory requirements which leads to listing of NGO in "black-list" register by corporations or government agencies which damages in broader sense with penalty in some cases.

Implications & Policy Suggestions

- Government can help by streamlining regulatory frameworks (e.g. FCRA, income tax laws), making compliance easier for small NGOs.
- Establishing a regulatory/oversight body (or strengthening existing ones) for NGO sector transparency, but balancing oversight with autonomy.
- Incentivizing domestic philanthropy and CSR to smaller/local NGOs via facilitative schemes (e.g. match-funding, tax breaks) without any discrimination.
- Transparency in condition of schemes of Ministries visible on online portal. It must be viable, simple to understand in-order reach goal of e-governance and Vikasit Bharat. As per case study few schemes or tender based on financial conditions of NGO. Conditions are such , only those NGO become eligible who has turnover either more than 50 Lacs or in a Crore. New NGO hen move away from such schemes even though having potential in them.
- Encouraging capacity building programs (funded by central or state governments or large philanthropies) focusing on financial management, leadership, compliance. Those NGO's has best track record or having clear compliances, concern ministries must support to any class of NGO's who wish to promote capacity building program without much hurdle or intermediate beneficiary.
- For any class of NGO, government can fix regulatory compliance charges including Fees or consultation charges of CA.
- Need to develop separate Grievance and Redresser cell through NITI Ayog platform to raise certain issue or to resolve any difficulties arises to NGO (it may include false practices of inter-mediators, official concern if any delay in response to proposal submitted, vigilance parameter, or to find solution for any injustice happen with organization) . It may bridge the gap between NGO and Government entity and transparency in the system develops. After-all if NGO sustains and able to reach their goal then helps to develop strong societal community and thereby nation.

Conclusion

The NGO sector in India stands at a crossroads: increased funding and visibility bring both opportunity and risk. Ethical fund management and fair practices are essential for sustaining public trust, achieving real impact, and ensuring that NGOs can survive and scale over time. While consultancies and intermediaries have a role, their influence must be bounded by transparency, accountability, and alignment with mission. By adopting the recommendations above, NGOs, donors, CSR intermediaries, and regulators can together forge a healthier, more resilient sector.

References :

1. Gent, S. E., Crescenzi, M. J., Menninga, E. J. & Reid, L. (2015). The reputation trap of NGO accountability. International Theory, 7(3), 426-463.

2. Rorimpandey, S. S. (2025). Transparency and Accountability of NGOs in Managing Corporate Social Funds. European Journal of Business and Management Research.

3. Partnering Initiative. (2023). Changing Trends in Business-NGO Collaboration in India.

4. Hidden, K. (2017). Misaligned needs: A study of CSR from an NGO and corporate perspective. University of Cape Town.

5. Zaman, M., et al. (2024). Current Challenges of Good Corporate Governance in NGOs: Case of Slovenia. Economies, 6(1)28.

6. Mahindru, R. (2023). Exploring CSR for Indian NGOs. SSRG International Journal of Economics and Management Studies, 10(9), 26-32.

7. Ojasoo, M. (2016). CSR reporting, stakeholder engagement and preventing hypocrisy through ethics audit. Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research, 6(14).

8. Chopova, T., Ellemers, N. & Sinelnikova, E. (2024). Morality matters: How CSR activities and communications affect stakeholders' support. International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility, 9:10.

9. Empowering Change: An Advanced NGO Management System for Efficient Operations, Enhanced Donor Engagement, and Transparent Community Development
Dr. Nisha Auti1, Sakshi Deshmukh2, Harshada Sharma3, Sakshi Dhumal4, Pratik Shinde5
*Dept. of Computer Science (JSPM NTC), Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, India :*International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
Volume 11 Issue XI Nov 2023

10. Emerging Trends in NGO Management: A Strategic Action Orientation Perspective by Dr. Mourlin K : IJCRT | Volume 8, Issue 6 June 2020