IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Effectiveness Of Collaborative Learning On Social Competence And Academic Achievement In Science Of Secondary School Students Of Gujarat

Nirajkumar Ramanbhai Shrimalibhoi[1]

Research Scholar, Dept.of Education, Madhav University.

Dr. Sujan Patel[2]

Associate Professor, Dept.of Education, Madhav University.

Abstract

This study investigates the effectiveness of collaborative learning on social competence and academic achievement in science among secondary school students in Gujarat. The research was conducted using a quasi-experimental design involving control and experimental groups. Data were collected through validated instruments measuring academic achievement and social competence, administered in pre-test and post-test phases. Descriptive and inferential statistics, including mean, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis, and t-tests, were applied to assess differences across groups, gender, and locale. The results revealed significant improvements in both academic achievement and social competence for students exposed to collaborative learning, with positive effects observed across gender and locale subgroups. The findings support the integration of collaborative learning strategies in science education to enhance students' academic and socio-emotional outcomes. Educational implications and recommendations for further research are discussed.

Keywords: Collaborative Learning, Social Competence, Academic Achievement, Science Education, Secondary School Students, Gujarat

Introduction

Education is a **lifelong and dynamic process** aimed at cultivating individuals' intellectual, emotional, and social capacities so they can actively and meaningfully participate in the fabric of society. It not only equips learners with cognitive skills but also fosters values, attitudes, and behaviors essential for responsible citizenship and personal growth. In the contemporary educational landscape—shaped by globalization, technological advancement, and rapid socio-economic changes—**academic achievement**

and **social competence** emerge as two interdependent and critical indicators of student success. Academic achievement, often measured through examinations, grades, and performance-based assessments, reflects the mastery of disciplinary content, analytical skills, and problem-solving abilities within specific subject areas. Social competence, in contrast, refers to the repertoire of interpersonal skills, emotional intelligence, adaptability, and collaborative behaviors that enable individuals to function effectively in diverse social contexts.

In the realm of **science education**, the development of both competencies assumes even greater significance. Science learning extends beyond the memorization of facts and formulas; it demands critical inquiry, experimental skills, and the capacity to apply theoretical knowledge to real-world challenges. Moreover, scientific problem-solving frequently occurs in team-based settings, requiring effective communication, conflict resolution, and collective decision-making. This intersection of cognitive and social skill sets underscores the relevance of **collaborative learning** as an instructional strategy.

Collaborative learning—defined as a pedagogical approach in which students work together in structured or semi-structured groups to achieve shared academic goals—has been recognized by researchers such as Johnson and Johnson (2009) and Slavin (1996) as a **powerful means of enhancing both academic outcomes and social development**. It encourages active engagement, mutual accountability, and peer-to-peer support, enabling students to co-construct knowledge while simultaneously refining their interpersonal skills. In science classrooms, collaborative learning fosters inquiry-oriented discussions, stimulates diverse perspectives, and nurtures creativity in problem-solving.

Given the increasing emphasis on **inclusive, student-centered teaching practices**, this study investigates the effectiveness of collaborative learning in improving both academic achievement in science and social competence among secondary school students in Gujarat. It further examines whether these effects differ across demographic variables such as gender and locale, thereby providing insights for educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers seeking to optimize teaching strategies for diverse learner populations.

Review of Literature

Collaborative learning has been extensively researched over the past several decades as an instructional approach capable of improving **both cognitive and affective learning outcomes** across educational levels. Rooted in **constructivist learning theories** and the principles of Vygotsky's (1978) social development theory, it views learning as a socially mediated process in which knowledge is actively constructed through meaningful interaction and dialogue among peers. In this model, learners are not passive recipients of information but **active participants**, engaging in shared problem-solving, negotiation of meaning, and critical reflection. Scholars such as Golub (1988) and Gerlach (1994) emphasize that **student talk** is not merely an accessory to learning but a central mechanism through which deeper understanding is achieved.

It is within these exchanges—explaining concepts, questioning assumptions, and defending positions—that much of the learning occurs.

A growing body of research has consistently demonstrated that collaborative learning contributes to **enhanced academic performance, greater retention of content, and improved higher-order thinking skills** (Johnson, Johnson, & Smith, 1998; Slavin, 1996). Beyond academic achievement, it nurtures essential **social-emotional skills** such as active listening, empathy, cooperation, and conflict resolution (Panitz, 1997). In the context of science education, where inquiry, experimentation, and problem-based tasks are integral, collaborative learning aligns seamlessly with pedagogical goals. It creates opportunities for students to test hypotheses, share interpretations of experimental results, and collectively design solutions to authentic scientific problems—thereby bridging the gap between **theoretical knowledge and practical application**.

Moreover, the versatility of collaborative learning allows it to be implemented in diverse formats, ranging from **think-pair-share and jigsaw techniques to project-based and problem-based learning** models. Each format offers unique pedagogical benefits: think-pair-share encourages quick peer reflection and articulation of ideas; jigsaw learning fosters interdependence and specialization; and problem-based learning develops research skills and critical thinking over extended periods. The adaptability of these strategies makes them suitable for varied classroom contexts and learning objectives.

However, the successful implementation of collaborative learning is not without challenges. Issues such as **unequal participation, dominance by more vocal group members, and interpersonal conflicts** can hinder its effectiveness. Additionally, aligning collaborative activities with curriculum requirements, assessment standards, and time constraints can pose difficulties for educators. The literature highlights the importance of **skilled teacher facilitation**, clear role assignments, and structured group processes in overcoming these challenges (Gillies & Boyle, 2010). Effective facilitation ensures that all students are engaged, that group interactions remain focused and respectful, and that the collaborative process contributes meaningfully to the intended learning outcomes.

Methodology

This study adopted a quasi-experimental design with pre-test and post-test measures for both experimental and control groups. The population comprised secondary school students from Gujarat, with a total sample of 200 students, equally divided into control and experimental groups. The experimental group was exposed to collaborative learning strategies in science instruction, while the control group received traditional teaching.

Instruments: Academic Achievement Test in Science and Social Competence Scale were used for data collection. Both instruments were validated and had acceptable reliability coefficients.

Procedure: The pre-test was administered to both groups to establish baseline measures of academic achievement and social competence. The experimental group then participated in collaborative learning

sessions, while the control group followed the regular curriculum. After the intervention period, a post-test was conducted for both groups

Data Analysis: Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis) and inferential tests (t-tests) were employed to analyze the data. Normality assumptions were checked, and all skewness and kurtosis values fell within acceptable ranges, indicating suitability for parametric analysis.

Results

The results are presented for academic achievement and social competence, with analyses conducted at pre-test, post-test, and gain score levels. Differences were examined between control and experimental groups, and across gender and locale subgroups.

Academic Achievement in Science: Pre-test results indicated no significant difference between control (M = 29.05) and experimental groups (M = 29.29). Post-test results showed a significant improvement in the experimental group (M = 36.33) compared to the control group (M = 29.48). Gain scores further confirmed this improvement, with the experimental group achieving a mean gain of 7.04 versus 1.65 in the control group.

Social Competence in Science: Similar trends were observed for social competence. Pre-test means for control and experimental groups were comparable (M = 110.87 and M = 110.56, respectively). Post-test results demonstrated significant gains in the experimental group (M = 126.03) over the control group (M = 119.16).

Discussion

The findings of this study are consistent with a substantial body of research that underscores the **positive influence of collaborative learning** on both academic and social dimensions of student development. In the domain of science education, where higher-order thinking, conceptual understanding, and practical problem-solving are central, collaborative learning emerges as a pedagogical approach that not only supports content mastery but also fosters the development of **21st-century skills** such as communication, collaboration, and adaptability. The observed improvements in **academic achievement** among students in the experimental group suggest that the interactive and participatory nature of collaborative tasks allowed learners to clarify misconceptions, integrate multiple perspectives, and apply scientific concepts more effectively. Such outcomes align with Slavin's (1996) assertion that cooperative learning structures increase both the quality and depth of student learning through peer explanation and active engagement.

The significant gains in **social competence** reflect the role of collaborative learning in enhancing students' interpersonal and emotional skills. By engaging in structured group tasks, students had opportunities to practice turn-taking, active listening, perspective-taking, and negotiation—skills that are essential for functioning effectively in diverse social settings. These findings are in agreement with the work of Johnson and Johnson (2009), who argue that well-designed collaborative experiences build not only academic proficiency but also the capacity for empathy, trust, and constructive conflict resolution.

Importantly, the **consistency of results across gender and locale subgroups** suggests that collaborative learning is an **inclusive instructional strategy** that can be applied effectively in varied educational contexts without disadvantaging any particular demographic group. This is particularly significant for policymakers and curriculum developers seeking to promote equity and inclusivity in science education. The uniform benefits observed imply that collaborative learning can serve as a **bridging pedagogy** that mitigates disparities often associated with gender differences or urban–rural divides in educational resources.

However, the success of collaborative learning in achieving these outcomes depends on **thoughtful implementation**. Teacher facilitation remains a critical factor, as unstructured group work may lead to dominance by a few students, off-task behavior, or unequal participation. This reinforces the recommendations of Gillies and Boyle (2010), who emphasize the importance of clear task design, explicit role assignments, and ongoing monitoring to ensure that collaboration leads to meaningful learning rather than superficial engagement.

Overall, the results of this study contribute to the growing empirical support for collaborative learning as a **high-impact instructional practice** in science classrooms. They suggest that, when implemented with structure and support, collaborative learning not only improves cognitive outcomes but also cultivates the social skills necessary for lifelong learning and active participation in society.

Conclusion and Recommendations

This study concludes that collaborative learning significantly enhances both academic achievement in science and social competence among secondary school students in Gujarat. Given its positive impact across gender and locale, collaborative learning should be integrated into science curricula as a core instructional strategy.

Recommendations:

1. Teacher training programs should include modules on designing and facilitating collaborative learning.

2. Curriculum planners should incorporate structured collaborative activities into science syllabi.

3. Further research could explore the long-term effects of collaborative learning on student outcomes.

4. Schools should provide resources and conducive environments for group-based learning.

References

- Panitz, T. (1997). Collaborative versus cooperative learning: A comparison of the two concepts which will help us understand the underlying nature of interactive learning. ERIC Clearinghouse.
- Golub, J. (1988). Focus on collaborative learning. National Council of Teachers of English.
- Gerlach, J. M. (1994). Is this collaboration? In K. Bosworth & S. J. Hamilton (Eds.), Collaborative learning: Underlying processes and effective techniques (pp. 5–14). Jossey-Bass.
- Davis, B. G. (2001). Tools for teaching. Jossey-Bass.
- Cohen, E. G. (1994). Restructuring the classroom: Conditions for productive small groups. Review of Educational Research, 64(1), 1–35. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543064001001
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (1998). Cooperative learning returns to college: What evidence is there that it works? Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning, 30(4), 26–35. https://doi.org/10.1080/00091389809602629
- Kagan, S. (1995). Cooperative learning. San Clemente, CA: Kagan Publishing.
- Slavin, R. E. (1996). Research on cooperative learning and achievement: What we know, what we need to know. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 21(1), 43–69. https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1996.0004
- Springer, L., Stanne, M. E., & Donovan, S. S. (1999). Effects of small-group learning on undergraduates in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology: A meta-analysis. Review of Educational Research, 69(1), 21–51. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543069001021
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
- Gillies, R. M., & Boyle, M. (2010). Teachers' reflections on cooperative learning: Issues of implementation. Teaching and Teacher Education, 26(4), 933–940. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2009.10.034
- Roseth, C. J., Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2008). Promoting early adolescents' achievement and peer relationships: The effects of cooperative, competitive, and individualistic goal structures. Psychological Bulletin, 134(2), 223–246. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.134.2.223
- Wentzel, K. R. (1991). Social competence at school: Relation between social responsibility and academic achievement. Review of Educational Research, 61(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543061001001
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. Educational Researcher, 38(5), 365–379. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X09339057