



WORK ENVIRONMENTAL FACTOR INFLUENCING JOB SATISFACTION AND EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN THE SECURITY SERVICE INDUSTRY.

¹JEEVITHA.A, ²DR. M. BEULAH VIJI CHRISTIANA

¹II MBA Student, Panimalar Engineering College, ²Professor, Department of Master of Business Administration, Panimalar Engineering college

¹Master of Business Administration, ¹Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai, India

Abstract: This paper study the key environmental factors in the workplace influencing job satisfaction and employee performance in the security industry. This paper examine is for both security and backend employee's. The research is carried out in a descriptive research design. Primary data is collected via questionnaire in online form and Manual survey form. A total respondent is 470, The sample size for the study is 330. Tools which used through SPSS software. The paper reviews current literature on the topic and proposes a model for enhancing job satisfaction and performance through improved work environment factors in the security services sectors.

Key Words - Employee, performance, Job satisfaction, Work environmental factor.

I. INTRODUCTION

In India, the security services industry includes both government and private sectors. In the private sector, companies like **G4S**, **SIS India**, and **TOPSGRUP** provide manned guarding, electronic surveillance, and facility management services across industries. These services are vital for safeguarding infrastructure, institutions, and individuals. Training and skill development are key focus areas, with many agencies providing certified programs to ensure guards meet industry standards and client expectations. The security services industry is essential for maintaining safety and protecting assets, but the demanding nature of the job, including irregular hours and stress both security guards and backend employees. A positive work environment plays a crucial role in enhancing job satisfaction, productivity, and retention in this sector. This paper explores how physical, social, and psychological environmental factors influence job satisfaction and employee performance within the security services industry. By understanding these factors, security firms can improve both employee well-being and operational effectiveness, ultimately leading to increase employee engagement and reduced turnover.

II. NEED FOR THE STUDY

The security services industry, including security guards and backend staff, faces challenges like high stress and demanding work conditions. A positive work environment improves job satisfaction, motivation, and overall performance. Factors such as workplace safety, fair compensation, leadership support, and work-life balance play a crucial role. This study aims to identify these factors to enhance employee well-being and organizational effectiveness.

III. OBJECTIVES

- To assess the influence of Organizational policies and HR practices on Employee motivation and retention.
- To examine the impact of Workplace relationship on job satisfaction.
- To investigate the role of Financial and Non – Financial incentives in enhancing employee performance.
- To purpose strategies for improving the Work Environmental to enhancing job satisfaction and overall performance.

IV. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

- Martini et al. (2023) examined the effects of job environment, workload, compensation, and job satisfaction on turnover intentions. The study found that job satisfaction fully mediates the relationship between these factors and turnover, emphasizing the importance of improving workplace conditions to retain employees.
- Muchtar (2017) analysed the impact of motivation and work environment on employee performance. The study concluded that a supportive work environment and strong motivation positively influence employee engagement and organizational success.
- Faisal (2023) investigated human relations and physical workplace conditions as determinants of employee performance. The research highlighted that human relations significantly impact job performance, while work ethic did not show a strong mediating effect.
- Sopiah et al. (2024) explored the role of organizational culture, HR quality, and motivation in influencing employee performance. The study confirmed that these factors positively contribute to productivity, service quality, and overall job satisfaction.
- Permadi et al. (2019) assessed the role of compensation and work environment in shaping job satisfaction and employee performance. The study found that a positive work environment and fair compensation significantly enhance job satisfaction, which in turn boosts performance.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

This research was conducted using a **descriptive design** and a **quantitative method** to provide a clear understanding of the variables involved. Data were collected through a structured data. They are two types of data collection are Primary data collection techniques used in the study is Questionnaire method and Secondary data is collected by journal, reports, books, websites, magazines etc... The sampling design chosen for the study is using **probability sampling**, specifically the **simple random sampling** technique, which gave each member of the population an equal chance of selection. The sample size consisted of 330 respondents. Collected data were analysed using appropriate statistical tools to identify patterns and relationships.

TOOLS USED: A **survey questionnaire** was used as the main tool for data collection, consisting of closed-ended questions aligned with the research objectives. The data were analysed using **SPSS** to ensure accurate and systematic interpretation. Correlations, U-test, H-test, chi-square, weighted average and interval estimation are all these analytical tools used in this study were executed through SPSS software.

RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS:

Hypothesis item no	Hypothesis	Tools used
H ₁	There is no significant relationship between employee perception towards HR policies and their satisfaction level influencing by HR department's support	Spearman's rank - correlation
H ₂	There is no significant difference between the mean rank of male and female employees towards the various type of recognition that motivates people at work	Mann – whitney (U-test)
H ₃	Employees satisfaction towards their remuneration is independent of the year of experience in current organization	Chi square test for independence of attributes
H ₄	Work environmental factors do not have significance different in their impact on employee performance	Chi square test for goodness of fit
H ₅	There is no significant difference in the mean rank of perception of open communication and collaboration among employees across difference age group	Kruskal wallis test (H-test)

VI. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION:

DEMOGRAPHIC VARIENCE

Demographic variance		No.of.Respondents	Percentage
Age	<22	14	9
	22 to 28	59	39
	29 to 38	33	21
	39 to 48	24	16
	49 to 58	18	12
	58 above	4	3
Gender	Male	114	75
	Female	38	25
Educational Qualification	UG degree	80	53
	PG degree	55	36
	Others	17	11

Years of Experience	below one year	23	15
	1 to 3 years	62	41
	3 to 5 years	50	33
	Above 5 years	17	11
Department	HR	24	16
	Payroll	13	9
	Stores	8	5
	Finance	26	17
	IT	9	6
	Planning&costing	7	4
	Admin	8	5
	Credit control	4	3
	Operations	40	26
	Invoicing	13	9

INTERPRETATION: The table shows that most respondents are young (22–28 years), male (75%), and undergraduates (53%) with 1–3 years of experience, primarily from Operations.

Spearman's Rank Correlation

Null Hypothesis: There is no significant relationship between employee perception towards HR policies and their satisfaction level influenced by HR department's support.

Alternative Hypothesis: There is significant relationship between employee perception towards HR policies and their satisfaction level influenced by HR department's support.

Correlations

		HR policies positively impacts employees to perform better	HR policies and practices in supporting your motivation and long term commitment
Spearman's rho	HR policies positively impacts employees to perform better	1.000	.252
	Correlation Coefficient		.002
	Sig. (2-tailed)		
	N	152	152
HR policies and practices in supporting your motivation and long term commitment	HR policies and practices in supporting your motivation and long term commitment	.252	1.000
	Correlation Coefficient		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002	
	N	152	152

INTERPRETATION: It is inferred that there is a positive correlation and is not found be strong relationship between belief in HR policies and Satisfaction with HR support for motivation and commitment based on p value less than 0.05 Reject Null Hypothesis H_0 .

CHI-SQUARE TEST FOR GOODNESS OF FIT

Null Hypothesis H_0 : Work Environmental factors do not have significance different in their impact on Employee performance.

Alternative Hypothesis H_1 : Work Environmental factors have significance different in their impact on

Employee performance.

Work environment factors	Observed N	Expected N	Residual
Infrastructure	14	30.4	-16.4
Workplace relationships and team culture	57	30.4	26.6
Support from leadership and management	42	30.4	11.6
Workload and stress management	17	30.4	-13.4
Opportunities for career growth and training	22	30.4	-8.4
Total	152		

Test Statistics

	which work environment factor do you believe has the greatest impact on overall employee performance
Chi-Square	44.776 ^a
df	4
Asymp. Sig.	.000

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 30.4.

INTERPRETATION: Therefore, the Null Hypothesis is rejected and concluded that there is significant difference in the various work Environmental factors in their impacts on employee overall performance. Most of respondents choosing workplace relationships and team culture impact on performance.

INTERVAL ESTIMATION:

$$\text{Confidence interval} = p \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{pq / N}$$

Calculate Showing Positive Workplace Culture Where, p = No. of Success = 0.78, q = No. of Failure = 0.22, n = No. of Respondents = 152, $Z_{\alpha/2}$ = Confidence level = 1.96

$$\text{Confidence interval} = 0.78 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{(0.78 \times 0.22) / 152} = 0.77 \pm 0.00534 \text{ (0.7853, 0.7746)}$$

At 95% of Confidence interval, the respondents said they have a positive workplace culture helps retain employees in the range 0.7853 and 0.7746 and therefore population parameter found to be 78% and 77%.

Calculate Showing Relationship with Co-workers toward Job Satisfaction Where, p = No. of Success = 0.77, q = No. of Failure = 0.23, n = No. of Respondents = 152, $Z_{\alpha/2}$ = Confidence level = 1.96

Confidence interval = $0.77 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{(0.77 \times 0.23) / 152} = 0.77 \pm 0.00542$ (**0.7754, 0.7645**)

At 95% of Confidence interval, the respondents said they believing a good relationship with co-workers to improve job satisfaction in the range 0.7754 and 0.7645 and therefore population parameter found to be 77.54% and 76.45%.

Calculate Showing Resource Provided by the Company Where, p = No. of Success = 0.82, q = No. of Failure = 0.18, n = No. of Respondents = 152, $Z_{\alpha/2}$ = Confidence level = 1.96

Confidence interval = $0.82 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{(0.82 \times 0.18) / 152} = 0.82 \pm 0.00495$ (**0.8249, 0.8150**)

At 95% of Confidence interval, the respondents said they are satisfied with the resources provided by the company in the range 0.8249 and 0.8150 and therefore population parameter found to be 82% and 81%.

Calculate Showing Optimum Utilization of the Resources Where, p = No. of Success = 0.83, q = No. of Failure = 0.17, n = No. of Respondents = 152, $Z_{\alpha/2}$ = Confidence level = 1.96

Confidence interval = $0.83 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{(0.83 \times 0.17) / 152} = 0.83 \pm 0.00534$ (**0.8348, 0.8251**)

At 95% of Confidence interval, the respondents said they are utilizing of the resources provided in the range 0.8348 and 0.8251 and therefore population parameter found to be 83% and 82%.

VII.SCOPE OF THE STUDY

- ❖ Since the study is done only with the security services industry future research can be done with small and mid-size national, local security service industry
- ❖ Job satisfaction of employee performance in similar line of content, context, organizational profile and personality profile from other industries can be done
- ❖ Further research can be conducted to study the work environmental factors and employee retention

VIII.SUGGESTION:

- ❖ Some employees feel that certain recognition types don't boost motivation so offering additional compensation and benefits can help career development opportunities can be enhancing job satisfaction and encourage better performance.
- ❖ Open communication should be encouraged through regular feedback sessions and transparent interactions between staff and management.
- ❖ Lack of work life balance can lead to stress and lower employee performance to improve it organizations should offer manageable workloads, and employees to take regular breaks and time off. Promoting a healthy work culture helps employee feel more satisfied and productive.
- ❖ Promotions, compensations, monetary rewards are significantly correlated with employee performance. Positive ways to employees are more productive, happier at work, more committed, better collaborators and more likely to stay with the organization.

IX.CONCLUSION:

A positive work environment significantly boosts job satisfaction and employee performance. Factors such as supportive leadership, open communication, teamwork, and recognition play a key role in motivating employees. Both financial and non-financial incentives contribute to overall engagement. Organizations that invest in a healthy and collaborative workplace culture see improved productivity and retention.

X.BIBLIOGRAPHY

Sopiah, S., Hadiwijaya, D., & Muttaqijijn, M. I. (2024). The Influence of Organizational Culture, Quality of Human Resources and Work Motivation on Employee Performance at the Tangerang City Environmental Office. *International Journal of Science and Society*, 6(1).

Karosa, I. D. G., Soleman, M. M., & Mustafa, I. M. (2024). The Effect of Compensation and Job Satisfaction on Performance of Waste Transporters at the Environmental Service in Ternate City. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan*, 12(1).

Tashliyev, A., & Tirtoprojo, S. (2023). Examining The Factors Affecting Employee Performance of Higher Education Institution Employee in The New Normal Era. *International Journal of Economics and Business Issues*, 2(1).

Martini, N., Moeins, A., Madiistriyatno, H., Zami, A., & Sudrajat, A. (2023). Effect of Job Environmental, Job Loads and Compensation to Job Satisfaction and Implications on Turnover Intentions in Manufacturing Company. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis*, 14(1).

Farsole, Dr. A. (2023). The Relationship Between Job Satisfaction and Employee Performance. *INTERANTIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT*, 07(08).

Faisal, A. Y. (2023). The Influence of Human Relations and Physical Environmental Conditions on Employee Performance Through Work Ethic at The Department of Cooperations in The City of Binjai. *SINOMIKA JOURNAL | VOLUME*, 2(3).

Adi Yadnya, I. D. G. S. (2023). Organizational Psychology Analysis in the Context of Knowledge Management for Employee Performance Improvement. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*, 1(3).

Ndubuisi, O. C. (2022). The Effect of Environmental Factors Influencing Manpower Utilization in Banking Sector in Abia State (A Study of Diamond Bank, Abia State). *Journal of Media & Management*.

Wau, J., & Purwanto, P. (2021). THE EFFECT OF CAREER DEVELOPMENT, WORK MOTIVATION, AND JOB SATISFACTION ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE. *Jurnal Aplikasi Bisnis Dan Manajemen*.

Sabuhari, R., Sudiro, A., Irawanto, D. W., & Rahayu, M. (2020). The effects of human resource flexibility, employee competency, organizational culture adaptation and job satisfaction on employee performance. *Management Science Letters*, 10(8).

Vera, L. O., Perizade, B., & Soebyakto, B. B. (2019). The Influence of Work Environment and Compensation Towards Employee Job Satisfaction. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)*, 9(7).

Permadi, I. K. O., Landra, N., Kusuma, I. G. A. E. T., & Sudja, I. N. (2019). The Impact of Compensation and Work Environment Towards Job Satisfaction To Affect the Employee Performances on Sinarbali Binakarya Industry in Denpasar, Bali.

Wibowo, H. A. (2018). The Effects of Compensation, Non-Physical Working Environment, and Work Motivation towards Employees' Job Satisfaction in Sanan Tempeh Chips Industrial Center. *Manajemen Bisnis*, 7(2).

Mariam, S., Priarso, M. T., & Diatmono, P. (2018). the Effect of Transformational Leadership Style, Work Motivation, and Work Environment on Employee Performance That in Mediation By Job Satisfaction Variables in Pt. Gynura Consulindo. *Business and Entrepreneurial Review*, 18(2).

Muchtar, M. (2017). THE INFLUENCE OF MOTIVATION AND WORK ENVIRONMENT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EMPLOYEES. *Sinergi : Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen*, 6(2).

Minarsih, G. and S. (2016). Influence of motivation work, career development and cultural organization on the job satisfaction and implications on the performance of employees. *Journal of Management*, 12(24).

Websites Referred:

- <https://www.scribbr.com/citation-generator/cite/journal-article/>
- <https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/>
- <https://www.mendeley.com/>
- <https://scholar.google.com/>
- <https://doi.org/10.61100/adman.v1i3.97>
- <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem25487>
- <https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v12i1.2380>
- <https://doi.org/10.18196/mb.v14i1.15059>
- <https://doi.org/10.25139/sng.v6i2.80>
- [https://doi.org/10.47363/jmm/2022\(4\)142](https://doi.org/10.47363/jmm/2022(4)142)
- <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2020.1.001>
- <https://doi.org/10.54783/ijsoc.v6i1.995>
- <https://doi.org/10.59092/ijebi.vol2.iss1.26>
- <https://doi.org/10.29322/ijsrp.9.07.2019.p9160>
- <https://doi.org/10.17358/jabm.7.2.262>
- <https://doi.org/10.22219/jmb.v7i2.7002>