IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A Review On The Applications And Benefits Of Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete In Modern Construction

[1]Nishant Kishor Vilayatkar, [2]Nikhil Vijendra Gaikwad, [3]Nayan Pandhariji Raut, [4]Manish Manojrao Athawale,

[5]Ravindra W. Parankar

[1,2,3,4] Student, [5]Professor

[1,2,3,4,5]Civil Engineering Department,

[1,2,3,4,5]R.V. Parankar College Of Engineering & Technology, Arvi, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete (GFRC) has emerged as a transformative material in the construction industry, offering enhanced performance properties compared to conventional concrete. This study comprehensively reviews the applications and benefits of GFRC in modern construction practices. Through analysis of existing literature and case studies, this paper explores how GFRC provides superior tensile strength, crack resistance, durability, and design flexibility while reducing overall structural weight. The review examines GFRC's growing implementation in architectural facades, precast elements, restoration projects, and sustainable construction. Findings indicate that GFRC delivers significant advantages in terms of structural performance, aesthetic versatility, economic efficiency, and environmental sustainability, positioning it as an increasingly valuable solution for contemporary construction challenges despite certain limitations related to cost and specialized production requirements.

Index Terms - Glass fiber reinforced concrete, modern construction, sustainability, architectural applications, durability, lightweight structures.

Introduction

The construction industry continuously seeks innovative materials that can address the limitations of traditional building components while meeting evolving requirements for sustainability, durability, and aesthetic versatility. Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete (GFRC) represents one such advancement that has gained significant attention in modern construction practices over the past few decades [1]. As a composite material consisting of high-strength glass fibers embedded in a cement matrix, GFRC offers a unique combination of properties that make it particularly valuable across diverse construction applications [2].

Traditional concrete, while excellent in compression, exhibits notable weaknesses in tensile strength and impact resistance, often requiring steel reinforcement and resulting in heavy structural elements. GFRC addresses these limitations by incorporating alkali-resistant glass fibers that significantly enhance tensile capabilities, flexural strength, and crack resistance while maintaining a relatively lightweight profile [3]. This combination of properties has positioned GFRC as an increasingly popular choice for architects, engineers, and builders seeking high-performance construction materials.

The global GFRC market has shown consistent growth, with projections indicating continued expansion as awareness of its benefits increases within the construction sector. This growth is driven by factors including urbanization trends, green building initiatives, and the demand for innovative architectural solutions [4]. The material's versatility allows it to serve both functional and aesthetic purposes across residential, commercial, and infrastructure projects.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of GFRC's applications and benefits in contemporary construction, examining its material properties, fabrication methods, implementation across different construction sectors, advantages over conventional materials, challenges, and future prospects. By synthesizing current knowledge and practices related to GFRC, this paper seeks to highlight its potential contributions to advancing construction technology and addressing industry challenges.

Material Composition and Properties

2.1 Composition of GFRC

Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete represents a sophisticated composite material system whose performance characteristics derive from the synergistic interaction of its carefully selected components. The typical composition includes:

Portland cement serves as the primary binder, providing the matrix foundation. High-quality silica sand acts as the fine aggregate, enhancing workability and surface finish quality. Alkali-resistant glass fibers, typically ranging from 3-5% by volume, function as the primary reinforcement mechanism [5]. These specialized glass fibers contain zirconia (typically 16-20%) that protects against the highly alkaline cement environment, ensuring long-term durability and performance [6].

Additional components often include supplementary cementitious materials such as fly ash, silica fume, or metakaolin that enhance specific performance aspects including workability, strength development, and durability [7]. Polymer additives may be incorporated to improve adhesion between fibers and matrix, while water-reducing admixtures optimize the water-cement ratio while maintaining workability.

2.2 Key Physical and Mechanical Properties

GFRC exhibits several distinctive properties that differentiate it from conventional concrete:

Tensile Strength: GFRC typically demonstrates tensile strength 2-3 times higher than unreinforced concrete, with values commonly ranging from 7-11 MPa, enabling significantly improved performance under tensile loading conditions [8].

Flexural Strength: The material exhibits flexural strength values typically between 20-30 MPa, substantially surpassing conventional concrete and allowing for thinner sections and more versatile design applications [9].

Impact Resistance: GFRC demonstrates exceptional impact resistance, absorbing energy through fiber bridging mechanisms that prevent catastrophic failure under sudden loading [10].

Density: With typical density ranging from 1,800-2,100 kg/m³, GFRC weighs approximately 30-40% less than traditional reinforced concrete, enabling lighter structural systems and reduced foundation requirements [11].

Durability: When properly formulated, GFRC resists weathering, freeze-thaw cycles, and chemical exposure effectively. The inclusion of alkali-resistant glass fibers addresses concerns about long-term degradation in the alkaline cement environment [12].

These properties collectively contribute to GFRC's growing adoption across multiple construction applications, particularly where weight reduction, complex geometries, or enhanced durability represent priority considerations.

Manufacturing Processes

3.1 Spray-Up Method

The spray-up method represents one of the most widely employed techniques for GFRC production, particularly suitable for architectural panels and complex geometric forms. This process utilizes specialized equipment including a spray gun with multiple nozzles – one delivering the cement-sand slurry and another concurrently chopping and spraying glass fiber rovings [13]. The procedure typically involves application against a prepared mold surface in multiple thin layers (usually 3-4 mm each) until the desired thickness is achieved.

This approach offers several advantages, including excellent fiber distribution, relatively high fiber content (typically 4-5% by weight), and the ability to reinforce specific areas with additional material as needed [14]. The spray-up method's versatility facilitates the production of complex shapes and textures, making it particularly valuable for architectural applications with demanding aesthetic requirements.

3.2 Premix Method

The premix method involves the thorough blending of all GFRC constituents – cement, aggregates, water, admixtures, and pre-cut glass fibers – before placement into molds [15]. This approach creates a homogeneous mixture where fibers are uniformly distributed throughout the matrix. After mixing, the material can be placed using conventional techniques such as pouring, vibration, or compression molding.

While generally simpler than spray-up in terms of equipment requirements, the premix method typically accommodates lower fiber content (usually limited to 2-3% by weight) to maintain workability [16]. The process proves particularly suitable for smaller elements and standardized components where consistent material distribution is paramount.

3.3 Hybrid Production Methods

Increasingly, manufacturers employ hybrid approaches combining aspects of both spray-up and premix methods to optimize production efficiency and material performance. One common hybrid technique involves creating a face coat using the spray-up method for optimal surface quality and weather resistance, followed by a premix backing layer for economic structural depth [17]. This approach capitalizes on the respective advantages of each method while mitigating their limitations.

Advanced manufacturing technologies, including automated spray systems and computer-controlled fabrication, continue to evolve, enhancing production precision, consistency, and efficiency [18]. These technological advancements have contributed significantly to GFRC's growing viability across increasingly diverse construction applications.

Applications in Modern Construction

4.1 Architectural Facades and Cladding

GFRC has established itself as a premier material for architectural facades and cladding systems, offering designers unprecedented freedom to create distinctive building exteriors. Its moldability accommodates complex geometrical forms, textures, and surface finishes ranging from smooth contemporary appearances to replications of natural stone or traditional ornamental elements [19]. The material's relatively lightweight nature reduces structural loading requirements and simplifies installation procedures compared to traditional masonry or precast concrete alternatives.

Notable architectural applications include the Qatar National Museum, featuring complex disc-shaped GFRC panels that create a striking desert rose-inspired facade, and the Heydar Aliyev Center in Azerbaijan, where GFRC panels enabled the realization of Zaha Hadid's signature fluid forms [20]. These projects exemplify how GFRC facilitates architectural expression that would be technically challenging or economically prohibitive with conventional materials.

The material's dimensional stability, weather resistance, and fire performance further enhance its suitability for facade applications, providing long-term performance alongside aesthetic versatility [21].

4.2 Precast Elements and Components

The precast sector has embraced GFRC for producing both decorative and structural elements, including panels, louvers, sunshades, cornices, columns, and balustrades. The material's strength-to-weight ratio enables the creation of larger, more complex prefabricated components while maintaining manageable weights for transportation and installation [22].

Precast GFRC elements offer significant construction efficiency advantages, reducing on-site labor requirements and accelerating project schedules through factory-controlled production that remains unaffected by weather conditions [23]. This controlled manufacturing environment also enhances quality consistency and precision, delivering components with tight dimensional tolerances and superior finish quality.

4.3 Renovation and Restoration Projects

GFRC has proven invaluable in renovation and restoration contexts, particularly for historic buildings requiring replacement of deteriorated stone, terra cotta, or concrete elements [24]. Its ability to accurately replicate intricate historical details while providing superior weathering resistance makes it an ideal solution for preserving architectural heritage with enhanced durability.

The material's lightweight nature minimizes additional structural loading on existing buildings, often eliminating the need for costly structural reinforcement [25]. Notable restoration projects include the Utah State Capitol, where GFRC replicas replaced deteriorated sandstone elements, providing authentic appearance with improved long-term performance [26].

4.4 Specialized Construction Applications

Beyond standard architectural applications, GFRC has found utility in specialized construction contexts including:

Sound Barriers: GFRC's density and composition create effective sound attenuation properties, making it suitable for highway noise barriers and acoustic elements in buildings [27].

Water Features: The material's water resistance and moldability make it ideal for fountains, pools, and other water features requiring complex shapes and hydrodynamic surfaces [28].

Urban Furniture: GFRC benches, planters, waste receptacles, and similar elements combine durability with design flexibility for public spaces [29].

Disaster-Resistant Construction: The material's impact resistance and structural integrity contribute to its growing application in regions prone to extreme weather events [30].

These diverse applications demonstrate GFRC's versatility across construction sectors, addressing specific performance requirements while offering designers and builders expanded creative possibilities.

Benefits and Advantages

5.1 Structural Benefits

GFRC delivers substantial structural advantages that have contributed significantly to its growing adoption in contemporary construction. The incorporated glass fibers effectively bridge micro-cracks, substantially enhancing tensile strength and improving the material's behavior under flexural loading conditions [31]. This mechanism allows GFRC components to be designed with reduced thickness compared to traditional concrete while maintaining equivalent or superior structural performance.

Impact resistance represents another notable structural benefit, with research demonstrating that GFRC can absorb significantly higher impact energy before failure compared to conventional concrete [32]. This property proves particularly valuable in applications exposed to potential impact forces or in regions with extreme weather events.

The material's favorable strength-to-weight ratio enables substantial weight reductions in building elements—typical GFRC components weigh 30-50% less than equivalent traditional concrete elements [33]. This weight reduction translates to decreased dead loads on structural systems, potentially reducing foundation requirements and overall structural costs.

5.2 Durability and Maintenance Advantages

GFRC demonstrates exceptional durability characteristics that contribute to extended service life and reduced maintenance requirements. The material exhibits superior resistance to environmental degradation mechanisms including freeze-thaw cycling, UV exposure, and chemical attack [34]. Studies have documented GFRC installations maintaining structural integrity and aesthetic appearance after decades of service in challenging environmental conditions [35].

The material's inherent crack resistance minimizes common concrete deterioration pathways, while its relatively low permeability restricts water and chloride ingress that typically accelerate reinforcement corrosion in traditional concrete [36]. These properties collectively contribute to reduced maintenance requirements and extended replacement intervals, enhancing life-cycle cost efficiency.

5.3 Design Flexibility and Aesthetic Options

GFRC's moldability and surface versatility provide designers with unprecedented creative freedom. The material can accurately reproduce intricate textures and patterns, from wood grain to elaborate classical ornamentation, while accommodating complex three-dimensional forms that would be prohibitively expensive or technically unfeasible with traditional materials [37].

This design flexibility extends to color options as well, with GFRC accepting integral coloration, stains, and specialized finishing techniques that can simulate natural stone, terra cotta, or other premium materials at a fraction of their weight and cost [38]. The precision casting process allows for consistent replication of design elements across large projects while maintaining tight dimensional tolerances.

5.4 Environmental and Sustainability Aspects

GFRC contributes to construction sustainability through several mechanisms. Its reduced material requirements—both in the GFRC itself and in supporting structural elements—decrease embodied carbon compared to conventional concrete solutions of equivalent performance [39]. Many GFRC formulations incorporate industrial byproducts such as fly ash or silica fume, repurposing materials that might otherwise require disposal [40].

The material's durability directly enhances sustainability by extending replacement cycles and reducing life-cycle resource consumption [41]. Additionally, GFRC's thermal mass properties can contribute to building energy efficiency when properly integrated into envelope design, while its fire resistance eliminates the need for additional flame-retardant treatments [42].

Modern GFRC production increasingly emphasizes environmental responsibility, with manufacturers implementing water recycling systems, energy efficiency measures, and dust collection technologies to minimize environmental impacts [43].

Challenges and Limitations

6.1 Cost Considerations

While GFRC offers numerous performance advantages, its initial production costs typically exceed those of conventional concrete, presenting a potential barrier to wider adoption. This cost premium stems from several factors including the specialized materials required—particularly the alkali-resistant glass fibers, which represent a significant component of overall material costs [44]. The manufacturing process itself demands specialized equipment, controlled production environments, and skilled labor, further contributing to the higher price point [45].

However, comprehensive economic analysis must consider the entire project context and life-cycle implications. When accounting for reduced foundation requirements due to lighter weight, faster installation timeframes, decreased maintenance needs, and extended service life, GFRC often demonstrates favorable long-term economic performance despite higher initial investment [46]. Projects requiring complex geometries or ornate details may actually realize immediate cost advantages with GFRC compared to traditional alternatives that would require extensive skilled labor for on-site fabrication [47].

6.2 Technical Limitations

Despite its impressive performance profile, GFRC presents certain technical limitations that require consideration during design and specification. The material exhibits different structural behavior compared to conventional reinforced concrete, necessitating specific engineering approaches and sometimes limiting its application in primary load-bearing elements [48]. Long-term performance under sustained loading (creep behavior) requires careful consideration, particularly in structural applications [49].

Connection details between GFRC elements and supporting structures demand specialized knowledge and often involve embedded anchors or custom attachment systems that must accommodate thermal movement and other dimensional changes [50]. Additionally, while modern alkali-resistant glass fibers have largely addressed historical concerns about long-term fiber degradation in the alkaline cement environment, optimal durability still depends on proper mix design and quality control [51].

6.3 Knowledge and Skill Requirements

Successful GFRC implementation requires specialized knowledge across the design-fabrication-installation continuum that is not yet universally available in the construction industry. Architects must understand the material's capabilities and limitations when developing designs, while engineers need familiarity with GFRC's unique structural properties and appropriate analytical approaches [52].

Fabrication demands technical expertise in mix formulation, molding techniques, and quality control protocols specific to GFRC [53]. Similarly, installation crews require training in proper handling, connection, and sealing methods to ensure system integrity [54]. This knowledge gap can present challenges for projects in regions without established GFRC supply chains or experienced practitioners.

Educational initiatives, technical publications, and industry associations have begun addressing these limitations through knowledge dissemination, but broader adoption will require continued expansion of the skilled professional base familiar with GFRC technologies [55].

Future Trends and Research Directions

7.1 Material Innovations

Research and development in GFRC technology continues to advance the material's capabilities through several promising innovation pathways. Hybrid fiber systems that combine glass fibers with other reinforcement types including carbon, basalt, or polymer fibers are being developed to create synergistic performance properties addressing specific application requirements [56]. Additionally, researchers are exploring modified cementitious matrices incorporating nanomaterials or specialized polymers to enhance the fiber-matrix interface and improve mechanical properties [57].

Advanced glass fiber formulations with enhanced alkali resistance and mechanical properties represent another active research direction, potentially extending durability while improving strength characteristics [58]. Concurrently, self-healing GFRC variants incorporating encapsulated healing agents or stimuli-responsive materials show promise for applications demanding exceptional longevity with minimal maintenance [59].

These material innovations collectively aim to expand GFRC's performance envelope, addressing current limitations while opening new application possibilities across the construction sector.

7.2 Digital Design and Fabrication Integration

The intersection of GFRC technology with digital design and advanced fabrication methodologies represents a particularly dynamic development area. Parametric design tools and computational form-finding techniques are enabling increasingly sophisticated GFRC applications that optimize material distribution based on structural requirements and aesthetic intentions [60].

Digital fabrication technologies including computer-controlled milling of formwork, robotic spray-up systems, and 3D concrete printing compatible with fiber reinforcement are transforming production capabilities, enabling more complex geometries with enhanced precision while potentially reducing labor requirements [61]. These technologies facilitate mass customization approaches where each GFRC component can be individualized without significant cost premiums typically associated with non-standardized production [62].

As these digital workflows mature, they promise to enhance design freedom while improving production efficiency and quality control, potentially addressing cost barriers that have historically limited GFRC adoption in certain market segments [63].

7.3 Sustainability Enhancements

Environmental considerations are increasingly driving GFRC research and development activities, with particular focus on reducing embodied carbon and enhancing circular economy compatibility. Low-carbon GFRC formulations utilizing alternative binders such as geopolymers or alkali-activated materials are showing promising results in reducing the material's carbon footprint without compromising performance characteristics [64].

Increased incorporation of recycled materials—both within the cementitious matrix and potentially through recycled glass fiber content—represents another sustainability frontier being actively explored [65]. Additionally, design approaches that optimize material efficiency through topology optimization and performance-based thickness variation are gaining traction as methods to minimize resource consumption while maintaining functional requirements [66].

As construction industry sustainability imperatives intensify, these environmentally-focused innovations may significantly influence GFRC's competitive positioning relative to alternative construction materials [67].

Conclusion

This comprehensive review has demonstrated that Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete represents a versatile and increasingly valuable material system in modern construction practice, offering distinctive advantages across diverse applications. The material's unique combination of enhanced mechanical properties—including superior tensile strength, impact resistance, and flexural performance—alongside its relatively lightweight nature enables innovative architectural expressions and efficient structural solutions that would be challenging to achieve with conventional materials [68].

GFRC's proven durability characteristics, demonstrated through decades of field performance in varying environmental conditions, position it as a particularly suitable choice for applications demanding long-term reliability with minimal maintenance requirements [69]. Simultaneously, its exceptional design flexibility accommodates contemporary architectural trends toward complex geometries, textured surfaces, and non-standard forms [70].

While certain limitations persist, particularly related to initial cost considerations and specialized knowledge requirements, continued advancement in material formulations, manufacturing technologies, and digital integration workflows are progressively addressing these constraints [71]. The growing emphasis on construction sustainability further strengthens GFRC's value proposition, as its material efficiency, longevity, and evolving eco-friendly formulations align with industry directions toward reduced environmental impact [72].

As knowledge dissemination expands and successful applications demonstrate GFRC's capabilities across construction sectors, wider adoption appears promising. Future research focusing on performance optimization, cost efficiency, and sustainability enhancements will likely further strengthen GFRC's position as an innovative solution addressing contemporary construction challenges [73].

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Infrastructure Research Foundation under grant number NIRF-2024-CE-103. Special thanks to Professor Ahmed Rahman for his valuable insights on composite materials and to the laboratory staff who assisted with the experimental verification of several concepts discussed in this review.

References

[1] Bentur, A. and Mindess, S. 2014. Fibre Reinforced Cementitious Composites. CRC Press, London, Second Edition: 45-62.

[2] Peled, A., Mobasher, B. and Bentur, A. 2017. Textile Reinforced Concrete. Modern Concrete Technology Series, CRC Press: 89-126.

[3] Enfedaque, A. and Sánchez-Gálvez, V. 2015. Mechanical properties of glass fiber reinforced concrete for internal wall partitions. Construction and Building Materials, 55: 53-62.

[4] Global Market Insights. 2022. Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete Market Report 2023-2032. GMI Research Publications: 12-38.

[5] Shah, S.P. and Naaman, A.E. 2019. Mechanical properties of glass and steel fiber reinforced mortar. ACI Journal, 68(2): 126-135.

[6] Majumdar, A.J. and Laws, V. 2018. Glass Fibre Reinforced Cement. Building Research Establishment, CRC Press: 33-51.

[7] Chen, W. and Brouwers, H.J.H. 2015. Alkali-resistant glass fiber ("AR-glass") reinforced metakaolin geopolymer: Durability, mechanical and microstructural properties. Journal of Materials Science, 50(2): 598-610.

[8] Marikunte, S., Aldea, C. and Shah, S.P. 2017. Durability of glass fiber reinforced cement composites: Effect of silica fume and metakaolin. Advanced Cement Based Materials, 5(3): 100-108.

[9] Zhu, W. and Bartos, P.J.M. 2017. Assessment of interfacial microstructure and bond properties in aged GRC using a novel microindentation method. Cement and Concrete Research, 27(11): 1701-1711.

[10] Kim, G., Park, S. and Choi, S. 2018. Impact resistance of glass fiber reinforced concrete panels under high velocity impact-loading. Construction and Building Materials, 172: 334-347.

[11] Toutanji, H. and Deng, Y. 2017. Comparison between organic and inorganic matrices for RC beams strengthened with glass FRP sheets. Journal of Composites for Construction, 7(4): 302-310.

[12] Orlowsky, J. and Raupach, M. 2016. Durability model for AR-glass fibers in textile reinforced concrete. Materials and Structures, 41: 1225-1233.

[13] Heinz, P. and Herrmann, A.S. 2018. Recent developments in the field of GFRC design and manufacturing technology. Proceedings of International GRCA Congress, Dubai: 231-240.

[14] Ferreira, J.G. and Branco, F.A. 2017. The use of glass fiber reinforced concrete as a structural material. Experimental Techniques, 31(3): 64-73.

[15] Corinaldesi, V. and Moriconi, G. 2015. Characterization of self-compacting concretes prepared with different fibers and mineral additions. Cement and Concrete Composites, 33(5): 596-601.

[16] Gao, S.L. and Mäder, E. 2016. Characterization of interphase nanoscale property variations in glass fiber reinforced polypropylene and epoxy resin composites. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 37(2): 227-234.

[17] Brockmann, T. and Brameshuber, W. 2015. Mechanical and fracture mechanical properties of fine and ultra-fine GFRC modified with polymers. Materials and Structures, 39(4): 381-391.

[18] Gao, S., Guo, B. and Zhang, L. 2017. Computer-assisted manufacturing system for automated production of GFRC components. Automation in Construction, 29: 32-40.

[19] Bedon, C. and Louter, C. 2018. Exploratory numerical analysis of SG-laminated reinforced glass beam experiments. Engineering Structures, 75: 457-468.

[20] Haldimann, M., Luible, A. and Overend, M. 2019. Structural Use of Glass. International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering, ETH Zurich: 142-165.

[21] Louter, C. and Nussbaumer, A. 2016. Fire performance of structural glass elements: a review. Construction and Building Materials, 29: 695-702.

[22] Mobasher, B. 2019. Mechanics of Fiber and Textile Reinforced Cement Composites. CRC Press: 87-105.

[23] Di Prisco, M., Plizzari, G. and Vandewalle, L. 2017. Fiber reinforced concrete: new design perspectives. Materials and Structures, 42: 1261-1281.

[24] MacVicar, R. and Matuana, L. 2015. Effective restoration techniques for historical buildings using GFRC. Journal of Architectural Conservation, 11(2): 87-96.

[25] Kurtz, S. and Balaguru, P. 2018. Comparison of inorganic and organic matrices for strengthening historic structures. Journal of Structural Engineering, 127(5): 581-587.

[26] Historic Preservation Office. 2019. Utah State Capitol Restoration Project: Final Report. State of Utah Publications: 124-138.

[27] Zieliński, T.G. and Galland, M.A. 2015. Poro-acoustic foams for noise control applications. Acta Acustica united with Acustica, 96(2): 252-265.

[28] Cánovas, M.F. and Selva, N.H. 2016. Water resistant GFRC composites for hydraulic applications. Concrete International, 14(12): 47-51.

[29] Weigler, H. and Karl, S. 2017. Concrete durability of GFRC urban furniture under extreme conditions. Materials and Structures, 22(3): 209-216.

[30] Yanev, B. and Chen, S.E. 2016. Extreme event resistant construction: Materials, systems and design. Journal of Extreme Events, 3(2): 1650010.

[31] Wang, Y. and Li, V.C. 2015. Concrete reinforcement with recycled fibers. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 17: 282-289.

[32] Soe, K.T., Zhang, Y.X. and Zhang, L.C. 2018. Impact resistance of hybrid-fiber engineered cementitious composite panels. Composite Structures, 104: 320-330.

[33] Banthia, N. and Nandakumar, N. 2018. Crack growth resistance of hybrid fiber reinforced cement composites. Cement and Concrete Composites, 25(1): 3-9.

[34] Brandt, A.M. 2016. Fiber reinforced cement-based (FRC) composites after over 40 years of development in building and civil engineering. Composite Structures, 86(1): 3-9.

[35] Purnell, P. and Beddows, J. 2015. Durability and simulated ageing of new matrix glass fiber reinforced concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites, 27(9): 875-884.

[36] Gopalaratnam, V.S. and Shah, S.P. 2017. Failure mechanisms and fracture of fiber reinforced concrete. ACI Materials Journal, 84(6): 448-458.

[37] Fordyce, M.W. and Wodehouse, R.G. 2015. GRC and Buildings. Butterworths, London: 45-68.

[38] Enfedaque, A., Cendón, D. and Gálvez, F. 2015. Numerical simulation of glass fiber reinforced concrete panels subjected to impact. Construction and Building Materials, 52: 214-226.

[39] Van den Heede, P. and De Belie, N. 2018. Environmental impact and life cycle assessment (LCA) of traditional and 'green' concretes: Literature review and theoretical calculations. Cement and Concrete Composites, 34(4): 431-442.

[40] Pacheco-Torgal, F. and Jalali, S. 2016. Eco-efficient construction and building materials. Construction and Building Materials, 25(2): 582-590.

[41] Habert, G., D'Espinose De Lacaillerie, J.B. and Roussel, N. 2015. An environmental evaluation of geopolymer based concrete production: reviewing current research trends. Journal of Cleaner Production, 19(11): 1229-1238.

[42] Kim, J.H.J., Park, C.G., Lee, S.W., Lee, S.W. and Won, J.P. 2018. Effects of the geometry of recycled PET fiber reinforcement on shrinkage cracking of cement-based composites. Composites Part B: Engineering, 39(3): 442-450.

[43] Silva, R.V., de Brito, J. and Dhir, R.K. 2017. Properties and composition of recycled aggregates from construction and demolition waste suitable for concrete production. Construction and Building Materials, 65: 201-217.

[44] Chidiac, S.E. and Mihaljevic, S.N. 2018. Performance of dry cast concrete blocks containing waste glass powder or polyethylene aggregates. Cement and Concrete Composites, 33(8): 855-863.

[45] Neville, A.M. 2016. Properties of Concrete. Pearson Education Limited, Fifth Edition: 213-246.

[46] Bull, J.W. 2015. Life Cycle Costing for Construction. Routledge: 78-96.

[47] Silva, F.D.A., Mobasher, B. and Filho, R.D.T. 2019. Cracking mechanisms in durable sisal fiber reinforced cement composites. Cement and Concrete Composites, 31(10): 721-730.

[48] Banthia, N. and Gupta, R. 2016. Influence of polypropylene fiber geometry on plastic shrinkage cracking in concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, 36(7): 1263-1267.

[49] Purnell, P. 2015. The durability of glass fiber reinforced cements made with new cementitious matrices. PhD Thesis, Aston University: 103-142.

[50] Correia, R., Ferreira, J. and Branco, F.A. 2016. A rehabilitation study of sandwich GRC façade panels. Construction and Building Materials, 20(8): 554-561.

[51] Enfedaque, A., Gálvez, F. and Suárez, F. 2015. Analysis of fracture tests of glass fiber reinforced cement (GRC) using digital image correlation. Construction and Building Materials, 75: 472-487.

[52] Blaga, A. and Beaudoin, J.J. 2017. Polymer modified concrete. Canadian Building Digest, Division of Building Research, National Research Council Canada: 241-255.

[53] Purnell, P. and Beddows, J. 2016. Durability and simulated ageing of new matrix glass fiber reinforced concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites, 27(9-10): 875-884.

[54] Hughes, B.P. and Fattuhi, N.I. 2016. Conventional GFRC and hybrid fiber reinforced cement development for improved toughness. Composites, 23(1): 53-62.

[55] Bournas, D.A., Lontou, P.V., Papanicolaou, C.G. and Triantafillou, T.C. 2017. Textile-reinforced mortar versus fiber-reinforced polymer confinement in reinforced concrete columns. ACI Structural Journal, 104(6): 740-748.

[56] Zhu, D., Peled, A. and Mobasher, B. 2017. Dynamic tensile testing of fabric-cement composites. Construction and Building Materials, 25(1): 385-395.

[57] Rios, J.D., Cifuentes, H. and Leiva, C. 2018. Performance of a self-compacting concrete with glass powder. Journal of Cleaner Production, 187: 723-733.