IJCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Legal Implication Of Artificial Intelligence In The Medical Sector

AUTHOR - NIMISH SRIVASTAVA

AMITY LAW SCHOOL, AMITY UNIVERSITY LUCKNOW

BBA, LLB(H)

CO-AUTHOR - DR ARVIND KUMAR SINGH, MBA, P.hd, UGC (NET)

OFFICIATING DIRECTOR, AMITY UNIVERSITY, U.P LUCKNOW

Abstract

Artificial intelligence has recently become the hottest topic in the technological world and has made its way into the medical sector. Around the world, many medical institutions and clinics are implementing AI into their system for more efficiency and cost savings with faster response. AI can help physicians in life-threatening disease prevention and diagnosis and can help in making treatment smarter and more targeted with faster response which is helping medical sector revolutionize its functioning.

With increase in AI functionality into our healthcare system day by day, the boundary between doctor and patient confidentiality is being tested because sharing of patient data to third party developers for algorithm training because of these rapid changes into medical sector the legal norms which were made in the context of doctor and patient relationship are being pushed away with these technological changes.

This research paper addresses the issue like liability, data privacy, and the risk of over-reliance on AI by medical profession which can undermine the human touch in patient care. By addressing this issue, the main focus of this paper is to understand how we can get legal norms which can integrate the AI into medical sector with effectively and ethically with ensuring the patient confidentiality and his care without compromising patient rights or its societal values.

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (hereafter referred as "AI") offers the world undeniable economic growth, social help, and is helping many research and advancement into our society. John McCarthy defines the AI in the year 1956, defines it as a "the science and engineering of making intelligent machine, especially intelligent computer programs". Today AI are performing similar task as human many tasks are being done and oversee by AI, fields like healthcare, finance, and e-commerce. AI has revolutionized industries by automating tasks, optimizing decision making, and fostering innovation across fields. In recent years AI has changed the world, now days AI

technologies are offering new opportunities in different fields such as energy, the environment, education, and health.

The main focus of this research paper is to measure the impact on the medical world and to see whether the existing laws are enough to safeguard the patient and doctor's rights and safety and their relationship in this profession with AI being integrated into this field. Despite these advancements, the deployment of AI in healthcare raises significant legal concerns. The primary issues revolve around patient privacy, data protection, liability in case of errors, regulatory compliance, and ethical dilemmas. The complexity of these issues is compounded by the sensitive nature of health data and the critical impact of medical decisions on patient well-being.

Today many foreign companies like butterfly networks, deepmind, caption health, abstractive health, etc are incorporating AI into medical field and many more companies are researching and developing AI's which are helping in faster diagnosis. In recent years with open AI being published to general public and with this many medical practioner are using for faster diagnosis. The use of new technology raises concerns about the possibility that it will become a new source of inaccuracy and data breach. In the high-risk area of healthcare, mistakes can have severe consequences for the patient who is the victim of this error.

Aspects of data security and algorithm transparency must be taken into account when developing AI systems. In order for AI to be used in medical practice in a safe and responsible manner, these issues must be resolved and a legal framework should be formed which should safeguards the patient life and his information in case of any mishappen. In order for AI to be used in medical practice in a safe and responsible manner, these issues must be resolved. Informed consent concerns and legal liability in the event of AI-induced diagnostic errors are just two of the major hazards that patients and medical staff may face if AI use is not properly regulated. The intricacy of AI technologies is sometimes not adequately covered by current legal frameworks, necessitating adjustments to guarantee their use is safe and equitable.

The objective this research paper is to address three main issues related to AI being used and integrated into medical sector

- 1.) Who is liable for patient in case of misdiagnosis and who will take legal responsibility?
- 2.) Who will take legal responsibility in case of data privacy breach of patient records?
- 3.) Can current legal frameworks determine accountability for AI decisions, especially in medical procedures?

This research paper objective is to investigate and evaluate the legal ramifications of using artificial intelligence (AI) in medical diagnostics in various international jurisdictions. The insights gained from this analysis will contribute to the development of informed recommendations and strategies for addressing the identified challenges and ensuring a robust legal framework that can effectively govern the use of AI in healthcare delivery. This research paper main objective is to find a law framework which can secure patient data and their safety also help AI smoothly integrate into our medical sector.

KEYWORD

Artificial intelligence, Medical diagnosis, legal accountability, data protection regulation (GDPR), ethical, legal ramification, algorithms, privacy, accessibility, legal framework, hybrid liability, anonymization, Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)

g645

Literature Review

Artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed the medical business, bringing new advances in diagnosis, treatment, and patient care. While AI improves speed, accuracy, and accessibility, its use presents serious legal and ethical problems. The legal ramifications of AI in medicine include questions of responsibility, data privacy, informed consent, regulatory frameworks, and intellectual property rights. This literature study addresses these problems by examining current legal frameworks, scholarly discourse, and judicial precedent.

(1.) Legal liability and AI in Healthcare

A key concern comes when a misdiagnosis happens, but in case of traditional practices the physician held liable for it, but AI complicates this structure. Who should be held responsible the AI developer, the hospital, the physician, or the healthcare institution? We can find some traces of this misdiagnosis in the case of T.M. v. AI Diagnostics Inc., courts have struggled to determine accountability, indicating that existing malpractice laws may be insufficient (Smith, 2021). Due to this many legal frameworks which were built for medical sector is now not enough with AI being integrated in the medical and pharmaceutical sector which is increasing the probability of cases misdiagnosis and who are liable in case of it.

There are many cases which are revolving around misdiagnosis by AI like Babylon Health's AI Chatbot, another case in which AI cannot recognize a simple chest pain and provided incorrect advice. This incident underscored the potential dangers of relying solely on AI for medical diagnoses without adequate human oversight. Many legal scholars are trying to make a hybrid type of law which van protect patient in case of misdiagnosis by AI and can provide legal remuneration to patient and also help courts in identify who is liable.

Future Directions in AI Liability Reform

- 1. Legislative Initiatives Around the world, governments are crafting specific regulations concerning artificial intelligence. The European Union's AI Act proposes liability standards for AI systems deemed high-risk (EU Commission, 2021). In the United States, there are active discussions aimed at revising medical malpractice laws to encompass AI-related liabilities (FDA, 2023).
- 2. No-Fault Compensation Systems Legal professionals are promoting the establishment of no-fault compensation funds for incidents involving AI-related medical errors, akin to existing programs for compensating vaccine-related injuries (Williams, 2023). This model could streamline dispute resolution and foster greater acceptance of AI technologies.
- 3. Hybrid Liability Frameworks Some scholars advocate for hybrid liability models that combine elements of medical malpractice, product liability, and corporate liability to ensure fair accountability (Chen & Moore, 2022).
- 4. AI Audits and Compliance Strategies The implementation of mandatory audits for AI systems, along with transparency reports and compliance protocols, is under consideration to enhance accountability (Santos & Lee, 2023). These reforms are essential for addressing the gaps in the legal framework surrounding AI and healthcare. However, the issue of legal accountability for misdiagnoses attributed to AI remains complex and evolving. Existing legal structures, such as those governing medical malpractice and product liability, offer some guidance but require updates. Future legal advancements must strike a balance between fostering innovation and ensuring accountability, protecting patients while facilitating progress in healthcare technology. As AI continues to reshape the medical landscape, robust legal frameworks will be vital in tackling liability and ethical responsibility issues.

2.) Data privacy and protection

Today data is everything many AI and machine learning are thriving on the data because collection data of patient is important for AI training and also to perform any task. Numerous studies raise alarms about issues concerning data breaches, unauthorized access, and the ethical implications of using patient data. Reddy et al. (2021) point out that AI systems need large quantities of personal health information to operate effectively, which heightens the risk of privacy infringements if not properly managed. Additionally, Zhu et al. (2022) highlight that although anonymization methods are valuable, they are not completely reliable, as sophisticated AI models can potentially re-identify individuals from de-identified data sets.

Also, there is another major issue is that many patients give their consent without understanding how their data will be used, this happen due to less information available to general public and there understanding about AI works is largely misinterpreted, Numerous healthcare applications that utilize AI are not transparent, which hinders patients from having control over their information. Furthermore, there is apprehension regarding third-party access, as data may be shared with outside organizations for research or commercial use without the patient's clear consent.

Many countries have taken steps to protect these data breaches and secure data like The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) within the European Union is one of the strictest privacy legislations, ensuring that individuals have control over their personal data. GDPR enforces principles such as minimizing data collection, limiting data use to specific purposes, and granting the right to deletion, all of which affect the way AI models manage medical information (Voigt & Bussche, 2020). In the United States, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulates the safeguarding of patient data. Although HIPAA sets forth rigorous privacy standards, some scholars believe it is inadequately prepared to tackle the complexities of AI, especially regarding algorithmic decision-making and the use of data for secondary purposes (McGraw, 2019).

Although legal frameworks are in place, there are still considerable challenges. A key concern is the absence of standardized global regulations, resulting in inconsistencies in AI governance across various regions (Leslie, 2021). Additionally, the swift progression of AI technology often surpasses current legal frameworks, requiring ongoing updates to privacy legislation. Future studies indicate a need for privacy-enhancing AI methodologies, including federated learning and differential privacy, to reduce the risks linked to data exposure (Shen et al., 2023). Furthermore, researchers propose a more patient-centered model, allowing individuals increased control over their medical information via blockchain-based systems (Nguyen et al., 2022). With these legal frameworks and reforms, it should also be released or published to general population, with this the data breaches can be minimizing also patient have a full understanding when they give their consent during their treatment with the usage of AI.

3.) Existing Legal Frameworks and AI Accountability

The existing legal framework in AI is majorly regulated by major regulated body like the **General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)** in the European Union, and **Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)** in the United States and like this many other bodies are regulating the AI in medical sector all over the world. But the major concern falls when any misdiagnosis or any other error happens during the treatment with help of AI, Significant obstacle in ensuring AI accountability is the swift advancement of technology surpassing current legal frameworks. Researchers emphasize the necessity for flexible regulatory approaches that can adapt to the changing capabilities of AI (Reddy et al., 2021).

Moreover, legal structures must strike a balance between fostering innovation and protecting patients, ensuring that the advantages of AI do not come with increased legal ambiguity. Suggested measures include establishing legal standards specific to AI, requirements for algorithmic transparency, and the creation of a global regulatory agreement regarding AI liability in healthcare (Zhu et al., 2022). The implementation of these initiatives could provide clearer guidelines on accountability and enhance confidence in AI-enhanced medical services. There

should be a balance in case of accountability because it's not Soley mistake of AI as the concerned medical practioner is also held liable during any incident as he has to check whether treatment proposed by the AI is good or not. The legal framework around AI in medical sector should be hybrid which can decided accountability between the AI and medical practioner or hospital which is using AI as their tool for treatment and diagnosis.

METHODOLOGY

This study found and assessed scholarly material about the difficulties and legal ramifications of applying AI in medical diagnostics. Major scientific databases like google scholar, Researchgate case laws etc. Keywords such as "AI in medical diagnostics," "regulation of AI in healthcare," and "legal implications of AI use in diagnostics the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), and the European Union's Artificial Intelligence Act " were utilized. To confirm their relevance to the latest developments in this field, the included articles were published in English within the past five years.

A content analysis method is utilized to examine were scholarly discussion, articles, and other research paper were used for comprehensive study. A comparative legal analysis is performed to examine the differences in AI regulations among various jurisdictions, offering insights into effective practices and possible areas for legal improvement.

While the report provides an in-depth analysis of the legal implications, its reach is limited by the changing nature of AI technology and regulatory reactions. The study focuses on existing legal systems, and future legislative changes may modify the legal landscape. also, with absence empirical data limits to assess the real-world enforcement also it cannot assess how the current legal framework is up to spec or not. This strategy ensures that the legal implications of AI in the healthcare industry are thoroughly and methodically investigated, giving useful insights for policymakers, legal experts, and healthcare practitioners.

Also, this paper analysis on the data privacy which another core aspect of this paper where AI systems depend on extensive collections of personal health information, which raises significant concerns regarding potential privacy violations and unauthorized access. Voigt and Bussche (2020) highlighted that the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) imposes stringent privacy standards, guaranteeing that individuals maintain authority over their personal data. However, Zhu et al. (2022) pointed out that anonymization techniques are not entirely reliable, as advanced AI models have the capability to re-identify individuals from datasets that have been de-identified. This underscores the need for the creation of privacy-enhancing AI strategies, including federated learning and differential privacy, to reduce the risks associated with data exposure (Shen et al., 2023).

Despite this the analysis faced some limitation as AI is in its early age of development and in the refining process, the swift advancement of AI technology, coupled with the ever-changing landscape of regulatory measures, has created difficulties in fully documenting all recent progress. Also, the lack of empirical data hindered the evaluation of actual enforcement and the efficacy of current legal frameworks. This approach facilitated a comprehensive and methodical examination of the legal ramifications of artificial intelligence in medical diagnostics. By integrating content analysis with comparative legal analysis, the research pinpointed critical areas where existing regulations are inadequate and underscored the necessity for revised legal frameworks that harmonize innovation with patient safety and accountability. With the rapid changes coming to AI our legal framework should also maintain the laws around the AI and keep up with the updates which can decrease the amount of confusion we are seeing the case of AI

DISCUSSION AND RESULT

Although the implementation of AI in medical sector generates significant benefits, its implementation raises big concerns about how access, control use and protect data in the medical sector. AI may face serious privacy and data protection challenges. Therefore, it is important to have laws in place to protect the privacy of individuals, especially the personal health data. With integration of these AI in medical sector around the word is increasing the legal framework is not adequate, but many countries are trying to make hybrid law.

1. Liability for Misdiagnosis: Who Takes Legal Responsibility?

Artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed the landscape of diagnostic precision and efficiency in the healthcare sector. However, when an AI system incorrectly diagnoses a patient, the question of liability becomes intricate. Traditionally, medical malpractice falls on physicians, but AI complicates this paradigm. In instances where an AI system delivers a faulty diagnosis that results in patient harm, legal accountability may involve several parties, including the physician, the healthcare facility, software developers, or the manufacturers of the AI technology (Shankar et al., 2023).

A significant issue is whether a physician should be held liable for simply adhering to an AI-generated diagnosis. Some legal interpretations indicate that physicians are obligated to apply their independent judgment, thus retaining responsibility for validating AI-generated results (Tsamados et al., 2022). Conversely, in scenarios where AI systems operate independently or significantly influence medical decisions without human intervention, liability may shift to the developers under product liability statutes (Goodman & Flagg, 2021).

The ongoing discussions among courts and policymakers revolve around whether AI should be viewed as a basic medical instrument or as an autonomous entity with a degree of legal responsibility. The lack of a comprehensive global legal framework to address AI-related medical errors creates substantial gaps in accountability, posing challenges for legal enforcement and safeguarding patient rights.

2. Informed consent

Informed consent represents a fundamental component of the patient experience within the healthcare system, serving to safeguard individuals from potential harm while upholding their autonomy, privacy, and rights related to personal data and biological materials (European Parliament, Directorate General for Parliamentary Research Services, 2022). Patients possess the right to make well-informed choices regarding their medical care; however, the utilization of artificial intelligence (AI) systems may involve decision-making processes that rely on intricate algorithms, which may not be fully comprehensible to patients. It is essential for patients to be adequately informed about the application of AI by healthcare providers and technology developers in their treatment, and they should be afforded the option to decline participation if they feel uneasy about its use (Murphy et al., 2021).

3, Can Existing Legal Frameworks Establish Accountability for AI in Medical Practices?

Current legal frameworks were primarily established to regulate human conduct, which complicates the attribution of liability for decisions made by artificial intelligence. Traditional medical malpractice statutes emphasize physician negligence rather than errors attributable to AI, resulting in a significant legal gap (Kumar & Mishra, 2022).

In response to this issue, some regions have initiated legislative reforms. For example, the proposed AI Act by the European Union seeks to implement more stringent regulations on high-risk AI technologies, including those used in healthcare, by enforcing requirements for transparency, accountability, and comprehensive risk evaluations (European Commission, 2023). Likewise, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has

released guidelines for AI-based medical devices, necessitating proof of safety and effectiveness prior to their approval for public use (FDA, 2023).

Nevertheless, the challenge of enforcing accountability persists. The "black box" characteristic of AI—where the mechanisms behind decision-making are not easily understood—further complicates the assignment of legal responsibility. Patients pursuing legal action for harm caused by AI often encounter difficulties in establishing causation, as these systems operate on intricate machine learning algorithms that lack clarity (Vinuesa et al., 2021).

Conclusion and Future Implications

The legal ramifications of artificial intelligence in the healthcare sector are extensive, necessitating a comprehensive approach to accountability and liability. This research highlights several key points: Responsibility for misdiagnoses should be shared among healthcare providers, AI developers, and medical institutions, fostering a balanced framework that encourages AI innovation while maintaining essential human oversight. To combat data privacy violations, there is a pressing need for enhanced security measures, obligatory compliance checks, and a collective legal responsibility involving both AI creators and healthcare organizations.

There is an urgent requirement for the revision of legal frameworks and international collaboration to establish clear guidelines regarding AI accountability. As AI technology progresses, it is crucial for legal experts, policymakers, and healthcare practitioners to work together in formulating robust, enforceable regulations that safeguard patients while harnessing the benefits of AI. Future studies should aim to create liability models tailored to AI, improve transparency in AI decision-making processes, and incorporate AI ethics into medical education. In the absence of definitive legal standards, the full potential of AI in healthcare may remain untapped due to ambiguities surrounding accountability and risk.

A well-regulated AI environment can reconcile the need for innovation with patient safety, paving the way for a future where AI not only enhances healthcare but does so in a responsible and ethical manner. While AI improves diagnostic precision and patient outcomes, it simultaneously raises critical issues regarding liability and data protection. The current legal framework is fragmented, with existing laws struggling to address the unique challenges posed by AI. As the integration of AI in healthcare expands, it is vital to develop clear, enforceable legal structures that balance innovation with the safety and rights of patients. Future research and legal initiatives must focus on establishing standardized regulations, ensuring accountability, and protecting patients in an AI-enhanced medical landscape.

IJCRI

References

- European Commission. (2021). "Proposal for a Regulation Laying Down Harmonized Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act)."
- Leslie, D. (2021). "Understanding AI Ethics and Safety." The Alan Turing Institute.
- Lin, H., et al. (2020). "Legal Challenges in AI-Driven Medical Decision-Making." Journal of Medical Ethics.
- McGraw, D. (2019). "Regulating AI in Healthcare: The Role of the FDA." Health Law Review.
- Nguyen, T., et al. (2022). "Tort Law and AI Liability in Healthcare." Journal of Health Law and Policy.
- Reddy, P., et al. (2021). "AI Accountability in Medical Practice: Legal and Ethical Perspectives." International Journal of Healthcare Technology.
- Shen, J., et al. (2023). "AI Governance and Liability: Addressing Gaps in Legal Frameworks." IEEE Transactions on Medical Informatics.
- Voigt, P., & Bussche, A. (2020). "The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): A Practical Guide." Springer.
- Zhu, X., et al. (2022). "AI and Medical Negligence: Analyzing Legal Precedents." Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy.
- Artificial Intelligence App<mark>lications in Healthcare Sector: Ethical and Legal Challenges Emna Chikhaoui, Alanoud Alajml, Souad Larabi-Marie-Sainte *
 </mark>
- Regulatory challenges in ai-based diagnostics: Legal implications of ai use in medical diagnostics (2025) Purwono purwono, Muhammad Ahamad Baballe