



# "Investment Preferences And Patterns Of The Middle Class In Lucknow And Kanpur: A Comparative Study"

**Azwar.J.Khan**

Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Khwaja Moinuddin Chishti Language University, Lucknow

**Dr. Prof.Ehtesham Ahmad**

H.O.D, Department of Commerce, , Khwaja Moinuddin Chishti Language University, Lucknow

## Abstract

This study examines the investment preferences and patterns of middle-class investors in Lucknow and Kanpur, focusing on differences in income allocation, investment frequency, and time horizons. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data from 115 respondents, and statistical tools such as the Chi-Square test and Independent Samples T-Test were applied for analysis. The findings reveal no significant differences in investment preferences across various avenues, including equities, mutual funds, insurance, fixed deposits, and real estate, with both cities displaying similar investment behaviors. However, a marginally significant difference was found in investment frequency, with Lucknow investors favoring quarterly investments, while Kanpur investors exhibit a more balanced distribution across monthly and annual investments. The study concludes that investment patterns in both cities are largely similar, influenced by common socio-economic factors and financial awareness. These findings provide valuable insights for financial institutions and policymakers to develop region-specific financial products and investment awareness programs that cater to the middle-class population in tier-2 cities.

**Keywords:** Investment preferences, middle-class investors, Lucknow, Kanpur, investment frequency, financial behavior.

## Introduction

Investment decisions are a cornerstone of financial stability and economic growth, especially for the middle class, which forms a substantial part of India's population. The middle class is not only a critical driver of consumption but also an emerging force in the investment landscape. Understanding their investment preferences and patterns provides valuable insights into their financial behavior, aspirations, and challenges.

Cities like Lucknow and Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh, with distinct socio-economic and cultural dynamics, offer an excellent opportunity for comparative research on middle-class investment behavior.

Lucknow, the capital city of Uttar Pradesh, is known for its administrative and service-based economy. It has a mix of traditional and modern outlooks, which influence the financial decisions of its residents. On the other hand, Kanpur, historically recognized as an industrial hub, reflects a more commercially inclined demographic. These contrasting city profiles raise pertinent questions about how urbanization, occupation, and cultural values impact middle-class investment patterns. By studying these two cities, this research seeks to uncover the regional factors shaping investment preferences and financial planning.

Investment preferences, such as the choice between equities, real estate, fixed deposits, or gold, are deeply influenced by factors like income level, financial literacy, and risk appetite. For instance, salaried individuals in Lucknow may prioritize safer options like fixed deposits or public provident funds, while entrepreneurs in Kanpur might lean toward higher-risk investments such as equities or mutual funds. These choices are often dictated by financial goals, including tax savings, retirement planning, or wealth accumulation, which vary significantly between regions. A comparative analysis helps identify these distinctions and highlights how socio-economic factors influence financial behavior.

Investment patterns, encompassing the frequency, duration, and proportion of income allocated to investments, are equally significant. Middle-class families often juggle competing priorities, such as education, marriage, and retirement, while striving to build long-term financial security. The study of these patterns in Lucknow and Kanpur can reveal differences in financial planning approaches, with implications for regional economic development. For example, investors in Lucknow may show a preference for systematic investments over time, while those in Kanpur might demonstrate more ad-hoc or opportunistic investment behavior.

This research has practical implications for policymakers, financial institutions, and investors. It highlights regional disparities in financial behavior, helping tailor financial products and education campaigns to meet local needs. Moreover, it contributes to the academic discourse on personal finance, offering insights that can be applied to other cities with similar socio-economic profiles. In conclusion, the comparative analysis of Lucknow and Kanpur's middle-class investment preferences and patterns serves as a lens to understand broader trends in financial behavior and regional economic development.

## Review of Literature

**Investment Behavior of the Middle Class:** The middle class has been a significant focus in financial behavior studies, given its critical role in economic development. Chandrasekhar and Ghosh (2017) describe middle-class households as inherently cautious investors, prioritizing safety and stability. Their study highlights that this demographic often relies on traditional investment avenues, such as fixed deposits and

PPF, due to limited financial literacy. Similarly, Gupta and Sharma (2018) emphasize the role of education and awareness in diversifying investment portfolios, noting a gap in the adoption of higher-risk instruments like equities.

**Regional Variations in Investment Patterns:** Singh and Tripathi (2020) explore the impact of cultural and economic factors on investment behavior, focusing on tier-2 cities. They found that regional employment patterns and cultural values significantly influence financial preferences. For instance, administrative centers like Lucknow exhibit a preference for conservative investments, while industrial cities like Kanpur lean toward entrepreneurial ventures. Bhatt and Jain (2019) further support these findings, arguing that regional differences in financial infrastructure and literacy play a critical role in shaping investment behavior.

**Demographic Influences:** Age and occupation have consistently been identified as major determinants of investment decisions. Rajan and Bose (2019) found that younger investors with higher education levels are more inclined to take risks and explore diversified portfolios, including mutual funds and equities. Conversely, older and less-educated individuals prefer safer options. Kumar and Mehta (2021) expand on this by examining occupation-based differences, revealing that salaried individuals adopt systematic investment practices, while business owners exhibit opportunistic approaches.

**Financial Awareness and Risk Tolerance:** Verma and Kaul (2016) identify risk aversion as a defining characteristic of middle-class investors, which limits their participation in high-risk, high-return instruments. However, Das and Roy (2022) observe a gradual shift, with increasing awareness of mutual funds and SIPs due to digital platforms and financial education campaigns. Despite these advancements, Sharma and Tiwari (2022) highlight regional disparities in digital adoption, suggesting that smaller cities like Kanpur and Lucknow require targeted interventions to bridge the digital divide.

**Investment Patterns and Priorities:** Mishra and Joshi (2020) examine life-stage priorities as a determinant of investment behavior, particularly in middle-class families. Their research indicates that financial goals such as children's education, marriage, and retirement significantly influence investment choices. Pandey et al. (2021) observe a shift in these priorities post-pandemic, with increased focus on financial security and insurance.

**Emerging Trends and Challenges:** The rise of digital platforms and financial literacy programs has reshaped investment behavior in urban India. Das and Roy (2022) note that digital platforms have democratized access to investments, particularly among small investors. However, Sharma and Tiwari (2022) argue that regional disparities in digital access hinder equitable adoption of these trends, underscoring the need for city-specific strategies.

**Relevance of Comparative Studies:** Comparative studies like those by Bhatt and Jain (2019) underscore the importance of understanding regional nuances in financial behavior. They highlight how localized research can inform policy and product design, catering to the unique needs of diverse populations. Such studies are particularly relevant for tier-2 cities, which are undergoing rapid urbanization but are often overlooked in national-level analyses.

**Conclusion:** The existing literature underscores the complexity of middle-class investment behavior, shaped by demographic, regional, and psychological factors. While significant progress has been made in understanding these dynamics, gaps remain in exploring regional variations within specific states like Uttar Pradesh. By focusing on Lucknow and Kanpur, this research aims to bridge these gaps, offering valuable insights into how local factors influence investment preferences and patterns.

## Objectives

The following objectives are formulated for the research paper "**Investment Preferences and Patterns of the Middle Class in Lucknow and Kanpur: A Comparative Study**":

1. **To analyze the investment preferences of middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.**
  - This includes identifying the types of investment avenues preferred (e.g., equities, real estate, mutual funds, fixed deposits)
2. **To study the investment patterns of the middle-class population in Lucknow and Kanpur.**
  - This includes examining the percentage of income allocated to investments, the frequency of investments, and the time horizon associated with different investment options..
3. **To provide actionable insights for policymakers and financial institutions.**
  - This includes offering recommendations for designing region-specific financial products and educational campaigns to improve financial inclusion and investment participation.

By achieving these objectives, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the investment behavior of middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur, highlighting both commonalities and regional differences. This will contribute to the academic literature on personal finance and offer practical implications for financial planning and policy-making.

**Hypothesis:** Based on the objectives of the study, the following null hypotheses are formulated to explore the relationship between Investment Preferences and Patterns of the Middle Class in Lucknow and Kanpur.

“**H<sub>01</sub>:** There is no significant difference in investment preferences (e.g., equities, real estate, mutual funds, fixed deposits) between middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.”

“**H<sub>02</sub>:** There is no significant difference in investment patterns (e.g., percentage of income allocated, frequency of investment, time horizons) between Lucknow and Kanpur.”

“**H<sub>02a</sub>:** There is no significant difference in the percentage of income allocated to investments between middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.”

“**H<sub>02b</sub>:** There is no significant difference in the frequency of investment between middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.”

“**H<sub>02c</sub>:** There is no significant difference in the investment time horizons between middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.”

## Research Methodology

- **Research Design:** The study adopts a **descriptive and comparative research design** to analyze the investment preferences and patterns of middle-class investors in Lucknow and Kanpur. This design is appropriate for understanding and comparing the behaviors, preferences, and influencing factors of investors in the two cities.
- **Sample Design:** The population for this study comprises middle-class investors residing in Lucknow and Kanpur. A total of 115 respondents were selected as the sample, with an equitable distribution between the two cities. The study employed a stratified random sampling technique to ensure representation across key demographic strata, including age, income levels, and occupation.
- **Data Collection:** Data for this study was collected using both primary and secondary sources. Primary data was obtained through a structured questionnaire administered to respondents, covering demographic details such as age, income, and occupation, as well as investment preferences (e.g., Shares, Mutual Funds, Fixed Deposits), investment patterns (e.g., income allocation, frequency, and

time horizons), and factors influencing investment decisions (e.g., risk tolerance, returns, and tax benefits). Secondary data was sourced from relevant literature, reports, and databases to support the analysis and interpretation.

- **Research Instrument:** The research instrument used for this study was a questionnaire that included closed-ended questions. Various measurement scales were employed in the questionnaire, including a nominal scale for categorical data such as investment preferences, an ordinal scale for ranking factors influencing investment behavior, and an interval scale for capturing income allocation percentages.
- **Data Analysis Tools:** The data for this study was analyzed using various tools and techniques. Descriptive statistics were employed to summarize demographic information and investment patterns. The Chi-Square test was used to evaluate associations between demographic factors and investment preferences, as well as to compare preferences and patterns between Lucknow and Kanpur. An independent samples t-test was conducted to compare mean differences in income allocation for investments between the two cities. Cross-tabulation was utilized to explore relationships between investment frequency, time horizons, and demographic factors. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software.
- **Research Limitations:** This study is limited to middle-class investors in Lucknow and Kanpur, and therefore, its findings may not accurately reflect investment behavior in other cities or regions. Additionally, the sample size of 115 respondents may restrict the applicability of the results. Moreover, the reliance on self-reported data from respondents introduces the potential for bias or inaccuracies in the information collected.

### Data Analysis and Finding:

**Table1: Respondent Demographic profile.**

Variables	Categories	Number	Percentage
City	Lucknow	63	54.8
	Kanpur	52	45.2
Age	24 – 30	28	24.3
	31 – 40	30	26.1
	41 – 50	35	30.4
	Above 50	22	19.1
Gender	Male	81	70.4
	female	34	29.6
Occupation	Salaried	40	34.8
	Professional	33	28.7
	Business	42	36.5
Family Monthly Income	Below ₹25,000	14	12.2
	₹25,000-₹50,000	39	33.9
	₹50,001-₹75,000	28	24.3
	₹75,001-	23	20.0



	₹1,00,000		
	Above ₹1,00,000	11	9.6

### Test of Hypothesis: (Null Hypothesis)

- **H<sub>01</sub>:** There is no significant difference in investment preferences (e.g., equities, real estate, mutual funds, fixed deposits etc) between middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.

**Table2: Investment Pre**

	Lucknow		Kanpur		Chi-Square
Investment Avenues	Yes	No	Yes	No	p-value
Shares (Equity)	55	8	45	7	0.904
Mutual Fund/ SIP	52	11	44	8	0.765
Insurance	52	11	43	9	0.983
NSC/KVP/PPF	33	30	26	26	0.799
Fixed Deposits	52	11	43	9	0.983
Real Estate	33	30	25	27	0.646
Precious Metal (Gold/Silver)	43	20	32	20	0.452
Commodities	22	41	18	34	0.973

**Note:**

Tools used to test the hypothesis is Chi-Square.

Level of significance is 5%

Yes = The preference of the investor for particular investment avenue.

No = No preference of the investor for particular investment avenue

The Chi-Square test results for various investment avenues indicate no statistically significant differences in preferences between Lucknow and Kanpur, as all **p-values are greater than 0.05**. Specifically:

- For **Shares (p = 0.904)**, **Mutual Funds (p = 0.765)**, **Insurance (p = 0.983)**, **NSC/KVP/PPF (p = 0.799)**, **Fixed Deposits (p = 0.983)**, **Real Estate (p = 0.646)**, **Precious Metals (p = 0.452)**, and **Commodities (p = 0.973)**, there are no significant differences between the cities in terms of preferences for these avenues.

This implies that investment behaviors for these avenues are consistent across Lucknow and Kanpur, with no meaningful variation in respondents' choices between the two cities. The observed differences in frequencies are likely due to random chance rather than regional or demographic factors.

**“H<sub>02</sub>:** There is no significant difference in investment patterns (e.g., percentage of income allocated, frequency of investment, time horizons) between Lucknow and Kanpur.”

To analyze the relationship between the investment patterns of investors in Lucknow and Kanpur, the following sub-null hypotheses are formulated and tested based on key factors such as income allocation, investment frequency, and investment time horizon.

“H<sub>02a</sub>: There is no significant difference in the percentage of income allocated to investments between middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.”

Analysis of the Independent Samples T-Test for studying income allocation.

**Table 3: Group Statistics Table**

City	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Lucknow	63	2.00	1.107	0.139
Kanpur	52	2.25	1.235	0.171

The mean allocation of income for investments is slightly higher in Kanpur (2.25) compared to Lucknow (2.00). Additionally, the standard deviation is also marginally higher in Kanpur (1.235), suggesting greater variability in income allocation preferences among investors in Kanpur compared to those in Lucknow.

**Table 4: Independent Samples Test Table**

Statistic	Value
Levene's Test (F)	1.889
Levene's Test Sig.(p-value)	0.172
t-test Sig.(2-tailed) (Equal variances assumed)	0.255
Mean Difference	-0.250
95% Confidence Interval of Difference	-0.683 to 0.183

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances yielded a p-value of 0.172, which is greater than 0.05, indicating that the variances between the two groups are equal; therefore, the row "Equal variances assumed" is used for interpretation. The T-Test for Equality of Means resulted in a p-value of 0.255, which is also greater than 0.05, suggesting that there is no statistically significant difference in the allocation of income for investments between Lucknow and Kanpur. Furthermore, the mean difference of -0.250 and the confidence interval ranging from -0.683 to 0.183 further confirm that the observed difference is not significant.

Based on the Independent Samples T-Test, there is no significant difference in the allocation of income for investments between Lucknow and Kanpur investors (**p = 0.255**). **This suggests that middle-class investors in both cities allocate similar proportions of their income toward investments.**

“H<sub>02b</sub>: There is no significant difference in the frequency of investment between middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.”

**Table 5: Analysis of Chi-Square Test for City and Investment Frequency**

	Crosstabulation ( Investment Frequency)			Chi-Square
City	Monthly	Quarterly	Annually	p-value
Lucknow	22	31	10	0.050
Kanpur	21	15	16	

The cross-tabulation analysis reveals that Lucknow investors predominantly prefer quarterly investments (31 respondents), followed by monthly (22) and annually (10), whereas Kanpur investors exhibit more variation, with a preference for monthly (21) and annually (16) over quarterly (15). The Chi-Square test results indicate



a p-value of 0.050, which suggests a marginally significant relationship between city and investment frequency, implying that investment frequency is slightly dependent on the city. This finding provides marginal evidence that investment frequency patterns differ between Lucknow and Kanpur, with Lucknow investors favoring quarterly investments, while Kanpur investors demonstrate a more balanced distribution across monthly and annual investment preferences, possibly reflecting differences in financial planning habits and access to investment resources.

“ $H_{02c}$ : There is no significant difference in the investment time horizons between middle-class individuals in Lucknow and Kanpur.”

**Table 6: Analysis of Chi-Square test and Crosstab for city and Investment Frequency**

	Crosstab ( Investment Frequency)						
	Lucknow			Kanpur			
Investment Avenue	Short-term (<1 year)	Medium-term (1-5 yr)	Long-term (>5 years)	Short-term (<1 year)	Medium-term (1-5 years)	Long-term (>5 years)	Chi-Square
Shares (Equity)	12	22	29	10	18	24	0.999
Mutual Fund/SIP	5	26	32	7	18	27	0.557
Insurance	3	16	44	2	10	40	0.694
NSC/KVP/PPF*	3	32	28	2	30	20	0.759
Fixed Deposits	3	28	32	2	20	30	0.759
Real Estate	3	13	47	2	11	39	0.971
Precious Metal (Gold/Silver)	3	20	40	2	21	29	0.627
Commodities	49	14	-	41	11	-	0.890

The crosstab results show that Lucknow investors have a stronger preference for long-term horizons in equities, insurance, and real estate, while Kanpur investors exhibit a more balanced distribution across time horizons for equities and commodities. For mutual funds/SIPs, NSC/KVP/PPF, fixed deposits, real estate, and precious metals, both cities demonstrate similar preferences, favoring medium- and long-term horizons, with short-term horizons being the least preferred.

The Chi-Square test results reveal that there are no statistically significant differences in time horizon preferences for any of the investment avenues, including Shares, Mutual Funds/SIPs, Insurance, Fixed Deposits, Real Estate, Precious Metals, and Commodities, between Lucknow and Kanpur investors. This indicates that the observed variations in preferences are minimal and likely due to random chance rather than meaningful differences between the two cities. The uniformity in preferences suggests a shared approach to investment planning across both regions.

Based on the analysis of investment patterns, which include income allocation, investment frequency, and investment time horizons, the study finds no significant differences between investors in Lucknow and Kanpur. The results provide strong evidence to support the null hypothesis ( $H_{02}$ ) that there is no significant

difference in the investment patterns of middle-class investors in Lucknow and Kanpur. Although minor differences exist in investment frequency preferences, overall, the observed variations in investment behaviors are minimal and likely due to random chance rather than fundamental differences in financial decision-making. This suggests that investors in both cities follow similar investment strategies, influenced by common socio-economic factors and financial awareness.

### Findings:

- The study sample comprises 63 respondents (54.8%) from Lucknow and 52 respondents (45.2%) from Kanpur.
- In terms of age distribution, 30.4% of the respondents belong to the 41-50 age group, followed by 26.1% in the 31-40 age group, 24.3% in the 24-30 age group, and 19.1% are aged above 50 years.
- The gender distribution shows that 70.4% of the respondents are male, while 29.6% are female.
- Regarding occupation, 36.5% of respondents are engaged in business, 34.8% are salaried employees, and 28.7% are professionals.
- The family monthly income distribution indicates that 33.9% of respondents earn between ₹25,000 and ₹50,000, followed by 24.3% earning between ₹50,001 and ₹75,000, 20% earning between ₹75,001 and ₹1,00,000, 12.2% earning below ₹25,000, and 9.6% earning above ₹1,00,000.
- The Chi-Square test results show no statistically significant differences in investment preferences across various investment avenues between Lucknow and Kanpur. The p-values for Shares (0.904), Mutual Funds (0.765), Insurance (0.983), NSC/KVP/PPF (0.799), Fixed Deposits (0.983), Real Estate (0.646), Precious Metals (0.452), and Commodities (0.973) all exceed the 0.05 significance level, indicating similar investment preferences in both cities.
- The Independent Samples T-Test results suggest no significant difference in the allocation of income for investments between Lucknow and Kanpur, with a p-value of 0.255. The mean income allocation is slightly higher in Kanpur (2.25) compared to Lucknow (2.00), but the difference is not statistically significant.
- The analysis of investment frequency preferences indicates a marginally significant difference, with Lucknow investors favoring quarterly investments while Kanpur investors exhibit a more balanced distribution across monthly and annual investments. The Chi-Square test p-value of 0.050 suggests a slight dependence of investment frequency on city differences.
- Investment time horizon preferences are found to be similar across both cities, with long-term horizons being the most preferred for equities, insurance, and real estate, while short-term horizons are least preferred. The p-values for Shares (0.999), Mutual Funds (0.557), Insurance (0.694), NSC/KVP/PPF (0.759), Fixed Deposits (0.759), Real Estate (0.971), Precious Metals (0.627), and Commodities (0.890) all indicate no significant differences in preferences.

- Overall, the findings support the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference in investment patterns, including income allocation, investment frequency, and time horizons, between middle-class investors in Lucknow and Kanpur. Any observed differences are minimal and likely due to random variations rather than fundamental behavioral differences.
- The results suggest that financial institutions and policymakers can adopt a uniform approach for both cities when promoting investment products and financial planning strategies, with minor adjustments to accommodate the slight differences in investment frequency preferences.

## References:

1. Chandrasekhar, S., & Ghosh, A. (2017). *Investment behavior of middle-class households: A study of traditional investment avenues*. Journal of Financial Planning, 15(3), 45-58.
2. Gupta, R., & Sharma, P. (2018). *Education and awareness in investment decision-making: A study on middle-class diversification*. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 23(2), 112-129.
3. Singh, R., & Tripathi, S. (2020). *Regional variations in investment patterns: A study of tier-2 cities in India*. Economic and Political Review, 35(5), 215-233.
4. Bhatt, A., & Jain, V. (2019). *Financial infrastructure and literacy: The role of regional factors in investment behavior*. Journal of Investment Studies, 14(4), 177-198.
5. Rajan, K., & Bose, A. (2019). *Demographic influences on investment decisions: A case study of age and occupation*. Indian Journal of Financial Management, 28(1), 65-80.
6. Kumar, M., & Mehta, S. (2021). *Occupation-based investment preferences and systematic investment practices*. Journal of Business Finance, 19(2), 90-107.
7. Verma, P., & Kaul, R. (2016). *Risk aversion and financial literacy among middle-class investors*. International Review of Economics, 20(3), 142-160.
8. Das, S., & Roy, N. (2022). *The digital transformation of investments: Adoption trends in urban India*. Journal of Digital Economy, 26(4), 88-101.
9. Sharma, A., & Tiwari, B. (2022). *Bridging the digital divide in financial investments: A regional perspective*. Economic Review Journal, 31(3), 72-86.
10. Mishra, V., & Joshi, A. (2020). *Life-stage priorities and investment choices among middle-class families*. Indian Journal of Financial Planning, 22(1), 55-74.
11. Pandey, R., Gupta, L., & Saxena, K. (2021). *Post-pandemic investment priorities: A shift in middle-class financial behavior*. Journal of Economic Studies, 29(2), 98-115.