Barriers To Effective Professional Development For EFL Teachers

[1]**Josekutty Joseph**, [2]**Dr. Sunil Kumar Jha**

[1]PhD Scholar, Banasthali Vidyapith University, Rajastan & English Lecturer, Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat,

[2] Assistant Professor, Department of English & Modern European Languages, Banasthali Vidyapith University

Abstract: This article examines the major barriers to effective professional development for English as Foreign Language (EFL) teachers, with a particular focus on the context of Oman. Despite the critical role of continuous professional development (CPD) in improving teaching practices and student outcomes, there are numerous challenges that hider its successful implementation. This study recognizes six major barriers for successful continuous professional programs. The article provides a detailed analysis of each of these barriers through a review of existing literature, including works by Afshar and Ghasemi (2017), Lorimer (2018), and Omar (2014). It highlights the lack of adequate funding and resources as primary obstacles to CPD, with frugal budgets limiting access to high-quality CPD opportunities, especially in developing countries. The ubiquitous time constraints are also significant factors, as teachers often struggle to balance their professional responsibilities with the demands of ongoing development. The relevance and practicality of CPD programs are vital for their success, yet many programs do not address the specific requirements and contexts of EFL teachers. Furthermore, inadequate administrative support and a lack of collaborative culture deter the healthy concept of sharing of best practices. Technological barriers like limited access to necessary tools and digital literacy skills further complicate the issue. Lastly, the article also discusses the unique cultural and educational challenges in Oman, emphasizing the need for cultural appropriateness of CPD programs rendered in the country. The findings highlight the necessity of addressing these barriers to improve the effectiveness of professional development ventures and support the professional growth of EFL teachers.

Keywords: *English Language Teaching (ELT), Professional Development Programs (PDPs), EFL Teachers, Barriers to Professional Development, Funding and Resources, Time Constraints, Support and Collaboration, Administrative Support, Technological Barriers, Oman, Continuous Professional Development (CPD).*

Introduction

Observing the chronological development of various English Language Teaching (ELT) methodologies from the last nine or so decades shows the introduction of a surprisingly high number of methodologies. This trend highlights the necessity of a system of continuous professional development (CPD) for educators (Joseph & Jha, 2024). Continuous professional development (CPD) becomes a critical aspect as it ensures educators remain effective and alert to the rapidly changing educational landscape. For teachers of English as a Foreign Language (EFL), professional development is not just for the sake of enhancing teaching skills, but it is also for familiarizing with new methodologies, assimilating technology, and addressing the diverse needs of learners. In spite of realizing its importance, stakeholders face significant barriers that impede the effectiveness of professional development programs for EFL teachers, particularly in contexts like Oman (Al-Ghatrifi, 2016).

Research shows that one of the primary obstacles to efficient and updated Professional Development (PD) is the lack of adequate funding and resources. This is more evident in most developing countries where educational institutions are constrained with limitations on budget. This, in spite of the best of intentions, diminishes the chances of availing high-quality professional development opportunities (Eroglu & Donmus Kaya, 2021). This is further compounded by time limits that teachers face. Most teachers are overwhelmed by extensive teaching responsibilities and heavy workload which makes it difficult to fit in training programs (Hodkinson, 2005).

Another critical barrier to Professional Development Programs (PDPs) is the relevance and practicality of the programs offered. A frequent complaint from teachers is that these programs are too theoretical and not directly applicable to their day-to-day classroom experiences. They believe that CPD initiatives that are tailor-made to specific contexts and challenges that EFL teachers face are the need of the hour (McChesney & Aldridge, 2018). For instance, professional development programs in Oman often fail to address the cultural and linguistic nuances that are important for effective instruction of EFL (Al-Lamki, 2009).

Although one of the most practical means of acquiring effective professional development is to engage in the Sharing of best practices, where teachers learn from each other, lack of a supportive and collaborative professional environment, along with absence of a collaborative culture are also identified as reasons for the failure of PDPs (Middlewood, Parker, & Beere, 2005).

Yet another barrier identified is the resentment towards the 'top-down' approach taken by some managements. In this case programs that a few people in the administrative faction of the establishment decides as to what programs should be given as PDP, taking into consideration only the intentions of the institution. In such scenarios, the individual development of trainees is not taken seriously (McChesney & Aldridge, 2018).

Finally, technological challenges are a significant barrier to PDPs. Although technology has massive potential to revolutionize professional development through online courses and digital resources, the absence of required technology and digital literacy skills among teachers can be a major impediment (Omar, 2014).

Literature Review

Professional development for teachers, especially those teaching English as a Foreign Language (EFL), is widely recognized as essential for enhancing educational outcomes and making sure teachers remain current and effective in their pedagogical practices. Having said that, many barriers can hamper the efficacy of these professional development programs.

One of the major barriers identified in literature is the lack of adequate financial provisions and resources. Budget constraints, due to various reasons, limit or even deny access to high-quality professional development programs (Afshar & Ghasemi 2017). At least for some teachers, the cost of attending workshops and training sessions is unaffordable (Omar, 2014). Teachers whose responsibilities often go beyond their teaching workloads face trouble in finding adequate time to avail professional development programs. The situation becomes more exasperating when there are frequent changes in the focus of compulsory professional development programs (Hodkinson, 2005). Some of them do not even have time for reflection, which is an important part of ELT (McChesney & Aldridge, 2018). Teachers scorn PDPs that are too theoretical and those with no practical applicability, especially when these programs are chosen by the administration with no consideration for the individual requirement of the teachers (Richards and Farrell, 2005). Although sharing of best practices among colleagues is a highly practical tool for professional development (Middlewood, Parker, & Beere, 2005, p. 23), a lack of cooperation and administrative support are hindrances to achieve professional growth (Mann & Walsh, 2013). Limited technological infrastructure and lack of access to internet based learning technologies is yet another barrier (Omar 2014). Finally, difficulty in preparing culturally appropriate programs is an impediment for successful PDPs in countries like Oman (Freeman, 2007).

Funding and Resources

The first major barrier identified in the literature is the lack of adequate financial provisions and resources. Studies show that preparing, presenting and following up good quality professional development programs require adequate funding, the lack of which can severely hamper the availability and quality of PDPs. Although this is a ubiquitous phenomenon, it is felt more in educational institutions in developing countries, where budget constraints limit or even deny access to high-quality professional development programs (Afshar & Ghasemi 2017). Some educational institutions, finding themselves constrained by lack of funding makes it mandatory on the part of teachers to avail professional development programs and courses at the expense of teachers. The extra costs related to attending workshops, conferences, and other training sessions can be unaffordable for many teachers (Omar, 2014). Research has shown that without adequate support, only about 10% of the teachers were able to successfully adopt and implement their newly learned skills (Nevenglosky, 2018)

Time Constraints

Time constraints are another significant challenge faced in availing professional development programs. Teachers often have responsibilities that go beyond their teaching workloads, leaving them with little time for professional development activities. For these overburdened teachers, despite their willingness and desire to engage in PDPs, such programs remain a luxury they cannot afford. Moreover, even in places where, in spite of the tremendous pressure in setting apart time for attending development programs, unimaginative administration can cause frequent changes in the focus of compulsory professional development. These recurring changes may overwhelm teachers, making it impractical for them to implement new pedagogical strategies effectively (Hodkinson, 2005).

It is understood that reflection is an important segment for success in any profession, even more so in teaching. A lack of time for reflection on teaching practices and making changes by accepting adaptations based on it makes this problem even worse, preventing teachers from assimilating newly acquired knowledge into their teaching practices (McChesney & Aldridge, 2018).

Relevance and Practicality

The relevance and practicality of professional development programs are vital for their success. The selection of PDP topics should be collaborative efforts, taking into consideration the teachers' in-class requirements. One common complaint raised by teachers is that these programs are too theoretical and are not directly applicable to their everyday classroom experiences. Research highlights that most often, the topics for PDPs are chosen by administrators with an eye on the specific requirement of the institution, not the overall development of the individual. This being the case, professional development programs which are tailored to the specific contexts and challenges faced by teachers is the need of the hour (Richards and Farrell, 2005). Teachers are not in favor of mandatory professional development programs that do not reflect their personal requirement for professional growth, and consequently, they tend to be unproductive (Baker, Chaseling, Boyd, & Shipway, 2017). Additionally, in ELT, too frequent PDP sessions can make teachers feel overwhelmed, which in turn may make them reluctant or even unable to implement newly learned strategies effectively (Wells, Maxfield, & Klocko, 2011).

Support and Collaboration

Any successful improvement program requires a supportive and cooperative professional atmosphere. Good quality professional development requires an ambience of uninterrupted progression. Unfortunately, availing paid professional development programs may not be practical in many scenarios. In such scenarios, one of the practices teachers can resort to is to share best practices among themselves (Middlewood, Parker, & Beere, 2005, p. 23). In practice, however, in many workplaces such a collaborative environment is conspicuous by absence.

One of the main reasons identified for the lack of cooperation among teachers, which prevents the sharing of best practices, is inadequate administrative support. This lack of support is a major hindrance to effective professional development activities. When teachers are unsupported and left to themselves, they are unlikely to implement new teaching strategies learned during professional development sessions (Mann & Walsh, 2013). Studies show that PDPs that are structured around concrete and classroom-based topics make the most difference to teachers (Borko, 2004).

Technological Barriers

Another major impediment that diminishes the effectiveness of professional development is technological barriers. Technology has the potential to improve the effectiveness of professional development through online courses and digital resources. Unfortunately, at least in some cases, there is absolutely no technological apparatus available for teachers, while some others have difficulty in accessing the required technical support. Challenges that plague teachers in places with limited technological infrastructure can restrict their ability to participate in online professional development opportunities (Omar 2014).

Given the tremendous strides technology has made in all areas, including ELT, digital literacy has become a prerequisite for successful classroom management. This being the case, digital illiteracy among teachers not only prevents teachers from being up to date with technology, but also causes to waste good resources that are readily available (Emence, 2022).

Contextual Challenges in Oman

There are some Specific challenges that may pop up when planning professional development programs in countries like Oman, where cultural appropriateness should be taken into consideration. Research shows that many Omani primary school teachers have difficulty in accepting PDPs that do not align with their cultural and educational milieus (Freeman, 2007; Robinson et al., 2006). Some teachers tend to resist any programs they consider unaligned with their cultural upbringing. For example, exposure to Critical Pedagogy, which may be perfectly acceptable in most scenarios, elicited resistance from some of them (Al Riyami, 2016).

Conclusion

Study of the literature identifies several barriers to achieving good quality professional development for EFL teachers, such as lack of funding and resources, time constraints, individual relevance and practicality of programs, lack of support and collaboration from the part of the administration, cultural unsuitability and technological challenges. It is important to address these barriers while planning PDPs aimed at improving the overall quality of English language teaching, particularly in contexts like Oman. Educational institutions will find it difficult to achieve professional growth and development unless these issues are addressed and mitigated.

References

Joseph, J., & Jha, S. K. (2024). *Embracing change: The importance of professional development programs in ELT. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), 11*(6), Article JETIR2406386. https://www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162).

Al-Ghatrifi, Y. (2016). *The professional development of teachers in higher education in Oman: A case study of English teachers in the Colleges of Applied Sciences* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Reading).

Eroglu, M., & Donmus Kaya, V. (2021). *Professional development barriers of teachers: A qualitative research*. International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction, 13(2), 1896-1922.

Hodkinson, H., & Hodkinson, P. (2005). *Improving schoolteachers' workplace learning. Research Papers in Education*, 20(2), 109–131. https://doi.org/10.1080/02671520500077921

McChesney, K., & Aldridge, J. M. (2018). A new tool for practitioner-led evaluation of teacher professional development. *Teacher Development*, 22(3), 314–338. https://doi.org/10.1080/13664530.2017.1418418

Al-Lamki, N. (2009). *The beliefs and practices related to continuous professional development of teachers of English in Oman* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Leeds).

Middlewood, D., Parker, R., & Beere, J. (2005). Creating a learning school. Sage.

McChesney, K., & Aldridge, J. M. (2018). A new tool for practitioner-led evaluation of teacher professional development. Teacher Development, 22(3), 314–338. https://doi.org/10.1080/13664530.2017.1418418

Omar, C. M. Z. C. (2014). The need for in-service training for teachers and its effectiveness in school. *International Journal of Innovation in Education*, 2(1), 1-9.

Afshar, H. S., & Ghasemi, A. A. (2017). EFL teachers' perceptions of continuing professional development: A case study of Iranian high school teachers. *Research Papers in Education*, 32(4), 402-425.

Omar, C. M. Z. C. (2014). The need for in-service training for teachers and its effectiveness in school. *International Journal of Innovation in Education*, 2(1), 1-9.

Hodkinson, H. (2005). Improving school teachers' workplace learning. *Research Papers in Education*, 20(2), 109-131.

McChesney, K., & Aldridge, J. M. (2018). A new tool for practitioner-led evaluation of teacher professional development. *Teacher Development*, 22(3), 314-338.

Freeman, D. (2007). Fourth evaluation of the Ministry of Education, Oman—University of Leeds BA Educational Studies (TESOL) project.

Mann, S., & Walsh, S. (2013). Reflective practice in English language teaching. Routledge.

Omar, C. M. Z. C. (2014). The need for in-service training for teachers and its effectiveness in school. *International Journal of Innovation in Education*, 2(1), 1-9.

Cebar Emence, S. (2022). *An examination of the factors affecting in-service Turkish EFL teachers' learning transfer from online teacher professional development programs into classroom* (Master's thesis, Middle East Technical University).

Al Riyami, T. K. S. (2016). *Introducing critical pedagogy to English language teachers at tertiary education in Oman: Attitudes, potentialities, and challenges* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Exeter, United Kingdom).

Nevenglosky, E. A. (2018). *Barriers to effective curriculum implementation* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

Baker, J., Chaseling, M., Boyd, W., & Shipway, B. (2017). Teachers' response to a new mandatory professional development process: Does it make a difference? *Professional Development in Education*, 44(4), 570–582. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2017.1378706

Wells, C. M., Maxfield, C. R., & Klocko, B. A. (2011). Complexities in the workload of principals: Implications for teacher leadership. In Blazing new trails: *Preparing leaders to improve access* (p. 29).

Borko, H. (2004). Professional development and teacher learning: Mapping the terrain. *Educational Researcher*, 33(8), 3-15.