



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

## "BEHIND THE FACADE: THE RISE AND FALL OF NIRAV MODI AND THE PNB FRAUD CASE"

Aman Srivastava<sup>1</sup> And Mr. Sandeep Mishra<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Aman Srivastava is pursuing LL.M. (Criminal Law) at Amity Law School, Lucknow

<sup>1</sup> Mr. Sandeep Mishra is an Assistant Professor at Amity Law School, Lucknow

### ABSTRACT

The Nirav Modi case, a high-profile financial scandal that rocked India's banking sector, involved fraudulent transactions worth billions of rupees. Nirav Modi, a prominent diamond jeweller, and his companies colluded with officials from the Punjab National Bank (PNB) to obtain fraudulent letters of undertaking (LoUs), enabling them to borrow funds from overseas branches of other Indian banks. These LoUs were used to secure credit from international banks without adequate collateral, resulting in a massive debt burden on PNB. The scam, which came to light in early 2018, exposed systemic loopholes in India's banking system and raised questions about regulatory oversight.

Nirav Modi fled India before the scandal broke and took up residence in the United Kingdom, where he was arrested in March 2019. Legal proceedings for his extradition to India followed, with ongoing efforts to bring him back to face trial.

The case prompted widespread public outrage and led to increased scrutiny of corporate governance practices and banking regulations in India. It also highlighted the need for stronger measures to prevent fraud and protect the interests of stakeholders in the financial system.

**Keywords:** Nirav Modi, Punjab National Bank (PNB), Financial scandal, Letters of undertaking (LoUs), Banking regulations, Extradition proceedings, International banking, Stakeholder protection, International extradition, Impact on India's banking system.

<sup>1</sup> Aman Srivastava is pursuing LL.M. (Criminal Law) at Amity Law School, Lucknow

<sup>2</sup> Mr. Sandeep Mishra is an Assistant Professor at Amity Law School, Lucknow

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **Who is Nirav Modi?**

Nirav Modi, an Indian-born Belgian jewelry designer and entrepreneur, captivated the world with his dazzling creations and opulent designs. His eponymous brand quickly gained international acclaim, adorning celebrities and elite clientele. However, his legacy was tarnished by allegations of financial fraud, leading to legal battles and a global pursuit for justice. The story of Nirav Modi is a saga of artistic brilliance overshadowed by scandalous deceit. Once in the Forbes list of billionaires for 2017 with a net worth of \$1.8 billion, and the “diamond king of India” is currently a fugitive and has been charged by the Government of India and the Interpol for criminal conspiracy, fraud, money laundering, dishonesty, and embezzlement of contract since 2018.

### **Early Life**

Nirav Modi's trajectory, from leaving Wharton to establishing himself as a prominent figure in the diamond trade, is truly noteworthy. After dropping out from the prestigious university he accompanied his father to join his uncle Mehul Choksi's business at the age of 19. Mehul Choksi was the head of the Gitanjali Group. The Group owned around 4000 retail jewellery stores across the country. Under him, Modi learned the tricks of the trade in the diamond industry and eventually went on to found 'Firestar' in 1999 a diamond sourcing and trading company. The success of the business soon saw Modi acquiring other jewellery businesses. In 2010, he launched a diamond boutique which carried his own name, and soon expanded to 16 stores across the globe in cities such as Delhi, Mumbai, New York, Hong Kong, London, and Macau. Modi gained widespread recognition after designing the "Golconda Lotus Necklace," featuring a vintage 12-carat pear-shaped diamond, and the Riviere of Perfection, comprised of 36 flawless white diamonds totalling 88.88 carats, sold at a Sotheby's auction. That same year, Modi made history as the first Indian jeweller to be featured on the prestigious covers of Christie's and Sotheby's catalogues.

Modi also known as the diamond king of India had aspirations to compete against Cartier, Harry Winston, and Van Cleef & Arpels. At the peak of his success, Kate Winslet adorned herself with Nirav Modi's jewels at the Oscars red carpet. All of this changed when news of his swindle emerged.

### **How did Nirav Modi orchestrated the scam?**

The scam which broke out in 2018 had begun way back in 2011. In order to pull off such a fraud, Nirav Modi capitalized on a banking instrument known as the LOU (Letters of Undertakings). LoU is a bank guarantee under which a bank allows its customer to raise money from another Indian bank's foreign branch in the form of short-term credit<sup>3</sup>. These loans can be raised from Indian banks foreign branches established overseas. As Nirav Modi imported diamonds from foreign countries it preordained that he had to deal with foreign currencies. For this, he had to approach foreign branches of Indian banks for loans that were received at cheaper rates. But what collateral did he had here? This is where LOUs comes into play. Nirav Modi approached PNB for an LOU which was used as collateral for these short-term loans.

These LOUs, however, are supposed to be given out only when the client has collateral in the domestic bank issuing the LOU. But PNB ignored these requirements and gave out the LOUs on Modi's guarantee. To worsen the matter, all these transactions were never added to the PNB's Core Banking System (CBS) which is used for record-keeping purposes. Also in some cases, a lower amount was quoted while making a corresponding entry. All these unauthorised LoU related transactions were done by the corrupt officials using the SWIFT system, which is an extensive messaging network used by the banks and financial institutions globally to accurately, quickly, and safely send and receive financial information. The SWIFT system had no

<sup>3</sup> [Letters-of-Undertaking-LoU-and-Letters-of-Credit-LoC.pdf \(insightsonindia.com\)](https://www.insightsonindia.com/2018/05/24/letters-of-undertaking-lou-and-letters-of-credit-loc/)

linkage with the bank's record keeping core system, i.e., CBS and this gave Nirav Modi and his accomplices the shadow area to operate with unauthorised LoUs. In other words, the SWIFT bypassed the CBS of the bank.

As these loans were for the short term on their due date Modi was asked to pay back the loan by the foreign branches. But this is where Modi extended the scam. He simply took another LOU from Punjab National Bank of a higher amount. This was used to pay back the old loan and the additional amount was reinvested. Talk about a Ponzi scheme mechanism. By 2018 Nirav Modi had received 1,212 more such LOUs.

His plan, however, was working! Modi had grown his business in a span of 5 years what would otherwise have taken 20 years. But how was he going to pay back all the debt? Modi had planned to eventually list his "successful" company, with securities being sold at a premium. These funds would then be used to pay off the billions in debt.

But unfortunately, in 2018 when the employees of his companies (Diamonds R Us, Solar Exports, and Stellar Diamonds) approached PNB once again for LOUs the bank employees demanded 100 per cent cash margins. Nirav Modi's firms contested this requirement. They claimed that they had availed LOUs without collateral before.

This led the PNB officials to finally take a closer look at their accounts after which they first found irregularities of Rs. 280.7 crores and immediately lodged an FIR with the CBI for fraudulent LOUs issued. As the officials dug deeper, by May 18, 2018, the scam had ballooned to over Rs 14,000 crore<sup>4</sup>.

### **When and how did the P.N.B. scam come to light?**

The scam was going on in collusion with corrupt officials of the bank for years. After a corrupt official retired, a new official replaced him and demanded collateral from Nirav Modi and his firms for issuing LoUs as per the regulations. However, it was brought to his knowledge that Nirav Modi's entities had been accustomed to obtaining LoUs without collateral for years. Meanwhile, foreign banks that had extended loans to Nirav Modi based on PNB's LoUs began demanding repayment. Internal investigations commenced, but no records of these unauthorized LoUs were found in the bank's Core Banking System due to the previous official's failure to maintain relevant records.

Thus, on 14th February 2018, the Punjab National Bank, the second-largest Public sector Bank helplessly reported to the stock exchanges, the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI), Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and the public regarding fraudulent transactions of a staggering value of 1.8 billion dollars (approximately) and the Nirav Modi scam hit the headlines<sup>5</sup>.

### **Impact and aftermath of the Nirav Modi scam**

- **Impact of the Nirav Modi scam on the stock market**

Investors lose confidence in the financial sector when scams of this magnitude come to the surface and the PNB Scam was no exception. NIFTY and SENSEX suffered terrible blows in the aftermath of the Nirav Modi Scam. A more detailed effect of the scam on the stock market is enumerated below:

- **Impact of the Nirav Modi scam on bank stocks**

Bank stocks play a major role in the stock market and there are thirty-four nationalised banks in India. In the month of February, 2018, when the Nirav Modi scam became public, the banking stocks tanked immensely and the market cap of these thirty-four banks decreased by more than **thirty-six thousand crores of rupees**. PNB stocks alone lost investors wealth amounting to eight thousand crores of rupees. Without delving deep into the statistics and numerically, it can be said the exposed banks (UCO Bank, Allahabad Bank, Axis Bank,

<sup>4</sup> [Nirav Modi Scam Explained - How PNB Lost 14000 Crores \(tradebrains.in\)](https://www.tradebrains.in/nirav-modi-scam-explained-how-pnb-lost-14000-crores)

<sup>5</sup> [All you need to know about the Nirav Modi scam - iPleaders](https://www.iPleaders.com/all-you-need-to-know-about-the-nirav-modi-scam)

Union Bank of India, and SBI) i.e. the banks who gave loans based on the unauthorised LoUs suffered the brunt of the tsunami of loss.

- **Impact of the Nirav Modi scam on jewellery stocks**

Nirav Modi's jewellery companies like Firestar Diamond International Company were not listed on the stock market exchanges. But Nirav Modi's uncle, Mehul Choksi's Gitanjali Gems stocks started a skydive after the scam went public. Nirav Modi and Mehul Choksi controlled a considerable portion of the jewellery sector in India and the banks became extremely unwilling and sceptical about giving credits to the other jewellery companies. This led to more volatility in the jewellery sector and investors lost more and more wealth.

- **Impact of the Nirav Modi scam on LIC**

Life Insurance Corporation (LIC), another State-owned Company was badly hit by the Nirav Modi scam indirectly. It is common knowledge that LIC invests money into the stock market and LIC was the single largest institutional investor in the exposed banks viz Punjab National Bank, Allahabad Bank, Union Bank of India and Mehul Choksi's Gitanjali Gems. So, when the stocks of these companies plummeted because of the Nirav Modi scam, LIC lost thousands of crores of rupees.

- **Impact of the Nirav Modi scam on PNB's credit rating**

The Nirav Modi scam left the PNB damaged in more than one way<sup>6</sup>. The two-billion-dollar scam severely impacted PNB's credit ratings as published by various rating organisations and bureaus. In the aftermath of the scam, CRISIL had put PNB's credit rating on 'watch' Fitch's local arm, India Ratings, had cut PNB's long-term issuer rating to "IND AA+" with a negative outlook from "IND AAA" soon after the scam became public. Further, international rating agency Moody's followed suit and downgraded state-run Punjab National Bank's (PNB) rating to Ba1/NP from Baa3/P-3<sup>7</sup>. Although, present ratings are more or less back to the pre-scam level<sup>8</sup>.

- **Impact of the Nirav Modi scam on the export-import industry**

Letter of Undertakings (LoUs) were crucial for any businessman of the export-import industry for they provided short term credit at low interest rates. Now one rotten apple Nirav Modi led to the complete ban of LoUs for the entire industry thereby severely jeopardising the entire export-import sector. Even though other forms of credits are still available, the higher interest rates are burning deep holes in their pockets and making other businessmen pay for Nirav Modi's greed. Specially the small-time traders have been the worst sufferers. Loss of arbitrage for businessmen, depreciation of the Indian rupee etc were all a result of the billion-dollar Nirav Modi scam.

## **Reforms introduced after the Nirav Modi P.N.B. scam**

The following reforms were introduced post India's biggest banking fraud:

- On March 13, 2018, after about a month from the scam hitting headlines, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) issued a notice banning banks from issuing guarantees in the form of Letters of Undertaking (LoU) to prevent any further misuse of this facility with immediate effect.<sup>9</sup> Thus, the process of issuance of LoUs for trade-related credits for imports in India got discontinued by commercial banks with immediate effect as per the order of RBI. This banning of LoUs outright was later criticised by experts as RBI's knee-jerk reaction in panic.

<sup>6</sup> Ibid.

<sup>7</sup> [Moody's downgrades PNB on the impact of Nirav Modi fraud | Zee Business \(zeebiz.com\)](#)

<sup>8</sup> [Moody's downgrades PNB on impact of Nirav Modi fraud | Mint \(livemint.com\)](#)

<sup>9</sup> [A detailed study on PNB scam - iPleaders](#)

- RBI also ordered the integration of the SWIFT system with the banks' record-keeping system i.e. the Core Banking System (CBS) within stipulated deadlines. The integration of SWIFT with CBS will prevent future scams along similar lines.
- Better Risk Management Framework was put into place with an efficient system of checks and balances to optimise the risk management system.
- RBI had also set up an expert committee<sup>10</sup> headed by YH Malegam, a former member of the Central Board of Directors of RBI, to investigate the reasons for high divergence observed in asset classification, various incidents of fraud, breach of trust and necessary interventions (also in terms of IT intervention) to prevent such frauds in future.
- RBI also issued Prompt Corrective Action (PCA) framework to the banks like UCO Bank, Dena Bank, IDBI Bank, Oriental Bank of Commerce, Indian Overseas Bank, Central Bank of India, Corporation Bank, Bank of India, Bank of Maharashtra, Allahabad Bank and United Bank of India to encourage them to abstain from riskier bank practices and stress on conserving capital<sup>11</sup>.
- The RBI also ordered the banks to tighten the use of the SWIFT framework; a limit on foreign currency payment instructions where beneficiaries were individuals; and an additional layer of security on transactions above a certain threshold was told to be put in place.
- To curb the menace of offenders escaping to foreign countries and avoiding prosecution, the Indian Government enacted the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act (2018) w.e.f. 21st April, 2018. Any person who has committed offences like counterfeiting government stamps or currency, cheque dishonour, money laundering, transactions defrauding creditors and other offences under this Act amounting to Rs. 100 crores or more and has left India to avoid prosecution and refuses to return can be declared as a fugitive economic offender. Moreover, all his properties (including benami properties) can be confiscated by the central government and all such rights and titles of the properties shall vest with the Indian government without any encumbrances.<sup>12</sup>

### **Fugitive Economic Offenders Act (2018)**

In response to the huge bank scam, the government passed the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act (2018) which came into force on 21st April 2018. The Act was enacted to prevent economic offenders in the ilk of Nirav Modi from escaping the country. Courts are empowered under this Act to confiscate all assets and properties of the offenders who are charged with default over Rs. 100 crores and those who try to evade the charges by wilfully remaining outside the jurisdiction of the Indian judiciary. In accordance with this Act, the fugitive economic offender's property and other valuable assets are confiscated<sup>13</sup>.

### **An Analysis of Nirav Modi's ongoing Court-case**

The 53-year-old Modi, who is facing charges of fraud and money laundering, amounting to an estimated \$2 billion (Rs 13,758 crore) in the Punjab National Bank (PNB) loan scam case, is currently lodged at Wandsworth prison in south-west London.

The INTERPOL has issued a red notice against him for involvement in money laundering. Over the subsequent months of 2018 and 2019, significant developments occurred in his extradition case. By February 2021, the court had granted extradition approval, and in April of the same year, the UK government also

<sup>10</sup> [Reserve Bank of India - Press Releases \(rbi.org.in\)](https://www.rbi.org.in)

<sup>11</sup> [All you need to know about the Nirav Modi scam - iPleaders](#)

<sup>12</sup> Ibid.

<sup>13</sup> [The Fugitive Economic Offenders Bill, 2018 \(prsindia.org\)](#)

consented to the extradition. Nonetheless, his legal representatives lodged an appeal in the London High Court citing concerns about his mental well-being, contending that his mental health had worsened.

The verdict given by the Westminster Magistrate's court in the case of [The Government of India v. Nirav Deepak Modi](#)<sup>14</sup> is by far the most important and crucial judgement in this case, that has again given rise to expectations regarding Nirav Modi's extradition to India.

### **Modi's Extradition, What Was His Appeal?**

The then UK home secretary Priti Patel had ordered Modi's extradition based on Judge Goozee's ruling and the case has been undergoing an appeals process since then.

The jeweller's lawyer had argued that there was a high risk of suicide if he was extradited, a copy of the London court judgement states. The leave to appeal in the High Court was granted on two grounds related to mental health – under Article 3 of the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR) and Section 91 of the Extradition Act 2003<sup>15</sup>.

### **Critical examination of extradition and human rights provisions in the context of this judgement.**

In the present case, the counsels on behalf of Nirav Modi raised several issues that challenged his extradition to India. These issues were based on certain provisions of *Extradition Act, 2003*<sup>16</sup>. This section includes an analysis of these provisions and the reasoning behind the court's judgement.

#### **The Extradition Act, 2003**

This act came into force in 2004, and the United Kingdom Parliament has drafted it. It is the essential statute that regulates all extradition requests, either made by or from the UK. The act is divided into 5 parts. Parts 1 and 2 are all about those countries that belong to the European Union and have an extradition treaty with the UK, respectively. Part 3 deals with arrest warrants and extradition requests. Part 4 and 5 deal with powers regarding search and arrest and miscellaneous provisions respectively<sup>17</sup>.

#### **Did Nirav Modi's act constitute Extradition offences?**

Section 137 of the Extradition Act, 2003 lays down the essentials that must be met in order to constitute an extradition offence, which is mainly-

- (i) the conduct must occur in the category 2 territory (India has an extradition treaty with the UK and hence comes under the category 2 territory)
- (ii) the conduct must constitute an offence under the law of UK and must be punishable with imprisonment/detention for 12 months or greater
- (iii) the conduct is also punishable under the law of category 2 territory.

The Government of India contended that the conduct happened in India in all the cases, either wholly or partly. It was also argued that the conduct constituted offences of “conspiracy to defraud” and “money laundering” under the UK law. Furthermore, it was argued that the conduct of Nirav Modi was punishable under sections 120B, 420, and 409 of the Indian Penal Code, section 12 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, and Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002. The counsels on behalf of Nirav Modi relied upon the submissions of Justice Abhay Thipsay (a retired judge of the High Court of judicature in Allahabad). However, the Westminster Court refused to attach any weight to them, giving the reasons that his submissions have never been objectively scrutinised, and they might have been politically motivated. The Westminster Court was satisfied that GOI has proved that the requests' conduct can satisfy the notional English offences'

<sup>14</sup> [goi-v-nirav-modi-judgment-final-25022021-389755.pdf \(livelaw.in\)](#)

<sup>15</sup> [Will Nirav Modi be Finally Extradited? What Losing Appeal in UK Court Means for Diamantaire - News18](#)

<sup>16</sup> [ukpga\\_20030041\\_en.pdf \(legislation.gov.uk\)](#)

<sup>17</sup> Ibid.

requirements if proved (This test was laid down in the case of [Norris v USA](#)<sup>18</sup>). Hence, it was established that Nirav Modi's act constituted extradition offences<sup>19</sup>.

### **Analysis of Human Rights and the court's opinion on a "Free and Fair Trial"**

The counsels on behalf of Nirav Modi challenged his extradition based on Article 3 and Article 6 of the [European Convention on Human Rights](#)<sup>20</sup>. While Article 6 states about the convict's right to a fair trial, Article 3 states that nobody shall be made subject to any kind of torture or inhuman treatment.

### **The court's analysis of Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights**

The defence counsels argued that Nirav Modi would face a "flagrant denial" if he was extradited to India. They submitted that after the entire PNB scam, Nirav Modi had been vilified to a large extent in India, and he has been made subject to humiliation on several media platforms in India. Several news articles published on different Indian media platforms were submitted in order to demonstrate the extremely negative public perception of Nirav Modi. Justice Markandey Katju, a retired Supreme Court Judge of India, also made certain remarks in this case that are necessary to analyse. Justice Katju pressed on the idea that Indian courts have been politicised to a large extent, they are subject to the whims and fancies of the ruling party and hence Nirav Modi would not get a fair trial. However, most of his submissions focused on the criticism of the ruling party and how all Indian institutions were under their control. The Westminster Court found these submissions as inappropriate and attached little weight to them. It observed that since the case of Nirav Modi is high-profile. It is bound to attract sensational commentaries from both the media and the political fraternity. While terming Justice Katju's opinions as "far less than objective and unreliable", it observed that his submissions were more of a personal vendetta against the Judiciary and ruling party of India and could not be considered as reliable evidence. The Westminster Court declared that since India is governed by a written constitution that lays down the core ideals of justice, it is highly impractical to assert that some amount of political or media comments would result in a "flagrant denial of justice" to Nirav Modi. Saying so, it rejected this contention of the defendants.

### **The opinion of the court on Nirav Modi's mental health if extradited**

The challenge under article 3 was that Nirav Modi might face torture, intimidation, or inhuman treatment if he is extradited. The court clarified at the very outset that the test under Article 3 is very stringent and must be satisfied substantially. "In general, a very strong case is required to make good a violation of Article 3. The test is a stringent one and not very easy to satisfy" (The case of [Elashmawy v Court of Brescia, Italy \[2015\] EWHC 28 \(Admin\)](#))<sup>21</sup>. The court also mentioned Section 91 of the Extradition Act, 2003, where it has been stated that a person must be discharged if it is evident that an extradition would be unjust towards his physical or mental health. In response to this challenge, the Government of India submitted that if extradited, Nirav Modi would be held at Barrack No 12, Arthur Road Jail<sup>22</sup>.

### **What did the U.K High Court said in its order?**

The High Court in London rejected Modi's appeal against extradition to India on mental health grounds, stating that the risk of death by suicide is not such that it will be either unjust or oppressive to extradite him to face charges of fraud and money laundering.

Lord Justice Jeremy Stuart-Smith and Justice Robert Jay, who presided over the appeal hearing at the Royal Courts of Justice, said in their verdict that District Judge Sam Goozee's Westminster Magistrates' Court order in favour of extradition was "sound".

"Pulling these various strands together and weighing them in the balance so as to reach an overall evaluative judgment on the question raised by Section 91, we are far from satisfied that Mr Modi's mental condition

<sup>18</sup> [uksc-2009-0052-judgment.pdf \(supremecourt.uk\)](#)

<sup>19</sup> [The Nirav Modi Extradition Case: Analysis and Implications - Legally Flawless convention\\_eng \(coe.int\)](#)

<sup>21</sup> [Elashmawy v Court of Brescia, Italy & Ors \[2015\] EWHC 28 \(Admin\) \(16 January 2015\) \(bailii.org\)](#)

<sup>22</sup> [The Nirav Modi Extradition Case: Analysis and Implications - Legally Flawless](#)

and the risk of suicide are such that it would be either unjust or oppressive to extradite him,” states the ruling, handed down remotely.

“It may be that the main benefit of the appeal has been to obtain the extensive further [Indian government] assurances that we have identified in the course of this judgment, which render the position clear to Mr Modi’s advantage and the District Judge’s decision supportable,” the judges ruled<sup>23</sup>.

## **What’s the status of the case in India?**

A special PMLA (Prevention of Money Laundering Act) court recently declared that the Central Government is entitled to proceeds from the sale of a London property belonging to fugitive diamond trader Nirav Modi and directed that the amount be deposited with the central government.

Nirav Modi was declared a fugitive economic offender in 2019, after he failed to surrender to the Indian prosecuting agencies investigating the ₹13,850 crore fraud at Punjab National Bank (PNB). Soon after, the court granted the Enforcement Directorate’s (ED) request to confiscate 68 properties worth ₹329.66 crores associated with Modi, including a flat 103 in Marathon House, 200 Marylebone Road, London.

Special judge SM Menjoge on March 30, 2024 issued a modification to the order clarifying that the amount received from sale or auction of the immovable property be deposited with the Government. “Amount received from sale, auction or in any form including winding up proceeding of said property be credited/paid to Central Govt. of India and Central Govt. of India shall be entitled to receive the same,”<sup>24</sup> the order stated.

## **Conclusion**

The PNB scam has left more than a conspicuous dent on India’s banking sector. The resolution of the Nirav Modi case serves as a poignant reminder of the urgent need for comprehensive reforms and rigorous oversight in the financial sector. This landmark case has brought to light glaring deficiencies within banking systems and underscored the critical importance of bolstering transparency and accountability measures. The magnitude of the fraud perpetrated by Nirav Modi underscores the far-reaching consequences of unchecked corruption and inadequate regulatory frameworks. Moving forward, although the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act represents a positive step forward, it should not stand as the sole legislation enacted to address this issue.

Looking ahead, it's essential for regulators and policymakers to enact comprehensive reforms aimed at bolstering the resilience of the financial system. This includes strengthening governance mechanisms, enhancing risk management practices, and fostering a culture of compliance and ethical conduct within financial institutions. Moreover, there's a pressing need for greater transparency and accountability, not only from banks but also from regulators themselves. Furthermore, addressing the root causes of financial misconduct requires a comprehensive approach that encompasses both regulatory reforms and cultural shifts within the industry. This may involve tighter scrutiny of high-risk transactions, improving the effectiveness of anti-money laundering measures, and encouraging greater collaboration between financial institutions and law enforcement agencies. Moreover, rebuilding trust in the financial system necessitates proactive engagement with stakeholders, including investors, customers, and the wider public. Transparent communication about the steps being taken to prevent future incidents and hold wrongdoers accountable is essential in restoring confidence. Ultimately, the Nirav Modi case serves as a wake-up call for all stakeholders to prioritize integrity, accountability, and ethical conduct in their operations. By learning from past mistakes and implementing robust reforms, we can strive towards a more resilient and trustworthy financial ecosystem for the benefit of all.

<sup>23</sup> [Will Nirav Modi be Finally Extradited? What Losing Appeal in UK Court Means for Diamantaire - News18](#)

<sup>24</sup> [Court orders to deposit proceeds of Nirav Modi’s London flat to Centre \(msn.com\)](#)

## References

- <https://indianexpress.com/article/world/uk-court-nirav-modi-extradition-appeal-mental-health-grounds-7673253/>
- <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/wealth/invest/should-stock-market-investors-avoid-jewellery-sector-totally-due-to-pnb-fraud-impact/articleshow/63055995.cms>
- <https://www.interpol.int/en/How-we-work/Notices/View-Red-Notices#2018-14762>
- [The Fugitive Economic Offenders Bill, 2018 \(prsindia.org\)](http://prsindia.org)
- <https://www.indiatoday.in/india/story/nirav-modi-to-be-extradited-to-india-timeline-of-his-arrest-in-2-billion-pnb-fraud-case-1773069-2021-02-25>
- [Moody's downgrades PNB on the impact of Nirav Modi fraud | Zee Business \(zeebiz.com\)](http://zeebiz.com)
- <https://www.bloombergquint.com/pnb-fraud/timeline-to-the-discovery-of-india-s-biggest-ever-bank-fraud>
- <https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/explained-what-is-interpol-red-notice-and-how-it-will-affect-nirav-modi-s-wife/story-VnWY1EtlGWHhgtRdcOv6ZK.html>
- <https://blog.ipleaders.in/detailed-study-pnb-scam/#Introduction>
- <https://acadpubl.eu/hub/2018-119-12/articles/6/1387.pdf>
- <https://amity.edu/UserFiles/admaa/6561aPaper%202.pdf>
- [https://www.business-standard.com/article/economy-policy/pnb-scam-impact-jewellery-exporters-fear-biz-will-shift-to-china-thailand-118112600082\\_1.html](https://www.business-standard.com/article/economy-policy/pnb-scam-impact-jewellery-exporters-fear-biz-will-shift-to-china-thailand-118112600082_1.html)
- [uksc-2009-0052-judgment.pdf \(supremecourt.uk\)](http://supremecourt.uk)
- [https://www.business-standard.com/article/finance/pnb-scam-to-impact-other-lenders-increase-their-bad-loans-provisions-now-118021500070\\_1.html](https://www.business-standard.com/article/finance/pnb-scam-to-impact-other-lenders-increase-their-bad-loans-provisions-now-118021500070_1.html)