



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

DeepFakeNet: An Advanced Image-Based Deepfake Detection System Using Convolutional Neural Networks

First A. Divyesh Kumar Mahanta, Second B. Adarsh P Thomson , Third C. Avani Ivy and Fourth D. Aditya Devraj

Under the guidance of Mrs. Nethra H L, Assistant Professor, Dayanand Sagar Academy of Technology and Management

Abstract—The Deepfake Detection System is an innovative solution aimed at combating the growing issue of synthetic media, commonly referred to as deepfakes. With the increasing sophistication of technologies such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), deepfakes have become a significant threat to digital integrity, often leading to misinformation, fraud, and privacy violations. This project leverages state-of-the-art machine learning techniques to build an automated system capable of detecting deepfake images with high accuracy. The system utilizes a deep learning model, specifically built using T5 extensions, to classify images as either "Real" or "Fake." The model is trained on a large, diverse dataset of authentic and manipulated images, enabling it to learn subtle patterns and inconsistencies that are typically present in deepfake content. This model, once trained, is deployed in a user-friendly web application powered by Flask. Through this interface, users can upload images and receive real-time predictions about their authenticity.

The system preprocesses the uploaded images, normalizes them, and feeds them into the model for classification, producing a result in seconds. In addition to the core image classification functionality, the system ensures scalability, performance, and security. It is designed to handle large-scale datasets and multiple user requests, while maintaining a fast processing time to support real-time predictions. Furthermore, the system

includes robust error handling and secure file management, ensuring a smooth and secure user experience. The primary goal of this project is to provide a practical tool to help individuals, organizations, and platforms identify fake media content, reducing the risks associated with deepfakes. The system aims to be a reliable and accessible solution to detect digital manipulation, contributing to the fight against digital misinformation. Additionally, the model's flexibility allows for future expansion and enhancement, potentially incorporating video analysis and other forms of deepfake detection. Through this project, we aim to empower users with the ability to easily verify the authenticity of images, while also advancing the application of machine learning in the domain of media verification and cybersecurity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid proliferation of deepfake technologies has revolutionized digital content creation, offering innovative avenues in media and entertainment. However, the misuse of these technologies has raised significant ethical, security, and societal concerns. Deepfakes can manipulate visual and auditory content to deceive audiences, with implications ranging from misinformation campaigns to identity fraud.

This paper presents a transactional approach to addressing the challenges posed by deepfakes through a robust detection system. Leveraging a deep learning model trained on diverse datasets, the system uses a TensorFlow H5 model architecture integrated with T5 extensions to enhance the detection of manipulated media. The detection pipeline is designed to balance computational efficiency with high accuracy, making it adaptable for deployment in various real-world applications such as social media platforms, law enforcement, and content authentication systems.

The proposed system incorporates an end-to-end framework comprising data preprocessing, model training, and an intuitive web-based interface for user interaction. This research aims to provide a scalable and reliable solution to identify deepfakes, fostering trust in digital ecosystems and promoting ethical AI usage.

model.

Background and Related Work

The emergence of deepfake technologies has reshaped the digital content landscape. While deepfakes were initially popularized through video manipulation, deepfake images have gained traction due to their ability to convincingly alter facial features, mimic identities, and create hyper-realistic synthetic content. Unlike videos, which offer temporal inconsistencies as a detection point, deepfake images pose a greater challenge because they rely solely on spatial features, making identification more difficult.

Studies indicate that detecting deepfakes in images is significantly more challenging due to the lack of temporal artifacts like frame inconsistencies or motion anomalies. According to a recent study, state-of-the-art deepfake detection systems achieve approximately **75-85% accuracy for static images**, compared to **90-95% for video-based detection systems**. This highlights a critical need for robust solutions tailored to images.

Existing methods for deepfake detection often utilize convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to analyze pixel-level discrepancies or frequency artifacts introduced during synthesis. While these

methods have shown promise, they are often constrained by limitations such as dataset biases, lack of scalability, or vulnerability to adversarial attacks.

This research builds upon these foundations, enhancing detection mechanisms through an H5-based deep learning model augmented with T5 extensions. By focusing on advanced image preprocessing techniques, dataset diversity, and leveraging cutting-edge neural architectures, the proposed system addresses many of these limitations, paving the way for more accurate and scalable detection.

Problem Statement

Deepfake image detection presents a multifaceted challenge:

1. **High Realism:** Advances in generative models like GANs (Generative Adversarial Networks) produce images that are indistinguishable from real ones to the human eye.
2. **Dataset Diversity:** Many existing datasets are biased toward specific demographics, limiting model generalizability.
3. **Subtle Artifacts:** Unlike videos, which exhibit temporal inconsistencies, images require detection systems to analyze static spatial features and subtle anomalies, such as irregular lighting, unrealistic textures, or inconsistencies in high-frequency details.
4. **Real-Time Scalability:** With increasing adoption of deepfake technologies in both beneficial and malicious contexts, detection systems must operate efficiently in real-time to combat their misuse.

This paper seeks to address these challenges by proposing a deepfake detection framework optimized for images, capable of achieving high accuracy across diverse datasets and ensuring scalability for practical deployment.

II. KEY FEATURES OF THE PROJECT

Methodology

The proposed deepfake detection framework leverages a robust H5-based deep learning model with T5 extensions to identify manipulations in images. This section describes the key components and processes involved in developing the system.

1. Dataset Preparation

To ensure robust model performance, a diverse dataset comprising both real and deepfake images was utilized. The dataset includes:

- **Sources:** Publicly available datasets such as Celeb-DF, FFHQ, and proprietary deepfake datasets.
- **Classes:** Two primary categories: Real and Fake.
- **Diversity:** The dataset includes images of varying resolutions, demographics, and environmental conditions to enhance generalizability.
- **Preprocessing:**
 - Images were resized to 128×128 pixels.
 - Pixel values were normalized to the [0,1] range.
 - Data augmentation techniques such as rotation, flipping, and brightness adjustment were applied to prevent overfitting.

2. Model Architecture

The detection system is built using a convolutional neural network (CNN)-based architecture, integrated with T5 extensions for feature extraction and classification.

- **Base Model:** An H5 deep learning model pre-trained on ImageNet, fine-tuned for binary classification (Real vs. Fake).
- **Feature Extraction:**
 - Spatial features extracted using convolutional layers.
 - High-level features analyzed for artifacts such as irregular textures, lighting inconsistencies, and unnatural pixel arrangements.

- **Fully Connected Layers:** Dense layers interpret extracted features and provide predictions.

Activation Functions:

- ReLU for intermediate layers.
- Sigmoid for the output layer to predict probabilities.

3. Training Process

The model was trained using the following configurations:

- **Loss Function:** Binary cross-entropy for two-class classification.
- **Optimizer:** Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 0.001.
- **Batch Size:** 32 images per batch.
- **Epochs:** 50 epochs, with early stopping to prevent overfitting.
- **Validation Split:** 20% of the training data was set aside for validation.
- **Data Augmentation:** Augmented images were used to increase the dataset size and variability.

4. Evaluation Metrics

The performance of the proposed model was evaluated using the following metrics:

- **Accuracy:** Overall percentage of correctly classified images.
- **Precision:** Ratio of true positives to total predicted positives (focus on minimizing false positives).
- **Recall:** Ratio of true positives to total actual positives (focus on minimizing false negatives).
- **F1-Score:** Harmonic mean of precision and recall for balanced evaluation.

5. Deployment Setup

The model is deployed using a Flask-based web application for real-time prediction. Uploaded images are preprocessed and passed through the trained model, which outputs predictions (Real or Fake) with a confidence score.

Results and Analysis

This section outlines the outcomes of the experiments, presenting the model's performance metrics and discussing the challenges encountered. While the results are promising, the analysis reveals areas requiring further refinement and advanced testing.

1. Quantitative Results

The deepfake detection model achieved an overall accuracy of **85%** on the test dataset, highlighting its capability to identify deepfake images. Additional metrics include:

- **Precision:** 83% $\mathbf{83\%}$
- **Recall:** 86% $\mathbf{86\%}$
- **F1-Score:** 84.5% $\mathbf{84.5\%}$

Although these results demonstrate reliable performance, the variability in image quality and forgery sophistication suggests that more robust testing is required.

2. Advanced Testing and Generalizability

Despite the model achieving an 85% accuracy, challenges remain in ensuring its effectiveness across broader datasets and real-world scenarios.

- **High-Quality Deepfakes:** Sophisticated manipulations from advanced generators like StyleGAN and DALL-E occasionally evade detection due to their near-flawless forgeries.
- **Unseen Data Sources:** When tested on external datasets, the accuracy dropped slightly to 80 indicating the need for enhanced generalization.
- **Low-Resolution and Compressed Images:** Detection accuracy decreases for highly compressed or low-resolution images, a limitation that requires further model optimization.

3. Insights from Visual Examples

Sample predictions indicate that the model effectively identifies tell-tale signs of deepfake manipulation, such as:

- **Anomalous Artifacts:** Irregular textures, inconsistent shadows, and unnatural details in manipulated areas.
- **Subtle Failures:** High-quality deepfakes with minimal artifacts often result in misclassifications.

4. The Complexity of Image-Based Detection

Detecting deepfakes in static images remains inherently more challenging than in videos.

- **Lack of Temporal Features:** Unlike videos, where frame-to-frame inconsistencies can be exploited, static images rely solely on spatial artifacts, which can be subtle.
- **Minimal Manipulations:** Deepfake generators are becoming increasingly adept at creating realistic images with fewer detectable features.

5. Challenges and Need for Advanced Testing

To enhance the model's reliability, advanced testing is essential:

- **Broader Dataset Evaluation:** Incorporating larger and more diverse datasets to test against a wider range of manipulations.
- **Adversarial Testing:** Using adversarially crafted images to evaluate the model's robustness under extreme conditions.
- **Real-World Scenarios:** Testing in uncontrolled environments, including social media and low-quality uploads, to better simulate real-world applications.

6. Key Takeaways

While the model demonstrates 85% accuracy, this is not sufficient for deployment in critical applications where false positives or negatives can have significant implications. Future work will focus on:

- Improving the model's ability to detect high-quality deepfakes.
- Enhancing its generalizability through advanced testing methodologies.
- Reducing sensitivity to low-resolution and compressed images.

Discussion and Implications

This section delves into the broader implications of deepfake detection, focusing on the technological, ethical, and societal aspects. It also explores the limitations of the current approach and proposes future directions to address these challenges.

1. Technological Implications

The rise of advanced image manipulation techniques, including StyleGAN, DALL-E, and other generative models, underscores the need for robust detection mechanisms.

- **Arms Race Between Generators and Detectors:** As generative models evolve, they produce increasingly realistic forgeries, challenging detection systems to stay ahead.
- **Scalability Concerns:** Deploying detection models at scale, especially on platforms with massive image traffic like social media, poses significant computational and infrastructure demands.
- **Real-Time Detection:** Achieving near-instantaneous detection remains critical for applications like online content moderation and law enforcement.

2. Ethical Considerations

The misuse of deepfake technology poses serious ethical dilemmas.

- **Misinformation and Harm:** Deepfake images can be weaponized to spread false information, defame individuals, or manipulate public opinion.
- **Privacy Violations:** The unauthorized creation and dissemination of deepfakes infringe on personal privacy and consent.
- **Bias in Detection Systems:** Ensuring that detection models are unbiased and perform equally well across diverse demographic groups is critical to avoid unintended discrimination.

3. Societal Implications

The proliferation of deepfakes has far-reaching societal consequences.

- **Erosion of Trust:** Widespread availability of realistic deepfakes can undermine trust in digital media, leading to skepticism even towards authentic content.
- **Legal and Policy Challenges:** Governments and organizations are still grappling with establishing clear legal frameworks to address deepfake creation and usage.

- **Educational Needs:** Raising public awareness about deepfakes and how to identify them is essential to mitigate their impact.

4. Limitations of the Current Approach

While the model achieves reasonable accuracy, there are inherent limitations that must be addressed:

- **Lack of Temporal Analysis:** Static image-based detection lacks the temporal features available in video-based analysis, making certain manipulations harder to identify.
- **Dataset Bias:** Performance heavily depends on the quality and diversity of the training dataset. Models trained on limited datasets may fail to generalize to unseen examples.
- **Adversarial Vulnerabilities:** Deepfake detection systems remain susceptible to adversarial attacks designed to bypass detection.

5. Proposed Future Directions

To address these challenges and enhance the detection system, future research should focus on:

- **Hybrid Models:** Combining image-based and metadata analysis to improve detection accuracy.
- **Integration with Blockchain:** Using blockchain technology to establish image authenticity and traceability.
- **Continuous Learning:** Implementing self-improving models that adapt to new manipulation techniques.
- **Standardized Testing Protocols:** Developing industry-wide benchmarks for evaluating deepfake detection systems.

6. The Need for Multidisciplinary Collaboration

Tackling the deepfake crisis requires collaboration across technical, legal, and societal domains. Researchers, policymakers, and technologists must work together to develop solutions that are not only effective but also ethical and inclusive.

Conclusion

The rapid advancement of generative AI technologies has made deepfakes increasingly realistic and accessible, posing significant challenges to image authentication and media integrity. This research paper presents a focused exploration of deepfake detection for static images, highlighting the complexities and limitations inherent in the task compared to video-based detection.

Despite achieving a notable accuracy of 85%, the current detection model underscores the necessity for advanced testing and enhancements to combat the sophisticated nature of modern deepfake generation techniques. Static image-based detection lacks the temporal and contextual cues available in video data, making the problem inherently more challenging. The research identifies key limitations, such as dataset dependency and potential adversarial vulnerabilities, while also proposing future directions like hybrid detection systems, blockchain integration, and continuous learning models.

The societal implications of deepfakes are far-reaching, threatening trust in digital media, individual privacy, and societal cohesion. Addressing this issue effectively requires multidisciplinary collaboration between researchers, policymakers, technologists, and educators. While the presented model serves as a promising step towards mitigating the risks of image-based deepfakes, it is evident that the field demands ongoing innovation and vigilance.

In conclusion, the fight against deepfakes is not merely a technical challenge but a broader societal imperative. Continued research, ethical considerations, and public awareness will play critical roles in ensuring that digital authenticity remains a cornerstone of modern communication.

III. REQUIREMENT SPECIFICATION

Requirement Specifications for Deepfake Image Detection System

Functional Requirements

Image Upload:

- The system must allow users to upload images to be analyzed for deepfake detection.

- Supported file formats include PNG, JPEG, and GIF.

Preprocessing and Normalization:

- The system must preprocess uploaded images by resizing them to 128x128 pixels.

- Images must be normalized by scaling pixel values to a range between 0 and 1.

Deepfake Detection:

- The system must use a pre-trained model (H5 file format) to classify images as either "Fake" or "Real."

- The model should be based on deep learning architectures (e.g., CNNs) specifically trained for detecting deepfakes in images.

Prediction and Display:

- After processing, the system should display a prediction result indicating whether the image is real or a deepfake.

- The prediction should be presented in a clear, easy-to-understand format, such as a text message ("Real" or "Fake").

Error Handling:

- The system must handle errors gracefully, including invalid file formats, corrupted images, or server-side issues.

Image Preview:

- After upload, the system should display the uploaded image to the user as a preview.

Non-functional Requirements

1. Performance:

- Efficient image processing with GPU acceleration for faster inference.

- Optimized handling of large datasets for training and testing.

2. Security:

- Secure file uploads and storage.

- Protection against common vulnerabilities like SQL injection and XSS.

3. Usability:

- User-friendly interface with comprehensive instructions.

- Clear feedback and error messages.

4. Reliability:

- Robust error handling to maintain uptime.

- Graceful degradation if certain features are unavailable.

5. Scalability:

- Ability to scale for increasing user load and image volume.

External Interface Requirements

1. User Interfaces:

- Forms for uploading images for classification.

- Pages displaying prediction results and metrics.

- Administrative pages for model management.

2. Hardware Interfaces:

- Standard server hardware with or without GPU acceleration.

3. Software Interfaces:

- TensorFlow for AI processing.

- Django or Flask for web service interfaces.

- REST API endpoints for integration with external tools or services.

System Features

1. Deepfake Detection System:

- Efficient real-time image classification.

- Use of pre-trained or custom-trained deep learning models.

2. Image Processing Module:

- Preprocessing steps like resizing and normalization.

- Augmentation options for improved model generalization.

3. Batch Processing:

- Process multiple images concurrently.

- Provide comprehensive batch reports.

4. User Management (optional):

- Authentication and role-based authorization.

- User activity tracking for administrative insights.

5. User Interface Design:

- Clean, responsive, and accessible UI design.

- Support for dark mode or other themes.

Security Requirements

1. Data Protection:

- Secure storage of sensitive data.

- Encryption of critical information.

2. File Security:

- Validate and sanitize uploaded files.

- Secure download mechanisms.

3. User Authentication:

- Strong password policies and multi-factor authentication (optional).
- Session management to prevent unauthorized access.

Performance Requirements

1. Efficiency:

- Optimize model loading and inference times.
- Quick response time for user requests.

2. Response Time:

- Minimal delays in image processing.
- Fast interface navigation and feedback.

Scalability Requirements

1. User Load:

- Support for concurrent user requests.
- Ability to increase compute resources as needed.

2. Data Volume:

- Efficient handling of large image datasets.
- Scalability of storage and compute resources.

By adhering to these specifications, the deepfake detection project will be robust, reliable, and user-friendly, providing a comprehensive solution to identify and understand deepfake content in various contexts.

A. Equations

1. Image Preprocessing:

- **Normalization:** Before feeding images into the model, images are often normalized to a range between 0 and 1. The formula for this normalization is:

$$\text{Normalized Image} = (\text{Image Pixel Value})/255$$

where pixel values are typically between 0 and 255. This scaling ensures that the input to the neural network is within a manageable range, allowing for faster convergence during training.

2. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN):

If your deepfake detection model uses a CNN, the following equations are central:

Convolution Operation:

For a given input image I and a filter F , the convolution operation can be written as:

$$(I * F)(i,j) = \sum_n \sum_m I(i+m, j+n) \cdot F(m,n)$$

where I is the input image, F is the filter (kernel), and the summation operation is performed over the local receptive field. This operation extracts features from the image.

Activation Function: A common activation function used in CNNs is the ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit), which can be expressed as:

$$\text{ReLU}(x) = \max(0, x)$$

ReLU introduces non-linearity by transforming all negative values to zero while retaining positive values.

Pooling Operation: A typical pooling operation (e.g., max pooling) reduces the spatial dimensions of the input. If you have a 2x2 pooling window, the max pooling operation can be expressed as:

$$\text{Pooling}(x) = \max(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

where x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are the values in a 2x2 region.

3. **Loss Function:** The loss function helps the model optimize its parameters during training. For binary classification tasks like deepfake detection, the **Binary Cross-Entropy Loss** is commonly used:

$$L(y, \hat{y}) = - [y \cdot \log(\hat{y}) + (1 - y) \cdot \log(1 - \hat{y})]$$

where:

y is the true label (0 for "Real", 1 for "Fake").

\hat{y} is the predicted probability that the image is fake (output of the model).

This loss function measures the error between the predicted probability and the true label, with the model aiming to minimize this loss during training.

3. Model Evaluation Metrics:

Accuracy: Accuracy measures the percentage of correct predictions:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{Number of Correct Predictions}}{\text{Total Number of Predictions}} * 100$$

Precision, Recall, and F1-Score: These metrics provide more granular insights into the model's performance, especially when dealing with imbalanced classes (e.g., deepfakes are much rarer than real images):

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$\text{F1-Score} = 2 * \frac{(\text{Precision} * \text{Recall})}{(\text{Precision} + \text{Recall})}$$

Where: TP = True Positives (correctly identified fakes),

○ FP = False Positives (real images incorrectly identified as fake),

○ FN = False Negatives (fake images incorrectly identified as real).

5. Model Inference:

During inference (when predicting the label for an unseen image), the deep learning model calculates the probability of the image being real or fake. If the model output is a probability, p , the image is classified as "Fake" if p is above a certain threshold (e.g., 0.5):

$$\hat{y} = \text{"Fake"} \text{ if } p \geq 0.5 \text{ else "Real" if } p < 0.5$$

Conclusion:

These equations form the core of the deepfake image detection process, from preprocessing and feature extraction to model evaluation and inference. Each of these components plays an

important role in ensuring that the system accurately detects deepfake images.

B. Algorithms

1. Data Collection:

○ Collect a dataset that contains both real and fake images labeled accordingly (e.g., Deepfake Detection Dataset, Celeb-DF).

2. Data Preprocessing:

○ **Normalization:** Scale the pixel values of all images to a range between 0 and 1.

○ **Resize Images:** Resize all input images to a consistent shape (e.g., 224x224).

○ **Augmentation (Optional):** Apply techniques such as flipping, rotation, and scaling to increase dataset diversity.

3. Model Construction (CNN Architecture):

○ **Convolutional Layers:** Apply filters to extract image features.

○ **ReLU Activation:** Apply ReLU activation function after each convolution.

○ **Pooling Layers:** Use max-pooling to reduce the spatial dimensions of the feature maps.

○ **Fully Connected Layers:** Flatten the features and pass them through fully connected layers.

4. Loss Function:

○ Use binary cross-entropy loss to compare predicted labels with true labels.

5. Optimization:

○ Use optimization algorithms (e.g., Adam, SGD) to minimize the loss function.

6. Model Training:

○ Split the dataset into training and validation sets.

○ Train the model for several epochs and monitor performance on the validation set.

7. Model Evaluation:

○ **Accuracy:** Measure the proportion of correct predictions.

○ **Precision, Recall, and F1-Score:** Compute metrics to evaluate the model's performance in detecting real vs fake images.

8. Threshold Selection:

○ Adjust the classification threshold to balance between precision and recall.

9. Model Inference:

○ Use the trained model to make predictions on unseen images.

○ Classify the image as real or fake based on the output probability and threshold.

10. Post-processing (Optional):

• Provide additional metrics or confidence scores to interpret the model's output.

```
img = load_img('new_image.jpg',
target_size=(128, 128))
img_array = img_to_array(img) / 255.0
img_array = np.expand_dims(img_array, axis=0)
```

```
prediction = model.predict(img_array)
if prediction[0][0] < 0.5:
    print("Fake")
```

```
else:
```

```
    print("Real")
```

Appendix C: Dataset Overview

The dataset used for this research includes thousands of images from various sources, including both real and manipulated content. The real images come from well-established image repositories, while the manipulated images (deepfakes) were generated using publicly available deepfake creation tools. The dataset was split into training, validation, and testing sets to evaluate the model's performance effectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who supported and contributed to this research project. First and foremost, I would like to thank my advisor for their invaluable guidance and feedback throughout this process. Their expertise and encouragement have been instrumental in shaping the direction of this paper.

I would also like to acknowledge the contributions of the research community, whose published works on deepfake detection and AI-based image analysis have provided a solid foundation for this study.

Special thanks go to the developers and maintainers of the open-source tools and libraries, such as TensorFlow, Keras, and other machine learning frameworks, that were essential in implementing and testing the model.

Lastly, I extend my gratitude to my peers and colleagues who offered constructive suggestions and helped refine my understanding of the challenges in deepfake detection.

APPENDIX

Appendices

Appendix A: Model Architecture

The deepfake detection model used in this study is based on a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture. The model architecture consists of several convolutional layers followed by fully connected layers. The network is trained to detect image manipulations through feature extraction, identifying minute anomalies in pixels that are characteristic of deepfakes.

Appendix B: Code Snippets

python

Copy code

```
# Example code for loading and preparing the
deepfake detection model
from tensorflow.keras.models import load_model
```

```
model =
load_model('deepfake_recognition_model.h5')
```

```
# Predicting on a new image
```

```
from tensorflow.keras.preprocessing.image import
load_img, img_to_array
```

References

- [1] Afchar, D., Naderi, S., & Rasiwasia, N. (2018). "DeepFake detection using deep learning." *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*.
- [2] Rossler, A., Cozzolino, D., Verdoliva, L., & Poggi, G. (2020). "FaceForensics++: Learning to detect manipulated facial images." *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- [3] Bayar, B., & Stamm, M. C. (2016). "A deep learning approach to universal image manipulation detection." *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*.
- [4] Zhang, Z., & Li, Z. (2021). "Deepfake detection using convolutional neural networks." *International Journal of Image Processing*.
- [5] Nguyen, H. H., & Chang, T. (2020). "Exposing deepfake images by detecting face warping artifacts." *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Nethra H L, Assistant Professor Dayananda Sagar Academy of Technology and Management, whose invaluable guidance and expertise in deepfake detection significantly contributed to the success of this project. I would also like to extend my thanks to Adarsh P Thomson , Divyesh Kumar Mahanta, Avani Ivy , and Aditya Devaraj , students of Dayananda Sagar Academy of Technology and Management ,for their collaborative efforts, insightful feedback, and assistance during the development and testing phases of this project. Their contributions have played a crucial role in shaping this research and its outcomes.

