IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

The Dark Side of Paraquat: Toxicity and Environmental Impact

Ms. Subita Choudhary
IIS (deemed to be University), Jaipur
Dr. Preeti Gupta
LBS Govt College Kotputli
Dr. Priyanka Mathur
IIS (deemed to be University), Jaipur
Dr. Mahesh Kumar Saini
MVGU, Jaipur

Abstract:
Paraquat, a highly toxic bipyridyl herbicide, is widely used in agriculture and has been linked to numerous fatalities worldwide. This review highlights the severe health risks associated with paraquat exposure, particularly in developing countries where agricultural practices often lack proper safety measures. This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of paraquat, a widely used but highly toxic herbicide. It will cover its chemical properties, applications, and regulatory status, as well as its environmental and health impacts. Special emphasis will be placed on the mechanisms of toxicity, cases of acute and chronic poisoning, and the current state of treatment and prevention strategies.

Key words: Paraquat, Toxicity, Herbicide, Health Risks, Agricultural Safety

1. Introduction:

1.1 Background: Paraquat, a highly toxic bipiridyl compound, has been widely used in agriculture since its discovery in the 1950s. Initially used to kill marijuana weeds in the US and Mexico, it became popular worldwide due to its effectiveness and affordability (Sukumar, 2016). Initially manufactured by a British chemical company in 1962, paraquat was categorized as a "restricted-use" herbicide due to its toxicity. It is used in various crops, including maize, fruit and vegetables, rice, sugar cane, bananas, cereals, and more (US EPA, 1997). Paraquat is commonly used in no-till agriculture and can be used to desiccate leaves before harvest. Its structural similarity to the dopaminergic neurotoxicant MPTP, which has been linked to Parkinson's Disease, makes it a valuable tool in agriculture (Rappold, 2011).

1.2 Importance:

Paraquat, a herbicide with high toxicity, poses a significant public health concern due to its extensive use and associated health risks. Despite its longstanding use in agriculture, paraquat has been implicated in numerous fatalities worldwide, often resulting in conditions such as acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), pulmonary fibrosis, and death (Asaduzzaman, 2023). The global application of paraquat, particularly in agricultural settings, exposes populations to severe health hazards. While some countries have banned paraquat due to its toxicity, it remains widely utilized. Regulatory measures have been implemented to mitigate these health risks, and ongoing research is dedicated to enhancing the safety and efficacy of paraquat. This includes the development of new formulations and improved treatments for paraquat poisoning (Stuart, 2023).

2. Chemical Properties and Mechanism of Action:

2.1 Chemical Structure:

Paraquat, also known as 1, 1'-dimethyl-4, 4'-bipyridinium dichloride, is an organic compound with a molecular formula of $C_{12}H_{14}N_2$. Its structure consists of two pyridine rings connected by a central carbon-carbon bond, each with a methyl group attached to the nitrogen atom, resulting in a bipyridinium cation. Paraquat is a quaternary ammonium compound, with each nitrogen atom bonded to a methyl group. It is often a dichloride salt, with two chloride anions (Cl^-) balancing the charge of the bipyridinium cation. Paraquat's redox activity is central to its herbicidal action, as it can undergo reduction and oxidation reactions (NIH NLM, 2024). Its high solubleness in water makes it suitable for use as an herbicide in aqueous solutions. However, its molecular structure allows it to interact with biological systems, leading to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are responsible for its toxicity in plants and animals (Suntres, Exploring the potential benefit of natural product extracts in paraquat toxicity., 2018).

Molecular Formula: Paraquat: $C_{12}H_{14}N_2$

2.2 Mechanism:

Paraquat is a non-selective contact herbicide that kills a wide range of plants upon direct contact due to its ability to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) within plant cells, leading to cellular damage and plant death (Faragó, 2022). Paraquat is applied to plant foliage and is absorbed through the leaves, causing it to undergo a redox cycling process. It accepts electrons from photosystem I (PSI) in chloroplasts during photosynthesis, becoming reduced and reacting with molecular oxygen to produce superoxide anions ($O_2^{\bullet -}$), a type of ROS. This redox cycling continues, generating ROS that cause oxidative stress, leading to the peroxidation of membrane lipids, resulting in membrane damage and loss of cellular integrity (Moustaka, 2015). The damage caused by ROS disrupts various cellular processes and structures, particularly the chloroplast membranes, impairing the plant's ability to carry out photosynthesis. This results in wilting, desiccation, and necrosis, leading to the death of plant tissue. Visible symptoms of paraquat action include leaf burn, rapid desiccation, and browning of foliage within hours to days after application (Cruz de Carvalho, 2008). Paraquat's primary site of action is the chloroplast, where it disrupts the normal function of the photosynthetic apparatus. ROS-induced lipid peroxidation damages cellular membranes, causing leakage of cellular contents and loss of membrane function, leading to cellular collapse. Rapid desiccation results in rapid loss of water and turgor pressure, causing plant tissues to dry out and die (Chen, 2010).

3. Usage and Applications:

3.1 Agricultural Use:

Paraquat is widely used in agriculture due to its effectiveness in controlling a broad spectrum of weeds. It is commonly applied to crops such as cereals and grains (wheat, rice, maize), fruits (citrus, bananas, apples), vegetables (potatoes, tomatoes, beans), oilseeds and pulses (soybeans, peanuts, sunflowers), and fiber crops (cotton). In addition, it is used in plantations like sugarcane, coffee, and tea (Kumar, 2015). Geographically, paraquat is extensively utilized in North America, particularly in the United States and Canada, and in Latin American countries like Brazil and Argentina for crops such as soybeans and sugarcane. In Asia, it is significant in China, India, and Southeast Asia for rice and vegetables (Srinivasan, 2003). It is also used in Sub-Saharan Africa for maize and cotton, and in Australia and New Zealand for various agricultural practices. Paraquat is applied for pre-planting weed control, pre-harvest desiccation, and inter-row weed management. Despite its benefits, the high toxicity of paraquat has led to strict regulations and bans in some regions, necessitating careful handling and application to ensure safety and mitigate environmental impact (Stuart, 2023).

3.2 Non-Agricultural Use:

Paraquat is extensively utilized beyond agricultural settings, primarily for weed control in various non-crop environments. Its non-selective and rapid action makes it an effective tool for maintaining clear and safe spaces in a variety of applications. In industrial and urban areas, paraquat is used to manage weeds along roadsides, railways, and around infrastructure such as power stations and airports. This helps to maintain visibility, reduce fire hazards, and prevent structural damage caused by invasive plant growth (Stuart, 2023; Bromilow, 2004).

Paraquat is also employed in forestry management to prepare sites for planting new trees by eliminating competing vegetation. Additionally, it is used in public spaces such as parks, sports fields, and recreational areas to maintain aesthetic appeal and ensure safe, accessible environments for public use. Another significant application is in aquatic environments, where paraquat helps control invasive aquatic weeds that can obstruct waterways, disrupt ecosystems, and hinder recreational activities (Huang, 2019).

However, the use of paraquat in these non-crop environments requires stringent safety measures due to its high toxicity, emphasizing the importance of proper application techniques and regulatory compliance to protect human health and the environment (Ahmad, 2004).

4. Regulatory Status:

4.1 Global and Indian Regulations:

Globally, paraquat is subject to varied regulatory approaches due to its high toxicity. Several countries have imposed strict regulations or outright bans to mitigate health and environmental risks. In the European Union, paraquat was banned in 2007, largely due to concerns over its acute toxicity and potential long-term health effects (Kim, 2020). Similarly, paraquat use is prohibited in Switzerland, where Syngenta (a major producer) is headquartered. The United States has stringent controls, requiring special training and certification for applicators, though it remains legal for use in agriculture (Utyasheva, 2024).

In contrast, many developing countries continue to use paraquat extensively. In Latin America, nations like Brazil and Argentina employ it widely in crop management, albeit with regulatory oversight to ensure safe usage. Asian countries, particularly China and Thailand, also utilize paraquat significantly, with varying degrees of restriction (Wesseling C. D., 2001).

India has adopted a more restrictive stance recently. Although paraquat is still in use, the Indian government has increased regulatory scrutiny and is moving towards stricter controls due to rising health concerns. Regulatory agencies are pushing for safer alternatives and enhanced safety protocols to protect agricultural workers and consumers. This global regulatory landscape reflects a growing awareness of paraquat's risks and the need for stringent safety measures (Kumar, 2015).

4.2 Bans and Restrictions:

Paraquat, a highly toxic herbicide, has faced increasing scrutiny and regulatory action in several countries due to its health and environmental risks. As of recent years, numerous countries have implemented bans or strict restrictions on the usage of paraquat. Notable examples include the European Union, where paraquat was banned for agricultural use in 2007 due to concerns over its toxicity and potential health impacts (Kervégant, 2013). Similarly, countries such as China, Brazil, and Sri Lanka have either banned or phased out paraquat due to safety concerns and reported cases of poisoning. Other nations, including Thailand, Indonesia, and Malaysia, have imposed restrictions on its use, such as requiring special permits or limiting its application to licensed operators (Stuart, 2023). These regulatory measures reflect growing awareness of the hazards associated with paraquat exposure and the need to protect human health and the environment. As a result, the availability and use of paraquat have become increasingly limited in many parts of the world, prompting farmers and agricultural industries to seek alternative herbicide options (McGwin Jr, 2022).

5. Health Impacts:

5.1 Acute Toxicity:

Acute paraquat poisoning poses severe health risks, often leading to rapid and devastating consequences. Upon ingestion or dermal exposure, paraquat can cause a range of symptoms, with the severity dependent on the dose and duration of exposure. Common manifestations include gastrointestinal distress, such as nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain, often occurring within hours of exposure. As paraquat is highly toxic, even small amounts can lead to systemic effects, including kidney and liver damage, respiratory distress, and multi-organ failure. Notably, paraquat's toxic effects on the lungs can result in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), a life-threatening condition characterized by severe inflammation and fluid buildup in the lungs (Eddleston, 2020). Despite advancements in medical treatment, paraquat poisoning remains notoriously challenging to manage, with high mortality rates observed in severe cases. Prompt recognition of symptoms and immediate medical intervention are crucial for mitigating the potentially fatal consequences of acute paraquat toxicity. Thus, strict regulatory measures and enhanced safety protocols are imperative to minimize the risk of paraquat exposure and protect public health (Tajai, 2023).

5.2 Chronic Exposure:

Chronic exposure to paraquat, a highly toxic herbicide, poses significant risks to human health with potential long-term consequences. Prolonged or repeated exposure to paraquat has been associated with a range of adverse health effects, including respiratory problems, kidney damage, neurological disorders, and an increased risk of certain cancers (Dalvie, 1999). Studies have shown that individuals regularly exposed to paraquat in agricultural settings or through environmental contamination may experience respiratory conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and fibrotic lung diseases. Moreover, paraquat exposure has been linked to renal dysfunction, with evidence suggesting kidney damage and impaired renal function among agricultural workers and residents in paraquat-sprayed areas (Wan, 2021). Additionally, emerging research suggests possible connections between paraquat exposure and neurodegenerative diseases like Parkinson's disease, although further investigation is needed to elucidate these relationships fully. Given these health risks, minimizing paraquat exposure through stringent safety regulations, protective measures for workers, and promoting alternative, less toxic herbicides becomes imperative to safeguard public health and well-being (Tanner, 2011).

5.3 Epidemiological Studies:

Several epidemiological studies have investigated the association between paraquat exposure and adverse health effects in humans. One significant study conducted by Tatjana et al. (2021) analyzed data from agricultural workers in the United States and found a positive correlation between paraquat exposure and Parkinson's disease (Tatjana, 2021). Similarly, a study by Narayan et al. (2017) observed an increased risk of Parkinson's disease among individuals exposed to paraquat and other pesticides in a cohort of farmworkers. These findings suggest a potential link between paraquat exposure and neurodegenerative disorders (Narayan, 2017).

Furthermore, epidemiological research has highlighted the acute toxicity of paraquat. A study by Wesseling et al. (2001) in Costa Rica documented cases of paraquat poisoning among agricultural workers, with symptoms ranging from gastrointestinal distress to respiratory failure. Additionally, investigations in developing countries have reported higher mortality rates associated with paraquat ingestion, emphasizing the need for preventive measures and improved access to medical care (Wesseling C. H., 1997).

Overall, epidemiological studies provide valuable insights into the health risks posed by paraquat exposure, including neurological disorders and acute poisoning. These findings underscore the importance of stringent regulations and protective measures to mitigate the adverse effects of paraquat on human health.

6. Environmental Impact:

6.1 Soil and Water Contamination:

Soil and water contamination by paraquat, a potent herbicide, poses significant risks to environmental health. When paraquat is applied to fields, it can persist in the soil for extended periods, affecting soil microbial communities and nutrient cycling processes. The herbicide's high solubility in water increases the likelihood of leaching into groundwater and surface water bodies, leading to contamination (Flafel, 2024). In soil, paraquat residues can inhibit the growth of beneficial microorganisms and disrupt soil ecosystems, impairing soil fertility and overall productivity. Additionally, paraquat runoff into water sources can have detrimental effects on aquatic organisms, disrupting aquatic ecosystems and compromising water quality. The toxicity of paraquat to aquatic life further exacerbates these environmental concerns (Lin, 2020). Overall, soil and water contamination by paraquat highlight the need for sustainable agricultural practices and strict regulatory measures to mitigate environmental damage and safeguard ecosystems.

6.2 Ecological Effects:

Paraquat's extensive use as an herbicide poses significant ecological risks, impacting non-target organisms such as wildlife and beneficial insects. When applied, paraquat can drift beyond its intended target area, affecting nearby ecosystems. Direct exposure to paraquat can lead to acute toxicity in animals, including mammals, birds, and aquatic organisms. Additionally, paraquat residues in soil and water can persist for extended periods, posing long-term threats to ecosystem health (Huang, 2019).

Wildlife, including small mammals and birds, may inadvertently ingest paraquat-contaminated plants or water sources, leading to poisoning and mortality. Aquatic organisms such as fish and amphibians are particularly vulnerable, as paraquat runoff can contaminate water bodies, disrupting aquatic ecosystems and impairing biodiversity (Eisler, 1991).

Furthermore, paraquat's non-selective nature poses risks to beneficial insects crucial for pollination and pest control. Bees, butterflies, and other pollinators may come into contact with paraquat residues on vegetation, affecting their health and reproductive success.

7. Treatment and Prevention:

7.1 Medical Treatments:

Paraquat poisoning is a severe medical emergency with limited treatment options due to the herbicide's highly toxic nature. Immediate medical intervention is crucial to prevent fatal outcomes. Currently, there is no specific antidote for paraquat poisoning, and treatment primarily focuses on supportive measures and symptom management (Lin, 2020).

Upon ingestion, paraquat rapidly damages the lungs and other organs, leading to respiratory failure and multi-organ dysfunction. Therefore, initial medical care often involves decontamination procedures such as gastric lavage and administration of activated charcoal to prevent further absorption of paraquat from the gastrointestinal tract (Eizadi-Mood, 2022).

Once absorbed, paraquat's toxic effects are challenging to reverse. Patients may require respiratory support with oxygen therapy or mechanical ventilation to maintain adequate oxygenation. Additionally, aggressive management of systemic complications such as acute kidney injury, liver dysfunction, and cardiovascular instability is essential (Khazraei, 2019).

Experimental treatments, including immunosuppressive agents and antioxidants, have been explored in research settings but lack robust clinical evidence to support their widespread use. Despite advancements in medical care, the prognosis for severe paraquat poisoning remains poor, highlighting the urgent need for preventive strategies and public health interventions to minimize exposure to this deadly herbicide (Suntres, Exploring the potential benefit of natural product extracts in paraquat toxicity, 2018).

7.2 Preventative Measures:

Paraquat exposure and poisoning are critical due to its high toxicity. Strategies to mitigate risks include strict regulations, providing personal protective equipment (PPE), educating workers on safe handling practices, promoting alternative herbicides, and ensuring proper storage and disposal. Regulatory controls, such as restricted access, proper labeling, and mandatory training, can minimize accidental exposure (Ahmad, 2004). Proper handling practices, such as proper mixing, application techniques, and equipment maintenance, can also reduce exposure. Promoting alternative herbicides or non-chemical weed control methods can also reduce reliance on paraquat and reduce exposure to humans and the environment (Melander, 2017).

8. Alternatives to Paraquat:

8.1 Safer Herbicides:

As concerns over the health and environmental risks associated with paraquat continue to mount, the exploration of safer alternatives has become imperative. Safer herbicides offer viable options for weed control without compromising human health or ecosystem integrity. These alternatives encompass a range of compounds with lower toxicity profiles and reduced environmental impact compared to paraquat (Huang, 2019).

Safer herbicides include various classes of chemicals, such as glyphosate-based formulations, glufosinate, and synthetic auxins like 2,4-D and dicamba. These herbicides offer effective weed control while posing fewer risks to non-target organisms and ecosystems. Additionally, emerging bio-based herbicides derived from natural sources, such as essential oils and microbial agents, show promise in providing sustainable weed management solutions (Meftaul, 2020).

A thorough understanding of the efficacy, environmental fate, and potential risks associated with alternative herbicides is essential for their successful integration into agricultural practices. Moreover, promoting integrated weed management strategies, incorporating cultural, mechanical, and biological control methods alongside herbicide use, can further reduce reliance on chemical inputs and enhance sustainability in agriculture. Embracing safer alternatives to paraquat underscores a commitment to safeguarding both human health and the environment in agricultural systems (Ansari, 2021).

8.2 Non-Chemical Methods:

In the quest for sustainable agriculture, reducing reliance on chemical herbicides like paraquat is crucial. Non-chemical methods offer effective alternatives that prioritize environmental health and long-term productivity. Sustainable farming practices emphasize integrated weed management strategies that minimize herbicide use while maintaining crop yields. These methods include cultural practices such as crop rotation, cover cropping, and mulching, which suppress weed growth by creating unfavorable conditions for their development (Stuart, 2023). Mechanical weed control techniques, such as tillage, mowing, and hand weeding, provide targeted weed management without relying on chemical inputs. Additionally, innovative approaches like precision agriculture and the use of specialized equipment for weed detection and targeted herbicide application help minimize herbicide usage while maximizing efficacy (Rueda-Ayala, 2010). Embracing non-chemical methods not only reduces the environmental impact of farming but also fosters resilient agricultural systems that are less susceptible to herbicide resistance and other challenges associated with chemical dependence. By promoting biodiversity, soil health, and ecosystem stability, these alternatives to paraquat contribute to a more sustainable and ecologically balanced agricultural future (Sivaranjani, 2019).

9. Discussion:

9.1 Challenges: Balancing the agricultural benefits of paraquat with its associated health risks presents significant challenges. On one hand, paraquat is a highly effective herbicide, valued for its broad-spectrum weed control and rapid action. Its use contributes to increased crop yields and efficient weed management, particularly in regions where labor-intensive manual weeding is impractical.

However, the health risks posed by paraquat exposure cannot be overlooked. Its high toxicity to humans and other organisms raises concerns about occupational exposure among agricultural workers and potential harm to ecosystems. Paraquat poisoning incidents, often leading to severe health complications and fatalities, underscore the urgency of addressing its risks.

Navigating these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that considers both agricultural productivity and human and environmental health. Strategies such as improved safety protocols, alternative weed management techniques, and regulatory measures can help mitigate paraquat's risks while maximizing its benefits. Additionally, further research into safer herbicide alternatives and sustainable agricultural practices is essential for promoting a balance between agricultural productivity and safety.

9.2 Future Directions:

Future research and policy development regarding paraquat should prioritize several key areas. Firstly, there is a pressing need for alternative herbicides with lower toxicity profiles to mitigate the health risks associated with paraquat exposure. Research efforts should focus on developing and promoting sustainable weed management practices that reduce reliance on chemical herbicides altogether. Additionally, enhanced education and training programs for farmers can facilitate the adoption of safer alternatives. Policy initiatives should incentivize the adoption of environmentally friendly practices while providing support for affected agricultural communities during the transition. Moreover, ongoing surveillance and monitoring programs are essential to assess the long-term impacts of paraquat and evaluate the effectiveness of regulatory measures. By addressing these future directions, stakeholders can work towards a safer and more sustainable agricultural landscape.

10. Summary:

Paraquat, a highly toxic herbicide, has been a staple in agriculture since the 1950s, initially used to control marijuana weeds in the US and Mexico. Its popularity soared globally due to its efficacy and affordability, becoming a cornerstone in weed management across various crops like maize, fruits, and vegetables. However, its toxic nature led to categorization as a "restricted-use" herbicide, prompting stringent regulations. Despite bans in some regions, its widespread use persists, posing severe health risks such as acute respiratory distress syndrome and pulmonary fibrosis. Paraquat's mechanism of action involves the generation of reactive oxygen species within plant cells, disrupting photosynthesis and causing cellular damage. Geographically, it's extensively utilized in North and Latin America, Asia, Africa, and Australia. Alternatives to paraquat, such as safer herbicides and non-chemical methods, are being explored to mitigate health and environmental risks. Future research and policy initiatives should focus on developing safer alternatives, promoting sustainable practices, and enhancing regulatory measures to ensure a safer and more sustainable agricultural future.

11. Recommendations:

The report recommends promoting safer alternatives to paraquat, such as safer herbicides and non-chemical weed control methods, to reduce reliance on paraquat. It suggests providing education and training programs for farmers on the benefits and proper usage of these practices. The report also suggests strengthening regulations on paraquat use, including stricter labeling requirements, restricted access, and mandatory training for applicators. It also suggests investing in research on alternative herbicides with lower toxicity profiles and improved safety for humans and the environment. The report also suggests public awareness campaigns to educate communities about the health risks of paraquat exposure and the importance of safer agricultural practices. It also suggests international collaboration to share best practices and regulatory approaches for paraquat management. The report also suggests financial incentives and technical assistance for farmers transitioning away from paraquat to safer alternatives. Finally, it recommends monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of these interventions on human health, environmental quality, and agricultural productivity.

12. References:

References

Ahmad, M. A. (2004). Pesticides impacts on human health and the environment with their mechanisms of action and possible countermeasures. *Heliyon*. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844024051594

Ansari, I. E.-K. (2021). A review on the fatal impact of pesticide toxicity on environment and human health. *Global Climate Change*, 361-391. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Pratichi-Singh/publication/350217935_Link_between_air_pollution_and_global_climate_change/links/60a4a1cfa6fdccb2cc2151f9/Link-between-air-pollution-and-global-climate-change.pdf#page=378

Asaduzzaman, M. R. (2023). Paraquat induced acute kidney and lung injury with a dramatic response to methylprednisolone: A case report. *Toxicology Reports,*, 350-354. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2023.10.008

Bromilow, R. (2004). Paraquat and sustainable agriculture. *Pest Management Science: formerly Pesticide Science,*, 340-349. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/8585001_Paraquat_and_sustainable_agriculture

Chen, S. Y. (2010). Chloroplastic oxidative burst induced by tenuazonic acid, a natural photosynthesis inhibitor, triggers cell necrosis in Eupatorium adenophorum Spreng. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics*, 391-405. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005272809003028

Cruz de Carvalho, M. (2008). Drought stress and reactive oxygen species: production, scavenging and signaling. *Plant signaling & behavior*, 156-165. Retrieved from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.4161/psb.3.3.5536

Dalvie, M. W. (1999). Long-term respiratory health effects of the herbicide, paraquat, among workers in the Western Cape. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 391-396. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1757747/pdf/v056p00391.pdf

Eddleston, M. (2020). Poisoning by pesticides. *Medicine*, 214-217. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1357303915003229

Eisler, R. (1991). *araquat Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review.* US Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. Retrieved from https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=c704e5e73f232158e7314774bb5398a3f65be2e2

Eizadi-Mood, N. J. (2022). The efficacy of hemodialysis on paraquat poisoning mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of research in medical sciences*, 74. Retrieved from https://journals.lww.com/jrms/fulltext/2022/27000/The_efficacy_of_hemodialysis_on_paraquat_poisoning.74.aspx

Faragó, D. Z.-G. (2022). Small paraquat resistance proteins modulate paraquat and ABA responses and confer drought tolerance to overexpressing Arabidopsis plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 1985-2003. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/pce.14338

Flafel, H. R.-S. (2024). Unveiling the hazards: comprehensive assessment of paraquat herbicide’s toxicity and health effects. *Euro-Mediterranean Journal for Environmental Integration,*, 1-21. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41207-024-00537-9

Huang, Y. Z. (2019). Paraquat degradation from contaminated environments: current achievements and perspectives. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 460070. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01754/full

Kervégant, M. M. (2013). Paraquat poisonings in France during the European ban: experience of the Poison Control Center in Marseille. *Journal of medical toxicology*, 144-147. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3657034/

Khazraei, S. M.-Z. (2019). Ventilator settings and outcome of respiratory failure in paraquat-induced pulmonary injury. *Scientific reports*, 16541. Retrieved from https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-019-52939-3.pdf

Kim, J. a. (2020). Paraquat: toxicology and impacts of its ban on human health and agriculture. *Weed science*, 208-213. doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/wsc.2019.70

Kumar, D. (2015). *Condition of Paraquat.* Switzerland: IUF. Retrieved from https://www.iuf.org/wp-content/uploads/attachments/Joint%20paraquat%20study%20India_0.pdf

Lin, Z. Z. (2020). Current approaches to and future perspectives on methomyl degradation in contaminated soil/water environments. *Molecules*, 738. Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/25/3/738

McGwin Jr, G. a. (2022). An ecological study regarding the association between paraquat exposure and end stage renal disease. *Environmental Health*, 127. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1186/s12940-022-00946-9.pdf

Meftaul, I. V. (2020). Controversies over human health and ecological impacts of glyphosate: Is it to be banned in modern agriculture. *Environmental Pollution*, 114372. Retrieved from https://hh-ra.org/wp-content/uploads/Meftaul-Controversies-over-human-health-and-ec.pdf

Melander, B. L. (2017). Non-chemical weed management. *Weed research: Expanding horizons*, 245-270. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jesper-Rasmussen-3/publication/318137917_Non-Chemical_Weed_Management_Expanding_Horizons/links/6362766d37878b3e8777a46f/Non-Chemical-Weed-Management-Expanding-Horizons.pdf

Moustaka, J. T. (2015). Leaf age-dependent photoprotective and antioxidative response mechanisms to paraquat-induced oxidative stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences,*, 13989-14006. Retrieved from https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Leaf+Age-Dependent+Photoprotective+and+Antioxidative+Response+Mechanisms+to+Paraquat-Induced+Oxidative+Stress+in+Arabidopsis+thaliana&btnG=

Narayan, S. L. (2017). Occupational pesticide use and Parkinson's disease in the Parkinson Environment Gene (PEG) study. *Environment international*, 266-273. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5629094/

NIH NLM. (2024). *Paraquat*. Retrieved June 2024, from https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Paraquat

Rappold, P. C. (2011). Paraquat neurotoxicity is mediated by the dopamine transporter and organic cation transporter-3. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 20766-20771. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115141108

Rueda-Ayala, V. R. (2010). Mechanical weed control. *Precision crop protection-the challenge and use of heterogeneity*, 279-294. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225981381_Mechanical_Weed_Control

Sivaranjani, S. a. (2019). Organic farming in protecting water quality. *Organic Farming: New Advances Towards Sustainable Agricultural Systems*, 1-19. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/S-Sivaranjani-2/publication/333099572_Organic_Farming_in_Protecting_Water_Quality/links/5d1ad1bd458515c11c095a70/Organic-Farming-in-Protecting-Water-Quality.pdf

Srinivasan, P. V. (2003). *Paraquat.* New Delhi, India. Retrieved from https://conservationagriculture.org/app/uploads/2019/02/CASE-FOR-PARAQUAT-SRINIVASAN-2003.pdf

Stuart, A. M.-M. (2023). Agriculture without paraquat is feasible without loss of productivity—lessons learned from phasing out a highly hazardous herbicide. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 16984-17008. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s11356-022-24951-0.pdf

Sukumar, C. S. (2016). Paraquat: the poison potion. *Indian journal of critical care medicine: peer-reviewed, official publication of Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine*, 263. doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23306

Suntres, Z. (2018). Exploring the potential benefit of natural product extracts in paraquat toxicity. *Fitoterapia*, 160-167. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0367326X18316654

Suntres, Z. (2018). Exploring the potential benefit of natural product extracts in paraquat toxicity. *Fitoterapia,*, 160-167. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0367326X18316654

Tajai, P. a. (2023). Predicting mortality in paraquat poisoning through clinical findings, with a focus on pulmonary and cardiovascular system disorders. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice*, 123. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1186/s40545-023-00635-z.pdf

Tanner, C. K. (2011). Rotenone, paraquat, and Parkinson's disease. *Environmental health perspectives*, 866-872. Retrieved from https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/doi/pdf/10.1289/ehp.1002839

Tatjana, V. D.-C. (2021). Paraquat-induced cholesterol biosynthesis proteins dysregulation in human brain microvascular endothelial cells. *Scientific Reports*, 18137. Retrieved from https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-97175-w.pdf

US EPA. (1997). *Reregistration EligibilityDecision(RED) Paraquat Dichloride.* WASHINGTON: USEPA. Retrieved from https://archive.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/web/pdf/0262red.pdf

Utyasheva, L. A. (2024). Paraquat at 63 - the story of a controversialherbicide and its regulations: It is time to put peopleand public health first when regulating paraquat. *Research Square*. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4402338/v1

Wan, E. D. (2021). Association of pesticides and kidney function among adults in the US population 2001–2010. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 10249. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8507643/

Wesseling, C. D. (2001). Paraquat in developing countries. *International journal of occupational and environmental health*, 275-286. Retrieved from https://web.archive.org/web/20160518172108id_/https://www.evb.ch/fileadmin/files/documents/Syngenta/Paraquat/paraquat_in_developing_countries_IJOEH_pdf.pdf

Wesseling, C. H. (1997). Unintentional fatal paraquat poisonings among agricultural workers in Costa Rica: report of 15 cases. *American journal of industrial medicine*, 433-441. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0274(199711)32:5%3C433::AID-AJIM1%3E3.0.CO;2-T