IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

BEHAVIORAL FINANCE &INVESTOR PSYCHOLOGY:ANALYZING THE IMPACT OF COGNITIVE BIASES AND EMOTIONAL FACTORS ON FINANCIAL DECISION-MAKING IN UDUPI REGION.

[1]Krupa Shetty, [2]C.Vaishnavi Soorya

[1] Student, [2] Student

[1]CS Executive

[1]The Institute of Company Secretaries of Indian, Udupi, Karnataka.

Abstract: Economics and psychology are combined in behavioral finance to explain why Investors occasionally behave irrationally in the financial markets. Behavioral finance acknowledges that investors can be swayed by biases and emotions, in contrast to classical Finance theories which presume rationality. This study looks at how investor behavior is influenced by emotions such as greed and fear, following the herd, fear of losing money, and Overconfidence. The research investigates how biases affect asset pricing, investments, and Market efficiency by looking at case studies and literature. The research also looks at Actions, rules, and investor education as means of lessening these biases.

Index Terms **- Investor psychology, decision-making, behavioral finance, mental disparities, And market anomalies.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Behavioral finance is a newer field that merges economic and psychological ideas to gain insight into how investors make decisions in financial markets. Traditional financial theories, like the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), are based on the belief that investors make rational choices to maximize their utility from available options. However, anomalies and inconsistencies in the market suggest that emotional and cognitive factors often lead to irrational and unexpected investor behaviors. This article aims to explore the psychological roots influencing investor decisions and behaviors, focusing on emotional traits and cognitive biases such as loss aversion and herd behavior,and financial markets. Comprehending these psychological impacts is essential not merely for scholars and professionals in the financial industry, but also for legislators and authorities who endeavor to preserve market equilibrium and safeguard investors.

II. OBJECTIVES

- Investigate how psychological factors and cognitive biases influence investor behavior in financial markets.
- Study the impact of psychological biases on market efficiency and market anomalies.
- Explore the influence of cognitive biases on investment decision-making by both institutions and individuals.
- Provide suggestions for regulatory measures and investor education to mitigate cognitive biases.

- Assess existing behavioral finance studies to identify research gaps and propose future areas for investigation.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

- Eugene F. Fama (1970, 1991): Behavioral Finance and the Efficient Market Hypothesis juxtaposes behavioral finance viewpoints that contest the notion of market efficiency.
- Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky (1979): Prospect Theory has been essential in illuminating the idea of loss aversion and showing how people make decisions in the face of risk and uncertainty.
- Amos Tversky and Daniel Kahneman (1974): Heuristics and Biases demonstrated how cognitive shortcuts can result in systematic errors in decision-making, which had a substantial impact on behavioral finance.
- Robert J. Shiller (2003) and Richard H. Thaler (1985): Different anomalies that deviate from conventional financial theory have been used to investigate market anomalies and behavioral finance.
- Richard H. Thaler and Cass R. Sunstein (2008): "Nudge" explores behavioral treatments that can enhance decision-making in a variety of fields, including finance.

These reviews emphasize each author's fundamental contributions to the subject of behavioral finance, highlighting their theories and studies that have influenced how we see the behavior of investors and the dynamics of markets.

.

3.Scope of the study

This study will focus on the global financial markets. It will conduct a comprehensive analysis by referring to various academic journals, books, and empirical studies. The main objective is to thoroughly analyze how cognitive biases and psychological factors influence investor behavior in financial markets. Esteemed researchers such as Daniel Kahneman, Amos Tversky, and Richard Thaler have identified numerous biases, including overconfidence, loss aversion, and herd behavior, which will be explored in this study. The research will investigate how these biases impact investment decisions and contribute to market phenomena such as crashes and bubbles.

Moreover, the study will evaluate how these behavioral insights can be utilized by financial advisors, policymakers, as well as individual and institutional investors. It will examine regulatory measures, behavioral interventions, and educational programs for investors as strategies to mitigate the effects of biases.

To cover a wide range of market scenarios and investor behaviors, the study will focus on developed and emerging economies. In conclusion, this study aims to advance the field of behavioral finance by enhancing our understanding of how psychological factors influence financial decision-making and proposing actionable strategies to improve investor outcomes and market efficiency.

3.2 Biases and Psychological Aspects in Financial Decision-Making

1. Heuristics: Anchoring, Availability, and Representativeness:

- Representativeness: Investors tend to estimate the likelihood of outcomes based on past occurrences rather than statistical information or base rates.
- Availability: This bias leads investors to overestimate the likelihood of events based on vivid or easily recalled information, while ignoring less memorable but equally relevant data.
- Anchoring: Investors often base decisions on the first piece of information encountered, even if it is unrelated to the decision at hand, leading to suboptimal choices.

2. Emotional Elements: Envy and Anger:

- Anxiety: Emotional anxiety during market downturns may prompt investors to sell assets prematurely, potentially causing them to miss opportunities for recovery and worsen losses.
- Greed: Conversely, greed can drive investors to hold onto profitable assets for too long, take excessive risks, and overlook potential market corrections or downturns.

3.Common Biases:Bias refers to an individual's illogical or irrational preference or prejudice, which may be subconscious. It is a human flaw that can affect investors' judgment. Psychologists have identified various biases that can cloud decision-making and impair judgment. Investors,

like everyone else, can be influenced by biases, leading them to make decisions based on preconceived notions rather than facts and evidence. Some common biases include overconfidence bias, loss aversion bias, anchoring bias, confirmation bias, and herd mentality bias.

3.3 Theoretical framework

The study of investor psychology and behavioral finance is grounded in a blend of key concepts from psychology and finance, creating a comprehensive lens to understand investor behavior. The Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) is a core principle in traditional finance, stating that investors cannot consistently beat the market as asset prices reflect all information available. However, various market anomalies and irregularities challenge this idea, suggesting that emotional factors often lead to irrational investor decisions. In contrast to the EMH, Prospect Theory, developed by Kahneman and Tversky, illustrates how individuals make choices in the face of risk and uncertainty. This theory clarifies illogical behaviors such as holding onto losing investments for too long and selling profitable ones prematurely by introducing key concepts like loss aversion, which emphasizes that losses impact emotions more than equivalent gains. Heuristics and biases, which describe mental shortcuts and systematic errors influencing decision-making, further enrich this framework. Representativeness, availability, and anchoring are significant heuristics that contribute to common investment mistakes like confirmation bias and overconfidence. Herd behavior explains why investors often follow the crowd, leading to market shifts detached from fundamental values, while overconfidence causes investors to overestimate their abilities, resulting in excessive trading and risk-taking. Human emotions like greed and fear significantly affect market volatility by prompting impulsive selling or irrational buying. By integrating these psychological perspectives, the theoretical framework provides a valuable tool for understanding deviations from rational behavior in financial markets. This aids in a more precise analysis of market irregularities, investment decisions, and the development of strategies to counter these biases, ultimately aiming to enhance market efficiency and investor outcomes.

3.4Impact on Financial Markets

Financial markets may be significantly impacted by Investors behavioral biases. The following are some ways that investor psychology and behavioral finance may impact financial markets:

1.Market Inefficiencies: Behavioral biases may result in asset mispricing, which opens the door to arbitrage opportunities and inefficiencies in the market. Asset prices may diverge from their inherent value as a result of this. A financial market circumstance where the price of a particular security does not trade at its full worth is called an inefficient market. The market functions inefficiently as a result.

2.Price Bubbles and Crashes: Irrational enthusiasm drives asset values to unaffordable heights during price bubbles, which can be facilitated by investor psychology. These bubbles can burst, resulting in sharp price declines and market collapses.

3. Volatility and Momentum: The amount that a price fluctuates about its mean is referred to as volatility. When prices are seen to be fluctuating around a moving average, it is a sign of turbulent markets. Moreover, candlesticks with exceptionally long candle shadows relative to the candle body signal a volatile market.

Trend strength is a frequent term used to describe momentum. There is no momentum in a ranging market as the price fluctuates between two boundaries.

4.Underreaction and Overreaction: When investors get new information, they may either underreact or overreact, which can cause asset values to slowly adjust or cause excessive price fluctuations that are not consistent with underlying fundamentals.

In markets, underreaction happens when prices don't move right away. adapt to take into account fresh facts as the efficient market determines.

An excessive emotional reaction to fresh knowledge is known as an overreaction. It is an emotional reaction, driven either by greed or fear, to a security, such as a stock or other investment, in the context of finance and investing. When investors overreact to news, the security goes through periods of being either overbought or oversold before reaching its true value again.

5. Feedback Loops: Behavioral biases can cause feedback loops in financial markets, in which investor behaviors reinforce one another and lead to self-fulfilling prophecies. This might worsen market trends and raise market volatility. Feedback loops can be used in a variety of scenarios, including customer and employee feedback, climatic systems, and biological processes.

6. Market Anomalies: Behavioral finance research has uncovered a number of market anomalies that are not explained by traditional finance theories, including the January effect, disposition effect, and size impact. These oddities highlight the impact of investor psychology on market results.

7. Investment Strategies: Understanding investor behavior and psychological biases can help guide investment strategies that capitalize on market inefficiencies or exploit behavioral tendencies in financial markets. For example, contrarian methods may attempt to profit from investor overreactions or underreactions to market occurrences.

Overall, behavioral finance and investor psychology have a significant impact on financial markets and investor decisions. Recognizing and comprehending these behavioral biases allows investors to make better judgments and navigate the complexities of financial markets.

3.5 Mitigating Biases

When an investor makes irrational decisions based on recollections or experiences from past events, this is known as experiential bias. For instance, they steer clear of comparable circumstances because of bad experiences from the past or present.

Behavioral finance and investor psychology bias mitigation include a range of strategies intended to help investors make more rational and knowledgeable choices. The following are some methods for addressing and reducing bias:

1. Knowledge and comprehension: Increasing investors awareness of common behavioral biases such as herd mentality, loss aversion, and overconfidence may aid them in identifying instances in which these biases are impacting their choices.

2.Diversification: You may lessen the influence of some biases on your individual investing decisions by diversifying your portfolio across sectors, geographies, and asset classes. The risk of being overexposed to a particular asset or market segment is reduced with the use of this method.

3. Long-Term Perspective: Educating investors to think long-term can help them avoid the behavioral biases that lead to short-term thinking traps. Biases that result in hasty judgements can be lessened by highlighting the value of fundamental research and long-term investment opportunities.

4.Application of Decision-Making Frameworks: Investors may make more logical and disciplined decisions by using decision-making frameworks, such as checklists or predetermined investing criteria. These frameworks can act as a check on snap judgements or judgements driven by emotion.

5. Behavioral Coaching: People can recognise and overcome their behavioral biases by working with financial advisors or coaches who are knowledgeable in behavioral finance. Coaches can assist investors in avoiding making decisions only based on gut feelings by offering unbiased advice and responsibility.

6. Automation and Rules-Based Investing: Investors may eliminate emotion from their decision-making process by putting rules-based investing approaches into practice and automating processes like dollar-cost averaging or rebalancing. By using this technique, biases that may cause impulsive trading or market timing are lessened.

7. Self-Reflection and Mindfulness: When making financial decisions, investors may become more conscious of their emotional reactions and biases by engaging in self-reflection and mindfulness practices. You may develop a more rational and well-rounded approach to decision-making by practicing journaling and meditation.

By utilizing these strategies, investors may lessen the influence of behavioral biases on their investment choices and work towards making more logical, responsible, and knowledgeable financial market judgments.

I. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The approach of behavioral finance and investor psychology entails

investigating and comprehending the cognitive and emotional aspects that influence investment decisions. This topic draws on insights from psychology, economics, and finance to investigate how individuals and market participants deviate from rational decision-making due to biases, heuristics, and emotional responses. Here are some major elements of the methodology:

1. Identifying Behavioral Biases: One aspect of behavioral finance research is identifying and categorizing prevalent biases that influence investor behavior, including as overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring, herding behavior, and mental accounting. Understanding these biases is critical for determining how they affect investment decisions.

2. Empirical Research: Behavioral finance experts do empirical research to examine real-world investor behavior. This includes reviewing historical market data, performing surveys, trials, and observational research to find behavioral and decision-making tendencies that contradict established finance theories.

3. Psychological studies: Psychologists and behavioral economists perform studies to better understand how people react to various investment scenarios, risk assessments, and financial incentives. These tests help to discover the underlying psychological factors that influence decision-making.

4. Cognitive Biases and Heuristics: The study of cognitive biases and heuristics focuses on the mental shortcuts and systematic errors that people make while making judgments in the face of uncertainty. Understanding how these biases influence investment decisions is an important component of behavioral finance approach.

5. Behavioral Models: Researchers create models that incorporate behavioral elements into conventional financial models. These models look at how emotional and cognitive biases influence asset pricing, market efficiency, and portfolio management.

6. Investor Surveys and Interviews: Behavioral finance researchers frequently conduct surveys and interviews with investors to get qualitative information about their decision-making processes, risk perceptions, and attitudes toward financial markets**.**

7. Decision-Making Frameworks: Creating decision-making frameworks and tools that account for behavioral biases is a critical component of the process. This includes developing checklists, guidelines, and systematic procedures to assist investors in making more informed and disciplined judgments.

8. Intervention Strategies: Behavioral finance technique includes creating intervention strategies to reduce the influence of biases on investor behavior. This may include education, coaching, nudges, and policy interventions designed to improve decision-making results.

By employing these methodologies, behavioral finance researchers and practitioners hope to gain a better understanding of how psychological and emotional factors influence investment decisions, as well as develop strategies to assist investors in making more informed and rational financial market decisions.

3.4Effect on the Making of Financial

Decisions In financial markets, deviations from rational decision-making are a result of certain psychological variables and biases. They may result in less-than-ideal investing plans, inefficient markets, and higher volatility. For investors and financial experts to make wise judgments and lessen their detrimental impacts, they must be aware of these biases.

3.4.1 Relevance in Practice

The comprehension and management of these partialities entail tactics like educating investors on the fundamentals of behavioral finance, putting in place frameworks for making decisions that take biases into account, and enacting regulations that support stability and openness in the market.

Financial market dynamics are greatly influenced by psychological elements and biases, such as heuristics (representativeness, availability, anchoring) and emotional factors (greed, fear). These aspects also considerably impact investor behavior. Stakeholders may improve portfolio management techniques, decision-making processes, and ultimately the efficiency and stability of the financial markets by recognizing and addressing these biases. As the field of behavioral finance develops, it provides knowledge and strategies for reducing the negative effects of biases on investor returns an0064 market efficiency.

3.4.2 Key Findings:

1)Impact of Heuristics: Studies have shown how investor judgments are influenced by heuristics like representativeness bias, which cause them to base choices on perceived similarities rather than statistical probability. It has been demonstrated that availability bias leads investors to overestimate the chance that recent events will occur again, whereas anchoring bias affects decision-making by making decisions based only on preliminary information.

2)Emotional Factors: The study emphasized how important emotions are while making financial decisions. The two main emotions that might cause irrational actions, such as panic selling during market downturns (fear) and excessive risk-taking in booming markets (greed), have been recognized as fear and greed.

3)Behavioral Finance Insights: The report highlighted the departure from conventional financial models such as the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), drawing on behavioral finance theories and empirical research. It challenged the notion of market efficiency by demonstrating how psychological biases lead to market anomalies like bubbles, crashes, and herd behavior.

3.4.2.1 Practical Implications

Financial counselors, legislators, and investors alike must comprehend these psychological variables and prejudices. Improving investor education on behavioral finance concepts, putting in place decision-making frameworks that take cognitive biases into account, and encouraging openness in market practices are some tactics to reduce biases.

3.4.2.2 Future Directions

Future research could go deeper into particular biases not fully covered in this paper, like regret aversion, framing effects, and the impact of social networks on investor behavior, as behavioral finance continues to develop. Moreover, investigating the ways in which big data analytics and technology developments can improve our comprehension and control over investor biases offer promising directions for future research.

3.4.3 Recommendations

The research on psychological influences and biases in financial decision-making has yielded valuable insights. As a result, investors, financial professionals, and legislators should consider the following recommendations:

1)Improved Education for Investors:

● Create educational initiatives with the goal of educating people about typical psychological biases that affect investors, such as loss aversion and overconfidence.

● Include behavioral finance concepts in investment education to provide investors the skills they need to identify and lessen biases in their judgment.

2)Use Behavioral Techniques:

● In order to customize investing advice and strategies that take individual biases into account, financial advisers should include behavioral finance insights into their client engagements.

● Employ behavioral nudges to steer investor behavior toward more logical choices. For example, default investment settings should be configured to promote diverse portfolios and long-term investment horizons (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008).

3) Interventions via Policy:

● Regulators ought to take into account measures that encourage disclosure and openness in the financial markets, lessening the informational asymmetry that fuels behavioral biases.

● Enact laws that protect against unfair business practices that could make investors more vulnerable, especially when the market is volatile.

4) Innovations in Technology:

● Use developments in artificial intelligence and fintech to create systems that give investors behavioral feedback in real-time, assisting them in identifying and correcting biased decision-making behaviors.

● Examine how big data analytics can be used to spot investor sentiment and market

patterns, providing information that can help investors make better-informed investing decisions.

5) Continuous Analysis and Research:

● To learn more about the subtleties of behavioral biases and how they affect financial markets, encourage multidisciplinary research collaborations involving psychologists, economists, and financial specialists.

● Carry out long-term research to monitor how well policy and behavioral interventions work to reduce biases and improve market efficiency.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 The data collected through the questionnaire was analyzed using tables and figures.

Table 4.1: **The data collected through the questionnaire was analyzed using tables and figures.**

N=60

Demographic Profile		No.of Respondents	Percentage
Age	10-20 20-30 30-40 40-50 50&above	3 41 12 Nil 4	5 68.3 20 Nil 6.7
Gender	Female Male Prefer not to say	41 19 Nil	68.3 31.7 Nil
Education Qualifications	High School Undergraduate Graduate Postgraduate Others	1 12 34 12 1	1.7 20 56.7 20 1.7

Source:Survey report 2024

Table 4.1 The table above shows that 5% of respondents fall in the 10-20 age group, 68.3% in the 20-30 age group, 20% in the 30-40 age group, none in the 40-50 age group, and 6.7% in the 50 & above age group. Additionally, 19% of the sample comprises male respondents, 41% comprises female respondents, and none preferred not to disclose their gender. Moreover, 1.7% are high school students, 20% undergraduates, 56.7% graduates, 20% postgraduates, and 1.7% fall into the Other category, which includes Technical Solutions.

4.2 Percentage of respondents who have heard of behavioral finance before.

Response	No.of Respondents Percentage
Yes	46.7
No	41.7
May be	11.7

N=60 Source:Survey report 2024

Table 4.2 From the above figures it is evident that most of the respondents i.e., 46.7% have heard of behavioral finance before and 41.7% of respondents have not heard of behavioral finance before and 11.7% of the respondents may have heard of behavioral finance before.

4.3 Percentage of respondents familiar with psychological biases.

Response	No.of Respondents Percentage
Overconfidence	35
Loss Aversion	23.3
Anchoring	15
Representativeness	33.3
Availability	31.7

N=60 Source:Survey report 2024

Table 4.3From the above figures it is evident that most of the respondents i.e.,35% of the respondents are familiar with Overconfidence psychological bias,23.3% of the respondents are familiar with Loss Aversion psychological bias,15% of the respondents are familiar with Anchoring psychological bias,33.3% of the respondents are familiar with Representativeness psychological bias and 31.7% of the respondents are familiar with Availability psychological bias.

4.4 Percentage of respondents actively investing in terms of years.

Response	No.of Respondents Percentage
0-1 years	53.3
1-5 years	31.7
5-10 years	8.3
More than 10 years	6.7

N=60 Source:Survey report 2024

Table 4.4 From the above figures it is evident that most of the respondents i.e.,53.3% of the respondents are actively investing from 0-1 years, 31.7% of the respondents are actively investing from 1-5 years, 8.3% of the respondents are actively investing from 5-10 years and 6.7% of the respondents are actively investing from more than 10 years

V .CONCLUSION

In the framework of behavioral finance, this research study has examined the complex interactions among psychological variables, cognitive biases, and financial decision-making. This study has shed light on how emotional aspects like fear and greed, together with heuristics like representativeness, availability, and anchoring, affect investor behavior and market results when taken as a whole.

Conclusively, this study provides significant understanding of the intricacies involved in financial decision-making that are impacted by psychological elements and prejudices. This research aims to improve investor outcomes, advance market efficiency, and provide guidance for navigating the intricacies of contemporary financial markets by bridging the theoretical and practice gaps in behavioral finance. In the end, the development of a more robust and sustainable financial system in the face of changing economic problems depends on overcoming these behavioral biases.

REFERENCES

1)Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. Econometrica, 47(2), 263-292,https://www.jstor.org/stable/1914185.
2)Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. Science, 185(4157), 1124-1131,https://www2.psych.ubc.ca/~schaller/Psyc590Readings/TverskyKahneman1974.pdf.
3)Thaler, R. H. (1980). Toward a Positive Theory of Consumer Choice. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 1(1), 39-60,https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0167268180900517.
4)Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2001). Boys will be boys: Gender, overconfidence, and common stock investment. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 116(1), 261-292,https://academic.oup.com/qje/article-abstract/116/1/261/1939000.

5)Shefrin, H., & Statman, M. (1985). The Disposition to Sell Winners Too Early and Ride Losers Too Long: Theory and Evidence. The Journal of Finance, 40(3), 777-790,https://www.jstor.org/stable/2327802.
6)Hirshleifer, D. (2001). Investor Psychology and Asset Pricing. The Journal of Finance, 56(4), 1533-1597,https://scholar.google.co.in/scholar_url?url=https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/5300/1/

13
MPRA_paper_5300.pdf&hl=en&sa=X&ei=qJVuZoLzLtS-6rQP_NO1gAc&scisig=AFWwaeYU PEzPOdhb6OPZMtcaUpEu&oi=scholarr.
7)De Bondt, W. F. M., & Thaler, R. H. (1985). Does the Stock Market Overreact? The Journal of Finance, 40(3),793-805,https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1985.tb05004.x.
8)Odeon, T. (1998). Are Investors Reluctant to Realize Their Losses? The Journal of Finance, 53(5),1775-1798,https://faculty.haas.berkeley.edu/odean/papers%20current%20versions/areinvestorsreluctant.pdf.
9)Statman, M. (1999). Behavioral Finance: Past Battles and Future Engagements. Financial Analysts Journal, 55(6),18-27,https://www.researchgate.net/publication/245583234_Behaviorial_Finance_Past_Battles_a nd_Future_Engagements.
10)Lo, A. W. (2005). Reconciling Efficient Markets with Behavioral Finance: The Adaptive Markets Hypothesis. Journal of Investment Consulting, 7(2),21-44,https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1702447.
11)Shleifer, A. (2000). Inefficient Markets: An Introduction to Behavioral Finance. Oxford University Press,https://academic.oup.com/book/27761.
12)Thaler, R. H. (1999). Mental Accounting Matters. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 12(3), 183-206,https://people.bath.ac.uk/mnsrf/Teaching%202011/Thaler-99.pdf.
13)Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). A Survey of Behavioral Finance. In G. M. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. M. Stulz (Eds.), Handbook of the Economics of Finance (Vol. 1, pp. 1051-1121). Elsevier,https://nicholasbarberis.github.io/ch18_6.pdf.
14)Benartzi, S., & Thaler, R. H. (2001). Naive diversification strategies in defined contribution saving plans. American Economic Review, 91(1), 79-98,https://www.anderson.ucla.edu/documents/areas/fac/accounting/naive_diversification.pdf.
15)Hirshleifer, D., & Shumway, T. (2003). Good day sunshine: Stock returns and the weather. The Journal of Finance, 58(3), 1009-1032,https://www.jstor.org/stable/3094570.
16)Hersh Shefrin. (2002) Behavioral Finance, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=hX18tBx3VPsC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=Hersh +Shefrin.+(2002)+Behavioral+Finance,+In+Handbook+of+the+Economics+of+Finance&ots=0xq6hoCoZG&sig=WdCTL75SeXE4HCGwu6jevtmvb7U#v=onepage&q=Hersh%20Shefrin.%20(2002)%20Behavioral%20Finance%2C%20In%20Handbook%20of%20the%20Economics%20of%20Finance&f=false.
17)Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky. (1979) Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk,https://web.mit.edu/curhan/www/docs/Articles/15341_Readings/Behavioral_Decision_Theory/Kahneman_Tversky_1979_Prospect_theory.pdf.
18)2008 Shefrin Hersh,https://www.sciencedirect.com/book/9780123743565/a-behavioral-approach-to-asset-prici ng.
19)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380849600_Behavioral_Finance_The_Impact_of_Investor_Expectation_on_the_Financial_Decision-Making?_sg=h6_CnbxwkzYrU1_J7DJOs95i6SCMSRKrpVm_DWzLhriHSp9qEds_s7WuddkDZSynG6vmYz2MDDWB8oQ&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
20)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380189832_Behavioral_Finance_The_Impact_of_In

14

vestor_Expectation_on_Financial_Decision-Making?_sg=Ae4jLlxBeZ80M8lDc-kFnPqFyutF0GUU7F1Y19ydI8rtPCztm1tzdFVRPzFUewZPpjQoC1mqHJApikU&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
21)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366836734_THE_IMPACT_OF_BEHAVIORAL_BIASES_ON_FINANCIAL_RISK_TOLERANCE_OF_INVESTORS_AND_THEIR_DECISION_MAKING?_sg=yUWMm_OLta2QRukM4mTA8b--Hv1FU6Bp8aosYhPVR_Cvc9RtjfNb0EiHLw-xEECt0S-UBpCFIpH4QN0&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
22)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379489735_Behavioral_Finance_Several_Key_Effects_of_Investor_Decision-Making?_sg=cB7sagmuAO1DP_J1WcWBkSCACiuXYH4i9abnmdyZkMClQvENn2EkSIAliDEEU8qGGLC5xkNQf17lHbc&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
23)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371249796_The_Reflections_of_Financial_Literacy_on_the_Behavioral_Biases_of_Investors?_sg=EU1YwQp_RkOwqEl876PE7Y2GW5zYb5ZgWM7dM_hDXGe0ImmGH33te13iyYx3324GkWdLAe6eCUAKOjI&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
24)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339147327_COGNITIVE_BIASES_OF_INVESTORS_AND_FINANCIAL_RISK_TOLERANCE?_sg=_LNWhhvh2TH2TpYCpq3OlSH3AiSjXfLJUDB4kPuF9o6QI74u5elxr_ZkAda0tolJj2Zi4rd_0VH5xew&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
25)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376596700_A_Qualitative_Study_of_Behavioral_Biases_Among_Pakistani_Investor_Decisions?_sg=uV_zPKS3ClD4Cwae4mb7zyiZhBnWyVAYvFdHJQq05MggeSYHhCp48vCoBsgCkDQbL0w02mvEcBWs_xc&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
26)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380766096_The_Influence_of_COVID-19_and_Subsequent_Events_on_the_Decision-Making_of_Retail_Investors_in_Kingdom_of_Bahrain_A_Behavioral_Finance_Analysis?_sg=926i57JWFO0fdYG45ZpVyfpbiqG2zhnNgmx09t5CIX97ru2CuSxwx3FYTnX5_oDaidAyRXKDFVqF010&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
27)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381535265_The_Impact_of_Investor_Expectation_on_the_Financial_Decision-Making?_sg=xibLm-bk7hhfYgqNcAsyHfN_x3WxET8q_kQSrJ0m8547wNNT4K-Mj8VLrt0PFzlkfw52Pay_baI6usk&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
28)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375182735_THE_MINDFUL_INVESTOR_INTEGRATING_BEHAVIORAL_FINANCE_INTO_PERSONAL_FINANCE_PLANNING?_sg=KDNcszyH3yDfBZxK_S7MqpjWGJlQqBWkOMZOmFYSFspdbJ-6CnCmt8FuvpL4TaPrwGOeiKZVB5V_lwY&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
29)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378889309_Behavioral_Finance_and_Stock_Market_Anomalies_Exploring_Psychological_Factors_Influencing_Investment_Decisions?_sg=55fnXQBorA5AItGUT4fBEdfRrGJsC24moHsOgUXZrvog_7nvewc9kOYLPAIUuxQCUqtDdfRrTYrHZkY&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.
30)https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381673733_Neuro_Economics_And_Financial_Decision-Making_Bridging_The_Gap_With_Behavioral_Finance?_sg=nn3IpA8Tz7RLsmHLDoFwj9cJf8X7wKIbYfB_RouGoEi-PR2Uy-tWeBK2md7v3xWItxzf-yP7RUxkbmM&_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6Il9kaXJlY3QifX0.