IJCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A THEORETICAL REVIEW ON THE INFLUENCE OF EMPLOYEE SILENCE: DISENGAGED BEHAVIOUR OF EMPLOYEES'

Dr. Alisha Samad, ²Mrs. Akanksha Tigga, ³Ms. Sonali Arunima Dhan

¹Assistant Professor, Gossner College, Ranchi, Jharkhand

²Assistant Professor, Gossner College, Ranchi, Jharkhand

³Doctoral Scholar, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi

¹Faculty of Commerce,

¹Gossner College, Ranchi, India

Abstract

Since employees are the key elements for any organisational success, it has been observed employees practice disengaged behaviour of employees due to several factors. Of all the various reasons such as fear, lack of trust, hesitation, cultural norms, hesitation and many more, employees withhold information, suggestions, ideas, knowledge and prefers being silent which seems to be a burning issue at the workplace due to lack of communication; consequently, leads to occurring of employee silence. The current paper aims to assess the extant literature review on employee silence and its outcomes associated with different variables. Through the lens of systematic review, acquiescent silence, defensive silence` and pro-social silence were found to be the most universalistic dimensions. Silence leads to mostly of negative outcomes such as reduction in organisational commitment, job satisfaction, employee innovative behaviour, job performance and so on.A Platform of communication & redesigning of policies should be developed by the stakeholders to reduce the culture of silence which brings transparency among the organisational hierarchies. Also, the managers should be supportive rather than undermining.

Keywords

Silence, Employee Silence, Outcomes, Communication, Acquiescent Silence, Defensive Silence, Pro-Social Silence

Introduction

Employee silence is a negative construct and dangerous for any workplace. However, to achieve organisational objectives transparency of communication is needed for the smooth functioning of an organisation among the hierarchies at all levels of management. Managing personnel are the key elements for an organisational success as human resources are the crucial members for the workplace productivity, organisational performance, organisational effectiveness and organisational growth. Since, employees are intellectual and considered as a source of innovation, creativity, change & development but at times, employees observe various problems or issues at their work which they do not communicate to their respective supervisors, managers or bosses due to several factors (Beheshtifar et al., 2012; Nikmaram et al., 2012; Zehir & Erdogan, 2011; Cinar et al., 2013). With the advancement of globalization and modernization, the workplace environment is complex and competitive & employees practically do not indulge in speaking up the matters or about observant issues because of the hesitant behaviour, fear, cultural norms, portraying negative relationship, trust issues and many more (Karaca, 2013). Employees feel conveying their matters will lead to negative attitudinal outcomes as well as decline in organisational performance. The silence phenomenon at an individual & organisational level are hazardous; thus (Briensfield, 2009) motivates channels of communication to be transparent because an employee's ideas, information, suggestions & feedbacks could be valuable towards their workplace.

The nature of silence is pervasive and earlier the concept of silence was symbolized as 'loyalty' considering nothing wrong if not voicing up. Also, the theoretical perspective of silence and voice were examined through the lens of justice theory; highlighting significant importance to whistle-blowing and boat-rocking. According to **Pinder and Harlos** (2001), employee silence signifies the withholding of any genuine expression of one's behaviour, cognition, and affective evaluations of one's organisational circumstances from those who are thought capable of addressing change. Drawn from the perspective of social exchange theory and self-determination theory, several numbers of former literatures supported that the association of employee silence negatively related to organisational commitment, job satisfaction, career satisfaction & destructive organisational outcomes.

Aim of the Study

The current study examines the theoretical review on employee silence and outcomes of silence behaviour by assessing the relationships and impact of silence on various variables.

Dimensions of Employee Silence

Numerous authors have figured out the different dimensions of employee silence such as deviant silence, diffident silene, ineffectual silence, fear of retaliation, self-image, internation motivation and many more (Jain, 2015; Briensfield, 2009) but academicians such as Dyne et al. (2003), Morrison and Milliken (2000), and Pinder and Harlos (2001) had opined the most prominent, universalistic and common dimensions of silence to explain the patterns of occurrence of employee silence.

ACUIESCENT SILENCE

(Prefer silent because he/she Emotionally attached to an organisation)

EMPLOYEE SILENCE

DEFENNSIVE SILENCE

(Because of lack of alternatives, employees prefer silent)

PRO-SOCIAL SILENCE

(Due ot social obligations one remains silent)

Figure 1: Dimensions of Employee Silence Source: The Author

Literature on Outcomes of Employee Silence & Associated Variables.

Table 1: Outcomes of Influence of Employee Silence

S.No.	Authors	Aim of the Study	Methods	Outcomes
1.	Laeeque and	To assess the	The study was	Employee Silence is negatively
	Bakhtaqari	association between	conducted in the	associated with Organisational
	(2012)	employee silence and	higher educational	Commitment; employee silence
		organisational	institutions at	increases, commitment of an
		commitment.	Pakistan and 200	employee's towards its workplace
			responses were	decreases.
			collected for the	
			data analysis.	
			Statistical tools like	
			correlation &	
			regression were	
			adopted to	
			investigate the	
			associated	
			variables.	

2.	Gencer et al.	1. To examine the	The study was	It was revealed that there was no
	(2014)	impact of organisation	conducted at Turkey	significant relationship between
		culture of hotel	and 389 responses	organisational silence & job
		employees on	were collected from	performance whereas dimensions
		organisational silence	the hotel employees	of organisational silence exemplify
		& job performance.	and correlational	a very low significant association
		2. To check the effect	model survey was	between variables.
		of organisational	used.	
		silence on job		
		performance.		
3.	Malik et al.	To explore the impact	Hierarchical	All dimensions of silence were
	(2020)	of em <mark>ployee</mark> silence on	multiple regression	negatively related to job
		job satisfaction &	had been adopted	satisfaction.
		subjective well-being.	for the study among	
			275 bank employees	
			in Pakistan.	3
4.	Karacaoglu and	To examine the impact	203 responses were	There is a positive relationship
	Kucukkoylu	of employee silence on	collected from the	between employee silence and
	(2015)	organisational	workers of finance	organisational cynicism.
		cynicism.	organisation. Tools	
	15		such as regression	10.
			had been used.	
5.	Chukwudi and	To determine the effect	Multiple regression	There is a significant and negative
	Needorn (2021)	of acquiescent silence	was run to analyse	relationship between these
		on collaboration and	the result and 302	variables.
		creativity.	samples were used.	
6.	Elci et al. (2014)	1.To ascertain the	From 39 different	1.There exerts a significant
		impact of	companies, 1794	positive impact of organisational
		organisational silence	responses were	silence and mobbing on turnover
		on employees'	collected.	intention.
		turnover interntion.	Coorelation and	2. Mobbing acts as a partial
		2.To find out the	regression were	mediation between independent &
		indirect mechanism of	conducted for the	dependent variables.
		mobbing on the	results.	

		associ	iation between		
		silence and intention.			
7.	Tang (2018)	То	investigate the	The study was	1.Employee silence leads to
		influe	nce of employee	conducted in multi-	reduction of employee innovative
		silenc	e and career	companies of China	behaviour.
		satisfa	action on	and the respondents	2. Silence is negatively related to
		innov	ative work	were 78	the dimensions of career
		behav	iour.	undergraduates.	satisfaction.
				Pearson correlation	
				and regression were	
				used to assess the	
				outcomes.	
8.	Srivastava et al.	То	determine the	Based on empirical	1.There is a negative and
	(2019)	conca	tenation between	study in India, 286	significant relationship of silence
		emplo	yee silence and	managers were	on burnout.
		job 1	<mark>ournou</mark> t throug <mark>h</mark>	respondents.	2.The mediating variable
		the in	direct mechanism	Correlation and	emotional intelligence acts a
		of	emotional	mediated regression	mediation between associated
		intelli	gence.	model had been run	variables.
				to know the desired	
	100			outcomes.	
9.				128 responses were	
	Buket (2014)		onship between		association between these
			isational silence		variables.
		and	organisational	_	
		citize	nship behaviour.	& correlation was	
				used to figure out	
				the results.	
10.	Bulbul (2019)		find out the		1.No significant relationship was
			nce of employee		found between silence and work
		silenc		participated in the	stress.
		organisational climate			2.No significant relationship were
		on job	stress.	questionnaires were	found between silence and
				collected.	organisational climate.

www.ijcrt.org		© 2024 IJCRT Volume 12, Issue 6 June 2024 ISSN: 2320-2882		
		Pearson correlation		
		& regression		
		analysis were done.		

Conclusions

Since culture of silence occurs at workplace, this study would help the managers or stakeholders for developing the culture of speaking up or raising up their voices for concerned matters as silence leads to negative outcomes affecting organisational performance & productivity. Restructuring organisational policies and provision of platform where downward communication is encouraged to practice so employees would share their ideas, knowledge, suggestions & opinions because human resources are considered as a source of change, creativity, innovation & development. Managers should focus on democratic style of management & should be supportive rather than being undermining. Also to break the ice of being silent, employers, managers or supervisors should inculcate the listening ability skills towards their sub-ordinates boosting up the confidence of the employees and one should feel empowered & secure.

References

- Beheshtifar, M., Borhani, H., & Moghadam, M. N. (2012). Destructive role of employee silence in organizational success. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 2(11), 275.
- Brinsfield, C. T. (2009). Employee silence: Investigation of dimensionality, development of measures, and examination of related factors. The Ohio State University ProQuest Dissertations Publishing, 3376084.
- Bülbül, Y. (2019). The impact of employee silence and organizational climate on job stress (Master's thesis, Marmara Universitesi (Turkey).
- Chukwudi, D. G., & Needorn, R. (2021). The Impact Of Acquiescence Silence On Collaboration And Creativity Of Work Teams. African Journal of Business and Economic Development ISSN, 2782, 7658.
- Çınar, O., Karcıoğlu, F., & Alioğulları, Z. D. (2013). The relationship between organizational silence and organizational citizenship behavior: a survey study in the province of Erzurum, Turkey. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 99, 314-321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.10.499
- Dyne, L. V., Ang, S., & Botero, I. C. (2003). Conceptualizing employee silence and employee voice as multidimensional constructs. Journal of management studies, 40(6).
- Elçi, M., Karabay, M. E., Alpkan, L., & Şener, İ. (2014). The mediating role of mobbing on the relationship between organizational silence and turnover intention. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 150, 1298-1309.

- Gencer, G., Atay, H., Gurdogan, A., & Colakoglu, U. (2023). The relationship between organizational culture, organizational silence and job performance in hotels: the case of Kuşadasi. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights, 6(1), 70-89.
- Harbalioğlu, M., & Gültekin, B. İ. (2014). The relationship between organizational silence and organizational citizenship behavior: A case study at Kilis 7 Aralik University. International Journal of Advances in Management and Economics, 3(2), 153-164.
- Karaca, H. (2013). An exploratory study on the impact of organizational silence in hierarchical organizations: Turkish national police case. European scientific journal, 9(23).
- Laeeque, S. H., & Bakhtawari, N. Z. (2014). Employee silence as a determinant of organizational commitment: Evidence from the higher education sector of Pakistan. European Journal of Business and Management, 6(20), 46-51.
- Malik, S. Z., Iqbal, M., & Sheikh, L. (2020). Effect of types of employee silence on job satisfaction and subjective well-being. Journal of the Research Society of Pakistan, 57(1), 681-693.
- Morrison, E. W., & Milliken, F. J. (2000). Organizational silence: A barrier to change and development in a pluralistic world. Academy of Management review, 25(4), 706-725. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2000.3707697
- Nikmaram, S., Yamchi, H. G., Shojaii, S., Zahrani, M. A., & Alvani, S. M. (2012). Study on relationship between organizational silence and commitment in Iran. World Applied Sciences Journal, 17(10), 1271-1277.
- Pinder, C. C., & Harlos, K. P. (2001). Employee silence: Quiescence and acquiescence as responses to perceived injustice. In Research in personnel and human resources management. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-7301(01)20007-3
- Srivastava, S., Jain, A. K., & Sullivan, S. (2019). Employee silence and burnout in India: the mediating role of emotional intelligence. Personnel Review, 48(4), 1045-1060.
- Zehir, C., & Erdogan, E. (2011). The association between organizational silence and ethical leadership through employee performance. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 24, 1389-1404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.09.054

i573