IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

EVALUATING RISKS, ENSURING SAFETY: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF NANOTECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS IN FOOD SCIENCE

[1]Manoj K S Chhangani, [2]Sofia I. Hussain and [3]Rashmi Manoj
[1,2] Professor, Department of Chemistry
Govt. Meera Girls' College, Udaipur-(Rajasthan), INDIA
[3]Assistant Professor and Head, Department of Home Science
Guru Nanak Girls' P G College, Udaipur-(Rajasthan), INDIA

Abstract: The rapid advancement of nanotechnology is poised to revolutionize various aspects of food science and the food industry, fueled by substantial investments and expanding market shares. This paper provides a concise overview of the current applications of nanotechnology in food systems. While the integration of nanotechnology in food holds significant promise for enhancing food quality, safety, and nutritional value, it also introduces new challenges due to the unique physicochemical properties of nanomaterials. One major concern revolves around the safety implications associated with the use of nanotechnology in food-related processes. These concerns encompass the entire lifecycle of nanomaterials, including their manufacturing, processing, packaging, and eventual consumption. Addressing these safety concerns is crucial to ensure the responsible and sustainable implementation of nanotechnology in the food industry. Moreover, effective regulatory policies are necessary to establish standards, guidelines, and protocols to safeguard public health and the environment while fostering innovation and economic growth. Active packaging systems utilize nanomaterials to actively interact with the food environment, thereby extending shelf life, enhancing freshness, and preventing spoilage. Intelligent packaging systems, on the other hand, incorporate nanoscale sensors and indicators to monitor food quality and safety in real-time, providing valuable insights to consumers and stakeholders throughout the supply chain.

Index-Terms: **Food science, Nanotechnology, Packaging, Safety assessment, Regulatory policies**

I. INTRODUCTION

Nanotechnology has emerged as a transformative force in conventional food science and the food industry, offering immense potential for innovation and enhancement (Weiss et al., 2006). Through nanotechnology-assisted processing and packaging, significant advancements have been achieved in food systems (Weiss et al., 2006). Various preparation technologies enable the production of nanoparticles with diverse physical properties, facilitating their utilization in food applications (Feng et al., 2010). However, despite the promising prospects of nanotechnology in the food sector, uncertainties persist, both in terms of public perception and regulatory oversight. The novel nature of nanotechnology raises questions and concerns among the general public (Bieberstein et al., 2010). Additionally, regulatory agencies worldwide have yet to establish universally applicable rules governing the use of nanomaterials in food products (Ravichandran, 2010).

This regulatory challenge poses significant hurdles for ensuring the safety and appropriate management of nanomaterials throughout their lifecycle—from manufacturing and processing to disposal. The absence of globally accepted regulations may compromise the ability of regulatory agencies to provide adequate guidance on addressing potential health risks associated with nanomaterials for both the public and occupational exposure.

The current regulatory landscape surrounding food nanotechnology is fraught with uncertainties regarding its risk profile. The impact of food nanotechnology on the bioavailability and nutritional content of food hinges on its functional properties (Srinivas et al., 2010). It is widely acknowledged that the biological behavior of nanomaterials is intricately linked to their physicochemical characteristics (He et al., 2015). Indeed, the integration of nanotechnology into the food industry holds great promise for enhancing food security, prolonging shelf life, optimizing flavor and nutrient delivery, enabling the detection of pathogens, toxins, and pesticides, and facilitating the development of functional foods. Significant advancements have been achieved across various domains of the food system, encompassing both food products and packaging materials (Duran & Marcato, 2013). While much attention has been directed towards regulating nanotechnology in food packaging and processing (Chau et al., 2007), a comprehensive review addressing the potential risks associated with food nanotechnology is conspicuously lacking. This underscores the need for concerted efforts to systematically evaluate the safety implications of integrating nanotechnology into the food supply chain, ensuring that regulatory frameworks adequately address potential risks while fostering innovation and sustainability.

This review aims to explore the current status of risk/safety associated with food nanotechnology. Certain nanomaterials exhibit toxicity towards animals and humans, while also serving as oxidant scavengers or antimicrobial agents (Karakoti et al., 2010). However, the consequences of nanocomposites can vary significantly depending on their specific applications in processing, packaging, or as food ingredients. As such, it is imperative to gain a comprehensive understanding of the potential hazards associated with the functionality and applicability of nanomaterials in food systems. This knowledge is essential for informing regulatory decision-making and providing guidance on the safety of food nanotechnology. By addressing these concerns, stakeholders can ensure the responsible and sustainable integration of nanotechnology in the food industry, maximizing its benefits while minimizing risks to human health and the environment.

II. SAFETY CONCERNS

As research delves deeper into the application of nanotechnology within the food sector, the potential of nanotechnology in food science and industry continues to expand, consequently increasing human exposure to these substances (Magnuson et al., 2011). This escalation in human exposure to nanomaterials is inevitable, whether through intentional or unintentional means. However, only a handful of studies have concentrated on the potential toxicity arising from the presence of nanomaterials in foods, particularly through the analysis of food samples containing additives/ingredients and food packaging materials. The understanding of the bioavailability, biodistribution, routes of exposure to nanomaterials, and their ultimate toxicity upon human exposure remains limited. Of particular concern is the direct contact of nanomaterials serving as food additives with human organs, which may lead to heightened levels of exposure depending on their concentration in food and the quantity consumed. The increasing utilization of nanomaterial substances in foods, such as flavor or color additives, has garnered significant attention from both the public and governmental sectors (Jovanovic, 2015). For instance, a study investigating titanium dioxide (TiO_2) in sugar-coated chewing gum revealed that over 93% of TiO_2 present in the gum is of nanoscale size, raising concerns about its potential release and subsequent ingestion by individuals chewing the gum, leading to gradual accumulation in the body (Chen et al., 2013). Similarly, the consumption of foods containing E551 (commonly used as an anti-caking agent in food products) exposes the gut epithelium to silica dioxide (SiO_2) nanoparticles (Athinarayanan et al., 2014).

Furthermore, nanoencapsulation facilitates direct human exposure to nanomaterials through oral intake. Silica dioxide (SiO_2) nanomaterials, among the most commonly utilized in food applications, have been explored as carriers for fragrances or flavors in food products (Dekkers et al., 2010). Lipid-based nanoencapsulation systems are also under development to enhance the efficacy of antioxidants by improving their solubility and bioavailability (Mozafari et al., 2006) and to encapsulate bioactives for targeted site-specific delivery and efficient absorption (Ezhilarasi et al., 2013).

Despite these advancements, the safety of nanoencapsulation remains largely unexplored and warrants further risk assessment, particularly concerning potential long-term toxicity (Borel & Sabliov, 2014; Jovanovic, 2015). Nanoscale edible coatings have emerged as promising alternatives for preserving food quality, extending storage life, and preventing microbial spoilage (Flores-Lopez et al., 2015), thereby enabling direct human exposure to nanomaterials. For instance, gelatin-based edible coatings containing cellulose nanocrystals (Fakhouri et al., 2013), chitosan/nanosilica coatings (Shi et al., 2013), chitosan film with nano-SiO_2 (Yu et al., 2012), and alginate/lysozyme nanolaminate coatings have demonstrated effectiveness in maintaining the quality of fresh foods during prolonged storage (Medeiros et al., 2014).

Additionally, novel nanopackaging methods, such as blending polyethylene with nanopowders (nano-Ag, kaolin, anatase TiO_2, and rutile TiO_2), have been evaluated for their impact on preserving the quality of strawberry fruits (Yang et al., 2010). However, none of these studies provided toxicological assessments regarding nanomaterial exposure. Furthermore, unintentional exposure may occur through leaching from nanopackaging materials, as evidenced by the migration of nanoclay from food contact materials into food simulants (Echegoyen et al., 2016).

Additionally, Huang et al. (2015) observed an escalation in the migration of nanoclay from multilayer films into food simulants with prolonged contact time and elevated temperatures. Youssef (2013) noted that the inhalation of nanomaterials from food packaging and their potential dermal penetration predominantly affects workers in industries involved in nanomaterial production. Despite this, the scope of migration tests remains largely restricted, necessitating further exploration before widespread adoption of these materials.

It is crucial to recognize that the destiny and toxicity of nanomaterials in food and food packaging hinge upon their physicochemical attributes and dosage (He et al., 2015). To ensure the safe integration of nanotechnology into the food sector, comprehensive characterization and assessment through in silico (Pathakoti et al., 2014), in vitro (Pathakoti et al., 2013), and in vivo (He et al., 2014) methodologies are imperative. Considering various factors such as physical forces, osmotic concentration, pH levels, chemical composition, biological interactions, and microbial presence, the absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and ultimate toxicity of nanomaterials can be quantified and evaluated for risk assessment (He et al., 2015).

The adverse effects of nanomaterial exposure, particularly concerning allergies and heavy metal release, are briefly deliberated in the subsequent sections.

Adverse Immunological Effects of Nanomaterials

While nanotechnology holds promise for advancing food allergen management (Pilolli et al., 2013), it is crucial to acknowledge that certain nanomaterials may exacerbate allergic pulmonary inflammation (Syed et al., 2013). Literature reviews have indicated that increased inflammatory response and elevated reactive oxygen species (ROS) production are common immune reactions to nanomaterial exposure (Syed et al., 2013). Exposure to silver (Ag) nanoparticles has been specifically linked to nanoparticle-induced immune responses (Hirai et al., 2013).

Moreover, carbon nanomaterials are recognized for their potential to induce allergic inflammation. Both single- and multiwalled carbon nanotubes have been implicated in promoting lung inflammation (Nygaard et al., 2013). Additionally, multiwalled carbon nanotubes have been shown to exacerbate airway fibrosis in mice with allergic asthma, particularly in the presence of preexisting inflammation (Ryman-Rasmussen et al., 2009). Furthermore, smaller particle size, higher specific surface area, and increased purity of carbon black nanoparticles have been associated with a direct adjuvant effect on Th2 cells in a genetically susceptible model of ovalbumin allergy (Lefebvre et al., 2014).

Potential Toxicity of Metal-Based Nanomaterials in Food Contact Polymers

Metal-based nanomaterials incorporated into food contact polymers have demonstrated notable enhancements in mechanical strength, barrier properties, and resistance to photodegradation, while also exhibiting antimicrobial properties through the release of heavy metal ions (Llorens et al., 2012). However, it is essential to acknowledge that the release of heavy metals from nanomaterials represents a significant pathway for potential toxicity (He et al., 2015). Consequently, the adverse effects of heavy metal leaching into food simulants, particularly concerning long-term accumulation, cannot be overlooked.

Among the various metal-based nanomaterials, zinc oxide (ZnO) (Fukui et al., 2012), silver (Ag) (McShan et al., 2012), and copper oxide (CuO) (Karlsson et al., 2013) are frequently reported for their metal-leaching properties. The release of metal ions from these metallic nanomaterials is closely associated with an elevation in intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels (Fukui et al., 2012), subsequently leading to lipid peroxidation and DNA damage.

III. CONSEQUENCES AND VISION

While exercising caution, it is imperative to embrace the evolution of nanotechnology and its application within food science and the broader food industry, drawing from its success in various other fields (Elgadir et al., 2015). Despite the current incomplete understanding of the fate and potential toxicity of nanomaterials, notable strides have been made in leveraging novel nanotechnology within the food sector. Alongside its benefits, nanotechnology holds promise in enhancing pesticide and pathogen detection (Inbaraj and Chen, 2015), as well as toxin identification (Palchetti and Mascini, 2008), thereby bolstering food quality tracking, tracing, and monitoring systems. Moreover, nanotechnology stands poised to revolutionize future food packaging materials, particularly as part of active and intelligent packaging systems (Mihindukulasuriya and Lim, 2014).

However, despite these advancements, challenges persist in cultivating a healthy and sustainable food industry, even with the integration of nanotechnology. The associated health, safety, and environmental impacts necessitate proactive regulation and mitigation strategies. Additionally, the dissemination of accurate information and the education of the public are paramount to fostering widespread acceptance and understanding of nanotechnology within the food system. By addressing these challenges and promoting responsible innovation, the full potential of nanotechnology in revolutionizing the food industry can be realized.

IV. CONCLUSION

The rapid progression of nanotechnology in the food industry offers tremendous potential for innovation and advancement, yet it also brings forth significant challenges. While nanotechnology promises to enhance food quality, safety, and nutritional value, concerns regarding its safety implications persist throughout the lifecycle of nanomaterials. Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in governing the development and deployment of nanotechnology in food systems, ensuring standards are in place to safeguard public health and the environment while fostering innovation.

Addressing safety concerns surrounding nanotechnology in food necessitates comprehensive risk assessments, particularly considering the diverse applications of nanomaterials in processing, packaging, and as food additives. The potential toxicity of nanomaterials, especially concerning human exposure through ingestion or inhalation, requires thorough investigation and regulation. Moreover, the release of heavy metals from metal-based nanomaterials used in food contact polymers poses additional risks that must be addressed.

Despite these challenges, nanotechnology holds promise in revolutionizing various aspects of the food industry, including pesticide and pathogen detection, toxin identification, and the development of advanced packaging systems. However, proactive regulation, public education, and responsible innovation are essential to realizing the full potential of nanotechnology while ensuring the safety and sustainability of the food supply chain. By addressing these challenges, the food industry can harness the benefits of nanotechnology while mitigating associated risks, paving the way for a healthier and more sustainable future.

REFERENCES

[1] Athinarayanan, J., Periasamy, V. S., Alsaif, M. A., Al-Warthan, A. A., & Alshatwi, A. A. (2014). Presence of nanosilica (E551) in commercial food products: TNF-mediated oxidative stress and altered cell cycle progression in human lung fibroblast cells. Cell Biology and Toxicology, 30(2), 89-100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10565-014-9271-8

[2] Bieberstein, A., Roosen, J., Marette, S., Blanchemanche, S., & Vandermoere, F. (2013). Consumer choices for nano-food and nano-packaging in France and Germany. European Review of Agricultural Economics, 40, 73-94.

[3] Borel, T., & Sabliov, C. M. (2014). Nanodelivery of bioactive components for food applications: Types of delivery systems, properties, and their effect on ADME profiles and toxicity of nanoparticles. Annual Review of Food Science and Technology, 5, 197-213.

[4] Chau, C. F., Wu, S. H., & Yen, G. C. (2007). The development of regulations for food nanotechnology. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 18, 269-280.

[5] Chen, X. X., Cheng, B., Yang, Y. X., Cao, A., Liu, J. H., Du, L. J., Liu, Y., Zhao, Y., & Wang, H. (2013). Characterization and preliminary toxicity assay of nano-titanium dioxide additive in sugar-coated chewing gum. Small, 9, 1765-1774.

[6] Dekkers, S., Krystek, P., Peters, R. J., Lankveld, D. X., Bokkers, B. G., van Hoeven-Arentzen, P. H., Bouwmeester, H., & Oomen, A. G. (2011). Presence and risks of nanosilica in food products. Nanotoxicology, 5, 393-405.

[7] Duran, N., & Marcato, P. D. (2013). Nanobiotechnology perspectives. Role of nanotechnology in the food industry: A review. International Journal of Food Science & Technology, 48, 1127-1134.

[8] Echegoyen, Y., Rodríguez, S., & Nerín, C. (2016). Nanoclay migration from food packaging materials. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 33, 530-539.

[9] Elgadir, M. A., Uddin, M. S., Ferdosh, S., Adam, A., Chowdhury, A. J. K., & Sarker, M. Z. I. (2015). Impact of chitosan composites and chitosan nanoparticle composites on various drug delivery systems: A review. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, 23, 619-629.

[10] Ezhilarasi, P. N., Karthik, P., Chhanwal, N., & Anandharamakrishnan, C. (2013). Nanoencapsulation techniques for food bioactive components: A review. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 6, 628-647.

[11] Fakhouri, F. M., Casari, A. C. A., Mariano, M., Yamashita, F., Mei, L. I., Soldi, V., & Martelli, S. M. (2014). Effect of a gelatin-based edible coating containing cellulose nanocrystals (CNC) on the quality and nutrient retention of fresh strawberries during storage. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 64, 012081.

[12] Feng, T., Xiao, Z., & Tian, H. (2010). Recent patents on nano flavor preparation and its application. Recent Patents on Food, Nutrition & Agriculture, 2, 243-250.

[13] Flores-Lopez, M. L., Cerqueira, M. A., de Rodríguez, D. J., & Vicente, A. A. (2015). Perspectives on utilization of edible coatings and nano-laminate coatings for extension of postharvest storage of fruits and vegetables. Food Engineering Reviews, 1-14.

[14] Fukui, H., Horie, M., Endoh, S., Kato, H., Fujita, K., Nishio, K., Komaba, L. K., Maru, J., Miyauhi, A., Nakamura, A., Kinugasa, S., Yoshida, Y., & Hagihara, Y. (2012). Association of zinc ion release and oxidative stress induced by intratracheal instillation of ZnO nanoparticles to rat lung. Chemico-Biological Interactions, 198, 29-37.

[15] He, X., Aker, W. G., & Hwang, H. M. (2014). An in vivo study on the photo-enhanced toxicities of S-doped TiO2 nanoparticles to zebrafish embryos (Danio rerio) in terms of malformation, mortality, rheotaxis dysfunction, and DNA damage. Nanotoxicology, 8(S1), 185-195.

[16] He, X., Aker, W. G., Fu, P. P., & Hwang, H. M. (2015). Toxicity of engineered metal oxide nanomaterials mediated by nano-bio-eco-interactions: A review and perspective. Environmental Science: Nano, 2, 564-582.

[17] Hirai, T., Yoshioka, Y., Ichihashi, K. I., Mori, T., Nishijima, N., Handa, T., Takahashi, H., Tsunoda, S. I., Higashisaka, K., & Tsutsumi, Y. (2014). Silver nanoparticles induce silver nanoparticle-specific allergic responses (HYP6P.274). Journal of Immunology, 192(1 Suppl), 118-119.

[18] Huang, J. Y., Chieng, Y. Y., Li, X., & Zhou, W. (2015). Experimental and mathematical assessment of migration from multilayer food packaging containing a novel clay/polymer nanocomposite. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 8, 382-393.

[19] Inbaraj, B. S., & Chen, B. H. (2015). Nanomaterial-based sensors for detection of foodborne bacterial pathogens and toxins as well as pork adulteration in meat products. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, 24, 15-28.

[20] Jovanovic, B. (2015). Critical review of public health regulations of titanium dioxide, a human food additive. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management, 11, 10-20.

[21] Karakoti, A., Singh, S., Dowding, J. M., Seal, S., & Self, W. T. (2010). Redox-active radical scavenging nanomaterials. Chemical Society Reviews, 39, 4422-4432.

[22] Karlsson, H. L., Cronholm, P., Hedberg, Y., Tornberg, M., Battice, L. D., Svedhem, S., & Wallinder, I. O. (2013). Cell membrane damage and protein interaction induced by copper containing nanoparticles—Importance of the metal release process. Toxicology, 313, 59-69.

[23] Lefebvre, D. E., Pearce, B., Fine, J. H., Chomyshyn, E., Ross, N., Halappanavar, S., Tayabali, A. F., Curran, I., & Bondy, G. S. (2014). In vitro enhancement of mouse T helper 2 cell sensitization to ovalbumin allergen by carbon black nanoparticles. Toxicological Sciences, 138, 322-332.

[24] Llorens, A., Lloret, E., Picouet, P. A., Trbojevich, R., & Fernandez, A. (2012). Metallic-based micro and nanocomposites in food contact materials and active food packaging. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 24, 19-29.

[25] Magnuson, B. A., Jonaitis, T. S., & Card, J. W. (2011). A brief review of the occurrence, use, and safety of food-related nanomaterials. Journal of Food Science, 76, R126-R133.

[26] McShan, D., Ray, P. C., & Yu, H. (2014). Molecular toxicity mechanism of nanosilver. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, 22, 116-127.

[27] Medeiros, B. G. S., Souza, M. P., Pinheiro, A. C., Bourbon, A. I., Cerqueira, M. A., Vicente, A. A., & Carneiro-da-Cunha, M. G. (2014). Physical characterisation of an alginate/lysozyme nano-laminate coating and its evaluation on 'Coalho' cheese shelf life. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 7, 1088-1098.

[28] Mihindukulasuriya, S. D. F., & Lim, L. T. (2014). Nanotechnology development in food packaging: A review. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 40, 149-167.

[29] Mozafari, M. R., Flanagan, J., Matia-Merino, L., Awati, A., Omri, A., Suntres, Z. E., & Singh, H. (2006). Recent trends in the lipid-based nanoencapsulation of antioxidants and their role in foods. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 86, 2038-2045.

[30] Nygaard, U. C., Hansen, J. S., Samuelsen, M., Alberg, T., Marioara, C. D., & Lovik, M. (2009). Single-walled and multi-walled carbon nanotubes promote allergic immune responses in mice. Toxicological Sciences, 109, 113-123.

[31] Palchetti, I., & Mascini, M. (2008). Electroanalytical biosensors and their potential for food pathogen and toxin detection. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 391, 455-471.

[32] Pathakoti, K., Huang, M. J., Watts, J. D., He, X., & Hwang, H. M. (2014). Using experimental data of Escherichia coli to develop a QSAR model for predicting the photo-induced cytotoxicity of metal oxide nanoparticles. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology, 130, 234-240.

[33] Pathakoti, K., Morrow, S., Han, C., Pelaez, M., He, X., Dionysiou, D. D., & Hwang, H. M. (2013). Photo-inactivation of Escherichia coli by sulfur and nitrogen-fluorine-codoped TiO2 nanoparticles under solar simulated light and visible light irradiation. Environmental Science & Technology, 47, 9988-9996.

[34] Pilolli, R., Monaci, L., & Visconti, A. (2013). Advances in biosensor development based on integrating nanotechnology and applied to food-allergen management. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 47, 12-26.

[35] Ravichandran, R. (2010). Nanotechnology applications in food and food processing: Innovative green approaches, opportunities and uncertainties for global market. International Journal of Green Nanotechnology: Physics and Chemistry, 1, 72-96.

[36] Ryman-Rasmussen, J. P., Tewksbury, E. W., Moss, O. R., Cesta, M. F., Wong, B. A., & Bonner, J. C. (2009). Inhaled multiwalled carbon nanotubes potentiate airway fibrosis in murine allergic asthma. American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology, 40, 349-358.

[37] Shi, S., Wang, W., Liu, L., Wu, S., Wei, Y., & Li, W. (2013). Effect of chitosan/nano-silica coating on the physicochemical characteristics of longan fruit under ambient temperature. Journal of Food Engineering, 118, 125-131.

[38] Srinivas, P. R., Philbert, M., Vu, T. Q., Huang, Q., Kokini, J. L., Saos, E., Chen, H., Peterson, C. M., Friedl, K. E., McDade-Ngutter, C., Hubbard, V., Starke-Reed, P., Miller, N., Betz, J. M., Dwyer, J., & Milner, J. (2010). Nanotechnology research: Applications in nutritional sciences. The Journal of Nutrition, 140, 119-124.

[39] Syed, S., Zubair, A., & Frieri, M. (2013). Immune response to nanomaterials: Implications for medicine and literature review. Current Allergy and Asthma Reports, 13(1), 50-57. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-012-0302-3.

[40] Weiss, J., Takhistov, P., & McClements, D. J. (2006). Functional materials in food nanotechnology. Journal of Food Science, 71(9), R107-R116.

[41] Yang, F. M., Li, H. M., Li, F., Xin, Z. H., Zhao, L. Y., Zheng, Y. H., & Hu, Q. H. (2010). Effect of nano-packing on preservation quality of fresh strawberry (Fragaria ananassa Duch. cv Fengxiang) during storage at 4 degrees C. Journal of Food Science, 75(3), C236-C240. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.01520.x

[42] Youssef, A. M. (2013). Polymer nanocomposites as a new trend for packaging applications. Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering, 52(6), 635-660.

[43] Yu, Y., Zhang, S., Ren, Y., Li, H., Zhang, X., & Di, J. (2012). Jujube preservation using chitosan film with nano-silicon dioxide. Journal of Food Engineering, 113, 408-414.