EVENT ANALYSIS – DEAF AND DUMB

[1]Ayyappan R, [2]Shruthika C, [3]Surendhiran K, [4]Suryaprakash A, [5]Teajashree R

[1]Assistant Professor, [2,3,4,5]UG Student

[1]Information Technology,

[1]Knowledge institute of Technology, Salem,Tamil Nadu,India

Abstract: A conceptual model was experimentally tested by surveying real users of social media after a comprehensive review of technology acceptance, technology addiction, and meetings with student communities at select universities. The findings reveal that technology acceptance, its ease of use, and its perceived benefits play a decisive role in students' decisions to use social media. Further investigations should also explore the effects of specific social networking platforms, including Facebook, WeChat, What's App, and Twitter. We first crawled datasets and then generated features from the datasets. Finally, three famous regression methods, i.e., support vector machine, random forest, and decision tree, were used to predict the popularity of events.

Index Terms - *Python, machine learning, random forest, confusion matrix.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In the modern era, social media and social networks drastically influence the student community, and such technology is progressively becoming an everyday part of every individual's life in modern society. Innovations are taking place rapidly in the field of information technologies and are being introduced via numerous social media and networking websites. For example, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, and WhatsApp provide new-fangled social interaction patterns of communication, and some of these methods of communication are neither directed nor reciprocated. Indeed, users of social media can read or see the online, self-published posts of their friends without direct interaction with those friends. Thus, social media refers to computer-mediated technology facilitating the growth and sharing of ideas, awareness, career interests, information, and other methods of expression through social networks and virtual communities. The contents generated by social media users, such as comments, posts, digital photos, video sharing, and all online interaction data, are critical and represent the lifeblood of social networking and social media sites. Social media users typically access the services of social media via the internet or other web-based technology on their laptops or desktop computers or by downloading applications that extend the functionality of social media and social networks to mobile devices, such as smartphones or tablets As a result of engagement with such services, social media users typically create highly interactive platforms through which individual students or student organizations can share ideas, co-create, modify, and discuss user-generated content or previous content posted online.

II. IMPORTANCE AND IMPACT OF EVENT ANALYSIS – DEAF AND DUMB
The importance and impact of event analysis – deaf and dumb

2.1 Communication Enhancement:
Event analysis allows individuals who are deaf and dumb to reflect on their communication experiences and identify effective strategies for interaction. By examining past events, they can learn from successful interactions and develop skills to navigate various social, educational and professional settings.

2.2 Barrier identification and removal:

Analyzing events helps identify communication barriers faced by individuals who are deaf and dumb. These barriers may include lack of sign language interpretation, inaccessible technology, or limited awareness and understanding of their communication needs. By recognizing these barriers, steps can be taken to remove them and promote greater accessibility and inclusivity.

2.3 Empowerment and self-advocacy:

Events analysis empowers individuals who are deaf and dumb to advocate for their own communication rights and needs. By understanding their communicate their preferences and requirements to others, leading to more inclusive and accommodating environments.

2.4 Education and training:

Event analysis informs the development of educational programs and training materials tailored to the needs of individuals who are deaf and dumb. Teachers, caregivers, and support staff can analyze events to better understand effective communication strategies and provide targeted support and guidance.

III. APPLICATIONS OF EVENT ANALYSIS – DEAF AND DUMB

Event analysis – deaf and dumb has several applications in various industries. Some of the significant applications are

3.1 Communication assessment:
- Event analysis can be used to assess communication situations and interactions, helping individuals and caregivers understand how effective communication strategies are being implemented.
- By analyzing events where communications breakdowns occur, patterns can be identified and addressed through appropriate interventions.

3.2 Education and training:
- Event analysis can play a crucial role in the education and training of individuals who are deaf and dumb, as well as those who interact with them.
- Teachers, caregivers, and support staff can analyze events to identify successful communication strategies and areas needing improvement.

3.3 Social interaction:
- Analyzing social events can help individuals who are deaf and dumb navigate social situations more effectively.
- By understanding the dynamics of social interactions, they can learn to anticipate non-verbal cues, gestures, and other communication signals to better participate in conversations and activities.

3.4 Conflict resolution:
- Event analysis can assist in resolving conflicts and misunderstandings that may arise due to communication barriers.
- By analyzing events where conflicts occur, mediators can identify the underlying issues and develop strategies to facilitate resolution and promote effective communication between parties.

3.5 Accessibility design:
- Event analysis can inform the design of accessible environments, products, and services for individuals who are deaf and dumb.
- By analyzing events in various settings (e.g.,educational institution, workplaces, public access), designers can identify barrier s to access and develop solutions to improve inclusivity and accommodation.

IV. CHALLENGES OF EVENT ANALYSIS – DEAF AND DUMB

The challenges of Event analysis – deaf and dumb are

4.1 Access to data:
Obtaining sufficient and diverse data for events analysis can be challenging, particularly in contexts when individuals who are deaf and dumb may face barriers to participation or documentation of events.

4.2 Cultural and linguistic diversity:
Deaf communities often have diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds, which can pose challenges for events analysis. Cultural nuances and variations is sign language usage may impact the interpretation and analysis of events.

4.3 Technological limitations:
Existing technologies for event analysis may not fully accommodate the communication needs of individuals who are deaf and dumb. There may be limited tools or platforms that support the analysis of sign language or other non-verbal communication modalities.

4.4 Interdisciplinary collaboration:
Effective events analysis for individuals who are deaf and dumb requires collaboration between researchers, educators, technologies, and members of the deaf community. Building interdisciplinary partnerships and fostering mutual understanding can be challenging but essential for developing relevant and impactful analyses.

4.5 Ethical considerations:
Ethical considerations related to privacy, consent, and representation of individuals who are deaf and dumb in event analysis must be carefully addressed. Researchers and partitioners need to ensure that their analyses respect the dignity and autonomy of participants.

V. FUTURE DIRECTIONS OF IPC CHATBOT

The Future directions of Event analysis – deaf and dumb are

5.1 Advancements in technology:
Future advancements in technology, such as natural language processing (NLP) algorithms tailored to sign language recognition and analysis, can significantly enhance event analysis capabilities for individuals who are deaf and dumb.

5.2 Inclusive design:
There is a growing recognition of the importance of inclusive design principles in technology and product development. Future directions for event analysis should prioritize the design of accessible tools and platforms that accommodate diverse communication needs and preferences.

5.3 Community engagement and co-creations:
Involving members of the deaf community as active partners in the development and implementation of event analysis initiative is crucial. Co-creatin approaches can ensure that analyses are culturally relevant, respectful and aligned with the priorities and values of the community.

5.4 Education and capacity building:
Future directions for events analysis should include efforts to enhance the capacity of educators, caregivers and support professionals to conduct meaningful analyses of events involving individuals who are deaf and dumb. Training programs can provide valuable skills and resources for effectively communication support.

5.5 Policy and advocacy:
Continued advocacy for policies and practices that promote communication accessibility and inclusion for individuals who are deaf and dumb is essential. Future directions should focus on addressing systemic barriers and advancing rights-based approaches to event analysis and communication support.

VI. CONCLUSION

This work contributes to the pertinent literature by investigating social media use based on the data of this population. This study argues that it is a novel contribution to scientific knowledge as there was no prior existing literature evidence that bridges and integrates the students' views on the adoption of social media as a supporting tool in higher education. Students and educators are recognized as the most pertinent stakeholders from an educational point of view as these two-use social medial applications to facilitate learning environment and co-creation of knowledge.

VII. FUTURE WORKS

Future impact of attracting content and suitable hosting time of events when event organizers create offline activities but also helps administrators of social networks to be aware of the importance of events contents. This work opens a new promising direction for future work: time-optimized planning for events and users, in other words, how organizers can catch users.

References

[1]   Sapountzi, A.; Psannis, K.E. Social networking data analysis tools & challenges. Future Gener. Comput.   Syst. 2018, 86, 893–913.

[2] Lee, A.R.; Suzanne Horsley, J. The role of social media on positive youth development: An analysis of 4-H Facebook page and 4-H'ers' positive development. Child. Youth Serv. Rev. 2017, 77, 127–138.

[3] Yusop, F.D.; Sumari, M. The Use of Social Media Technologies among Malaysian Youth. Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci. 2013, 103, 1204–1209.

[4] Mayhew, A.; Weigle, P. Media Engagement and Identity Formation among Minority Youth. Child Adolesc. Psychiatr. Clin. North Am. 2018, 27, 269–285.

[5] Nielsen, M.I.S.W. Computer-mediated communication and self-awareness—A selective review. Comput. Hum. Behav. 2017, 76, 554–560.

[6] Russell, B.S.; Maksut, J.L.; Lincoln, C.R.; Leland, A.J. Computer-mediated parenting education: Digital family service provision. Child. Youth Serv. Rev. 2016, 62, 1–8.

[7] Shepherd, S.V.; Freiwald, W.A. Functional Networks for Social Communication in the Macaque Monkey. Neuron 2018, 99, 413–420.e3.

[8] Birim, B. Evaluation of Corporate Social Responsibility and Social Media as Key Source of Strategic Communication. Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci. 2016, 235, 70–75.

[9] Pivec, M.; Maček, A. Employment background influence on social media usage in the field of European project management and communication. J. Bus. Res. 2019, 94, 280–289.

[10]   Scholz, M.; Schnurbus, J.; Haupt, H.; Dorner, V.; Landherr, A.; Probst, F. Dynamic effects of user- and marketer-generated content on consumer purchase behavior: Modeling the hierarchical structure of social media websites. Decis. Support Syst. 2018, 113, 43–55.

[11]   Zhu, L.; Anagondahalli, D.; Zhang, A. Social media and culture in crisis communication: McDonald's and KFC crises management in China. Public Relat. Rev. 2017, 43, 487–492.

[12]   Intravia, J.; Wolff, K.T.; Paez, R.; Gibbs, B.R. Investigating the relationship between social media consumption and fear of crime: A partial analysis of mostly young adults. Comput. Hum. Behav. 2017, 77, 158–168.

[13]   Reddick, C.G.; Norris, D.F. Social media adoption at the American grass roots: Web 2.0 or 1.5? Gov. Inf. Q. 2013, 30, 498–507.

[14]   Yoo, J.H.; Jeong, E.J. Psychosocial effects of SNS use: A longitudinal study focused on the moderation effect of social capital. Comput. Hum. Behav. 2017, 69, 108–119.