DEONTOLOGICAL APPROACH TO RESOLVE PROBLEM IN MEDICAL ETHICS

Basanta Kumar Nayak
PhD Research Scholar,
Department of Philosophy
Ravenshaw University. Cuttack

Abstract

Medical ethics is an intricate domain that frequently confronts practitioners with moral quandaries and ethical obstacles. Deontology is a notable method to tackle these problems. It is a moral framework that prioritizes the underlying morality or immorality of actions, regardless of their outcomes. This paper presents a comprehensive examination of how the deontological approach might be utilized to address medical ethics dilemmas.

Deontological ethics, commonly linked to philosophers such as Immanuel Kant, focuses on the notion of duty and moral principles. Within the realm of medical ethics, this approach underscores the significance of strictly adhering to particular moral principles and duties, irrespective of potential consequences. Medical practitioners adhere to a set of ethical norms, including autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and fairness. Deontology emphasizes the importance of maintaining these ideals.

The paper analyzes real-world medical situations in which deontological principles clash with consequentialist or utilitarian considerations. The research examines scenarios including patient autonomy, end-of-life decisions, informed consent, and the allocation of limited resources. It sheds insight on the difficulties healthcare professionals encounter while making ethical decisions. Moreover, the study examines the importance of honoring patients' rights and dignity within a deontological framework, highlighting the ethical obligation of healthcare workers to follow these ideals.

Key Words: Deontology, Ethical Challenge, Medical Ethics, Patient Autonomy.

Introduction

The deontological method is a primary ethical paradigm employed for resolving dilemmas in the field of medical ethics. Deontology, originating from the Greek terms "deon" (meaning obligation) and "logos" (meaning science or study), prioritizes moral principles, duties, and the inherent characteristics of actions over their outcomes. Within the realm of medical ethics, this method provides guidance to healthcare workers, researchers, and politicians when making ethical judgments. It emphasizes the need to address moral commitments and principles rather than only focusing on the effects of their activities.

Deontological ethics is a moral philosophy that centers on the intrinsic moral correctness or incorrectness of actions, irrespective of their outcomes. This approach is frequently employed to address ethical quandaries in several domains, such as medical ethics. Deontological ethics in healthcare offers standards and concepts that assist healthcare workers in making ethical decisions, fulfilling moral obligations, and honoring the rights of patients and other stakeholders in medical scenarios. Deontological ethics, commonly linked to philosophers such as Immanuel Kant, centers on the inherent moral correctness or incorrectness of actions, irrespective of their outcomes. Within the realm of medical ethics, deontological approaches furnish healthcare workers with a framework to make ethical decisions by adhering to obligations, norms, and principles.

Deontological Ethics in Medical Ethics:

Deontological ethics is a moral philosophy that prioritizes the inherent moral correctness of actions rather than the outcomes resulting from such actions. Within the realm of medical ethics, deontological ethics offers a structured approach for healthcare practitioners to make ethical judgments by adhering to certain obligations, principles, or regulations. Deontological ethics prioritizes the intrinsic value and respect for each human. When confronted with a particular ethical dilemma in the field of medical ethics, healthcare professionals and ethicists may utilize these deontological concepts as a framework to direct their decision-making process. When faced with a scenario in which a patient asks for a therapy that the healthcare provider considers not beneficial for the patient, a deontological approach necessitates a thorough examination of the principles of autonomy, beneficence, and non-maleficence.

Deontological ethics entails adherence to moral standards or responsibilities that individuals are morally compelled to uphold, irrespective of the consequences. When applied to medical ethics, these principles frequently encompass respect for patients' autonomy and dignity, the commitment to honesty and truthfulness in communication, and the obligation to avoid causing damage (non-maleficence), among other considerations. Deontological principles are frequently juxtaposed with consequentialist theories, such as utilitarianism, which assess the morality of actions based on their consequences.

Autonomy

Deontological ethics prioritizes the recognition and preservation of patients' autonomy. Healthcare workers are obligated to uphold the judgments and choices made by competent patients, even if they hold differing opinions. Self-governance and the provision of consent after being fully informed Deontological ethics prioritizes the recognition and preservation of patients' autonomy. Consequently, healthcare practitioners are obligated to uphold patients' entitlement to make well-informed choices regarding their own medical care.   Obtaining informed consent is a crucial principle in the field of medical ethics since it grants patients the authority to make decisions regarding their treatment after being provided with pertinent information.

Deontological techniques frequently entail a strict commitment to moral obligations or principles. For example, a physician is obligated to deliver optimal care to their patients, uphold confidentiality, and acquire informed consent prior to conducting any medical interventions. Deontological ethics prioritizes the significance of upholding individuals' autonomy and their entitlement to make decisions regarding their own healthcare. Healthcare practitioners are obligated to provide comprehensive information to patients regarding their medical issues, available treatment alternatives, and associated hazards. Treatment decisions should be in accordance with the patient's autonomous preferences.

Challenges in Medical Ethics

The integration of deontological ethics in medical practice might occasionally result in moral quandaries. There are cases where honoring a patient's autonomy, such as when they decline a life-saving treatment, clashes with the principle of beneficence, which is about doing what is in the patient's best interest. Healthcare providers frequently encounter intricate ethical dilemmas, typically employing individualized examination and ethical principles to overcome them. This offers a systematic basis for healthcare practitioners to make moral judgments and give precedence to the welfare of patients and their personal liberties. Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that alternative ethical frameworks, such as utilitarianism and virtue ethics, also contribute to the development of medical ethics. Additionally, the implementation of deontological principles may differ depending on the specific circumstances and cultural environments.

It is ethically imperative that patients receive comprehensive information regarding their medical condition, treatment alternatives, potential hazards, and advantages. Healthcare practitioners are obligated to uphold the patient's autonomy and enable them to make informed decisions. Deontological ethics mandates that healthcare practitioners uphold patient confidentiality. Although disclosing information could potentially avoid harm, violating secrecy is deemed morally unacceptable under this perspective.

Duty-Based Ethics:

Deontology argues that persons possess moral duties and obligations that ought to govern their conduct. Within the field of medicine, these responsibilities frequently arise from established professional standards, ethical directives, and fundamental values such as patient self-governance, the promotion of well-being, the avoidance of harm, and fairness.

Healthcare workers are obligated to fulfill specific obligations and responsibilities. For instance, the obligation to deliver treatment, mitigate distress, and uphold patient confidentiality Within a deontological paradigm, these obligations are unconditional and cannot be compromised for the sake of a higher benefit. Decisions are made with the intention of fulfilling these obligations. Deontological ethics mandates that healthcare practitioners refrain from deliberately causing injury. From a deontological standpoint, a treatment may be deemed ethically incorrect even if it has potential benefits, if it causes harm or contradicts the patient's desires. It refers to actively advocating for the welfare of patients. Healthcare practitioners have a duty to deliver optimal care, taking into account the potential advantages and disadvantages of various treatment alternatives. The emphasis lies on carrying out actions that are intrinsically beneficial and morally correct for the patient.

Justice and Fairness:

Deontological ethics also deals with matters pertaining to equity and impartiality. An equitable allocation of medical resources and treatments should be ensured, devoid of any form of discrimination. Decisions about organ transplants, resource distribution in emergencies, and research involving vulnerable populations should conform to principles of fairness.

Truthfulness and Honesty:

Deontological ethics requires individuals to adhere to principles of honesty and integrity when communicating. Healthcare providers are obligated to uphold honesty and integrity when communicating with patients, colleagues, and other stakeholders, regardless of the potential challenges or negative outcomes that may arise. Respecting individuals entails acknowledging their entitlement to the truth. Truthfulness and honesty are fundamental values that provide the bedrock of trust and integrity in all types of relationships, be they personal, professional, or social.

Truthfulness is the characteristic of being genuine and sincere. It entails exhibiting candor and authenticity in all of one's acts, words, and intentions. A true individual is characterized by their consistent adherence to honesty, refraining from any form of falsehood, deception, or manipulation in their interactions with others. They give information and facts in an unadulterated and un-manipulated manner. Truthfulness encompasses self-honesty, recognizing one's own capabilities and limitations, and confronting the actuality of circumstances. In contrast, honesty embraces a more expansive and comprehensive definition. It is essential to not only convey factual information but also to exhibit impartiality, authenticity, and openness in every exchange. Integrity is

demonstrated by those who uphold moral and ethical ideals, even in the absence of observers. They abstain from participating in fraudulent activities, cheating, or any kind of deception. Honesty encompasses a profound commitment to moral uprightness and a conscientious regard for both oneself and others.

Both sincerity and integrity are essential in establishing and sustaining relationships, whether they be personal or professional. Honesty in personal interactions cultivates trust and emotional closeness. Honesty and integrity are crucial in professional environments for facilitating efficient communication, fostering collaboration, and ensuring ethical decision-making. Moreover, these characteristics are essential for the formation of one's character. Developing the virtues of candor and honesty not only improves your standing but also has a favorable impact on society as a whole. Individuals who possess the qualities of veracity and integrity serve as a source of inspiration for others, fostering an environment characterized by trustworthiness and credibility. It is crucial to recognize that practicing truthfulness and honesty does not imply being blunt or lacking sensitivity. One can convey challenging truths with compassion and benevolence. Striking a balance between honesty and empathy is a characteristic of emotional intelligence and contributes to the maintenance of robust relationships.

Consideration of Moral Rules:

Deontological ethics is based on moral principles or maxims. Healthcare workers are required to adhere to established ethical principles and standards, ensuring that their actions are in accordance with these regulations. One example is the principle of double effect, which allows for the permissibility of an action that has both positive and negative consequences, as long as the aim is good and the negative consequence is not the intended outcome.

When employing a deontological framework, it is vital for healthcare practitioners to meticulously contemplate their ethical obligations, regulations, and tenets in every circumstance. This procedure can facilitate the formulation of ethically upright choices that maintain the autonomy, dignity, and well-being of patients while also adhering to the core tenets of medical ethics.

Conclusion,

The deontological method is an invaluable tool for resolving issues in the field of medical ethics. This highlights the significance of following moral principles and obligations, therefore providing guidance to healthcare workers in making morally good choices. Although not a cure-all, its focus on moral conduct makes a substantial contribution to the ethical implementation of medicine and the safeguarding of patient rights and well-being. It should be emphasized that deontological ethics does not consistently offer unambiguous solutions to intricate ethical quandaries. Ethical conflicts often arise when healthcare professionals must weigh and reconcile conflicting duties and ideals. In such situations, professionals must employ discernment and engage in deliberate moral reasoning to ascertain the most ethical path to follow. In practical medical ethics, a blend of deontological and consequentialist ethical considerations is commonly employed to reach the most ethically sound conclusions,

with a focus on the outcomes. The deontological approach in medical ethics offers a principled basis for healthcare practitioners to handle intricate ethical issues, give priority to moral duties and obligations, and safeguard the rights and well-being of patients while honoring their autonomy and dignity.

References

- Devettere, R. J. (2002). *Introduction to virtue ethics: Insights of the ancient Greeks*. Georgetown University Press.
- Benlahcene, A., Zainuddin, R. B., Syakiran, N., & Ismail, A. B. (2018). A narrative review of ethics theories: Teleological & deontological Ethics. *Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, *23*(1), 31-32.
- Doherty, R. F. (2020). *Ethical dimensions in the health professions-e-book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
- Everett, J. A., Pizarro, D. A., & Crockett, M. J. (2016). Inference of trustworthiness from intuitive moral judgments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *145*(6), 772.
- Secker, B. (1999). The appearance of Kant's deontology in contemporary Kantianism: Concepts of patient autonomy in bioethics. *The Journal of medicine and philosophy*, *24*(1), 43-66.
- Tseng, P. E., & Wang, Y. H. (2021). Deontological or utilitarian? An eternal ethical dilemma in outbreak. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *18*(16), 8565.
- De Roubaix, J. A. M. (2011). Beneficence, non-maleficence, distributive justice and respect for patient autonomy–reconcilable ends in aesthetic surgery?. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery*, *64*(1), 11-16.
- Daniels, N., & Sabin, J. (2002). *Setting limits fairly: can we learn to share medical resources?*. Oxford University Press.
- Banks, S., & Gallagher, A. (2008). *Ethics in professional life: Virtues for health and social care*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Taylor, R. M. (2013). Ethical principles and concepts in medicine. *Handbook of clinical neurology*, *118*, 1-9.
- Duggan, P. S., Geller, G., Cooper, L. A., & Beach, M. C. (2006). The moral nature of patient-centeredness: is it "just the right thing to do"?. *Patient education and counseling*, *62*(2), 271-276.