



Copyright and the Film Industry: Investigating the Legal Challenges in the Production and Distribution of Films

AUTHOR

MADHUSHREE CHAKRABORTY

LLM Student (International trade and Intellectual property law)

Christ deemed to be University, Bangalore

ABSTRACT

The film industry stands as a beacon of creative expression, but its journey from script to screen is illuminated by a complex web of legal challenges associated with copyright. This research paper delves deeply into the complex legal environment that surrounds copyright in the film industry, emphasizing the various obstacles that arise during the production and distribution processes. It focuses into these challenges, seeking to comprehensively analyze their historical roots, legal definitions, and global regulatory frameworks.

It subsequently dives into the legal intricacies that crop up during the filmmaking process, such as the difficulties involved in acquiring script rights, obtaining location approvals, and signing talent contracts. Additionally, the study looks into the widespread problems with copyright infringement that plague the distribution phase, such as the frequency of unauthorized distribution channels and online piracy.

Through a comparative lens, this article highlights how various nations approach copyright in film, emphasizing the ever-expanding role of digital technology. Moreover, the indispensable role of the judiciary in preserving intellectual property rights is also scrutinized specially in interpreting the copyright laws and their efforts to implement the same through various landmark judgements. Ultimately, this article examines the policy and legal frameworks that currently govern copyright in the film industry and offers recommendations to strengthen the legal system, promote global collaboration, and support creative solutions that will strengthen the protection of filmmakers' intellectual property rights.

Keywords: copyright infringement, film industry, intellectual property rights, legal intricacies

INTRODUCTION

The film industry, often celebrated as a bastion of creative ingenuity, is paradoxically entangled in a legal labyrinth rife with copyright complexities.¹ From the inception of an idea to its manifestation on the silver screen, the journey of a film involves a myriad of legal intricacies that demand meticulous attention. These intricacies have substantial implications for the stakeholders involved, encompassing not only the filmmakers but also the countless professionals whose contributions shape the final product.

At its core, copyright serves as the guardian of intellectual property, preserving the rights of creators and incentivizing innovation. However, within the realm of film, the terrain is fraught with ambiguities, posing a formidable challenge for those seeking to navigate it² (Johnson & Smith, 2019). As we embark on a journey through this intricate legal landscape, this article sets out to elucidate the historical evolution of copyright issues within the film industry. It then proceeds to define the magnitude of the problem, exploring the pervasive challenges faced in the production and distribution of films.

The global stage further complicates matters. With a vast array of national and international laws, treaties, and agreements, each governing intellectual property in a unique manner, filmmakers often find themselves negotiating a complex web of regulations. Consequently, a comparative analysis of these diverse legal approaches is imperative to comprehend the broader global context.

In addition to legislatures and policymakers, the judiciary plays a pivotal role in interpreting and upholding copyright laws in the film industry. Notably, landmark cases have significantly shaped the legal landscape and influenced the ways in which copyright is understood and applied in the context of film.

This article seeks to address these multifaceted issues through a comprehensive examination. By doing so, it aims to provide not only an in-depth understanding of the challenges but also practical recommendations that may chart a course through the intricate and ever-evolving copyright landscape of the film industry.

1. ¹ Litman, J., The exclusive right to read. *Vanderbilt Law Review*, 59, 857-903(2019)

1. ² Lund R, *Unthinking Copyright: A Social and Material History of Copyright as Permission*. Temple University Press.(2015)

Research Problem

The film industry's creative dynamism coexists with a myriad of legal challenges revolving around copyright, demanding a rigorous investigation. These challenges have persisted over the years, impacting various stages of film production and distribution. Filmmakers often face issues related to uncredited borrowing and plagiarism, raising questions about the originality and ethical aspects of content creation. The digital era has ushered in new challenges related to online piracy and unauthorized distribution of films, resulting in financial losses for the industry. This research will delve into the mechanisms and consequences of such piracy and unauthorized distribution. The research will also explore the disparities and their impact on global film markets and delve into specific areas of concerns, such as fair use and intellectual property disputes.

Research Objective

1. To analyze the legal challenges in film production and distribution, exploring how copyright laws impact the industry.
2. To provide a comprehensive overview of the legal frameworks governing copyright in the film industry, both at the national and international levels and the loopholes in such laws.
3. To conduct a comparative study of how different countries and regions approach copyright issues in the film industry.
4. To analyze the role of the judiciary in interpreting and upholding copyright laws in the film industry.

Research Questions

1. How are copyright challenges, including plagiarism, unauthorized borrowing, and infringement, legally defined in the film industry, and what are their creative and financial implications?
2. What are the major national and international legal frameworks and regulations governing copyright in the film industry, and how do these regulations impact filmmakers and industry stakeholders?
3. What is the role of the judiciary in interpreting and enforcing copyright laws in the film industry, and what are the notable legal cases that have influenced copyright jurisprudence?

History:

Copyright protection was not clearly defined in the early days of film making. A lot of movies were adaptations of works that were in the public domain, and issues with illegal copying were mostly ignored. The necessity for copyright protection became apparent as the film industry expanded. Intellectual property rights in the film industry were established as a result of legal conflicts, such as the Edison Trust's attempts to restrict the production of films. In an attempt to control the film business, a number of significant film corporations established the Motion Picture Patents Company, which sparked copyright conflicts and antitrust cases.

The historical evolution of copyright challenges within the film industry is marked by several significant cases. The landmark case of 'Nichols v. Universal Pictures Corp' (1930)³ is a poignant example, where the courts grappled with the fine line between inspiration and infringement, laying the foundation for future copyright disputes.

The 1976 Copyright Act in the United States, which included provisions specifically addressing audiovisual works, marked a pivotal moment in the industry's legal framework. However, it also triggered debates on fair use and the rights of creators, exemplified in cases like 'Harper & Row, Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enterprises' (1985).⁴

The digital era, ushered in during the late 20th century, brought forth a new wave of challenges. The landmark case of 'A&M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc.' (2001)⁵ exemplified the struggle to balance copyright protection with the emerging technology of peer-to-peer file sharing. The historical trajectory of copyright issues in the film industry is marked by a constant struggle to adapt to evolving technologies, creative practices, and the changing legal landscape. Understanding this evolution is crucial for comprehending the contemporary challenges faced by the industry.

Legal challenges in film production:

In the film industry, copyright challenges encompass a spectrum of issues that pertain to the unauthorized use, reproduction, and distribution of creative content. One such problem involves plagiarism and unauthorized borrowing. Plagiarism involves the uncredited replication of someone else's work, including scripts, storylines, or scenes, resulting in a lack of acknowledgment for the original creator. Unauthorized borrowing includes the use of elements from another work without proper attribution or permissions, leading to potential copyright infringement issues. This can result in copyright infringement which occurs when someone uses copyrighted material without obtaining the necessary permissions, licenses, or rights, infringing on the copyright owner's exclusive rights to reproduce, distribute, and display the work⁶.

³ Nichols v. Universal Pictures Corp., 45 F.2d 119, 1930

⁴ Harper & Row v. Nation Enterprises, 471 U.S. 539 (1985)

⁵ A&M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc., 239 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2001)

Also, the concept of "fair use" allows limited use of copyrighted material without permission for purposes such as criticism, commentary, or parody.⁷ However, defining the boundaries of fair use in the context of film can be a contentious issue, often leading to legal disputes. To add to this orphan works also pose a great problem to the film makers. Orphan works are those whose copyright owners cannot be identified or located. Filmmakers encounter challenges in using or reusing orphan works due to the ambiguity surrounding ownership and rights clearance.

The magnitude of these problems is substantial, affecting both the creative and financial aspects of the film industry. Financially, copyright infringement, unauthorized distribution, and plagiarism result in significant economic losses. Creatively, these issues hinder artistic innovation and raise ethical concerns, as they undermine the principles of originality and creative ownership.

Unauthorized online distribution, facilitated by digital technologies, has exacerbated the issue. Piracy and illegal file-sharing platforms have led to billions of dollars in lost revenue for the film industry, impacting not only major studios but also independent filmmakers. Furthermore, the global nature of film distribution introduces complexities related to international copyright enforcement, adding to the magnitude of the problem.

⁶ Lipson, J. C., Law of Copyright (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.(2019)

⁷ Lund R, Unthinking Copyright: A Social and Material History of Copyright as Permission. Temple University Press.(2015)

National and International Laws and their implications for the film industry.

The film industry operates within a complex legal framework of national and international copyright laws and treaties. Understanding this framework is crucial in comprehending the legal challenges faced by filmmakers.

National Copyright Laws: Each country has its own set of national copyright laws that govern intellectual property, including creative works within the film industry. For example, the United States has the Copyright Act, which provides legal protections and exclusive rights for copyright owners. National copyright laws define the scope and duration of protection, establish provisions for fair use, and outline the process for obtaining copyright registration.

In the European Union, directives such as the Copyright Directive (2019/790) aim to harmonize copyright regulations across member states. These directives address issues like copyright in the digital single market and the use of copyrighted content in educational settings.

Indian national laws are governed by the Copyright Act, 1957. Original literary, dramatic, musical, and creative works—as well as computer programs, cinematographic films, and sound recordings—are protected by Indian copyright law. It also includes broadcasts and performances. The duration of a copyright protection varies based on its type. It usually lasts for the author's lifetime plus an additional 60 years. For works written under pseudonyms or in anonymity, it lasts for 60 years after the date of publication.

Fair dealing clauses are also included in Indian copyright law, permitting the restricted use of copyrighted content for things like news reporting, analysis, critique, research, and teaching. Authors of works have some moral rights, including the ability to protest to unfair criticism of their creations and the right to attribution. Nonetheless, owners of copyrights may grant licenses or assign their rights to third parties, enabling the commercial use or modification of their creations.

In order to comply with international agreements like the TRIPS Agreement and the Berne Convention, the Copyright Act has been modified. India has ratified the WIPO Copyright Treaty as well.

International Treaties and Agreements: International copyright treaties and agreements play a significant role in establishing global standards for copyright protection. The Berne Convention, first established in 1886 and revised several times, sets the foundation for international copyright protection. It requires member countries to provide copyright protection to works of authors from other member countries on the same basis as to their own nationals.⁸ Part of the World Trade Organization (WTO) agreements, the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) sets minimum standards for copyright protection and enforcement, ensuring that intellectual property is protected on a global scale. Further, the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Copyright Treaty addresses copyright issues in the digital environment, emphasizing the protection of works in digital formats, including films. Many countries also enter into bilateral and regional trade agreements that include provisions related to intellectual property and copyright. These agreements can impact copyright enforcement and international collaboration in the film industry.

Despite the existence of international agreements, differences in how countries implement and enforce copyright laws can result in disparities and complexities. For example, the level of protection, fair use provisions, and remedies for infringement can vary significantly from one nation to another, impacting the distribution and licensing of films on a global scale.

Understanding these national and international legal frameworks is essential for filmmakers, distributors, and legal professionals to navigate the intricacies of copyright law in the film industry effectively.

Comparative Study:

A comparative study of copyright issues in the film industry across different countries and regions provides valuable insights into the global diversity of legal approaches and their impact. Such an analysis helps identify best practices, variations, and areas in need of reform. The comparative analysis reveals the significant variability in how different countries handle copyright issues in the film industry. For instance, some countries, like the United States, have well-established fair use provisions that enable creative freedom but may lead to contentious legal battles⁹. In contrast, other countries, like France, have a more conservative approach to copyright and fair use.¹⁰

⁸ The Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, Retrieved from [https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/berne/.\(1886\)](https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/berne/.(1886))

⁹ Reis R, Fair Use in Copyright Law. In R. Crews (Ed.), Copyright Law for Librarians and Educators (pp. 123-144). Rowman & Littlefield.(2016)

In USA the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), which offers a legislative framework for the protection of copyrighted material, is one of the country's robust and extensive copyright laws. In addition to rules for notice and takedown processes for copyright infringement, the DMCA also includes provisions for safe harbors for internet service providers. While in india The Copyright Act of 1957, which has undergone multiple amendments to conform to international standards, is one of the country's copyright laws. However, because of the Indian court system's backlog of cases, bureaucratic delays, and inadequate resources, enforcement can occasionally be difficult.

The United States Copyright Office, the Department of Justice, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) are just a few of the government organizations that are part of the strong enforcement framework in the United States that works to combat copyright infringement. India has specific organizations tasked with handling copyright infringement, such as the Copyright Office and law enforcement departments. But enforcement has come under fire for being sluggish and ineffective, which has led to a high degree of piracy in the nation.

The United States of America (USA) has enforced strict anti-piracy measures, such as the closure of websites that facilitate copyright infringement, legal actions against piracy-related individuals and businesses, and public awareness programs aimed at instilling the value of intellectual property respect. india has implemented anti-camcording regulations to stop the illicit recording of movies in theaters, as well as blocked websites that violate intellectual property rights. However, given the scope of the problem and the ease with which pirated content is available, these steps may not be as successful as they could be.

Understanding these variations is crucial for filmmakers navigating the global market. The differences in copyright laws have a direct impact on international film distribution. Filmmakers and distributors must navigate these variations when seeking to license, distribute, or screen films in different countries. The comparative study helps identify the challenges posed by copyright disparities and assess the financial implications of navigating these diverse legal landscapes.

The comparative analysis also sheds light on the effectiveness of copyright enforcement measures and anti-piracy efforts in different regions. Countries with robust enforcement mechanisms may experience lower rates of online piracy and copyright infringement¹¹. In contrast, regions with weaker enforcement may face significant challenges in protecting intellectual property. The comparative study further underscores the ongoing debate about balancing copyright protection with creative freedom. Some countries prioritize the protection of creators and intellectual property rights, while others emphasize the importance of exceptions like fair use for the sake of artistic expression and innovation. This tension informs the broader discussion of copyright reform.¹²

¹⁰ Cotter T. F, Fair Use and the Five Factor Test. In C. Godfroid (Ed.), Fair Use, Freedom of Expression, and the Right to Information (pp. 3-23). Edward Elgar Publishing.(2019)

International copyright agreements, such as the Berne Convention and TRIPS Agreement, provide a foundation for harmonizing copyright standards globally. The comparative study assesses how these agreements influence national copyright laws and the practical implications for the film industry. The findings of the comparative study serve as a guide for policymakers, legal experts, and filmmakers seeking to navigate the global film market. By understanding the diversity of copyright approaches and their effects, stakeholders can make informed decisions and advocate for reforms where necessary.

Role of Judiciary:

The judiciary plays a pivotal role in interpreting and enforcing copyright laws within the film industry. Through its decisions, court rulings, and legal precedents, the judiciary significantly influences the legal landscape of copyright in film.

Namaste London (Shree Venkatesh Films vs Vipul Amrutlal Shah)

Vipul Amrutlal Shah had the copyright of Namaste London's script and screenplay. Mr. Shah, the script's owner, filed an action in the Calcutta High Court seeking an order of injunction against the screening of Poran Jaye Joliya Rae after discovering that the Bengali film was a considerable duplication of Namaste London.

The respondents argued that although the plot of the Bengali film was similar to that of the Hindi film, there were a number of situations that were "novel to Bengali cinema," hence the Bengali film stands alone as an original work. the respondent cited examples of Star India v. Leo Burnett and Zee Entertainment v. Gajendra Singh, where the term "copy" was read

¹¹ Danaher, B., Smith, M. D., Telang, R., & Chen., The Effect of Graduated Response Anti-Piracy Laws on Music Sales: Evidence

¹² Geiger, C., & Kretschmer, M. (Not) Lost in Translation: Legal Transplants in Copyright. *The Modern Law Review*, 80(5), 781-819.(2017)

narrowly and it was decided that a "copy" of a cinematograph film is commonly understood to mean "carbon copy" or a "replication" of the whole or a portion of it. the plaintiff on the other hand cited the R.G. Anand Case, in which the honorable Supreme Court ruled that the best way to determine whether a film is a copy or not is to ask a common viewer to watch both films and come to the clear and unmistakable conclusion that the later work is a copy of the original.¹³

the Calcutta High Court ruled that it was a substantial copying of the hindi film, holding that "copy" as defined in the R. Ganand case was more acceptable. As a result, there is a prima facie infringement of the story and screenplay in the movie, and an ad-interim order granting an injunction on its exhibition was passed. A two-judge panel of the same court heard an appeal of this order, but the request was turned down.

Baaghi (XYZ Films V UTV Motion Pictures)

In 2016, XYZ Films launched a lawsuit against UTV Motion Pictures, claiming that the final twenty minutes of the Bollywood blockbuster Baaghi contained a copy and compression of their film, *Raid: The Redemption*. The plaintiffs asked for an injunction to prevent the movie's release. according to the plaintiffs baaghi employed its mail plot and the audience would be confused by the same.¹⁴

according to the Bombay High Court's judgment the plaintiffs copyright doesnot exist in the movies purpoted central topic. It was noted that since the concept of a hero facing off against a villain on a building's top floor is so universal, it cannot be safeguarded . so an order of injunction was not granted by the court.

One of the central roles of the judiciary is to interpret copyright laws and their application to specific cases. This includes determining the scope of copyright protection, the elements of infringement. Court decisions provide clarity on how copyright laws should be understood and implemented in practice. The judiciary also serves as a safeguard for intellectual property rights in the film industry. Courts have the authority to issue injunctions, award damages, and provide other remedies in cases of copyright infringement. These legal actions aim to protect the rights of creators and copyright owners.¹⁵

¹³ Venkatesh Films Pvt. Ltd. & Others v/s Vipul Amrutlal Shah & Others
G.A. Nos. 2153 of 2009

¹⁴ Xyz films llc v utv motion pictures laws(bom)-2016-4-123

¹⁵ Litman, J, Digital copyright. Prometheus Books(2004)

Courts often face the challenge of balancing copyright protection with creative freedom. Landmark cases, such as 'Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.' (1994), have clarified the boundaries of fair use in the context of parody and artistic expression, setting important standards for creative freedom.¹⁶

The role of the judiciary in the film industry is pivotal not only for resolving disputes but also for shaping the legal landscape and influencing the balance between copyright protection and creative expression. Landmark cases and legal interpretations by the judiciary have a lasting impact on the rights and responsibilities of filmmakers, content creators, and copyright owners.

Suggestions:

The following suggestions offer practical guidance for addressing and mitigating the copyright challenges encountered within the film industry. These recommendations are designed to foster a balance between the protection of intellectual property rights and the promotion of creative expression

Firstly, the filmmakers should be well-versed in copyright laws and best practices. Educational programs and resources on copyright, fair use, and licensing should be readily available to empower creators to make informed decisions. Also clear guidelines and best practices for fair use within the film industry should be developed. Copyright management tools and technologies, such as digital rights management (DRM) should be utilized, to protect digital content from unauthorized distribution and piracy.

Further, collaboration should be made with international partners and organizations to promote consistent copyright enforcement and harmonization of copyright laws. This can facilitate smoother international film distribution. Legislative reforms should be done that address the challenges posed by emerging technologies and the digital landscape. These reforms should strike a balance between protecting intellectual property rights and enabling creativity. Solutions for handling orphan works should also be developed, such as creating centralized databases or copyright registries, to facilitate the identification and use of works whose owners cannot be located.

¹⁶ Reis R, Fair Use in Copyright Law. In R. Crews (Ed.), Copyright Law for Librarians and Educators (pp. 123-144). Rowman & Littlefield.(2016)

Most importantly the anti-piracy measures should be strengthened, both on a national and international level. This includes cooperation with online platforms, law enforcement, and organizations dedicated to combating piracy. Public awareness campaigns can also be launched to emphasize the value of intellectual property and the importance of respecting copyright.

Conclusion

The film industry operates within an intricate legal framework that is overseen by copyright laws, which serve to safeguard the intellectual property of filmmakers and producers. But this industry has a number of difficulties during the production and distribution phases, which results in persistent legal conflicts and problems that need close examination. The protection of creative works, copyright infringement, piracy, and licensing agreements are some of the major legal issues facing the film industry.

With the growth of digital platforms and online streaming services, infringement is still a major worry. To protect authors' rights and guarantee just compensation, strong regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms are required.

Furthermore, a major threat to the profits and reputation of the film industry is posed by the prevalence of piracy, which calls for strong anti-piracy laws and international collaboration to shut down illegal distribution networks.

Contractual disputes and legal problems are sometimes caused by the complexity of license agreements and distribution contracts, which highlights the necessity of clear and unambiguous provisions that safeguard the interests of all parties. Innovative technologies like digital watermarking and blockchain present viable answers to some of these problems by improving copyrighted content traceability and security.

Stakeholders in the film industry, such as distributors, regulators, and producers, must work closely together to develop comprehensive strategies that safeguard intellectual property, encourage innovation, and create a fair and sustainable environment for both commercial success and creative expression in order to effectively address these legal challenges. To ensure the film industry's sustained growth and success in the digital age, it is imperative to implement strict anti-piracy measures, strengthen international cooperation, and advocate for the advancement of copyright laws.

References

1. Litman, J., The exclusive right to read. *Vanderbilt Law Review*, 59, 857-903(2019)
2. Goldstein, P., *Copyright's Highway: From Gutenberg to the Celestial Jukebox*. Stanford University Press(2008)
3. Litman, J., *Digital copyright*. Prometheus Books(2004)
4. Sims, S., How Copyright Affects Creative and Cultural Industries. In T. Kretschmer, P. Hardwick, D. Deazley, L. Edwards, & R. Bently (Eds.), *Rethinking Copyright: History, Theory, Language*. Edward Elgar Publishing(2017)
5. Lipson, J. C., *Law of Copyright* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.(2019)
6. Lund R, *Unthinking Copyright: A Social and Material History of Copyright as Permission*. Temple University Press.(2015)
7. Hansen, D. R. Orphan Works: The Changing Environment for Libraries. In J. Stielow (Ed.), *Developing Digital Scholarship* (pp. 105-122). ALA Editions.(2019)
8. Danaher, B., Smith, M. D., Telang, R., & Chen., The Effect of Graduated Response Anti-Piracy Laws on Music Sales: Evidence from an Event Study in France. *Information Economics and Policy*, 48, 1-17.(2019)

9. Seville, Intellectual Property Enforcement in Latin America: The New Reality. In J. R. Blakeney (Ed.), Intellectual Property and Development: Current Trends and Future Scenarios (pp. 47-58). Edward Elgar Publishing.(2016)
10. The Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, Retrieved from <https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/berne/>.(1886)
11. World Trade Organizatio. Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights. Retrieved from <https://www.wto.org>.(1994)
12. World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO Copyright Treaty. Retrieved from <https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/wct/>.(1996)
13. Reis R, Fair Use in Copyright Law. In R. Crews (Ed.), Copyright Law for Librarians and Educators (pp. 123-144). Rowman & Littlefield.(2016)
14. Use and the Five Factor Test. In C. Godfroid (Ed.), Fair Use, Freedom of Expression, and the Right to Information (pp. 3-23). Edward Elgar Publishing.(2019)
15. Geiger, C., & Kretschmer, M. (Not) Lost in Translation: Legal Transplants in Copyright. The Modern Law Review, 80(5), 781-819.(2017)

