



The Global Dynamical Behavior Of Mathematical Model Of Two Type Fish Species With Variable Effort Rate In Coastal Aquaculture

Brahampal Singh

Professor, Department of Mathematics, J.V.Jain College Saharanpur (UP)

1. Abstract

The dynamics of two ecologically independent species which are being harvested with variable effort have been discussed. The dynamics of effort is considered separately. The local and global dynamics of the system is studied. The co-existence of species in the form of stable equilibrium point is possible.

Key Word: Mathematical Model, Ordinary differential equation, Different parameters, Positive solution nonexistence of periodic solution etc.

2. Introduction

Exploitation of biological resources as practiced in fishery, and forestry has strong impact on dynamic evolution of biological population. The over exploitation of resources may lead to extinction of species which adversely affects the ecosystem. However, reasonable and controlled harvesting is beneficial from economic and ecological point of view. The research on harvesting in predator-prey systems has been of interest to economists, ecologists and natural resource management for some time now.

The optimal management of renewable resources and their solution analytically has been extensively studied by many authors [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 12-21]. The mathematical aspects of management of renewable resources have been discussed by [10]. He had investigated the optimum harvesting of logistically growing species. The problem of combined harvesting of two ecologically independent species has been studied [10, 13]. The effects of harvesting on the dynamics of interacting species have been studied Measterton-Gibbons [14], Chaudhuri et.al. [6-9] with constant harvesting, the prey predator model is found to have interesting dynamical behavior including stability, Hopf bifurcation and limit cycle [4, 5, 11, 15].

The multi species food web models have found to have rich dynamical behavior [16, 18]. S Kumar et. al. [17] have investigated the harvesting of predator species predating over two preys.

In this paper the dynamics of two ecologically independent species which are being harvested have been discussed when the dynamics of effort is considered separately.

3. The Mathematical Model

Consider two independent biological species with densities X_1 and X_2 with logistic growth. The Mathematical model of two harvesting prey species with effort rate is given by the following system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dX_1}{dT} &= r_1 X_1 \left(1 - \frac{X_1}{K_1}\right) - \frac{A_1 q_1 X_1 E}{1 + B_1 X_1 + B_2 X_2} = X_1 f_1(X_1, X_2, E) \\ \frac{dX_2}{dT} &= r_2 X_2 \left(1 - \frac{X_2}{K_2}\right) - \frac{A_2 q_2 E X_2}{1 + B_1 X_1 + B_2 X_2} = X_2 f_2(X_1, X_2, E) \\ \frac{dE}{dT} &= E(h(X_1, X_2) - C) = Ek \left(\frac{p_1 q_1 A_1 X_1 + p_2 q_2 A_2 X_2}{1 + B_1 X_1 + B_2 X_2} - C\right) = E f_3(X_1, X_2)\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

The logistic growth is considered for the two preys. The model does not consider any direct competition between the two populations. The constants $K_i, r_i, A_i,$ and $B_i,$ are model parameters assuming only positive values. The effort E is applied to harvest both the species and C is total cost of fishing. The harvesting is proportional to the product of effort E and the fish population density X_i . The catch-ability coefficients q_i are assumed to be different for the two species. In the model, the third equation considers the dynamics of effort E . The constants p_1 and p_2 are the price of the per unit prey species. The last equation of (1) implies that the rate of increase of the effort is proportional to the rate of net economic revenue. The constant k is the proportionality constant.

Let the constant M_0 is the reference value of E . Introduce the following dimensionless transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}t &= r_1 T, y_i = \frac{X_i}{K_i} (i = 1, 2), x = \frac{E}{M_0}, w_1 = \frac{A_1 q_1 E_0}{r_1}, w_2 = B_1 K_1, w_3 = B_2 K_2 \\ w_4 &= \frac{r_2}{r_1}, w_5 = \frac{A_2 q_2 M}{r_1}, w_6 = \frac{k K_1}{M_0}, w_7 = \frac{k K_2}{M_0};\end{aligned}$$

The dimensionless nonlinear system is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy_1}{dt} &= y_1 \left(1 - y_1 - \frac{w_1 x}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2}\right) = y_1 f_1(y_1, y_2, x) \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} &= y_2 \left((1 - y_2) w_4 - \frac{w_5 x}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2}\right) = y_2 f_2(y_1, y_2, x) \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &= x \left(\frac{p_1 w_1 w_6 y_1 + p_2 w_5 w_7 y_2}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2} - C\right) = x f_3(y_1, y_2)\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

Theorem 3.1 (Positivity of the Solution of the Mathematical Model): The solution (y_1, y_2, x) is positive for all t greater than and equal to zero.

Proof: We have from the mathematical model equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy_1}{dt} &\geq -\frac{w_1 x y_1}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2} \Rightarrow \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \geq -M dt \Rightarrow y_1(t) \geq 0. \text{ Where } \max\left(\frac{w_1 x}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2}\right) = M \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} &\geq -\frac{w_5 x y_2}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2} \Rightarrow \frac{dy_2}{y_2} \geq -N dt \Rightarrow y_2(t) \geq 0. \text{ where } \max\left(\frac{w_5 x y_2}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2}\right) = N \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &\geq -C x \Rightarrow x(t) \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the solution (y_1, y_2, x) is positive for all t greater than and equal to zero.

4. Existence of Equilibrium Points

Since $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$; $i = 1, 2$, the underlying non-linear model (2) is bounded and has a unique solution.

There are at most seven possible equilibrium points of the nonlinear harvesting model:

$$E_0 = (0, 0, 0), E_1 = (1, 0, 0), E_2 = (0, 1, 0), E_3 = (1, 1, 0),$$

$$E_4 = (y_1^*, 0, x^*), \quad x^* = \frac{(1-y_1^*)(1+w_2y_1^*)}{w_1}; \quad y_1^* = \frac{C}{(w_1p_1w_6-Cw_2)}$$

$$E_5 = (0, y_2^*, x^*), \quad x^* = \frac{(w_4(1-y_2^*)(1+w_3y_2^*))}{w_5}; \quad y_2^* = \frac{C}{(w_5p_2w_7-Cw_3)},$$

$$E_6 = (y_1^*, y_2^*, x^*).$$

Theorem 4.1 The equilibrium point $E_4 = (y_1^*, 0, x^*)$ is feasible only when

$$C < \frac{w_1p_1w_6}{(1+w_2)} \quad (3)$$

Theorem 4.2 The equilibrium point $E_5 = (0, y_2^*, x^*)$ is feasible only when

$$C < \frac{w_5p_2w_7}{(1+w_3)} \quad (4)$$

The proofs of the two theorems are straightforward as $0 < y_i^* < 1$; $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 4.3 The positive non-zero equilibrium E_6 of nonlinear harvesting model (2) exists provided the following conditions are satisfied:

$$C < \frac{w_1p_1w_6}{w_2}; \quad C < \frac{w_5p_2w_7}{w_3} \quad (5)$$

Proof: The isoclines of harvesting model (2) are given by

$$f_1(y_1, y_2, x) = 0; \quad f_2(y_1, y_2, x) = 0; \quad f_3(y_1, y_2) = 0 \quad (6)$$

Using first of (6) we get $x^* = \frac{(1-y_1^*)(1+w_2y_1^*+w_3y_2^*)}{w_1}$

Solving first and second of (6) we get

$$(p_1w_1w_6 - w_2C)y_1^* + (p_2w_5w_7 - w_3C)y_2^* = C \quad (7)$$

Using third of (6) we get

$$y_1^* - \left(\frac{w_4w_1}{w_5}\right)y_2^* = \frac{(w_5-w_4w_1)}{w_5} \quad (8)$$

Now solving (7) and (8) we get

$$y_1^* = \frac{(w_5-w_1w_4)(w_7p_2w_5-Cw_3)+Cw_1w_4}{w_5(w_7p_2w_5-Cw_3)+w_1w_4(w_1p_1w_6-Cw_2)}$$

$$y_2^* = \frac{Cw_5-(w_5-w_1w_4)(w_1p_1w_6-Cw_2)}{w_5(w_7p_2w_5-Cw_3)+w_1w_4(w_1p_1w_6-Cw_2)}$$

The positive non-zero biological equilibrium $E_6 = (y_1^*, y_2^*, x^*)$ exists provided the conditions (5) are satisfied.

It may further be observed that conditions (3) and (4) imply (5), that is if $E_4 = (y_1^*, 0, x^*)$ and $E_5 = (0, y_2^*, x^*)$ exists then E_6 will also exist. However, the existence of E_6 is possible irrespective of E_4 and E_5 provided the condition (5) is satisfied.

Theorem 4.4 The flow of nonlinear harvesting model (2) contracts volume uniformly for positive non-zero equilibrium E_6 provided the following condition is satisfied [15]:

$$x^* < \frac{(y_1^* + w_4 y_2^*)(1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)^2}{w_1 w_2 + w_3 w_4}$$

Proof: Because the divergence of the vector field for the positive non-zero equilibrium E_6 is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \frac{dy_1}{dt} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \frac{dy_2}{dt} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} = y_1 \left(-1 + \frac{w_1 x w_2}{(1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2)^2} \right) + y_2 \left(-w_4 + \frac{w_3 x w_5}{(1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2)^2} \right) < 0$$

When

$$x^* < \frac{(y_1^* + w_4 y_2^*)(1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)^2}{w_1 w_2 + w_3 w_4}$$

Hence the result.

Theorem 4.5: Periodic Solution does not exist at non zero equilibrium point.

Proof: Let $n = y_1 i + y_2 j + x k$ and $F = F_1 i + F_2 j + F_3 k$ be the vectors. Let $F_1 = y_1 f_1(y_1, y_2, x)$, $F_2 = y_2 f_2(y_1, y_2, x)$, $F_3 = x f_3(y_1, y_2, x)$ be the scalar field in mathematical model (2). Let $N = N_1 i + N_2 j + N_3 k$ be the vector then consider the vector field $n \times F = N_1 i + N_2 j + N_3 k$, Here $f_1(y_1, y_2, x) = 0$, $f_2(y_1, y_2, x) = 0$, $f_3(y_1, y_2, x) = 0$ are the isocline of the mathematical model for nonzero positive equilibrium point. Then we have $\text{Curl } N = 0$. Thus, Periodic Solution does not exist at non zero equilibrium point.

5. Stability Analysis

The variational matrix about the point E_0 is given by

$$J_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -C \end{bmatrix}$$

From the above variational matrix, it is seen that there are two unstable manifolds along both X, Y axis and one stable manifold along Z axis. Therefore, the point E_0 is a saddle point, that is, at very small densities of species the effort decreases and tends to zero, while for small efforts the densities of harvesting species will start increasing.

The variational matrices about the axial point $E_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ and $E_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ are given by

$$J_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1/(1 + w_2) \\ 0 & w_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{w_1 p_1 w_6}{1 + w_2} - C \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } J_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -w_4 & -w_5/(1 + w_3) \\ 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{w_5 p_2 w_7}{1 + w_3} - C \right) \end{bmatrix} \text{ respectively.}$$

From the matrix J_1 , it is seen that there exists a stable manifold along X axis and an unstable manifold along Z axis. Stable manifold along Y axis exists provided $w_1 p_1 w_6 - C(1 + w_2) < 0$. Observe that this condition violates the existence of $E_4 = (y_1^*, 0, x^*)$. The point E_1 is a saddle point.

Similarly, from the matrix J_2 , it is seen that there exists a stable manifold along Y axis and an unstable manifold along X axis. Stable manifold along Z axis exists provided $w_1 p_1 w_6 - C(1 + w_2) < 0$. This condition excludes the existence of equilibrium point $E_5 = (0, y_2^*, x^*)$. The point E_2 is a saddle point.

The variational matrix about the point $E_3 = (1, 1, 0)$ is given by

$$J_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -\frac{w_1}{(1 + w_2 + w_3)} \\ 0 & -w_4 & -\frac{w_5}{(1 + w_2 + w_3)} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & \frac{w_1 p_1 w_6 + w_5 p_2 w_7}{(1 + w_2 + w_3)} - C \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the equilibrium point $E_3 = (1, 1, 0)$ is stable provided the following condition is satisfied:

$$\frac{w_1 p_1 w_6 + w_5 p_2 w_7}{(1+w_2+w_3)} - C < 0 \quad (9)$$

Theorem 5.1: The equilibrium point $E_4 = (y_1^*, 0, x^*)$ is locally asymptotically stable provided

$$\frac{(w_2-1)}{2w_2} < y_1^* < \frac{(w_1-w_5)}{w_5} < 1 \quad (10)$$

Proof. The variational matrix about the point $E_4 = (y_1^*, 0, x^*)$ is given by

$$J_4 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a_{11} = y_1^* \left[-1 + \frac{w_1 w_2 x^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^*)^2} \right], \quad a_{12} = \frac{w_1 w_3 y_1^* x^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^*)^2}, \quad a_{13} = -\frac{w_1 y_1^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^*)};$$

$$a_{22} = \left[w_4 - \frac{w_5 x^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^*)} \right], \quad a_{21} = a_{23} = a_{33} = 0$$

$$a_{31} = \frac{x^* w_1 w_6 p_1}{(1+w_2 y_1^*)^2}; \quad a_{32} = \frac{x^* (w_7 w_5 p_2 + y_1^* (w_2 w_7 w_5 p_2 - w_3 w_1 w_6 p_1))}{(1+w_2 y_1^*)^2};$$

The equilibrium point E_4 is locally stable if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$w_1 x^* > w_2 (1 - y_1^*)^2; \quad \text{and} \quad y_1^* < \frac{(w_1 - w_5)}{w_5};$$

Substitution for x^* and simplification yields the stability conditions as

$$\left(\frac{(w_2-1)}{2w_2} \right) < y_1^* < \left(\frac{(w_4 w_1 - w_5)}{w_1 w_4} \right) < 1$$

The equilibrium E_4 is unstable when the condition (10) is violated.

Similarly, the stability conditions for the equilibrium E_5 are stated in the theorem 5.2. Its proof is omitted.

Theorem 5.2: The equilibrium point $E_5 = (0, y_2^*, x^*)$ is locally asymptotically stable provided

$$\frac{(w_3-1)}{2w_3} < y_2^* < \frac{(w_1-w_5)}{w_5} < 1 \quad (11)$$

The equilibrium E_5 is unstable when the condition (11) is violated.

The following theorem gives the conditions for the locally stability of the nonzero positive equilibrium point $E_6 = (y_1^*, y_2^*, x^*)$.

Theorem 5.3: The positive non-zero biological feasible equilibrium $E_6 = (y_1^*, y_2^*, x^*)$ is locally asymptotically stable if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$x^* > w_2 (1 - y_1^*)^2; \quad (12)$$

$$w_4 w_1^2 x^* > w_3 w_5 (1 - y_1^*)^2 \quad (13)$$

$$w_1^2 x^* y_1^* > (w_1 w_2 y_1^* + w_3 w_5 y_2^*) (1 - y_1^*)^2 \quad (14)$$

$$w_4 w_1^2 x^* y_2^* > (w_1 w_2 y_1^* + w_3 w_5 y_2^*) (1 - y_1^*)^2 \quad (15)$$

Proof: Assume $y_1 = y_1^* + u, y_2 = y_2^* + v, y_3 = y_3^* + w$; where u, v, w are small perturbations. The coefficients of the variational matrix about $E_6 = (y_1^*, y_2^*, x^*)$ are given by

$$a_{11} = y_1^* \left[-1 + \frac{w_1 w_2 x^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)^2} \right]; \quad a_{12} = \frac{w_1 w_3 y_1^* x^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)^2}; \quad a_{13} = -\frac{w_1 y_1^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)};$$

$$a_{21} = \frac{w_2 w_5 y_2^* x^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)^2}; \quad a_{22} = y_2^* \left[-w_4 + \frac{w_3 w_5 x^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)^2} \right]; \quad a_{23} = -\frac{w_5 y_2^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)}$$

$$a_{31} = \frac{[w_1 w_6 p_1 + (w_3 w_6 w_1 p_1 - w_5 w_7 w_2 p_2) y_2^*] x^*}{(1+w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)^2};$$

$$a_{32} = \frac{[w_7 w_5 p_2 + (w_5 w_7 w_2 p_2 - w_1 w_6 w_3 p_1) y_1^*] x^*}{(1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*)^2}; \quad a_{33} = 0;$$

The corresponding characteristic equation is

$$\lambda^3 + a_0 \lambda^2 + a_1 \lambda + a_2 = 0 \quad \text{with } a_0 = -(a_{11} + a_{22}), a_1 = a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{21} - a_{32} a_{23} - a_{13} a_{31}$$

$$\text{and } a_2 = a_{11} a_{23} a_{32} + a_{13} a_{31} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{23} a_{31} - a_{13} a_{21} a_{32} \quad (16)$$

According to Routh-Hurwitz criterion for stability, the conditions are

$$a_0 > 0, a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0 \text{ and } a_0 a_1 - a_2 > 0.$$

The necessary condition for $a_0 > 0$ gives stability conditions (12) and (13). The positive ness of a_0 ensures that $a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0$

Further the necessary condition for $a_0 a_1 - a_2 > 0$ gives the stability conditions (14) and (15).

Thus, the positive non-zero biological feasible equilibrium E_6 is locally asymptotically stable if the conditions given by (12-15) are satisfied.

The following theorem gives the conditions for the global stability of the nonzero positive equilibrium point.

Theorem 5.4 Let the local stability conditions given by (12-15) hold. The positive non-zero biological feasible equilibrium $E_6 = (y_1^*, y_2^*, x^*)$ is global stable if the following condition is satisfied:

$$(w_3 - \alpha w_2 w_4)^2 < 4\alpha w_4 ((1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*) - w_2) ((1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*) - w_3)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{w_1 (w_7 w_5 p_2 - w_3 C)}{w_5 (w_1 w_6 p_1 - w_2 C)} > 0 \quad (17)$$

Proof: Assume $y_1 = y_1^* + u, y_2 = y_2^* + v, y_3 = y_3^* + w$; where u, v, w are small perturbations.

Consider the following positive definite function for arbitrarily chosen positive constants D_1, D_2 and D_3 :

$$V(t) = D_1 \left(u - y_1^* \log \left(1 + \frac{u}{y_1^*} \right) \right) + D_2 \left(v - y_2^* \log \left(1 + \frac{v}{y_2^*} \right) \right) + D_3 \left(w - x^* \log \left(1 + \frac{w}{x^*} \right) \right)$$

Then

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = D_1 u \left[1 - y_1^* - u - \frac{w_1 (x^* + w)}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2} \right] + D_2 v \left[w_4 (1 - y_2^* - v) - \frac{w_5 (x^* + w)}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2} \right]$$

$$+ D_3 w \left(\frac{p_1 w_1 w_6 y_1 + p_2 w_5 w_7 y_2}{1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2} - C \right)$$

Rearranging and choosing arbitrary constants D_1 and D_2 as

$$D_2 = \alpha D_1; \text{ where } \alpha = \frac{w_1 (w_7 w_5 p_2 - w_3 C)}{w_5 (w_1 w_6 p_1 - w_2 C)} > 0, \text{ we get}$$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = - \frac{D_2}{(1 + w_2 y_1 + w_3 y_2)} [m_1 u^2 + m_2 v^2 - C' uv]$$

$$\text{where } m_1 = ((1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*) - w_2) > 0,$$

$$m_2 = w_4 \alpha ((1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*) - w_3) > 0, \quad C' = (w_3 + \alpha w_2 w_4) > 0$$

Therefore $\frac{dV}{dt} < 0$ provided

$$(w_3 + \alpha w_2 w_4)^2 < 4\alpha w_4 ((1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*) - w_2) ((1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*) - w_3).$$

Further simplification yields

$$(w_3 - \alpha w_2 w_4)^2 < 4\alpha w_4 ((1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*) - w_2) ((1 + w_2 y_1^* + w_3 y_2^*) - w_3)$$

Thus, $\frac{dV}{dt}$ is negative definite when the condition (17) is satisfied. Therefore, V is a Lyapunov function provided condition (17) is satisfied.

9. Conclusions

In this model, separate dynamics of harvesting effort is considered. The positivity of the species and effort rate is shown analytically. The solution of the system about non zero positive equilibrium contract volume uniformly is analyzed. The nonexistence of periodic solution analytically is carried out. Local and global persistence of the harvested preys has been analyzed.

10. References

- [1]. Asep K.Supriatona, Hugh P.Possingham, Optimal harvesting for a predator-prey metapopulation, *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* 60:49-65 (1998).
- [2]. Asina Kibonge, Stephen Edward, Monica Kung'Aro; Modelling the transmission dynamics of mumps with control measures, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 2023, 13:4.
- [3]. B. Dubey, Peeyush Chandra and Prawal Sinha, A model for fishery resource with reserve area, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* 4(4):625-637 (2003).
- [4]. Berg Hugo A.van den, Yuri N. Kiselev, S.A.L.M.Kooijman, Orlov Michael V., Optimal allocation between nutrient uptake and growth in a microbial trichome, *Journal of Mathematical Biology* 37:28-48 (1998).
- [5]. Brauer, F., Soudack, A.C., Stability regions and transition phenomena for harvested predator-prey systems, *J.Math. Biol.*7:319-337 (1979).
- [6]. Brauer, F., Soudack, A.C., Stability regions in predator-prey systems with constant- rate prey harvesting, *J.Math. Biol.*8:55-71 (1979).
- [7]. Chaudhuri, K.S., A bioeconomic model of harvesting of a multispecies fishery, *Ecol.Model.*32:267-279(1986).
- [8]. Chaudhuri, K.S., Dynamic optimization of combined harvesting of two-species fishery, *Ecol.Model.*41:17-25(1988).
- [9]. Chaudhuri, K.S., Saha Ray, S., Bionomic exploitation of a Lotka-Volterra prey-predator system, *Bull.Cal.Math.Soc.*83:175-186 (1991).
- [10]. Chaudhuri, K.S., Saha Ray, S., On the combined harvesting of a prey -predator system. *J. Biol. syst.* 4 (3): 373-389 (1996).
- [11]. Colin W.Clark, *Mathematical bioeconomics: The optimal management of renewable resources*, John Wiley & Sons, USA (1976).
- [12]. Dai, G., Tang, M., Coexistence region and global dynamics of a harvested predator-prey system, *SIAM J.Appl, Math.*58:193-210 (1998).
- [13]. Dubey, V.P.; Singh, J.; Alshehri, A. M.; Dubey, S.; Kumar, D., Numerical investigation of fractional model of phytoplankton–toxic phytoplankton–zooplankton system with convergence analysis, *International Journal of Biomathematics*, 2022, 15(4):2250006 .
- [14]. Feng, X.; Miao, Y.; Sun, S.; Wang, L., Dynamic Behaviors of a Stochastic Eco-Epidemiological Model for Viral Infection in the Toxin-Producing Phytoplankton and Zooplankton System, *Mathematics*, 2022, 10: 1218.
- [15]. John Guckenheimer and Philip Holmes; *Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of vector fields*; Springer-Verlag.

- [16]. J. Chattopadhyay, Samares Pal; Viral infection on phytoplankton–zooplankton system—a mathematical model, *Ecological Modelling*, 151 (2002) 15–28.
- [17]. Meng Fan, Ke Wang, Optimal harvesting policy for single population with periodic coefficients, *Mathematical Bioscience* 152:165-177 (1998).
- [18]. Mesterton-Gibbons, M., On the optimal policy for the combined harvesting of independent species, *Nat.Res.model.* 2:107-132 (1987).
- [19]. Mesterton-Gibbons, M., On the optimal policy for the combined harvesting of predator and prey, *Nat.Res.model.*3:63-90 (1988).
- [20]. Nguyen Phong chau, Destabilising effect of periodic harvest on population dynamics, *Ecological Modeling* 127:1-9 (2000).
- [21]. S. Gakkhar, R. K. Naji, On a food web consisting of a specialist and a generalist predator, *Journal of biological Systems* 11(4): 365-376 (2003).
- [22]. S.Kumar, S.K.Srivastava, P.Chingakham, Hopf bifurcation and stability analysis in a harvested one-predator-two-prey model, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 129:107-118 (2002).
- [23]. Y.Takeuchi, *Global Dynamical Properties of Lotka-Volterra Systems*, World Scientific (1996).

