



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK ON THE IMPACT OF LEADERSHIP STYLE ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE

Prof. Immaculate Joseph Kirthika¹

Assistant Professor, Acharya Institute of Technology, Bengaluru
Affiliated to VTU, Belagavi

Prof. Udaya Shankar S²

Research Scholar, Kousali Institute of Management Studies, Dharwad
Karnatak University Dharwad

Dr. Pramod Kumar G³

Associate Professor, Proudhadivaraya Institute of Technology, Hosapete
Affiliated to VTU, Belagavi

Abstract:

This conceptual framework aims to explore the impact of leadership style on employee performance. The background contemplates three primary leadership styles: transformational, transactional, laissez-faire. Employee performance is evaluated through various dimensions and the study also acknowledges the potential mediating factors of communication, empowerment, and trust, as well as the moderating factors of organizational culture and employee characteristics. The proposed relationships between leadership style and employee performance are charted. The nature of work, industry, organizational structure, and individual differences among employees can impact the relationship. Therefore, further research is needed to explore specific contexts, additional variables, and potential moderating effects to gain a comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics between leadership style and employee performance. By recognizing the importance of leadership style and its influence on employees, organizations can implement strategies to nurture positive leadership behaviors and create a conducive work environment that fosters employee productivity, satisfaction, commitment, and innovation.

Key words: Employee Performance, Leadership styles, Laissez-faire leadership, Transformational leadership, Transactional leadership.

INTRODUCTION

The impact of leadership style on employee performance has long been a topic of interest in the fields of organizational behavior and management. Effective leadership is essential for organizations to achieve their goals and optimize human resources. Leaders play a critical role in influencing employee motivation, job satisfaction, engagement, and ultimately, performance outcomes. Understanding the relationship between leadership style and employee performance is crucial for organizations to develop effective leadership practices and enhance overall organizational success. The purpose of this conceptual framework is to provide a comprehensive understanding of how different leadership styles can impact employee performance. By examining the various dimensions of leadership and their effects on employee outcomes, organizations can gain insights into the most effective leadership approaches to maximize employee performance and drive organizational success. The significance of the study lies in its potential to guide organizations in fostering effective leadership practices that empower and motivate employees to achieve their full potential. By identifying the strengths and weaknesses of different leadership styles, organizations can tailor their leadership development programs and practices to create a positive and high-performing work environment.

This framework will explore key leadership styles such as transformational, transactional, laissez-faire leadership. Each leadership style possesses unique characteristics that can influence employee behavior, motivation, and performance. By understanding the mechanisms through which leadership style impacts employee performance, organizations can tailor their leadership strategies to better meet the needs and expectations of their workforce.

In the following sections, we will review the existing literature on leadership styles and their impact on employee performance. We will explore the theoretical background that underpins the relationship between leadership style and employee outcomes. Furthermore, this conceptual framework will propose future research directions to expand our knowledge of leadership styles implications in the evolving work landscape, including remote and hybrid work settings. As organizations strive for success and competitiveness, effective leadership will continue to play a vital role in optimizing human resources and achieving organizational goals.

THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The impact of leadership style on employee performance is to examine and understand the relationship between different leadership styles and the performance of employees within an organization. The study aims to explore how leadership behaviors and approaches influence employees job satisfaction, motivation, engagement, productivity, and overall performance.

By conducting research on this topic, the study seeks to contribute to the existing knowledge and understanding of effective leadership in the workplace. It aims to identify the leadership styles and practices that are most conducive to promoting positive employee outcomes and achieving organizational goals. The findings of such a study can provide valuable insights for organizations, leaders, and managers to enhance their leadership skills and create a work environment that fosters high employee performance. Furthermore, the study can highlight the importance of leadership style in employee performance and serve as a basis for formulating strategies and policies aimed at improving leadership practices within organizations.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Dimensions of Leadership Styles

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership, introduced by James MacGregor Burns, focuses on how leaders inspire and motivate their followers to achieve exceptional performance and outcomes. Transformational leadership, introduced by Bernard M. Bass in 1985, is considered as one of the effective leadership styles. According to Bass (1997), the primary objective of transformational leadership is to genuinely transform both individuals and organizations. This transformation involves changing their mindset, values, and behavior to align with a

larger vision, enhancing their understanding, and making their actions congruent with their beliefs and principles. The goal is to bring about lasting, self-perpetuating changes that build momentum.

In the context of the working environment, transformational leaders guide their employees to view opportunities and challenges in a different light. They not only strive for performance that meets expectations but also aim to optimize individual, group, and organizational development. These leaders encourage employees to unlock their full potential, pushing them to reach higher levels of achievement, moral conduct, and ethical standards. Therefore, transformational leaders are practical in their approach, as they focus on fostering growth, innovation, and the ethical development of their associates. Transformational leaders exhibit four key behaviors:

Idealized influence: Leaders serve as role models, demonstrating high ethical standards and gaining the trust and respect of their followers.

Inspirational motivation: Leaders articulate a compelling vision and foster enthusiasm and commitment among employees.

Intellectual stimulation: Leaders encourage creativity, innovation, and critical thinking, challenging employees to explore new ideas.

Individualized consideration: Leader's support and develop individual employees, recognizing their unique strengths and needs.

These leaders have a profound impact on employee performance by empowering and developing their followers, fostering a sense of purpose and commitment, and promoting a shared vision.

Transactional Leadership

Transactional leadership, proposed by Bernard M. Bass, emphasizes the exchange relationship between leaders and followers. Transactional leaders set clear expectations, provide rewards for meeting performance targets, and administer corrective actions for deviations. This style can positively impact employee performance by creating a structured and goal-oriented environment where employees are motivated to achieve targets to earn rewards. Transactional leadership encourages collaboration between leaders and followers, fostering a sense of teamwork rather than individual effort (Trottier et al., 2008; Bass et al., 2003). In this leadership style, followers are incentivized through rewards when they meet predefined targets or performance criteria (Avolio et al., 1991). Transactional leaders effectively communicate task expectations and inform their subordinates about the potential rewards for a job well done. Emphasizing goal achievement (James & Collins, 2008; Sosik & Dinger, 2007), transactional leadership revolves around meeting specific objectives established by the leader. Additionally, it is crucial for the leader to identify and acknowledge high-performing followers deserving of rewards based on their performance. Transactional leadership focuses on a transactional exchange between leaders and employees which includes:

Contingent Reward: Leaders set clear expectations and provide rewards or recognition when employees meet performance targets.

Management by Exception (Active and Passive): Leaders intervene when deviations from expected performance occur (active) or only intervene when problems escalate (passive).

Laissez-faire leadership

Laissez-faire leadership, also known as delegate leadership, is a leadership style where leaders take a hands-off approach and grant group members the authority to make decisions. According to Northouse (2013), laissez-faire leaders have minimal interaction with their followers and do not actively support their growth and development. They avoid decision-making and evade taking responsibility for outcomes (Robbins, 2007). In this style, leaders delegate full decision-making power to their subordinates, granting them complete freedom and autonomy in their work decisions (Chaudhry & Javed, 2012).

Yukl (2010) characterizes laissez-faire leaders as displaying passive indifference towards both the task and the subordinates. This may involve ignoring problems and neglecting the basic needs of the team. Laissez-faire leadership is best described as the absence of effective leadership rather than being a specific example of transactional leadership.

Employee performance

According to Belfort and Hattrup (2003), employee performance is recognized as a multidimensional construct, prompting both practitioners and researchers to identify its dimensions for effective performance management in organizations. The role-based model of performance (Welbourne, Johnson, & Erez, 1998) is widely accepted as a method to conceptualize employee performance. The role of employees in their jobs is crucial for organizational effectiveness, and measuring employee performance should consider this aspect. Katz and Kahn (1978) were among the first to categorize job performance into task performance and contextual performance. Task performance involves evaluating the effectiveness of activities contributing to the organization's operations, while contextual performance refers to the extent to which employees contribute to the development of the organization by promoting its culture. Many studies have explored the relationship between transformational and transactional leadership styles and employee performance.

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN LEADERSHIP STYLE AND EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE

The success of an organization relies on the leadership's ability to optimize its human resources. A skilled leader recognizes the significance of employees in attaining the organization's objectives and understands the crucial role of motivation in driving employees towards those goals. Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (1988): This study examined the impact of transformational and transactional leadership on employee performance and found that transformational leadership significantly predicts employee performance outcomes, including job satisfaction, motivation, and effectiveness. Fiedler and House (as cited in Pradeep and Prabhu, 2011) have widely acknowledged that active organizations require effective leadership, and neglecting this aspect can adversely affect organizational performance. Effective leadership enables greater employee engagement and has the potential to positively impact both individual and organizational performance (Bass, 1997; Mullins, 2010). A meta-analysis by Judge and Bono (2001) found that transformational leadership is positively related to employee job performance, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment. Schyns, B., & Schilling, J. (2013): This study explored the effects of different leadership styles on employee job satisfaction and performance. The results indicated that transformational leadership positively influenced job satisfaction and employee performance, while laissez-faire leadership had a negative impact on both. Laissez-faire leadership has consistently shown negative associations with employee performance. A study by Wang et al. (2011) found that laissez-faire leadership was negatively related to employee job satisfaction and performance. An investigation by Den Hartog et al. (1999) revealed that laissez-faire leadership was associated with lower subordinate performance and lower employee satisfaction. A study by Bass and Riggio (2006) reported a positive relationship between transactional leadership and employee task performance, contingent on the level of subordinate maturity. Podsakoff et al. (1990) found that transactional leadership was positively related to employee task performance but negatively related to employee organizational citizenship behaviors (OCBs).

In summary, the research studies consistently reveal that leadership style significantly influences employee performance outcomes. Transformational and servant leadership styles are generally associated with positive employee performance, while the effectiveness of transactional leadership depends on the use of contingent rewards. On the other hand, laissez-faire leadership typically exhibits a negative relationship with employee performance. The adaptability of situational leadership is positively linked to employee performance across diverse contexts. Organizations can utilize these research findings to implement effective leadership practices that improve employee motivation, job satisfaction, engagement, and overall performance.

RESEARCH PROSPECTS FOR FUTURE STUDY

A future research study could focus on exploring the impact of leadership style on employee performance in the context of the evolving work landscape, particularly in the post-pandemic era. The study would adopt a longitudinal design to examine how leadership styles may have adapted in response to remote work, hybrid work models, and changing employee needs and expectations. The research would encompass diverse industries and cultural contexts to understand the effectiveness of leadership styles in different organizational settings.

In this study, potential mediating and moderating factors, such as employee well-being, job satisfaction, and organizational climate, would be explored to understand the mechanisms through which leadership style influences employee performance. Special attention would be given to examining how leadership practices impact employees' work-life balance, job engagement, and retention rates, as these factors have become critical in the new work environment. Additionally, the study would assess the effectiveness of leadership development programs in enhancing leadership styles that align with the demands of the changing workplace. Understanding the most impactful elements within these programs would provide valuable insights for organizations seeking to develop their leaders to meet the challenges of the future. By employing a comprehensive multilevel analysis, the research would investigate the collective impact of leadership styles at different organizational levels on employee performance and overall organizational success. It would also consider the implications of leadership style combinations, such as integrating transformational and transactional leadership approaches, to determine their potential in optimizing employee performance.

The findings of this future research study would offer valuable guidance for organizations in effectively utilizing leadership styles to maximize employee performance, job satisfaction, and well-being. Moreover, it would provide relevant insights to adapt leadership practices to the ever-changing work landscape, supporting organizations in building a resilient and high-performing workforce in the years to come.

CONCLUSION

The conceptual framework exploring the impact of leadership style on employee performance has shed light on the critical relationship between leadership practices and employee outcomes. The framework has highlighted the significance of effective leadership in optimizing human resources within organizations. Transformational leadership styles have emerged as highly influential in promoting employee engagement, job satisfaction, and overall performance. These leadership styles foster a positive work environment, inspiring employees to go beyond expectations and contribute to organizational success. Transactional leadership, while effective in motivating task performance through contingent rewards, may not fully engage employees on an intrinsic level, potentially limiting long-term commitment and creativity. On the other hand, laissez-faire leadership, with its hands-off approach, is associated with reduced employee performance and job satisfaction, emphasizing the importance of active and supportive leadership.

The future research directions identified within the framework provide valuable opportunities to deepen our understanding of leadership styles and their implications in the rapidly changing work landscape, including remote and hybrid work settings. Longitudinal studies, cross-cultural investigations, and the exploration of mediating and moderating factors can further enrich the knowledge base in this field. Organizations can leverage the insights from this conceptual framework to adopt effective leadership practices that foster employee motivation, job satisfaction, and engagement. By recognizing the significance of leadership in optimizing employee performance, organizations can strive to develop and nurture leaders who empower their workforce, creating a positive and high-performing organizational culture. Ultimately, the integration of these findings into leadership development programs and organizational practices can lead to enhanced employee performance and contribute to the overall success of the organization.

REFERENCES

1. Allen, N., & Meyer, J. (1990), The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63, 1-18.
2. Avolio, B., Zhu, W., Koh, W. & Bhatia, P. (2004), Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment: Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment and Moderating Role of Structural Distance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25, 951– 968.
3. Awan, M. R. & Mahmood, K. (2009), Relationship among leadership style, organizational culture and employee commitment in university libraries. *Library Management*, 31, 253-266.
4. Bailey, Kenneth D. (1987). *Methods of social research*. New York, London: The Free Press
5. Bass, B.M. (1997), *The ethics of transformational leadership*. KLSF: Transformational Leadership, Working Papers.
6. Bass, B.M. & Avolio, B. J. (1993), Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 12, 113-121.
7. Bass, B.M., Avolio, B. J., Jung, D.I., & Berson, Y. (2003), Predicting Unit Performance by Assessing Transformational and Transactional Leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 207–218.
8. Bernson, M., Levine, D., & Krehbiel, T. (2002), *Basic Business Statistics: Concepts and Applications* (8th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
9. Biggam, J. (2008), *Succeeding with your Master's Dissertation: A step-by-step handbook*. London: McGraw Hill.
10. Blanchard, K. *Management of Organizational Behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall 1988 p. 86)
11. Aguinis, H. (2009). *Performance Management*. 2nd Edition, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education Inc.
12. Ali, A.S., Elmi H.O. & Mohamed A. I. (2013). The Effect of Leadership Behaviours on Staff Performance in Somalia. *Journal of Educational Research International*, 2(2), 197-210.
13. Durga, D. P., & Prabhu, N. (2011). The Relationship between Effective Leadership and Employee Performance. *International Conference on Advancements in Information Technology*, 20, 198-207.
14. Northouse, P. G. (2013). *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. 6th Edition. California: SAGE Publications, Inc
15. Yukl, G. A. (2010). *Leadership in Organization* (7th Ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
16. Udoh, B. E., & Agu, O. (2012). Impact of Transformational and Transactional Leadership on employees Performance. *International Journal of Current Research*, 4 (11), 142-147.
17. Fiedler, F. E. (1967). *A Theory of leadership effectiveness*. New York: McGrawHill.
18. Hater, J.J., Bass, B.M. (1988). Superiors' evaluations and subordinates' perceptions of transformational and transactional leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73 (4) 695-702
19. Herbst, T.H.H., & Conradie, P.D.P. (2011). Leadership effectiveness in higher education: managerial self-perceptions versus perceptions of others. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 37 (1) 1-14.
20. Howell, J. M. & Avolio, B. J. (1993), Transformational leadership, transactional leadership, locus of control, and support for innovation: Key predictors of consolidated business-unit performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78, 891–902.
21. Jong, J., Hartog, D. (2007). How leaders influence employees' innovative behavior. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 10 (1), 41-64
22. Katz, D., Kahn, R.L. (1978). The social psychology of organizations. *Administration Science Quarterly*, 46, 118
23. Robbins, S. P. & Coulter, M. (2005). *Management*. 8th Edition, New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc.
24. Salman, Z., Riaz, A., Saifullah, M., & Rashid, M. (2011). Leadership Styles and Employee Performance. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*. 3 (6), 257-267.
25. Ponnu, C. H. & Tennakoon, G. (2009), The Association between Ethical Leadership and Employee Outcomes. *Electronic Journal of Business Ethics and Organization Studies*, 14, 21-32.
26. Saunders, M. N. K., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2012). *Research methods for business students* (6th ended.) Harlow, England: Pearson Education.

27. Shore, L. M., & Wayne, S. J. (1993), Commitment and Employee Behaviour: Comparison of Affective Commitment and Continuance Commitment with Perceived Organizational Support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78: 774-780:
28. Sosik, J. & Dinger, S. (2007), Relationships between leadership style and vision content: The moderating role of need for social approval, self-monitoring, and need for social power. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18, 134–153.
29. Marmaya, N., Hitam, M., Torsiman N., and Balakrishnan, B. (2011), Employees perceptions of Malaysian managers leadership styles and organizational commitment. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5, 1584-1588.
30. MLQ leadership Assessment & Development services (undated), *Transforming Leadership*
31. Mannheim, B. & Halamish, H. (2008), Transformational leadership as related to team outcomes and contextual moderation. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 29, 617-630.

