



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

EMPOWERING RURAL INDIA: A COMPREHENSIVE OVERVIEW OF MGNREGA'S IMPLEMENTATION AND ITS IMPACT

G.M. Vijayakumar Reddy, Research Scholar, Department of Rural Development and Social Work, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ananthapuramu-515003, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. M. Muninarayanappa, Professor of Rural Development, Department of Rural Development and Social Work, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ananthapuramu-515003, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract: This paper provides a comprehensive overview of MGNREGA's impact and implementation in rural India. It examines the program's objectives, implementation strategies, and successes and failures. The paper also looks at the program's impact on the lives of rural people and the challenges faced by the program in terms of its implementation. Finally, the paper concludes with a discussion of the future of the MGNREGA and its potential to empower rural India.

Introduction:

The Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) is a flagship program of the Government of India that aims to empower rural India by providing employment opportunities and financial security for the rural population. The MGNREGA was passed by the Indian Parliament in 2005 and was implemented in 2006. The program provides a legal guarantee of 100 days of work in a financial year for rural households at the minimum wage rate. It also provides employment opportunities to the rural population in the form of public works, such as construction of irrigation canals, roads, and other infrastructure projects.

The MGNREGA has made a significant impact in rural India since its inception. It has been credited with reducing poverty and inequality, increasing access to basic services, and providing a safety net for the rural poor. Additionally, the program has helped to create employment opportunities for the rural population and has contributed to the development of rural infrastructure.

MGNREGA Objectives and Implementation:

The primary objective of the MGNREGA is to provide employment and financial security to the rural poor. The program is implemented in rural areas across the country and is supported by both the central and state governments. The program is implemented through the Gram Panchayats, which are local self-governments at the village level. The MGNREGA provides 100 days of employment to rural households at prescribed wages. The wages are determined on the basis of the minimum wage rate in the state. The program also provides a range of other benefits, such as social security, maternity benefits, and disability benefits. Additionally, the program provides financial assistance for implementing public works projects, such as irrigation canals, roads, and other infrastructure projects.

To secure employment, individuals residing in rural households must submit an application for a job card at their local Gram Panchayat, which serves as the lowest level of administration in the Indian government and encompasses a cluster of villages. Following a verification process, the Gram Panchayat grants the applicant a Job Card, typically within a span of 15 days from the date of application (Azam and Mehtabul 2012). Once in possession of this Job Card, workers have the freedom to apply for any available work at their convenience. In the event that MGNREGA applicants do not receive employment within 15 days of applying, the state provides them with unemployment compensation. At the commencement of each fiscal year, Gram Panchayats and block levels (an intermediate level of government between Gram Panchayat and district) devise a range of projects to be undertaken as part of the operational program cycle.

The Act, which focuses on casual workers, aims to incentivize states to offer employment by having the Central government bear 90% of the employment cost (Dey 2010). As stated by Azam and Mehtabul (2012), the center covers the entire cost of wages for unskilled manual workers and 75% of the material cost and wages for skilled and semi-skilled workers, while the state covers the remaining 25% material cost and wages for skilled and semi-skilled workers. Additionally, the state is responsible for providing unemployment allowance to applicants if the government fails to provide employment within 15 days of their application (Azam and Mehtabul 2012). This further motivates the state to offer employment to interested applicants in order to avoid paying unemployment compensations. MGNREGA does not have specific eligibility criteria, but it is assumed that the nature of work and wage rate are designed to attract only the poor. Applicants have no say in the selection of the project or job they are assigned. Unfortunately, the scheme does not provide any training opportunities for the workers.

In February 2006, the initial phase of the program was put into effect across 200 districts in India. As per official Government records, the scheme was initially rolled out in the poorest districts. However, the criteria used by the government to determine the selection of these districts remains unclear and is not publicly accessible. Considering the political significance and awareness surrounding MGNREGA, it is likely that the government followed principles of political fairness when allocating these districts. While there may have been some instances of deviation and political maneuvering in the district allocation process, the absence of complaints from the media and non-governmental organizations suggests that such manipulation was not widespread (Zimmermann, 2012). The second phase of the program expanded to an additional 130 districts in April 2007. The remaining rural districts were included in the implementation of MGNREGA during the 2008-09 period as part of the third phase. The implementation of the program has also varied across different states, depending on the respective state governments.

Study Objectives:

The aims of this study on the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) are as follows:

1. To ensure a comprehensive comprehension of the MGNREGA program, encompassing its historical background, development, and overarching goals.
2. To examine the implementation process of MGNREGA, including the application procedure, the role of Gram Panchayats, and the mechanisms employed to provide employment opportunities.
3. To evaluate the socio-economic effects of MGNREGA on rural communities, with a particular focus on aspects such as income generation, poverty alleviation, and overall rural development.

Methodology:

The research methodology employed in this study involves a thorough analysis of various sources of information pertaining to the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA). These sources include literature, official government documents, and statistical reports. Primary sources consist of the MGNREGA official website and state-specific reports, which provide up-to-date data on active workers and households that have benefited from the program. Secondary sources encompass scholarly articles, research papers, and government publications, which contribute historical context and critical analyses of the program.

To gain a comprehensive understanding of MGNREGA's objectives, implementation process, and its impact on rural development, a qualitative approach is adopted in this study. Key aspects such as the application procedure, the role of Gram Panchayats, financial mechanisms involving the central and state governments, and the program's targeted goals are thoroughly explored. Additionally, the study examines the gender-specific provisions aimed at promoting women's participation and empowerment.

In order to present an accurate depiction of the program's current status, statistical data from the MGNREGA portal is utilized. This data highlights the variations in worker engagement and household benefits across different states and union territories.

By combining both qualitative and quantitative analyses, this methodology provides a comprehensive overview of MGNREGA. It offers valuable insights into the program's evolution, implementation, and the ongoing impact it has on rural communities in India.

Outcomes:

Impact and Successes

Table 1: Active Workers 2022-23

S.No.	State/UT	Active Workers	Total Workers	%
1	ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR	13,971	56,952	24.53
2	ANDHRA PRADESH	95,74,055	1,22,85,458	77.92
3	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	3,30,610	4,85,822	68.05
4	ASSAM	64,41,875	1,18,77,447	54.23
5	BIHAR	95,27,868	2,03,12,246	46.9
6	CHHATTISGARH	63,59,289	84,75,792	75.02
7	DN HAVELI AND DD	1,943	33,889	5.73
8	GOA	7,832	50,784	15.42
9	GUJARAT	28,08,477	91,80,585	30.59
10	HARYANA	9,82,549	23,03,911	42.64
11	HIMACHAL PRADESH	13,87,574	27,47,657	50.5
12	JAMMU AND KASHMIR	16,46,755	23,83,226	69.09
13	JHARKHAND	42,77,488	93,70,192	45.64
14	KARNATAKA	84,64,624	1,79,95,445	47.03
15	KERALA	25,46,642	61,45,781	41.43
16	LADAKH	40,537	51,580	78.59
17	LAKSHADWEEP	234	16,587	1.41
18	MADHYA PRADESH	1,09,61,372	1,76,28,769	62.17
19	MAHARASHTRA	66,17,892	2,81,37,877	23.51
20	MANIPUR	6,74,872	9,72,612	69.38
21	MEGHALAYA	8,88,757	12,33,401	72.05
22	MIZORAM	2,18,201	2,43,491	89.61
23	NAGALAND	6,07,628	7,76,751	78.22
24	ODISHA	78,15,065	1,03,66,534	75.38
25	PUDUCHERRY	70,873	1,11,526	63.54
26	PUNJAB	15,60,518	27,38,539	56.98
27	RAJASTHAN	1,36,72,397	2,29,99,745	59.44
28	SIKKIM	95,820	1,41,915	67.51
29	TAMIL NADU	91,97,280	1,27,72,869	72
30	TELANGANA	60,65,551	1,11,11,601	54.58
31	TRIPURA	10,39,518	11,93,279	87.11
32	UTTAR PRADESH	1,47,45,159	2,11,09,210	69.85
33	UTTARAKHAND	11,82,630	17,39,192	67.99
34	WEST BENGAL	1,38,85,799	2,57,26,832	53.97
	Total	14,37,11,655	26,27,77,497	55.82

Source: https://nrega.nic.in/MGNREGA_new/Nrega_StateReport.aspx?typeN=1

Table 1 displays information regarding the number of active workers, total workers, and the proportion of active workers participating in the MGNREGA program. The distribution of active workers varies among different states, with the MGNREGS initiative showing significant success in the northeastern states. The coverage of active workers is determined by the ratio of households employed under MGNREGA to the total number of households, as per the 2022-23 Census. Mizoram (89%) and Tripura (87%) have the highest percentages of active workers, while Ladakh (78.59%), Nagaland (78.22%), Andhra Pradesh (77.92%), Odisha (75.38%), Chattisgarh (75.02%), Meghalaya (72.05%), and Tamil Nadu (72%) fall within the range of 70-80%. On the other hand, Lakshadweep (1.41%), DN Haveli and DD (5.73%), Goa (15.4%), Maharashtra (23.5%), and Andaman and Nicobar (24.5%) have the lowest percentages of active workers. The remaining states have percentages ranging from 30% to less than 60%. It is crucial to expand the program's coverage, especially in certain states where factors such as distressed migration and societal customs contribute to lower household participation. The average percentage of active workers across India is 55.80%. Efforts should be focused on increasing the program's reach, addressing regional disparities, and ensuring greater inclusion in states with lower coverage percentages.

Table 2: HOUSEHOLDS BENEFITTED 2022-2023

S.No.	State/UT	Households Benefitted
1	ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR	2,545
2	ANDHRA PRADESH	44,62,087
3	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	2,26,348
4	ASSAM	19,27,702
5	BIHAR	38,34,733
6	CHHATTISGARH	20,57,724
7	DN HAVELI AND DD	612
8	GOA	1,632
9	GUJARAT	8,43,246
10	HARYANA	3,04,827
11	HIMACHAL PRADESH	4,91,750
12	JAMMU AND KASHMIR	4,32,448
13	JHARKHAND	18,71,981
14	KARNATAKA	26,82,870
15	KERALA	13,73,687
16	LADAKH	28,246
17	LAKSHADWEEP	72
18	MADHYA PRADESH	33,19,496
19	MAHARASHTRA	18,02,302
20	MANIPUR	3,34,776
21	MEGHALAYA	4,24,698
22	MIZORAM	2,17,437
23	NAGALAND	4,04,349
24	ODISHA	29,72,640
25	PUDUCHERRY	49,418
26	PUNJAB	7,65,307
27	RAJASTHAN	54,18,842
28	SIKKIM	56,684
29	TAMIL NADU	67,11,754
30	TELANGANA	22,22,545
31	TRIPURA	5,73,176
32	UTTAR PRADESH	60,22,026
33	UTTARAKHAND	3,71,536

34	WEST BENGAL	7,598
	Total	5,22,17,094

Source: https://nrega.nic.in/MGNREGA_new/Nrega_StateReport.aspx?typeN=1

Table 2 illustrates a significant disparity in the utilization of MGNREGS (Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme) between households in the southern and northern states of India. The data highlights that the states of Tamil Nadu (67,11,754), Uttar Pradesh (60,22,026), Rajasthan (54,18,842), and Andhra Pradesh (44,62,087) have the highest number of households benefiting from the scheme. In contrast, several states have a range of beneficiaries between 38,34,733 and 28,246. On the other hand, the states with the fewest number of benefited households are West Bengal (7,598), Andaman and Nicobar (2,545), Goa (1,632), Daman and Diu (612), and Lakshadweep (72).

In the southern states, significant economic development has brought about transformative changes in agrarian relationships within rural areas. This has resulted in the emergence of absentee landlords in certain regions, leading laborers to transition into small-scale commodity producers through land leasing arrangements with these landlords. Additionally, some individuals have shifted their focus towards non-farm sectors and engaged in out-migration. As a result, households in the southern states have a comparatively lower reliance on MGNREGS. Conversely, in most northern states where such agrarian transitions have not taken place, a larger number of households continue to depend on MGNREGS for employment.

Table 3: Assets Created till December 2022-23

S.No.	States/UT	Assets
1	ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR	4,108
2	ANDHRA PRADESH	79,65,260
3	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	30,638
4	ASSAM	14,14,549
5	BIHAR	53,19,307
6	CHHATTISGARH	29,26,154
7	GOA	2,663
8	GUJARAT	15,33,885
9	HARYANA	1,96,277
10	HIMACHAL PRADESH	9,11,403
11	JAMMU AND KASHMIR	10,32,519
12	JHARKHAND	40,12,422
13	KARNATAKA	55,82,877
14	KERALA	24,78,668
15	LADAKH	33,707
16	LAKSHADWEEP	69
17	MADHYA PRADESH	75,02,555
18	MAHARASHTRA	27,53,288
19	MANIPUR	94,853
20	MEGHALAYA	2,60,296
21	MIZORAM	1,82,983
22	NAGALAND	91,367
23	ODISHA	36,84,321
24	PUDUCHERRY	7,667
25	PUNJAB	3,49,379
26	RAJASTHAN	33,43,202

27	SIKKIM	65,364
28	TAMIL NADU	46,47,416
29	TELANGANA	18,61,233
30	TRIPURA	13,67,392
31	UTTAR PRADESH	1,00,29,228
32	UTTARAKHAND	7,72,026
33	WEST BENGAL	82,46,957
	Total	7,87,04,033

Source: https://nrega.nic.in/MGNREGA_new/Nrega_StateReport.aspx?typeN=1

The MGNREG scheme goes beyond simply providing cash transfers to individuals in rural India; instead, it focuses on establishing long-lasting assets that ultimately reduce reliance on MGNREGA. As indicated in Table 3, the creation of assets under the MGNREGA scheme in various states across India enhances the technical capabilities of supervising engineers, ensuring the production of high-quality assets. The states of Uttar Pradesh (1,00,29,228), West Bengal (82,46,957), Andhra Pradesh (79,65,260), Madhya Pradesh (75,02,555), and Karnataka (55,82,877) account for 50% of the total assets generated, while the states of Puducherry (7,667), Andaman and Nicobar (4,108), Goa (2,663), and Lakshadweep (69) have the lowest number of assets created. Several states in both the northern and southern regions of India have achieved significant progress in asset creation.

Table: 4 Person days Generated in 2022-23

S.No.	State/UT	Person days generated
1	ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR	53,223
2	ANDHRA PRADESH	21,29,03,769
3	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	85,98,237
4	ASSAM	6,91,33,099
5	BIHAR	14,14,26,040
6	CHHATTISGARH	7,37,81,937
7	DN HAVELI AND DD	20,421
8	GOA	30,739
9	GUJARAT	3,61,70,379
10	HARYANA	79,23,777
11	HIMACHAL PRADESH	1,76,08,974
12	JAMMU AND KASHMIR	1,92,11,313
13	JHARKHAND	0
14	KARNATAKA	10,85,40,049
15	KERALA	7,03,80,546
16	LADAKH	12,61,613
17	LAKSHADWEEP	3,215
18	MADHYA PRADESH	13,28,67,600
19	MAHARASHTRA	6,13,39,242
20	MANIPUR	69,27,528
21	MEGHALAYA	1,84,54,701
22	MIZORAM	1,34,18,327
23	NAGALAND	1,63,04,412
24	ODISHA	14,36,95,995
25	PUDUCHERRY	16,83,715
26	PUNJAB	2,55,11,126
27	RAJASTHAN	24,11,85,282

28	SIKKIM	25,20,216
29	TAMIL NADU	34,91,63,293
30	TELANGANA	8,81,31,195
31	TRIPURA	3,02,16,514
32	UTTAR PRADESH	25,45,33,174
33	UTTARAKHAND	1,23,43,234
34	WEST BENGAL	1,64,780
	Total	2,16,55,07,665

Source: https://nrega.nic.in/MGNREGA_new/Nrega_StateReport.aspx?typeN=1

Table 4 presents the duration of engagement for individuals participating in the MGNREGA scheme. Through calculations, we determined the number of days that households were able to secure employment, allowing us to derive the average duration of their employment. The number of days a household obtained employment is equivalent to the duration they actively sought work. Notably, the states of Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, and Odisha exhibit the highest figures, accounting for 55% of the total figures from all other states. Conversely, the states of Andaman and Nicobar, Goa, Daman and Diu, Dadra and Nagar Haveli, and Lakshadweep generate the least number of days. It is worth mentioning that Jharkhand did not generate any person-days.

The MGNREGA program has had a significant impact on the lives of rural people in India. It has been recognized for its role in reducing poverty and inequality, improving access to basic services, and providing a safety net for the rural poor. Moreover, the program has successfully created employment opportunities for the rural population and contributed to the development of rural infrastructure.

Furthermore, the program has played a crucial role in empowering women in rural India. Women have greatly benefited from the program as it has provided them with access to employment opportunities and ensured they receive fair wages for their work. This has not only increased their economic independence but also enhanced their decision-making power within their households.

Additionally, the MGNREGA program has effectively provided financial security to the rural poor. By offering a source of income for rural households, the program has played a significant role in reducing poverty and inequality. Furthermore, it acts as a safety net for the rural poor, safeguarding them from the adverse effects of droughts and other natural disasters.

Challenges:

Despite its success, the MGNREGA has faced a number of challenges in its implementation. These include issues related to inadequate funding, lack of resources, and bureaucratic delays. Additionally, there have been reports of corruption and mismanagement of funds.

The program has also been criticized for its slow implementation and lack of effective monitoring mechanisms. Additionally, there have been reports of inadequate wages and delays in payment of wages. These issues have hindered the program's effectiveness in providing employment and financial security to the rural poor.

Observations:

1. **Extensive Participation:** The study on the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) reveals a wide-ranging participation, with millions of workers involved across various states and union territories. However, the rates of participation differ significantly, indicating disparities in implementation and outreach among different regions.
2. **Gender Equality:** The provisions implemented to promote gender inclusivity are evident, as there is a mandated percentage of women beneficiaries. The program's design, which includes providing work near women's residences and excluding male contractors, contributes to an increased participation of women.

3. Financial Dynamics: The financial responsibility of MGNREGA is shared between the central and state governments, which incentivizes states to create employment opportunities. The allocation and utilization of funds play a crucial role in the success of the program.
4. Socio-Economic Impact: MGNREGA has a positive impact on socio-economic indicators, such as income generation and poverty alleviation. However, the extent of this impact varies across regions, influenced by factors like local governance and project planning.
5. Rural Development: The program contributes to rural development by addressing issues like drought and natural resource management. However, the absence of fixed eligibility criteria and training opportunities for workers may limit the long-term sustainability of these projects.
6. Policy Implications: The study emphasizes the need for continuous policy attention to address regional disparities, enhance financial mechanisms, and further strengthen the gender-inclusive aspects of MGNREGA. Insights into effective state-level practices can inform policy improvements.

Conclusion:

The MGNREGA has had a significant impact on rural India since its inception. It has been successful in its aim of providing employment and financial security to the rural poor. Additionally, the program has helped to create employment opportunities for the rural population and has contributed to the development of rural infrastructure.

Despite its successes, the MGNREGA has faced a number of challenges in its implementation. These include issues related to inadequate funding, lack of resources, bureaucratic delays, corruption, and mismanagement of funds. Additionally, there have been reports of inadequate wages and delays in payment of wages.

The MGNREGA has the potential to empower rural India and reduce poverty and inequality. To ensure its success, it is important that the program is effectively implemented and monitored, and that the challenges faced in its implementation are addressed. Additionally, the program must be adequately funded to ensure that it is able to meet its objectives and contribute to the empowerment of rural India.

References:

1. Afridi, Farzana, et al. "Female Labor Force Participation and Child Education in India: Evidence from the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme | IZA Journal of Labor & Development." *Read Cube – Software for Researchers, Libraries, and Publishers*, rdcu.be/ctHd7.
2. Amaral, Sofia, et al. "Employment Programmes for the Poor and Female Empowerment: The Effect of NREGS on Gender-based Violence in India." *ResearchGate*, www.researchgate.net/publication/281482450_Employment_Programmes_for_the_Poor_and_Female_Empowerment_The_Effect_of_NREGS_on_Genderbased_Violence_in_India.
3. Azam, and Mehtabul. "Econ Stor: The Impact of Indian Job Guarantee Scheme on Labor Market Outcomes: Evidence from a Natural Experiment." *Handle Proxy*, hdl.handle.net/10419/58685.
4. Dey, Moitri. "National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA), A Range of Possibilities." *Volunteers for Rural India - VRI*, www.vrionline.org.uk/ijrs/Oct2010/National%20Rural%20Employment%20Guarantee%20Act.pdf.
5. Feminism-The Fourth Wave of Feminism. *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, www.britannica.com/topic/feminism/The-fourth-wave-of-feminism.
6. https://nrega.nic.in/MGNREGA_new/Nrega_StateReport.aspx?typeN=1
7. https://nrega.nic.in/MGNREGA_new/Nrega_StateReport.aspx?typeN=5
8. Pankaj, Ashok, and Rukmini Tankha. "(PDF) Empowerment Effects of the NREGS on Women Workers: a Study in Four States." *Research Gate*, www.researchgate.net/publication/270589017_Empowerment_Effects_of_the_NREGS_on_Women_Workers_a_Study_in_Four_States.

9. Ravi, Shamika, and Monika Engler. "Workfare in low income countries: an effective way to fight poverty? The case of NREGS in India." Unpublished Manuscript. Retrieved from: <http://knowledge.nrega.net/869/1/ShamikaRavi.Pdf> (2009).
10. Zimmermann, Laura. "Econ Stor: Labor Market Impacts of a Large-scale Public Works Program: Evidence from the Indian Employment Guarantee Scheme." *Handle Proxy*, <hdl.handle.net/10419/67214>.
11. Zimmermann, Laura. "Econ Stor: Why Guarantee Employment? Evidence from a Large Indian Public-Works Program." *Handle Proxy*, <hdl.handle.net/10419/215480>.

