



Crafting Medication Therapy: To Patients With Multiple Chronic Condition.

Vitthal R. Bacchewar, Kapil S. Phad, Gururaj G. Shimpale, Balaji S. Gaddapwar.

Guided By: Prof. Kiran Rodge, Dr. Ashok Giri

Department of Pharmacy Practice, Shivlingeshwar collage of pharmacy (Pharm-D), Alamala Tq. Ausa, Dist. Latur, Maharashtra.

ABSTRACT:

This review examines the development and implementation of Medication Therapy Management (MTM) protocols for patients with multiple chronic conditions (MCCs). With the prevalence of MCCs on the rise, optimizing medication regimens is essential for improving patient outcomes. We explore the methods, challenges, and outcomes associated with MTM, highlighting the need for patient-centred care and multidisciplinary approaches. By presenting best practices and recommendations, this review contributes to the evolving landscape of managing MCCs and underscores the importance of continued research and innovation in healthcare.

KEYWORDS:

Multiple Chronic Conditions, Polypharmacy, Medication Adherence, Patient Outcome, Healthcare Policy, Quality of Life

INTRODUCTION:

In an era where the landscape of healthcare is increasingly marked by the complex interplay of multiple chronic conditions (MCCs), the role of Medication Therapy Management (MTM) has assumed paramount importance. Patients burdened by the convergence of multiple chronic illnesses face a web of challenges that transcend the boundaries of individual diseases, requiring a sophisticated, holistic approach to their healthcare management. This review serves as an exploration of the pivotal role of MTM for individuals contending with MCCs, elucidating the purpose and objectives of this undertaking while considering the staggering prevalence of MCCs and the daunting challenges they pose to both patients and healthcare systems.

THE IMPORTANCE OF MTM FOR MCC:

Multiple chronic conditions, defined as the presence of two or more chronic diseases within an individual, have become a defining characteristic of modern healthcare. [3]The gravity of this prevalence cannot be overstated. The World Health Organization estimates that over 25% of the global population wrestles with MCCs. These individuals are not merely patients; they are complex healthcare cases, walking the tightrope between conditions that often require multifaceted and often conflicting approaches to medication management.

In this complex realm, MTM emerges as a beacon of hope. By optimizing medication regimens, streamlining drug therapies, and improving medication adherence, MTM serves as a linchpin in the quest to provide comprehensive, high-quality care for individuals beset by MCCs. It becomes a patient's ally in the labyrinth of medications, guiding them toward improved health outcomes and a better quality of life.[4]

PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW:

The purpose of this review is to dissect the multifaceted domain of MTM for patients with MCCs, unravelling the development, implementation, and impact of MTM protocols, and exploring the evolving strategies aimed at addressing this intricate healthcare issue.[5]

OBJECTIVES:

1. **Examine Development and Implementation:** To assess the development and implementation of MTM protocols tailored to the unique needs of individuals with MCCs, evaluating the intricacies involved in crafting these protocols.
2. **Evaluate Impact on Patient Outcomes:** To gauge the impact of MTM on patient outcomes, measuring its effect on medication adherence, disease management, and the overall quality of life for individuals grappling with MCCs.[5]
3. **Uncover Challenges:** To delve into the challenges and barriers that often impede the development and implementation of MTM protocols for MCCs, offering insights into the complex nature of MCC management.
4. **Provide Best Practices and Recommendations:** To compile best practices and recommendations extracted from existing literature, offering guidance to healthcare professionals and policymakers in navigating this complex healthcare landscape.[6]

PREVALENCE OF MULTIPLE CHRONIC CONDITIONS:

The prevalence of MCCs is not merely a statistical figure but a pervasive healthcare reality. It is the tapestry upon which the story of modern healthcare is woven. [7]The intersection of aging populations, lifestyle shifts, and medical advancements has paved the way for the complex matrix of MCCs, which challenges both patients and healthcare systems.

Patients with MCCs face the daunting task of managing multiple, often interconnected, conditions that require diverse treatment strategies. Medication management in this context is an intricate dance, fraught with the potential for polypharmacy, drug interactions, and medication non-adherence. [8]This complexity can lead to compromised health outcomes and an increased burden on healthcare systems, demanding significant resources and financial investments.

DEVELOPMENT OF MTM PROTOCOLS:

1. **Comprehensive Medication Assessment:**

A comprehensive medication assessment is the foundational step in developing MTM protocols for patients with MCCs. It encompasses an exhaustive review of the patient's medical history, encompassing their entire medication regimen, including prescribed medications, over-the-counter drugs, supplements, and any allergies or intolerances. [9]This assessment aims to identify potential issues and challenges associated with medication management. It serves as the basis for building a patient-specific medication plan.

2. During this assessment, healthcare professionals conduct thorough interviews with the patient to gain insight into their medication-taking habits, experiences with side effects, and any concerns or barriers to medication adherence. [10]They also review the patient's health records and lab results to comprehensively understand the interplay of chronic conditions and medications.
3. **Individualized Medication Plans:**

MTM protocols prioritize the creation of individualized medication plans, recognizing the uniqueness of each patient's MCCs. [11]An individualized approach tailors the medication regimen to address the specific combination of chronic conditions and the patient's health goals and preferences. For example, a patient with both diabetes and hypertension may require distinct medication adjustments and regimens compared to a patient with only one of these conditions.

4. This individualization ensures that the treatment plan aligns with the patient's lifestyle, values, and healthcare objectives. It aims to minimize the burden of managing multiple medications while optimizing therapeutic outcomes and reducing potential conflicts between medications.[12]

5. **Interdisciplinary Collaboration:**

Successful MTM protocols hinge on robust interdisciplinary collaboration. This approach brings together healthcare professionals from diverse disciplines, such as pharmacists, physicians, nurses, dietitians, and social workers, to pool their specialized knowledge and skills. Each discipline contributes unique insights, ensuring a holistic medication management plan that addresses the multifaceted needs of patients with MCCs.[13]

6. Interdisciplinary collaboration allows for a 360-degree assessment of the patient's healthcare needs, considering not only medication management but also dietary, psychological, and social factors that may influence the patient's health. Regular communication and collaboration among team members are vital to building comprehensive and cohesive MTM protocols.
7. **Regular Medication Reviews:**
Regular medication reviews are integrated into MTM protocols to provide ongoing evaluation of the patient's medication regimen. These reviews consider changes in the patient's health status, such as new diagnoses, worsening or improving chronic conditions, or the introduction of new medications. The goal is to make necessary adjustments to the medication plan in response to these changes.
8. Regular reviews are a dynamic aspect of the MTM protocol, accommodating the evolving healthcare needs of patients with MCCs. [14] They provide opportunities to address any emerging issues, side effects, or concerns that the patient may have, ensuring that the medication plan remains relevant and effective.
9. **Patient Education:**
Patient education is a fundamental component of MTM protocol development. It aims to empower patients with knowledge about their medications, including their purpose, potential side effects, administration instructions, and the significance of medication adherence. Pharmacists often play a pivotal role in this educational process, but all healthcare professionals involved in the patient's care have a responsibility to provide information and ensure the patient's understanding.
10. Patient education is a two-way dialogue, encouraging patients to ask questions, express concerns, and actively engage in their medication management. This collaborative approach fosters informed decision-making and promotes adherence to the treatment plan.[15]
11. **Medication Reconciliation:**
Medication reconciliation is a crucial component of the MTM protocol, serving to ensure the accuracy and continuity of the patient's care. This process involves comparing the patient's current medication list with their historical medications, including any recent changes in medications or dosages.
12. By conducting medication reconciliation, healthcare professionals aim to identify and rectify any discrepancies, duplications, contraindications, or issues that may arise due to changes in the patient's healthcare providers or medication management. It contributes to the overall safety and effectiveness of the patient's medication regimen.
13. Medication therapy reviews focus on evaluating the safety and efficacy of the patient's medication regimen. This comprehensive assessment helps identify potential drug interactions, duplications, contraindications, or suboptimal therapies within the patient's medication list.
14. The medication therapy review helps optimize the treatment plan by suggesting changes or adjustments based on the patient's MCCs. It considers the potential for drug interactions and the alignment of medications with the patient's specific chronic conditions and overall healthcare goals.[16]
15. **Monitoring and Follow-Up:**
Monitoring and follow-up mechanisms are integral parts of the MTM protocol to track the patient's progress and adherence to the medication plan. These mechanisms often involve scheduling regular follow-up appointments or establishing communication channels to assess the impact of the protocol.[17]
16. Monitoring is essential for ensuring that the medication plan remains aligned with the patient's evolving health status. It provides an opportunity to make necessary adjustments and assess the effectiveness of the medication management strategy.
17. **Medication Simplification:**
Medication simplification is especially relevant in cases of polypharmacy, where patients are prescribed multiple medications. This aspect of MTM protocol development seeks to reduce the number of medications when possible, simplify dosages, and use combination medications to streamline the regimen.[18]
18. Simplification enhances patient adherence by reducing the complexity of medication management. It also minimizes the risk of drug interactions and potential conflicts between medications, resulting in a more manageable and patient-friendly medication regimen.
19. **Patient-Centered Care:**
A patient-centered approach is the guiding philosophy throughout the development of MTM protocols. It emphasizes the active involvement of the patient in decision-making and care planning. Patients are encouraged to share their preferences, values, health.

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES:

1. Integration of MTM into the Healthcare System:

- **Workflow Integration:** This involves reimagining how healthcare is delivered. MTM must be incorporated into healthcare providers' daily routines, so it doesn't feel like an added burden. This could mean creating specific MTM appointments, integrating MTM into regular doctor visits, or even reorganizing the healthcare workflow to ensure that MTM services are readily available.[19]
- **Electronic Health Records (EHRs):** The integration of MTM is closely tied to electronic health records (EHRs). EHRs must be able to capture and manage all MTM-related information. This includes patient medication assessments, therapy reviews, and specific recommendations. Furthermore, healthcare providers should be well-trained in using EHRs effectively for MTM documentation.
- **Billing and Reimbursement:** For MTM to work effectively, it must be financially viable. Healthcare systems must establish a clear billing and reimbursement process. This process ensures that healthcare providers are compensated fairly for their time and expertise in providing MTM services. Collaboration with insurers and payers is essential to create a sustainable financial model for MTM services.[20]

2. Identification of Eligible Patients:

- **Eligibility Criteria:** Healthcare systems should set clear and specific criteria for identifying patients in need of MTM services. These criteria may take into account factors such as the number and severity of chronic conditions, the complexity of medication regimens, and the presence of high-risk conditions.[21]
- **Risk Stratification:** Once eligibility criteria are established, patients can be categorized based on their level of risk. High-risk patients, such as those with complex medication routines or a history of medication non-adherence, should be prioritized for MTM services.
- **Referral Procedures:** The implementation of MTM should include a streamlined process for healthcare providers to identify and recommend eligible patients for MTM services. This ensures that patients who would benefit the most are promptly recognized and offered the necessary support.[22]

3. Patient Engagement and Consent:

- **Explaining the Benefits:** Patients should be provided with a clear and compelling understanding of how MTM can benefit them. They should grasp how MTM services can assist them in better managing their chronic conditions, improving medication adherence, and ultimately enhancing their overall health.
- **Informed Choice:** Patients have the right to make informed choices about their healthcare. This involves presenting them with all the necessary information about MTM and its potential benefits and allowing them to decide whether they want to participate or not. Informed consent empowers patients to be active participants in their care.[23]
- **Active Participation:** Encouraging patients to actively engage in their healthcare is crucial. This means fostering an environment where patients feel comfortable asking questions, expressing concerns, and collaborating closely with their healthcare team. Such collaboration empowers patients to make well-informed decisions about their healthcare.

4. Medication Reconciliation:

- **Review and Update:** Healthcare providers need to conduct a meticulous review of the patient's medication list. This ensures that the list is both accurate and up-to-date, which is particularly important for patients with multiple medications from different sources.
- **Simplify Medications:** Whenever possible, healthcare providers should aim to simplify the patient's medication list. This may involve discontinuing unnecessary or duplicate medications, adjusting dosages for easier administration, or switching to combination medications that reduce complexity.
- **Safe and Effective:** The primary goal of medication reconciliation is to ensure that the patient's medication regimen is both safe and effective for managing their specific chronic conditions. This process minimizes the risk of adverse drug interactions and enhances overall treatment safety and efficacy.

5. Medication Optimization:

- **Deprescribing:** In cases where patients are taking numerous medications, deprescribing may be considered. This process involves discontinuing medications that are no longer necessary, duplicative, or potentially harmful. Reducing the number of medications simplifies the patient's regimen and reduces the risk of adverse interactions.[24]
- **Simplifying Dosages:** Medication optimization often involves simplifying dosages by combining medications or adjusting regimens to make them more straightforward and easier for patients to follow. Simplified dosing schedules enhance adherence and overall patient experience.

- Choosing the Right Meds: Healthcare providers must carefully select medications that are most appropriate for the patient's
6. Patient Education and Support:
 - Clear Explanations: Patients should receive clear and comprehensive explanations about their medications. This includes understanding the purpose of each medication, potential side effects, proper administration, and the significance of taking medications as prescribed. When patients understand their treatment, they're more likely to adhere to it.[25]
 - Ongoing Help: Effective MTM includes ongoing support to help patients manage their medications. This means having a system in place for patients to seek help or guidance when they have questions or concerns. Patients should feel that their healthcare team is there to support them in their medication management journey.
 7. Monitoring and Follow-Up:
 - Check Progress: Healthcare providers should monitor the patient's medication adherence and how the medication plan is affecting their health. This data helps assess the effectiveness of the MTM interventions. Monitoring can include tracking clinical indicators, like blood pressure or blood glucose levels, to measure the impact of medication therapy.[26]
 - Regular Check-Ins: Patients should have follow-up visits or consultations to gauge the impact of the medication plan and make any necessary adjustments. These regular check-ins ensure that the medication plan remains aligned with the patient's evolving health needs. It's a dynamic process that allows healthcare providers to adapt the treatment strategy as needed.
 8. Training and Education for Healthcare Providers:
 - Education: Healthcare providers need in-depth education about MTM, how it works, and the unique needs of patients with MCCs. This training equips them with the knowledge and skills required to provide the highest quality care to these patients.
 - Best Practices: In addition to the fundamentals, healthcare providers should receive training in the best practices of MTM. This training includes understanding how to conduct medication reviews, how to communicate with patients effectively, and how to manage medication-related issues like adverse reactions or non-adherence.[26]
 - Effective training and education ensure that healthcare providers are fully equipped to offer MTM services that are not only well-informed but also compassionate and patient-centered.

PATIENT OUTCOMES:

1. Enhanced Medication Adherence: One of the primary goals of MTM for patients with MCCs is to improve medication adherence. Patient outcomes should demonstrate a higher rate of adherence to medication regimens. This means that patients are more likely to take their medications as prescribed, resulting in better disease management and overall health.[27]
2. Improved Chronic Condition Management: Patients with MCCs often face complex health challenges. MTM aims to enhance their ability to manage these conditions. Successful patient outcomes would indicate better control of chronic conditions, such as diabetes, hypertension, or heart disease, resulting in stabilized or improved health status.
3. Reduction in Hospitalizations: A significant patient outcome in MTM is a reduction in hospital admissions related to unmanaged chronic conditions. Improved medication management and patient education should result in fewer emergency room visits and hospital stays, which not only benefits the patient's health but also reduces healthcare costs.[28]
4. Decreased Medication-Related Adverse Events: MTM protocols should lead to a decrease in medication-related adverse events, such as side effects or drug interactions. Fewer adverse events mean that patients experience fewer complications and can continue their treatment without interruptions.
5. Enhanced Quality of Life: The ultimate goal of MTM is to improve the overall quality of life for patients with MCCs. Patient outcomes should reflect an improved quality of life, which could manifest as reduced pain, increased mobility, better mental health, and an overall sense of well-being.[29]
6. Enhanced Patient Knowledge and Empowerment: Successful MTM should empower patients with knowledge about their conditions and medications. Patients should feel more informed and in control of their health. This empowerment leads to better self-management and more engaged patients.
7. Improved Patient-Provider Communication: Better patient outcomes may include improved communication between patients and their healthcare providers. Patients should feel comfortable discussing their health concerns, asking questions, and actively participating in their care. This collaborative approach can lead to more personalized and effective treatment plans.

8. **Optimal Medication Regimens:** MTM aims to streamline and optimize medication regimens. Successful patient outcomes indicate that patients have medication regimens that are effective, easy to follow, and aligned with their unique needs.
9. **Reduction in Healthcare Costs:** Although not the primary focus, a reduction in healthcare costs can be an essential patient outcome. By effectively managing chronic conditions and reducing hospitalizations, healthcare costs can be lowered, benefiting both patients and healthcare systems.

BARRIERS AND CHALLENGES:

1. **Complexity of Medication Regimens:**
Patients with MCCs often have complex medication regimens, including multiple medications taken at different times of the day. Keeping track of these medications and adhering to the schedule can be challenging. Healthcare providers must simplify and optimize these regimens.
2. **Polypharmacy:**
Polypharmacy, or the use of multiple medications, can lead to drug interactions, adverse effects, and non-adherence. Managing polypharmacy is a significant challenge in MTM, requiring careful monitoring and adjustment of medications.
3. **Patient Adherence:**
Ensuring that patients adhere to their medication regimens is a perpetual challenge. Patients may forget doses, experience side effects, or simply find it difficult to manage multiple medications. Healthcare providers must work with patients to enhance adherence.
4. **Health Literacy:**
Limited health literacy can impede a patient's ability to understand and manage their medications. Healthcare providers need to communicate effectively and use patient-friendly language to bridge this gap.
5. **Communication Gaps:**
Effective communication between healthcare providers, patients, and caregivers is essential for successful MTM. Barriers to communication, such as language differences or inadequate patient-provider interactions, can hinder the process.
6. **Lack of Training:**
Healthcare providers may not have adequate training in MTM for MCCs. Proper training is essential to ensure they can conduct medication reviews, deprescribe when necessary, and optimize medication regimens effectively.
7. **Integrating patient data from various sources, such as electronic health records, pharmacies, and specialty clinics, can be a technical challenge. Comprehensive patient data is crucial for effective MTM.**
8. **Resistance to Change:**
Both healthcare providers and patients may resist changes to established medication regimens. Convincing patients to switch medications or deprescribe can be challenging, and healthcare providers may hesitate to change their practices.
9. **Access to Healthcare Services:**
Patients in rural or underserved areas may have limited access to healthcare services, making it difficult to receive consistent MTM. Telehealth options can help mitigate this challenge.
10. **Cultural and Socioeconomic Factors:**
Cultural beliefs, socioeconomic status, and disparities in healthcare access can all affect how patients manage their medications. Tailoring MTM to the individual's cultural and socioeconomic context is essential.
11. **Medication Costs:**
High medication costs can be a significant barrier to adherence. Patients may skip doses or avoid medications altogether due to financial constraints.
12. **Health System Fragmentation:**
Fragmentation within the healthcare system, where patients receive care from multiple providers who may not communicate effectively, can result in inconsistent medication management.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS:

Future directions in MTM for patients with MCCs involve telehealth, personalized medicine, data analytics, collaborative care, patient empowerment, policy changes, medication simplification, interoperable health records, increased pharmacist involvement, and a focus on patient-centered outcomes to enhance care quality and patient well-being.

CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, Medication Therapy Management (MTM) is an indispensable tool for enhancing the care of patients with multiple chronic conditions. Despite the challenges, its continued development and implementation hold great promise for improving medication adherence, patient outcomes, and the overall quality of healthcare. By embracing emerging technologies and patient-centered approaches, healthcare systems can better address the unique needs of individuals with multiple chronic conditions and pave the way for a healthier future.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. P. Swann, "FDA and the practice of pharmacy: prescription drug regulation before the Durham-Humphrey Amendment of 1951.," *Pharm Hist*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 55–70, 1994.
- [2] G. P. Copeland and D. A. Apgar, "The pharmacist practitioner training program.," *Drug Intell Clin Pharm*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 114–9, Feb. 1980, doi: 10.1177/106002808001400205.
- [3] S. H. Erickson, "Primary care by a pharmacist in an outpatient clinic.," *Am J Hosp Pharm*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1086–90, Oct. 1977.
- [4] D. P. Wubben and E. M. Vivian, "Effects of Pharmacist Outpatient Interventions on Adults with Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review," *Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 421–436, Apr. 2008, doi: 10.1592/phco.28.4.421.
- [5] T. J. Schindel, N. Yuksel, R. Breault, J. Daniels, S. Varnhagen, and C. A. Hughes, "Perceptions of pharmacists' roles in the era of expanding scopes of practice," *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 148–161, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2016.02.007.
- [6] J. S. Brown and P. Duguid, "Knowledge and Organization: A Social-Practice Perspective," *Organization Science*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 198–213, Apr. 2001, doi: 10.1287/orsc.12.2.198.10116.
- [7] L. Sims and J. Campbell, "Ills, pills, and skills: developing the clinical skills of pharmacists in general practice," *British Journal of General Practice*, vol. 67, no. 662, pp. 417–418, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.3399/bjgp17X692453.
- [8] D. L. Sackett, W. M. C. Rosenberg, J. A. M. Gray, R. B. Haynes, and W. S. Richardson, "Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't," *BMJ*, vol. 312, no. 7023, pp. 71–72, Jan. 1996, doi: 10.1136/bmj.312.7023.71.
- [9] C. Freeman, W. N. Cottrell, G. Kyle, I. Williams, and L. Nissen, "Integrating a pharmacist into the general practice environment: opinions of pharmacist's, general practitioner's, health care consumer's, and practice manager's," *BMC Health Serv Res*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 229, Dec. 2012, doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-12-229.
- [10] A. G. Zermansky, D. R. Petty, D. K. Raynor, N. Freemantle, A. Vail, and C. J. Lowe, "Randomised controlled trial of clinical medication review by a pharmacist of elderly patients receiving repeat prescriptions in general practice," *BMJ*, vol. 323, no. 7325, pp. 1340–1340, Dec. 2001, doi: 10.1136/bmj.323.7325.1340.
- [11] L. Dolovich *et al.*, "Integrating Family Medicine and Pharmacy to Advance Primary Care Therapeutics," *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, vol. 83, no. 6, pp. 913–917, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1038/clpt.2008.29.
- [12] J. Evetts, "A new professionalism? Challenges and opportunities," *Current Sociology*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 406–422, Jul. 2011, doi: 10.1177/0011392111402585.
- [13] C. Pope, "Conducting ethnography in medical settings," *Med Educ*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 1180–1187, Dec. 2005, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2929.2005.02330.x.
- [14] E. C. K. Tan, K. Stewart, R. A. Elliott, and J. George, "Pharmacist services provided in general practice clinics: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 608–622, Jul. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2013.08.006.
- [15] A. C. M. Hazen *et al.*, "Design of the POINT study: Pharmacotherapy Optimisation through Integration of a Non-dispensing pharmacist in a primary care Team (POINT)," *BMC Fam Pract*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 76, Dec. 2015, doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0296-8.
- [16] H.-F. Kwint, L. Bermingham, A. Faber, J. Gussekloo, and M. L. Bouvy, "The Relationship between the Extent of Collaboration of General Practitioners and Pharmacists and the Implementation of Recommendations Arising from Medication Review," *Drugs Aging*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 91–102, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1007/s40266-012-0048-6.

- [17] C. R. Freeman, W. N. Cottrell, G. Kyle, I. D. Williams, and L. Nissen, "An evaluation of medication review reports across different settings," *Int J Clin Pharm*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 5–13, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1007/s11096-012-9701-8.
- [18] E. C. K. Tan, K. Stewart, R. A. Elliott, and J. George, "Pharmacist consultations in general practice clinics: The Pharmacists in Practice Study (PIPS)," *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 623–632, Jul. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2013.08.005.
- [19] J. Silcock, D. K. T. Raynor, and D. Petty, "The organisation and development of primary care pharmacy in the United Kingdom," *Health Policy (New York)*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 207–214, Feb. 2004, doi: 10.1016/S0168-8510(03)00121-0.
- [20] L. Dolovich, "Ontario Pharmacists Practicing in Family Health Teams and the Patient-Centered Medical Home," *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 46, no. 4_suppl, pp. 33S-39S, Apr. 2012, doi: 10.1345/aph.1Q804.
- [21] M. A. Chisholm-Burns *et al.*, "US Pharmacists' Effect as Team Members on Patient Care," *Med Care*, vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 923–933, Oct. 2010, doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181e57962.
- [22] E. Mossialos *et al.*, "From 'retailers' to health care providers: Transforming the role of community pharmacists in chronic disease management," *Health Policy (New York)*, vol. 119, no. 5, pp. 628–639, May 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2015.02.007.
- [23] E. Goodrick and T. Reay, "Constellations of Institutional Logics," *Work Occup*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 372–416, Aug. 2011, doi: 10.1177/0730888411406824.
- [24] A. G. Winterstein, B. C. Sauer, C. D. Hepler, and C. Poole, "Preventable Drug-Related Hospital Admissions," *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 36, no. 7–8, pp. 1238–1248, Jul. 2002, doi: 10.1345/aph.1A225.
- [25] S. H. Erickson, "Primary care by a pharmacist in an outpatient clinic.," *Am J Hosp Pharm*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1086–90, Oct. 1977.
- [26] J. L. Keely and American College of Physicians-American Society of Internal Medicine, "Pharmacist scope of practice.," *Ann Intern Med*, vol. 136, no. 1, pp. 79–85, Jan. 2002, doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-136-1-200201010-00014.
- [27] S. Heffler *et al.*, "Health spending growth up in 1999; faster growth expected in the future.," *Health Aff (Millwood)*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 193–203, 2001, doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.20.2.193.
- [28] J. M. Carmichael *et al.*, "Collaborative drug therapy management by pharmacists. American College of Clinical Pharmacy.," *Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 1050–61, 1997.
- [29] A. C. of Clinical Pharmacy *et al.*, "Collaborative Drug Therapy Management by Pharmacists–2003," *Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1210–1225, Sep. 2003, doi: 10.1592/phco.23.10.1210.32752.