IJCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Branches of Applied Ethics: An In-Depth Exploration.

Samir Ali Assistant Professor Department of Philosophy F. A. Ahmed College, Garoimari, Assam, India

Abstract

This article explores the various branches of applied ethics, a subfield of philosophy that deals with how moral concepts and principles are applied to real-world situations. Applied ethics extends the theoretical frameworks of moral philosophy into practical contexts, addressing ethical issues in fields such as medicine, business, the environment, and technology. The major branches discussed include bioethics, which focuses on ethical dilemmas in healthcare and medical research; business ethics, which evaluates moral standards in corporate governance and commerce; environmental ethics, which addresses the ethical relationship between humans and the environment; media ethics, dealing with the responsibilities and integrity of journalists; and legal ethics, which concerns moral obligations in the legal profession. Each branch brings unique challenges and requires nuanced approaches to balancing competing values like individual rights, societal good, and professional responsibility. This article critically examines these branches, highlighting their relevance in guiding ethical decision-making in contemporary society.

Keywords:

Applied ethics, bioethics, business ethics, environmental ethics, media ethics, legal ethic.

Introduction:

Applied ethics is a branch of philosophy that seeks to apply ethical principles and reasoning to real-world situations. It is concerned with understanding how theoretical ethical frameworks can be implemented in various professional, social, and personal contexts. While traditional ethical theories such as deontology, consequentialism, and virtue ethics provide broad guidelines, applied ethics delves into the nuances of particular cases and dilemmas.

Over time, various branches of applied ethics have developed to address specific domains of human activity. These include bioethics, business ethics, environmental ethics, legal ethics, media ethics, and professional ethics, among others. Each branch provides a framework for analyzing ethical issues within its respective field.

1.Bioethics:

Bioethics is the branch of applied ethics that deals with the moral dilemmas and challenges that arise in medicine, healthcare, biotechnology, and biological research. It seeks to provide ethical guidelines in complex scenarios involving human life, health, and well-being. With rapid advancements in medical technology and the ever-growing intersection of biology and ethics, bioethics has become a crucial field for addressing issues such as patient rights, genetic modification, end-of-life care, and resource allocation.

Core Principles of Bioethics

At the heart of bioethics are several foundational principles that guide ethical decision-making in healthcare and biological sciences. These principles include **autonomy**, **beneficence**, **non-maleficence**, and **justice**.

- 1. **Autonomy** refers to the right of individuals to make decisions about their own lives and bodies. In healthcare, this often translates into the principle of informed consent, where patients must be fully informed about the potential risks and benefits of a medical procedure before consenting to it.
- 2. **Beneficence** is the obligation to act in the best interests of the patient or the subject of medical research. This principle requires healthcare professionals and researchers to maximize the potential benefits of their actions while minimizing harm.
- 3. Non-maleficence complements beneficence and means "do no harm." It is the principle that healthcare providers must avoid causing unnecessary harm or suffering, even if their interventions are intended to help.
- 4. **Justice** addresses the fair distribution of medical resources and the equitable treatment of all individuals, regardless of their background. This principle is particularly relevant in discussions about access to healthcare, the allocation of limited medical resources, and fairness in medical research.

Medical Ethics: Autonomy vs. Paternalism

One of the most fundamental ethical debates in bioethics is the tension between **autonomy** and **paternalism**. Autonomy respects the right of individuals to make informed decisions about their own healthcare, while paternalism involves making decisions for patients based on what healthcare professionals believe to be in the patients' best interests.

For example, in end-of-life care, a patient may choose to refuse life-sustaining treatment. In such cases, the principle of autonomy would demand that the patient's wishes be respected, even if healthcare providers believe that the treatment could prolong the patient's life. However, paternalistic approaches might advocate for overriding the patient's wishes to preserve life. This ethical tension raises questions about how much control individuals should have over their own medical decisions and whether healthcare professionals should intervene when they believe a patient's choice may lead to harm.

A critical point of contention is the extent to which patients can make fully informed choices, especially in situations involving complex medical information or when the patient's mental capacity is compromised. Critics of strict autonomy argue that patients may not always have the expertise or clarity to make decisions in their best interests, and healthcare professionals should sometimes step in to ensure better outcomes.

End-of-Life Care and Euthanasia

End-of-life decisions, such as euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide, are among the most ethically contentious issues in bioethics. Euthanasia refers to deliberately ending a person's life to alleviate suffering, while physician-assisted suicide involves providing a patient with the means to end their own life.

Supporters of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide argue from an autonomy-based perspective, emphasizing that individuals should have the right to choose when and how they die, especially if they are

suffering from terminal illness or intractable pain. They argue that prolonging life in such cases may lead to unnecessary suffering and that respecting a patient's desire for a dignified death is paramount.

Opponents, on the other hand, argue from the principles of beneficence and non-maleficence. They contend that allowing euthanasia or assisted suicide could open the door to abuse, especially for vulnerable populations such as the elderly or disabled. There is also concern that it could undermine the doctor's role as a healer, and erode trust in the medical profession.

In countries where euthanasia is legalized, such as the Netherlands and Belgium, strict regulations are in place to ensure that only patients with terminal illnesses and significant suffering can request euthanasia. However, the ethical debate continues, with cultural, religious, and philosophical differences shaping perspectives on whether euthanasia can ever be ethically justified.

Genetic Ethics: CRISPR and Genetic Modification

Genetic ethics, particularly with the rise of gene-editing technologies like **CRISPR-Cas9**, is another area where bioethics faces profound challenges. CRISPR allows scientists to edit the genome with unprecedented precision, raising the possibility of eradicating genetic diseases and enhancing human capabilities. However, the technology also poses serious ethical questions.

One of the main ethical concerns is the potential for **germline editing**, where changes to an individual's genome can be passed on to future generations. This raises the specter of "designer babies," where parents might choose to enhance their children's physical or cognitive abilities, leading to ethical concerns about eugenics, inequality, and the societal pressure to conform to certain genetic standards.

Proponents of genetic modification argue that it could lead to the elimination of inherited diseases like cystic fibrosis or Huntington's disease, improving the quality of life for many individuals. From a beneficence perspective, the potential for gene editing to reduce suffering is compelling.

However, critics point out that tampering with the human genome in ways that affect future generations could have unintended consequences. The long-term effects of genetic modification are still largely unknown, and bioethicists caution against making irreversible changes without a thorough understanding of the risks. Additionally, concerns about access to gene-editing technology could exacerbate existing social inequalities, as only the wealthy might be able to afford such enhancements.

Resource Allocation and Justice

The principle of justice becomes particularly relevant in the discussion of resource allocation in healthcare. Ethical dilemmas often arise when there are limited resources, such as organ transplants, life-saving drugs, or ventilators during a pandemic. Who should receive priority in such cases? Should decisions be based on factors like age, likelihood of survival, or quality of life?

Bioethicists debate whether it is ethical to use **utilitarian** principles—aiming to save the greatest number of lives—or whether a more **egalitarian** approach should be adopted, where all individuals are treated equally regardless of their specific circumstances. The COVID-19 pandemic brought these questions to the forefront as healthcare systems around the world were overwhelmed, forcing difficult decisions about who would receive life-saving treatments.

2. Business Ethics:

Business ethics examines the ethical principles that guide behavior in the world of commerce. It focuses on the responsibilities of businesses toward their employees, customers, shareholders, and the broader society. This field has gained increasing importance due to globalization, corporate scandals, and the growing influence of corporations on various aspects of life.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Corporate social responsibility refers to the ethical obligation of businesses to act in the best interests of society at large, beyond just profit-making. CSR initiatives include environmental sustainability, philanthropy, fair labor practices, and ethical sourcing. For example, a company that promotes sustainability might reduce its carbon footprint or ensure that its supply chain uses ethically sourced materials.

CSR also addresses the tension between profit maximization and social good. Can a corporation prioritize social goals without compromising its bottom line? This question remains a fundamental issue in business ethics.

Fair Trade and Labor Rights

Another important area within business ethics is the fair treatment of workers. This includes ensuring safe working conditions, paying fair wages, and preventing exploitation, particularly in global supply chains. Ethical businesses are expected to avoid sweatshop labor, child labor, and any practices that infringe on workers' rights. Fair Trade certification has emerged as a response to unethical labor practices, guaranteeing that producers in developing countries receive fair compensation for their goods.

Consumer Rights and Ethical Marketing

Consumer ethics is concerned with the fair treatment of customers. This includes issues such as product safety, truth in advertising, and the transparency of business practices. Ethical marketing ensures that consumers are not misled or manipulated by false claims or deceptive practices. Additionally, businesses have a responsibility to protect consumer privacy, particularly in the digital age where personal data is frequently collected and analyzed.

3. Environmental Ethics:

Environmental ethics is a branch of philosophy that explores the moral relationship between human beings and the natural world. It seeks to answer questions about how humans should interact with nature, the moral status of animals, ecosystems, and the obligations that individuals and societies have toward the environment. The critical importance of environmental ethics has become increasingly evident as humanity faces global environmental challenges, including climate change, biodiversity loss, deforestation, pollution, and resource depletion.

This field critically examines both anthropocentric (human-centered) and ecocentric (nature-centered) worldviews, offering ethical frameworks to guide environmental decision-making.

The Anthropocentric Perspective

Anthropocentrism is an ethical framework that places human beings at the center of moral consideration. It maintains that nature's value is primarily instrumental, meaning it derives its worth from its utility to humans. This perspective has dominated much of Western philosophical and economic thinking, from the early Industrial Revolution through modern capitalism.

Anthropocentric ethics justifies environmental protection based on its benefits to humans. For example, clean air and water are essential for human health, and forests provide necessary resources like timber and oxygen. From this viewpoint, the environment is something to be managed, conserved, or restored to serve human needs. Anthropocentrism has informed various environmental policies, especially those aimed at sustainable development, where the primary concern is preserving resources for future generations.

The Ecocentric Perspective

In contrast, ecocentrism assigns intrinsic value to nature, independent of its utility to humans. This ethical framework emphasizes that all living beings, ecosystems, and even non-living entities like rivers and mountains have moral worth. Ecocentric ethics argue that humans are not separate from nature but are an integral part of the biosphere, and as such, have moral obligations toward preserving the natural world in its own right.

A prominent advocate of ecocentric thinking was Aldo Leopold, an American ecologist and environmentalist. In his landmark work, *A Sand County Almanac*, Leopold introduced the concept of the "land ethic," which posits that humans should consider themselves as part of a larger biotic community and should act to preserve the integrity, stability, and beauty of the ecosystem.

Ecocentrism challenges the exploitative nature of anthropocentrism by advocating for deep respect and reverence for nature. It is aligned with movements like deep ecology, which calls for profound changes in human society to align with ecological principles, and with indigenous philosophies that have long recognized the interconnectedness of all living beings.

Climate Ethics: A Critical Concern in Environmental Ethics

One of the most pressing areas within environmental ethics today is climate ethics, which deals with the moral implications of climate change. Climate change raises difficult ethical questions about responsibility, justice, and future obligations. A key issue is who should bear the burden of mitigating climate change and dealing with its effects. Developed countries have historically been the largest contributors to greenhouse gas emissions, yet developing nations are often the most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, such as rising sea levels, extreme weather events, and food insecurity.

From an anthropocentric perspective, addressing climate change is crucial to ensuring human survival and maintaining economic stability. However, from an ecocentric viewpoint, climate ethics involves a broader responsibility to protect ecosystems and non-human species from the destructive effects of a warming planet.

Intergenerational Ethics

A central ethical concern in climate change is the issue of intergenerational justice. Current generations are often seen as having a moral responsibility to prevent environmental degradation for the sake of future generations. This concern reflects both anthropocentric and ecocentric values, as it addresses the future well-being of humans while also acknowledging the need to protect the environment as an inheritance for future life on Earth.

Environmental Justice

Environmental justice is another critical component of environmental ethics, which emphasizes the fair distribution of environmental benefits and burdens across different populations, especially marginalized and disadvantaged communities. Environmental justice challenges the inequities that often arise in environmental policy, where low-income communities and communities of color disproportionately suffer from pollution, hazardous waste, and other environmental harms.

For example, in many industrialized nations, factories and waste facilities are often located in poorer neighborhoods, subjecting residents to higher levels of pollution and health risks. This raises important ethical questions about how societies should distribute environmental risks and resources.

Environmental ethics offers a framework for understanding the moral dimensions of human interactions with nature. The anthropocentric and ecocentric perspectives represent two competing but complementary approaches to environmental responsibility. While anthropocentrism provides a practical foundation for policy development based on human welfare, ecocentrism calls for a deeper recognition of the intrinsic value of nature. The field continues to evolve as new global challenges, such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and environmental justice, bring urgency to the moral questions surrounding humanity's relationship with the natural world.

5. Legal Ethics:

Legal ethics pertains to the ethical responsibilities and obligations of legal professionals, including lawyers, judges, and legal scholars. It deals with issues such as justice, fairness, and the rule of law, and seeks to ensure that the legal system operates in an ethical and just manner.

The Role of the Lawyer

One of the primary concerns in legal ethics is the role of the lawyer in the justice system. Lawyers have a duty to represent their clients zealously, but they must also adhere to principles of honesty, fairness, and respect for the legal process. Ethical dilemmas arise when a lawyer's obligation to advocate for their client conflicts with the broader goals of justice, such as in cases where a lawyer knows their client is guilty but must still provide the best defense possible.

Confidentiality and Attorney-Client Privilege

Confidentiality is a cornerstone of legal ethics. Lawyers are bound by strict rules of confidentiality regarding any information shared by their clients. However, ethical questions arise when maintaining client confidentiality could result in harm to others or the obstruction of justice. The tension between the duty to the client and the duty to society is a central theme in legal ethics.

Access to Justice and Pro Bono Work

Legal ethics also involves ensuring that all individuals have access to justice, regardless of their economic status. This includes the ethical obligation of lawyers to provide pro bono (free) legal services to those who cannot afford representation. Ethical concerns in this area focus on the inequities within the legal system, where wealth often plays a significant role in determining the quality of legal representation and outcomes.

6. Media Ethics:

Media ethics refers to the principles and standards that guide the practice of journalism and the dissemination of information across various media platforms. Given the powerful role media plays in shaping public opinion and discourse, the ethical responsibilities of journalists and media outlets are critical. Media ethics addresses issues such as truth-telling, fairness, privacy, and the potential for harm, especially in an era marked by rapid technological advancement, the rise of social media, and increasing political polarization.

Truth and Accuracy

One of the most fundamental ethical principles in media is the obligation to report the truth. Journalists are expected to provide accurate, well-researched, and balanced information. However, in practice, this principle is often challenged by the pressure to report news quickly, particularly in the age of 24-hour news cycles and

social media. The rush to break news can lead to errors, misinformation, and the spread of incomplete or misleading stories. A notable example is the coverage of breaking events, such as mass shootings or natural disasters, where initial reports are often rife with inaccuracies.

The ethical obligation to ensure accuracy is complicated further by the growing prevalence of **"fake news"**—deliberate misinformation or hoaxes spread to mislead audiences for political, financial, or ideological gain. Fake news undermines public trust in legitimate journalism and distorts democratic processes. Media outlets must critically evaluate their fact-checking processes and guard against becoming complicit in amplifying false information.

Objectivity and Fairness

Another core value in media ethics is objectivity, the principle that journalists should report facts without bias or personal interpretation. However, complete objectivity is often difficult to achieve in practice, as journalists bring their own perspectives, and media organizations may have ideological leanings or commercial interests that influence their coverage. Critics argue that the concept of objectivity can be misleading since all media is, to some extent, shaped by editorial choices, framing, and the selection of sources.

While striving for objectivity, journalists are also expected to be fair, providing balanced coverage and presenting multiple perspectives on controversial issues. Yet, this goal is often compromised by practices like sensationalism—the deliberate exaggeration or dramatization of news to attract readers or viewers. Sensationalism distorts the reality of events and can perpetuate harmful stereotypes or panic. For example, coverage of crime stories or immigration may focus on the most extreme or emotional elements, painting an unbalanced picture that influences public perception.

Privacy and the Public's Right to Know

Media ethics must also grapple with the tension between the public's right to know and an individual's right to privacy. Journalists often face ethical dilemmas when reporting on the private lives of public figures or ordinary citizens caught in the news. While public figures may have diminished expectations of privacy due to their influential roles, private individuals involved in sensational stories may suffer undue harm if their personal lives are exposed without justification.

Ethical guidelines suggest that journalists should respect individuals' privacy unless there is a compelling public interest in revealing personal information. This balance can be difficult to navigate, particularly in cases of scandals, crime reporting, or coverage of sensitive issues like mental health. For example, the intense media scrutiny surrounding celebrities or victims of crime can cross ethical lines, leading to accusations of exploitation and harm.

Social media has exacerbated this ethical challenge, as platforms encourage rapid sharing of information, often without adequate checks on accuracy or consideration for the potential impact on privacy. Viral content can lead to widespread dissemination of personal details or images that infringe on individuals' rights.

The Role of Media in Shaping Public Opinion

The media's influence on public opinion raises significant ethical concerns. Media outlets shape the narrative around critical issues such as politics, climate change, and social justice. Ethical journalism demands that media organizations provide balanced, fact-based reporting to inform the public responsibly. However, concerns about media ownership, corporate interests, and political biases complicate this ideal. Concentration of media ownership in the hands of a few large corporations can lead to conflicts of interest and biased reporting, often prioritizing profit over the public good.

For instance, during election campaigns, media coverage can heavily influence voter behavior, and ethical violations such as biased reporting or unequal coverage of candidates can distort democratic processes. In many countries, partisan news outlets promote ideological agendas, often at the expense of nuanced, balanced journalism. The media's power to set the agenda—deciding which stories are newsworthy and which are not—can marginalize important issues or amplify divisive rhetoric.

Media Ethics in the Digital Age

The digital age has transformed the landscape of media ethics. Social media platforms, citizen journalism, and the democratization of content creation have raised new ethical challenges. Unlike traditional journalism, where professional standards guide behavior, anyone can publish news or opinions online, often with little accountability. The rise of **algorithm-driven news feeds** also complicates media ethics, as platforms prioritize engagement and sensational content over accuracy and public interest.

These developments have created ethical dilemmas around **disinformation**, echo chambers, and the role of algorithms in shaping public discourse. Journalists and media companies must now navigate an environment where misinformation spreads faster than fact-checked reporting, and ethical breaches can have global ramifications.

Media ethics is a critical field that seeks to ensure responsible journalism and protect public trust. While truth-telling, fairness, privacy, and the public interest are guiding principles, they are frequently tested by the pressures of modern media, including sensationalism, fake news, and the growing influence of digital platforms.

7. Professional Ethics:

Professional ethics refers to the principles and standards of conduct that govern individuals in specific professions. These ethics serve as a guide to proper behavior and decision-making, ensuring that professionals act with integrity, accountability, and respect for the individuals and communities they serve. Whether in law, medicine, education, engineering, or any other profession, ethical conduct is essential for maintaining public trust and upholding the dignity of the profession.

The Role of Professional Ethics

The primary role of professional ethics is to ensure that professionals adhere to a set of rules that promote fairness, honesty, and accountability. These ethical guidelines help professionals navigate complex situations, avoid conflicts of interest, and maintain the highest standards of practice.

Professional ethics also serves to protect the public. For instance, in healthcare, medical professionals must follow ethical standards like patient confidentiality, informed consent, and the Hippocratic Oath, which obligates them to do no harm. Similarly, lawyers are bound by ethical codes to represent their clients with competence and to avoid misleading the court. In both cases, the public depends on professionals to act with integrity, and breaches of these ethical codes can result in harm, loss of trust, or even legal consequences.

Principles of Professional Ethics

Several key principles underpin professional ethics, including **integrity**, **competence**, **confidentiality**, **accountability**, and **respect for others**.

- 1. **Integrity**: This is the cornerstone of professional ethics. Professionals are expected to be honest, truthful, and transparent in all dealings. Whether dealing with clients, colleagues, or the public, professionals must act in a way that reflects trustworthiness and moral soundness.
- 2. **Competence**: Professionals are expected to maintain a high level of competence in their field. This means they must stay current with industry standards, continually update their skills, and provide services to the best of their ability.
- 3. **Confidentiality**: Many professions, such as law and medicine, place a high emphasis on confidentiality. Professionals are ethically bound to protect sensitive information shared by clients or patients, and any breach of this confidentiality can result in significant harm.
- 4. **Accountability**: Professionals must take responsibility for their actions and decisions. This principle ensures that individuals are held accountable for unethical behavior, whether through disciplinary measures, legal action, or loss of licensure.
- 5. **Respect for Others**: Ethical behavior also demands respect for others' rights, dignity, and opinions. This principle is essential for maintaining professionalism in client relationships and interactions with colleagues.

Ethical Dilemmas in Professional Practice

One of the key challenges in professional ethics is dealing with ethical dilemmas, where conflicting obligations or principles make it difficult to determine the right course of action. These dilemmas require professionals to balance their duties to clients, employers, colleagues, and society at large.

For example, in medicine, doctors often face ethical conflicts related to patient autonomy versus their professional obligation to act in the patient's best interest. A patient might refuse treatment, which the doctor believes could save their life. In this case, the physician must weigh respect for the patient's autonomy against their responsibility to do no harm. The ethical decision may not be straightforward, and such dilemmas often require deep reflection, consultation with ethical guidelines, or input from ethics committees.

In the legal profession, lawyers may face ethical dilemmas regarding confidentiality and the need to disclose information that could prevent harm. For example, if a lawyer learns that their client is planning to commit a crime, they may struggle with whether to uphold client confidentiality or prevent harm by disclosing the information. These situations illustrate the complexities professionals encounter when ethical principles come into conflict.

References:

Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). Principles of biomedical ethics (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Callahan, D. (1995). *Bioethics: The beginnings and the future. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 23(1), 15-23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-720X.1995.tb01398.x

Harris, J. (2001). Bioethics (1st ed.). Oxford University Press.

Macklin, R. (2003). *Bioethics, vulnerability, and protection*. *Bioethics*, 17(5-6), 472-486. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8519.00363

Singer, P. (2011). *Practical ethics* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Veatch, R. M. (2016). The basics of bioethics (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Boatright, J. R. (2017). Ethics and the conduct of business (8th ed.). Pearson.

Carroll, A. B., & Buchholtz, A. K. (2014). Business and society: Ethics, sustainability, and stakeholder management (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Crane, A., & Matten, D. (2016). Business ethics: Managing corporate citizenship and sustainability in the age of globalization (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Trevino, L. K., & Nelson, K. A. (2021). Managing business ethics: Straight talk about how to do it right (8th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Attfield, R. (2014). Environmental ethics: An overview for the twenty-first century (2nd ed.). Polity Press.

Curry, P. (2011). Ecological ethics: An introduction (2nd ed.). Polity Press.

Des Jardins, J. R. (2020). *Environmental ethics: An introduction to environmental philosophy* (6th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Leopold, A. (1949). A sand county almanac. Oxford University Press.

Light, A., & Rolston III, H. (Eds.). (2003). Environmental ethics: An anthology. Wiley-Blackwell.

Naess, A. (1973). The shallow and the deep, long-range ecology movement: A summary. *Inquiry*, 16(1-4), 95-100. https://doi.org/10.1080/00201747308601682

Singer, P. (Ed.). (2016). *Ethics and the environment*. Blackwell Publishing.

Freedman, M. H., & Smith, A. D. (2016). *Understanding lawyers' ethics* (5th ed.). Carolina Academic Press.

Gillian, J. (2014). Legal ethics: Theoretical and practical perspectives. Oxford University Press.

Leubsdorf, J. (2017). Legal ethics: A comparative study. Oxford University Press.

Rhode, D. L. (2020). The trouble with lawyers (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Black, J., Steele, B., & Barney, R. (2013). *Doing ethics in media: Theories and practical applications* (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Christians, C. G., Fackler, M., Richardson, K. B., Kreshel, P. J., & Woods, R. H. (2020). *Media ethics: Cases and moral reasoning* (11th ed.). Routledge.

Day, L. A. (2006). Ethics in media communications: Cases and controversies (5th ed.). Wadsworth Publishing.

McBride, K., & Rosenstiel, T. (2013). The new ethics of journalism: Principles for the 21st century. CQ Press.

Patterson, P., Wilkins, L., & Painter, C. (2018). *Media ethics: Issues and cases* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Ward, S. J. A. (2015). *The invention of journalism ethics: The path to objectivity and beyond* (2nd ed.). McGill-Queen's University Press.

Ward, S. J. A. (2018). Disrupting journalism ethics: Radical change on the frontier of digital media. Routledge.

Callahan, D. (1988). Ethical issues in professional life: Some problems and limitations. *Journal of Professional Ethics*, 6(2), 125–134.

Freeman, R. E., & Stewart, L. (2006). *Developing ethical leadership*. Bridge Paper. Business Roundtable Institute for Corporate Ethics.

Lo, B. (2012). Resolving ethical dilemmas: A guide for clinicians (5th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins...

Rest, J. R., & Narvaez, D. (Eds.). (1994). *Moral development* in the professions: Psychology and applied ethics. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Svara, J. H. (2007). *The ethics primer for public administrators in government and nonprofit organizations*. Jones and Bartlett Publishers.