ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Some New Form Of Nano Connectedness And Nano Compactness In Nano Topological Spaces

P.Subbulakshmi¹ & Dr.N.R.Santhi Maheswari²

 ¹ Research Scholar, Reg No:19222052092003
PG and Research Department of Mathematics, G.Venkataswamy Naidu College Kovilpatti-628 502, Tamil Nadu, India.
Affiliated to manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli-627012, Tamil Nadu,India

 ² Associate Professor and Head, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, G.Venkataswamy Naidu College Kovilpatti-628 502, Tamil Nadu, India.
Affiliated to manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli-627012, Tamil Nadu,India

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the new concepts of $N\lambda\psi g$ -compactness and $N\lambda\psi g$ - connectedness in nano topological spaces and obtained some of their properties using $N\lambda\psi g$ - closed sets.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 54C10.

Key words : N\u03c8\u03c9 -closed sets,N\u03c8\u03c9 -compactness and N\u03c8\u03c9 -connectedness.

1 Introduction

A.V.Archangelskii and R.Wiegandt[1] was introduce the concepts of Connectedness and disconnectedness in topological spaces. M.K.R.S.Veerakumar [14] was introduced the notion of ψ closed sets in topological spaces. Maki [5] introduced the notion of Λ -sets in topological spaces in 1986. Lellis Thivagar introduced [4] nano topological space with respect to a subset X of a universe which is defined in terms of lower and upper approximation of X. The elements of nano topological space are called nano open sets. S.Krishnaprakash et.al [3] innovative some concept of nano compact space and nano connected in nano topology. P.Subbulakshmi and N.R.Santhi Maheswari [9]-[13]., introduced the new concepts of $N\Lambda_{\psi}(A)$ sets, $N\Lambda_{\psi}^{*}(A)$ sets, $N\lambda\psi$ generalized closed set , $N\lambda\psi$ g -continuous functions in nano topological spaces we also introduced $N\lambda\psi$ g -Open, $N\lambda\psi$ g -Closed maps and $N\lambda\psi$ g -homeomorphisms in nano topological spaces. The aim of this paper is to introduce the concepts of $N\lambda\psi$ g - compactness and $N\lambda\psi$ g -connectedness in nano topological spaces. We also investigate their properties.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [7] Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be in discernible with one another. The pair (U,R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$.

• The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $L_R(X)$. That is $L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(X) : R(X) \subseteq X\}$, where R(X) denotes the equivalence class determined by $X \in U$.

• The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $U_R(X)$. That is $U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(X) : R(X) \cap X = \phi\}$.

• The boundary of the region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be classified neither as X nor as not X with respect to R and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$. That is $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$.

Definition 2.2. [4] If (U,R) is an approximation space and X, $Y \subseteq U$, then

1. $L_R(X) \subseteq X \subseteq U_R(X)$ 2. $L_R(\phi) = U_R(\phi) = \phi$ 3. $L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U$ 4. $U_R(X \cup Y) = U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$ 5. $U_R(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$ 6. $U_R(X \cup Y) \supseteq U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$ 7. $U_R(X \cap Y) = U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$ 8. $L_R(X) \subseteq L_R(Y)$ and $U_R(X) \subseteq U_R(Y)$, whenever $X \subseteq Y$ 9. $U_R(X^c) = [L_R(X)]^c$ and $L_R(X^c) = [U_R(X)]^c$ 10. $U_R(U_R(X)) = L_R(U_R(X)) = U_R(X)$ 11. $L_R(L_R(X)) = U_R(L_R(X)) = L_R(X)$

Definition 2.3. [4] Let U be the Universe and R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \varphi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$, where $X \subseteq U \cdot \tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms: (1) U and $\varphi \in \tau_R(X)$.

(2) The union of elements of any subcollection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

(3) The intersection of the elements of any finite subcollection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

We call $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a nano topological space. The elements of $\tau_R(X)$ are called a nano open sets and the complement of a nano open set is called nano closed sets.

Throughout this paper (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is a nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$, R is an equivalence relation on U, U/R denotes the family of equivalence classes of U by R.

Definition 2.4. [4] If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a nano topological space with respect to X. Where $X \subseteq G$ and if $A \subseteq G$, then

- (i) The nano interior of the set A is defined as the union of all nano open subsets contained in A and is denoted by Nint(A), Nint(A) is the largest nano open subset of A.
- (ii) The nano closure of the set A is defined as the intersection of all nano closed sets containing A and is denoted by Ncl(A) . Ncl(A) is the smallest nano closed set containing A.

Definition 2.5. [4] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq G$. Then A is said to be

- (i) Nano semi-open if $A \subseteq Ncl(Nint(A))$.
- (ii) Nano semi-closed if $Nint(Ncl(A)) \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.6. [9] Let A be a subset of a nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$). A subset $N\Lambda_{\psi}(A)$ is defined as $N\Lambda_{\psi}(A) = \bigcap \{H/A \subseteq H \text{ and } H \in N\psi O(U, \tau_R(X)\}$.

Definition 2.7. [9] A subset A of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a $N\Lambda_{\psi}$ -set if $A = N\Lambda_{\psi}(A)$. The set of all $N\Lambda_{\psi}$ -sets is denoted by $N\Lambda_{\psi}(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Definition 2.8. [10] Let A be a subset of a nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$). A subset N(Λ , ψ) closed if A = B $\cap C$, where B is N Λ_{Ψ} - set and C is a N ψ -closed set.

Definition 2.9. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq G$. Then A is said to be

- (i) Nano semi generalized closed [2] (briefly Nsg closed)if $Nscl(A) \subseteq G$, whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is NS open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
- (ii) Nano ψ -closed [14] (briefly N ψ -closed) if Nscl(A) \subseteq G, whenever A \subseteq G and G is Nsg -open in (U, $\tau_R(X)$).
- (iii) Nano $\lambda \psi$ generalized closed [10] (briefly $N\lambda \psi g$ -closed) if $N\psi cl(A) \subseteq H$, whenever $A \subseteq H$ and H is $N(\Lambda, \psi)$ -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Definition 2.10. [8] A function $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau'_R (Y))$ is said to be

- (i) Nano $\lambda \psi$ generalized continuous [8] (briefly $N \lambda \psi g$ -continuous) if the inverse image of every nano open set in $(V, \tau'_{p} (Y))$ is $N \lambda \psi g$ -open in $(U, \tau_{R}(X))$.
- (ii) Strongly nano $\lambda \psi$ generalized irresolute [13] (briefly N $\lambda \psi g$ -irresolute) if the inverse image of every N $\lambda \psi g$ -open set in (V, τ'_R (Y)) is N $\lambda \psi g$ -open in (U, $\tau_R(X)$).

Definition 2.11. [3] A nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is said to be nano connected if U cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint non-empty nano open set.

Definition 2.12. [3] A collection $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of nano open sets in a nano topological spaces (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is said be nano open cover of a subset A in (U, $\tau_R(X)$) if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$.

Definition 2.13. [3] A nano topological spaces (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is said be nano compact if every nano open cover of U has a finite subcover.

3 Nλψg - Connected

In this section, the concept of $N\lambda\psi g$ -connected spaces is introduced. Also their basic properties and characterizations are discussed.

Definition 3.1. A nano topological spaces (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is said to be N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected if U cannot be written as a union of two disjoint non empty N $\lambda\psi g$ -open sets.

Definition 3.2. A subset G of a nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected set in U if G cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint non empty N $\lambda\psi g$ -open sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Theorem 3.3. For a nano topological spaces $(U, \tau_R(X))$ the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) U is $N\lambda \psi g$ -connected.
- (ii) The only subsets of U which are both N $\lambda\psi g$ -open and N $\lambda\psi g$ -closed are the empty set ϕ and U.
- (iii) Each $N\lambda\psi g$ -continuous function of U into a discrete space V with at least two points is a constant function.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let U be a N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected space. Let A be N $\lambda\psi g$ -open and N $\lambda\psi g$ -closed subset of U. Then U – A is both N $\lambda\psi g$ -open and N $\lambda\psi g$ - closed in U. That implies, U is the union of disjoint N $\lambda\psi g$ -open sets A and U \subseteq A. Since U is N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected either A = ϕ or U – A = ϕ . That is, A = ϕ or A = U.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that $U = A \cup B$, where A and B are disjoint non empty N $\lambda \psi g$ -open subsets of U. Then A and B are proper subsets of U. Since A = U - B, A is N $\lambda \psi g$ -closed subset of U, then A is both N $\lambda \psi g$ -open and N $\lambda \psi g$ -closed subset of U. Therefore by assumption, $A = \phi$ or A = U, which is a contradiction. Thus U is N $\lambda \psi g$ -connected.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau'_R(Y))$ be $N\lambda\psi g$ - continuous, where V is discrete space with atleast two points. Then U is covered by $N\lambda\psi g$ -open and $N\lambda\psi g$ -closed covering $\{f^{-1}(y) : y \in V\}$. By part (ii), $f^{-1}(y) = \phi$ or U, for each $y \in V$. If $f^{-1}(y) = \phi$, for all $y \in V$, then f fails to be a function. Therefore there exists atleast one point say $y_1 \in V$, such that $f^{-1}(y_1) \neq \phi$ and hence $f^{-1}(y_1) = U$, which shows that f is a constant function.

 $\begin{array}{ll} (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \mbox{ Let } G \mbox{ be both } N\lambda\psi g \mbox{ -open and } N\lambda\psi g \mbox{ - closed in } U \mbox{ . Suppose that } G \neq \phi \mbox{ . Let } V \mbox{ be a discrete space with atleast two points, fix } y_0 \mbox{ and } y_1 \mbox{ in } V \mbox{ and } y_0 \neq y_1 \mbox{ . Define } & f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R'(Y)) \mbox{ by } f(x) = \{y_0\} \mbox{ , for } x \in G \mbox{ and } f(x) = \{y_1\} \mbox{ , for } x \notin G \mbox{ . Let } F \mbox{ be a nano open set in } V. \mbox{ If } F \mbox{ contains } y_0 \mbox{ alone, then } f^{-1}(F) = G \mbox{ . If } F \mbox{ contains both } y_0 \mbox{ and } y_1 \mbox{ , then } f^{-1}(F) = U \mbox{ .} \end{array}$

Otherwise $f-1(F) = \phi$. In all case $f^{-1}(F)$ is $N\lambda\psi g$ -open in U. Therefore f is $N\lambda\psi g$ -continuous function. Then by assumption f is a constant function. Therefore $f(x) = y_0$ or $f(x) = y_1$, for all x in U. If $f(x) = y_0$, for all x in U, then G = U. If $f(x) = y_1$, for all x in U, then $G = \phi$.

Theorem 3.4. If a space U is $N\lambda \psi g$ - connected space, then it is nano connected.

Proof. Let U be a N $\lambda\psi$ g - connected space. Suppose that U is not nano connected then U = A \cup B, where A and B are disjoint non empty nano open sets in U. Since every nano open set is N $\lambda\psi$ g -open, A and B are disjoint non empty N $\lambda\psi$ g -open sets in U. This contradicts the fact that U is N $\lambda\psi$ g - connected. Hence U is nano connected.

Remark 3.5. The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem need not be true in general.

Example 3.6. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, d\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Then $N\lambda\psi GO(U, \tau_R(X)) = \{U, \phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Here U is nano connected but not N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected because U can be written as union of two disjoint non empty $N\lambda\psi g$ -open sets $\{d\} \cup \{a, b, c\}$.

Theorem 3.7. $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau'_R(Y))$ is NA ψ g -continuous surjection and U is NA ψ g -connected, then V is nano connected.

Proof. Let U be N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected. Suppose that V is not nano connected. Then V = AUB, where A and B are disjoint non empty nano open sets of V. Since f is N $\lambda\psi g$ -continuous and onto, U = f⁻¹(A) U f⁻¹(B), where f⁻¹(A) and f⁻¹(B) are disjoint nonempty N $\lambda\psi g$ -open sets in U. This contradicts the fact that U is N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected. Therefore V is nano connected.

Theorem 3.8. If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau'_R(Y))$ is N $\lambda \psi g$ -irresolute surjection and U is N $\lambda \psi g$ -connected, then V is N $\lambda \psi g$ -connected.

Proof. Assume that V is not NA ψ g -connected. Then there exist disjoint non empty NA ψ g -open sets A and B in V such that V = A U B. Since f is NA ψ g -irresolute, f⁻¹(A) and f⁻¹(B) are NA ψ g -open sets in U. As f is a surjective function, f⁻¹(A) $\neq \phi$ and f⁻¹(B) $\neq \phi$, where U = f⁻¹(V) = f⁻¹(A U B) = f⁻¹(A) U f⁻¹(B) which is a contradiction. This shows that V is NA ψ g -connected.

4 Nλψg -separated

In this section, the concept of $N\lambda\psi g$ -separated set is introduced. Also, their basic properties and characterizations are discussed. Further, the relationship of $N\lambda\psi g$ -separated sets with $N\lambda\psi g$ -connected sets are examined.

Definition 4.1. Two non-empty subsets G and H of a spaces U are called $N\lambda\psi g$ -separated if $N\lambda\psi gcl(G) \cap H = G \cap N\lambda\psi gcl(H) = \phi$.

Theorem 4.2. Any two disjoint non-empty N $\lambda\psi$ g -closed sets are N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated. **Proof.** Suppose G and H are disjoint non-empty N $\lambda\psi$ g -closed sets. Then N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \cap H = G \cap N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) = G \cap H = ϕ . Hence A and B are N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated. **Remark 4.3.** The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem need not be true in general.

Theorem 4.5. If G and H are NA ψ g -separated and A \subseteq G, B \subseteq H, then A and B are also NA ψ g -separated. **Proof.** Let G and H be NA ψ g -separated. Then NA ψ gcl(G) \cap H = G \cap NA ψ gcl(H) = φ . Since A \subseteq G and B \subseteq H, NA ψ gcl(A) \subseteq NA ψ gcl(G) and NA ψ gcl(B) \subseteq NA ψ gcl(H) which implies, NA ψ gcl(A) \cap B \subseteq NA ψ gcl(G) \cap H = φ and hence NA ψ gcl(A) \cap B = φ .

Similarly A \cap N λ ψ gcl(B) = ϕ . Therefore A and B are N λ ψ g -separated.

Theorem 4.6. If G and H are both N $\lambda\psi g$ -open and if $A = G \cap (U - H)$ and $B = H \cap (U - G)$, then A and B are N $\lambda\psi g$ -separated.

Proof. Let G and H be N $\lambda\psi$ g-open subsets in U. Then U – G and U – H are N $\lambda\psi$ g -closed. Since A \subseteq U –H, N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(A) \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(U –H) = U –H and so N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(A) \cap H = ϕ . Since B \subseteq H, N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(A) \cap B = ϕ . Similarly, N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(B) \cap A = ϕ . Hence A and B are N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated.

Theorem 4.7. The subsets G and H of a space U are $N\lambda\psi g$ -separated if and only if there exist a $N\lambda\psi g$ -open sets P and Q such that $G \subseteq P$ and $H \subseteq Q$, $G \cap Q = \varphi$ and $H \cap P = \varphi$.

Proof. Suppose G and H are N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated. Then N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \cap H = G \cap N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) = ϕ . Take Q = U - N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) and P = U - N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H). Then P and Q are N $\lambda\psi$ g -open sets such that G \subseteq P and H \subseteq Q, G \cap Q = ϕ , H \cap P = ϕ .

Conversely, assume that P and Q are N $\lambda\psi$ g -open sets such that $G \subseteq P$ and $H \subseteq Q, G \cap Q = \varphi, H \cap P = \varphi$. Then $G \subseteq U = Q, H \subseteq U = P$ and U = Q, U = P are N $\lambda\psi$ g -closed which implies N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(U=Q) = U = Q = U = H and N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(U = P) = U = P \subseteq U = G. Then N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \subseteq U = H and N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) \subseteq Q = φ and $G \cap N\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) = φ . Then G and H are N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated.

Theorem 4.8. Each two N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated sets are always disjoint. **Proof.** Let G and H be N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated. Then G \cap N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) = ϕ = N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \cap H . Now G \cap H \subseteq G \cap N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) = ϕ . Then G \cap H = ϕ . Hence G and H are disjoint.

Theorem 4.9. A nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is N $\lambda\psi g$ - connected if and only if U is not the union of any two N $\lambda\psi g$ -separated sets.

Proof. Let U is N $\lambda\psi$ g -connected. Suppose U = G U H, where G and H are N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated sets. By the Definition 4.1, N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \cap H = G \cap N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) = ϕ . Since G \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G), G \cap H \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \cap H = ϕ . Therefore G and H are disjoint, also G \subseteq U – H, N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \subseteq U – H = G and N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H) \subseteq U–G = H. Hence G = N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) and H = N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(H). Therefore G and H are N $\lambda\psi$ g -closed sets and hence G = U – H and H = U –G are disjoint N $\lambda\psi$ g -open sets. That is, U is not N $\lambda\psi$ g -connected, which is contradiction to U is a N $\lambda\psi$ g -connected space. Hence U is not the union of any two N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated sets.

Conversely, assume that U is not the union of any two N $\lambda\psi g$ -separated sets. Suppose U is not N $\lambda\psi g$ - connected, then $U = G \cup H$, where G and H are non empty disjoint N $\lambda\psi g$ -open sets in U. Since G = U - H and H = U - G, N $\lambda\psi gcl(G) \cap H = (U - H) \cap H = \phi$ and $G \cap N\lambda\psi gcl(H) = G \cap (U - G) = \phi$. That is, G and H are N $\lambda\psi g$ -separated sets, which is a contradiction to our assumption. Hence U is N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected.

Theorem 4.10. If $F \subseteq G \cup H$, where F is a N $\lambda \psi g$ -connected set and G,H are N $\lambda \psi g$ -separated sets, then either $F \subseteq G$ or $F \subseteq H$.

Proof. Suppose $F \not\subseteq G$ and $F \not\subseteq H$. Let $F_1 = G \cap F$ and $F_2 = H \cap F$. Since $F \subseteq G \cup H$, F_1 and F_2 are non empty sets and $F_1 \cup F_2 = (G \cap F) \cup (H \cap F) = (G \cup H) \cap F = F$. Since $F_1 \subseteq G$, $F_2 \subseteq H$ and G, H are N $\lambda \psi g$ -separated sets, $N\lambda \psi gcl(F_1) \cap F_2 \subseteq N\lambda \psi gcl(G) \cap H = \phi$ and $F_1 \cap N\lambda \psi gcl(F_2) \subseteq G \cap N\lambda \psi gcl(H) = \phi$. Therefore F_1 , F_2 are

 $N\lambda\psi g$ -separated sets such that $F = F_1 \cup F_2$. Hence by Theorem 4.9, F is not $N\lambda\psi g$ -connected, which is a contradiction to F is $N\lambda\psi g$ -connected. Hence either $F \subseteq G$ or $F \subseteq H$.

Theorem 4.11. If F is $N\lambda \psi g$ -connected, then $N\lambda \psi gcl(F)$ is also a $N\lambda \psi g$ -connected set.

Proof. Let F be a N $\lambda\psi$ g -connected set. Suppose N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) is not N $\lambda\psi$ g-connected. Then by Theorem 4.9, there exist N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated sets G and H such that N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) = G \cup H. Since F is N $\lambda\psi$ g -connected set and F \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) = G H, by Theorem 4.10, either F \subseteq G or F \subseteq H. If F \subseteq G, then N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G). Since G and H are N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated sets, by Theorem 4.8, $G \neq \phi$, $H \neq \phi$ and N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) \cap H \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(G) \cap H = ϕ and hence H \subseteq U - N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F). Also H \subseteq G \cup H = N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F). Therefore H \subseteq (U - N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F)) \cap N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) = ϕ . Which is a contradiction to H $\neq \phi$. Similarly, if F \subseteq H, we get a contradiction to G $\neq \phi$. Hence N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) is a N $\lambda\psi$ g -connected set.

Theorem 4.12. Let F be a N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected subset of a space U. If G is a subset of U such that $F \subseteq G \subseteq N\lambda\psi gcl(F)$, then G is N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected.

Proof. Suppose G is not N $\lambda\psi$ g -connected. By Theorem 4.9, there exist two non empty N $\lambda\psi$ g -separated sets A and B such that G = A \cup B. Since F \subseteq G = A \cup B and by Theorem 4.10, F \subseteq A or F \subseteq B. If F \subseteq A implies that, N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(A). Now N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) \cap B \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(A) \cap B = ϕ , which implies N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) \cap B = ϕ . Also A \cup B = G N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F), B \subseteq G \subseteq N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F). Hence N $\lambda\psi$ gcl(F) \cap B = B. Then B = ϕ , which is contradiction to B is non empty. Similarly, if F \subseteq B, we get a contraction to A $\neq \phi$. Hence G is N $\lambda\psi$ g - connected.

Theorem 4.13. If G and H are N $\lambda \psi g$ -connected subset of a space U such that $G \cap H \neq \phi$, then $G \cup H$ is a N $\lambda \psi g$ -connected subset of U.

Proof. Suppose that $G \cup H$ is not $N\lambda\psi g$ -connected. Then by Theorem 4.9, there exist two $N\lambda\psi g$ -separated sets F, K such that $G \cup H = F \cup K$. Since F and K are $N\lambda\psi g$ -separated, F, K are non empty sets and $F \cap K \subseteq N\lambda\psi gcl(F) \cap K = \varphi$. Since $G \subseteq G \cup H = F \cup K$, $H \subseteq G \cup H = F \cup K$ and G, H are $N\lambda\psi g$ -connected, by Theorem 4.10, $G \subseteq F$ or $G \subseteq K$ and $H \subseteq F$ or $H \subseteq K$.

Case (i): If $G \subseteq F$ and $H \subseteq F$, then $G \cup H \subseteq F$ and so $G \cup H = F$. Since F and K are disjoint, $K = \varphi$ which is contradiction to $K \neq \varphi$. Similarly, if $G \subseteq K$ and $H \subseteq K$ we get the contradiction.

Case (ii): If $G \subseteq F$ and $H \subseteq K$, then $G \cap H \subseteq F \cap K = \varphi$. Then $G \cap H = \varphi$, which is a contradiction to $G \cap H \neq \varphi$. Similarly, if $G \subseteq K$ and $H \subseteq F$, we get the contradiction. Hence $G \cup H$ is N $\lambda \psi g$ -connected subset of a (U, $\tau_R(X)$).

Definition 4.14. A nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$) said to be N $\lambda\psi g$ –disconnected if and only if it is not N $\lambda\psi g$ -connected.

Remark 4.15. A nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is N $\lambda\psi g$ –disconnected if and only if U can be expressed as the union of two disjoint non-empty N $\lambda\psi g$ -open sets.

Theorem 4.16. A space U is $N\lambda\psi g$ –disconnected if and only if there exists a non-empty proper subset of U which is both $N\lambda\psi g$ -open and $N\lambda\psi g$ -closed in U.

Proof. Let G be a non-empty proper subset of U which is both $N\lambda\psi g$ –open and $N\lambda\psi g$ -closed. We have to prove that U is $N\lambda\psi g$ -disconnected. Let F = U - G. Then F is a non-empty set and $G \cup F = U$ and $G \cap F = \varphi$. Since G is both $N\lambda\psi g$ -open and $N\lambda\psi g$ -closed, F is both $N\lambda\psi g$ -open and $N\lambda\psi g$ -closed. Thus U can be written as the union of two disjoint non-empty $N\lambda\psi g$ -open sets. Hence U is $N\lambda\psi g$ -disconnected.

Conversely, let U be N $\lambda\psi g$ -disconnected. Then there exist non-empty N $\lambda\psi g$ -open subsets G and F such that $X = G \cup F$. Then F = U-G and G = U-F, which are N $\lambda\psi g$ -closed in U. Hence G and F are both N $\lambda\psi g$ -open and N $\lambda\psi g$ -closed in U.

Example 4.17. Consider the nano topology on .Since is a non-empty proper subset of U which is both $N\lambda\psi g$ - open and $N\lambda\psi g$ -closed, the space U is $N\lambda\psi g$ - disconnected.

Remark 4.18. Suppose a subset A is N $\lambda\psi g$ -disconnected. Then there exists two disjoint N $\lambda\psi g$ -open sets G and F such that $G \cap A \neq \phi$, $F \cap A \neq \phi$, $(G \cap A) \cap (F \cap A) = \phi$ and $(G \cap A) \cup (F \cap A) = A$.

Theorem 4.19. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space and let A be a subset of U. Then A is nano disconnected if and only if there exist non-empty sets G and F both N $\lambda\psi g$ –open (N $\lambda\psi g$ –closed) in U such that $G \cap A \neq \varphi$, $F \cap A \neq \varphi$, $A \subseteq G \cup F$ and $G \cap F \subseteq U - A$.

Proof. By Remark 4.18, A is N $\lambda\psi$ g -disconnected if and only if there exist nonempty sets G and F both N $\lambda\psi$ g - open (N $\lambda\psi$ g -closed) in U such that $G \cap A \neq \varphi$, $F \cap A \neq \varphi$, $(G \cap A) \cap (F \cap A) = \varphi$ and $(G \cap [(.)-7A) \cup (F \cap A) = A$. Now $(G \cap A) \cap (F \cap A) = \varphi$ if and only if $(G \cap F) \cap A) = \varphi$ if and only if $G \cap F \subseteq U - A$ and $(G \cap A) \cup (F \cap A)$

 $f(x) = \varphi f(x) = \varphi f$

 $= A \text{ if and only if } (G \cup F) \cap A = A \text{ if and only if} \qquad A \subseteq G \cup F.$

5 Nλψg - compact spaces

In this section the concept of $N\lambda\psi g$ - compact spaces using $N\lambda\psi g$ -open sets are introduced and some of their properties are discussed.

Definition 5.1. A collection {A_i : i \in I} of N λ ψ g -open sets in a nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is called N λ ψ g -open cover of a subset A in (U, $\tau_R(X)$) if A $\subseteq \sum_{i \in I}$ (Ai).

Definition 5.2. A nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called $N\lambda\psi g$ –compact if every $N\lambda\psi g$ -open cover of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ has a finite subcover.

Definition 5.3. A subset A of a nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is called N $\lambda\psi g$ -compact relative to U if for every N $\lambda\psi g$ -open cover of U has a finite subcover.

Definition 5.4. A subset A of a nano topological space (U, $\tau_R(X)$) is called N $\lambda\psi g$ -compact if A is N $\lambda\psi g$ - compact of the subspace of (U, $\tau_R(X)$).

Theorem 5.6. Every $N\lambda\psi g$ -compact space is nano compact.

Proof. Let U be N $\lambda\psi g$ -compact. Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ is a N $\lambda\psi g$ -open cover of U. Since every nano open set is N $\lambda\psi g$ -open. Since U is N $\lambda\psi g$ -compact, then N $\lambda\psi g$ -open cover $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of U has a finite subcover, say $\{A_i : i = 1, 2, ...n\}$ for U. Hence U is nano compact.

Theorem 5.7. Let $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau'_R(Y))$ be surjective, $N\lambda\psi g$ –continuous function. If U is $N\lambda\psi g$ - compact, then V is nano compact.

Proof. Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be a nano open cover of V. Since f is $N\lambda\psi g$ -continuous function, then $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ is $N\lambda\psi g$ -open cover of U. Since U is $N\lambda\psi g$ -compact, $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ contains a finite subcover say $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$

= 1..n} . Since f is surjective, then {A₁, A₂, ...A_n} is a finite subcover of {A_i : $i \in I$ }, for V . Hence V is nano compact.

Theorem 5.8. If a function $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau'_R(Y))$ is N $\lambda \psi g$ –irresolute and a subset A of U is N $\lambda \psi g$ compact relative to U, then the image f(A) is N $\lambda \psi g$ -compact relative to V.

Proof. Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be any collection of $N\lambda\psi g$ -open sets in V such that $f(A) = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$. Then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i)$, where $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ is $N\lambda\psi g$ -open set in U. Since A is $N\lambda\psi g$ compact relative to U, there exists finite subcollection $\{A_1, A_2, ..., A_n\}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i)$. Therefore $f(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$. Hence f(A) is $N\lambda\psi g$ -compact relative to V.

References

[1] A.V.Arhangelskii and R.Wiegandt, "Connectedness and disconnectedness in topology", Top.App.5(1975).

[2] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Ezhilarasi," On Nano semi generalized and Nano generalized semi-closed sets", IJMCAR, 4(3) (2014), 117-124.

[3] S.Krishnaprakash, R.Ramesh and R.Suresh, "Nano Compactness and Nano connectedness in Nano topological spaces", Internal Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 119, No.13 (2018), 107-115.

[4] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard," On Nano forms of weakly open sets", International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1) 2013, 31-37.

[5] H. Maki, "Generalized -sets and the associated closure operator", Special Issue in Commemoration of Prof. Kazusada Ikedas Retirement (1986), 139-146.

[6] H. Maki, P.Sundaram and K.Balachandran (1991)"On generalized homeomorphism in topological spaces", Bull.FukuokaUniv.Ed.PartIII.,40;13-21

[7] Z. Pawlak, "Rough sets", International journal of computer and Information Sciences, 11(5)(1982), 341-356.

[8] P.Subbulakshmi and N.R.Santhi Maheswari , "On Nano $\lambda \psi g$ Continuous Functions in Nano Topological Spaces", Design Engineering, (2021), issue 9, 5116-5122.

[9] P.Subbulakshmi and N.R.Santhi Maheswari , "On $N\Lambda_{\psi}$ -Sets and $N\Lambda_{\psi}^*$ -Sets in Nano Topological Spaces", (Communicated).

[10] P.Subbulakshmi and N.R.Santhi Maheswari , "On Nλψg Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces", International Journal of Mechanical Engineering (2021), Vol 6, 229-234.

[11] P.Subbulakshmi and N.R.Santhi Maheswari , "On Nano $\lambda \psi g$ -Interior and $N\lambda \psi g$ - Closure in Nano Topological Spaces", Proceeding of Recent Trends in Graph Theory and its Application, ISBN:978-81-955139-2-5 (2022).

[12] P.Subbulakshmi and N.R.Santhi Maheswari , "Some New Forms of Nano N $\lambda\psi g$ - Homeomorphism in Nano Topological Spaces", Proceeding of Internal Virtual Conference on Current Scenario in Modern Mathematics, ISBN: 978-81-948552-9-3, (2022), 36.

[14] M.K.R.S. Veera kumar, "Between semi closed sets and semi pre closed sets", Rend.Istit.Mat.Univ.Trieste XXXII, (2000), 25-41.