IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

ADVANCES IN IMPLANT – A REVIEW

Dr. Swaraj Wase , Dr. Nazish Baig, , Dr. Babita Yeshwante, Dr. Rasika Gaikwad
Post-graduate student, Professor, Professor & HOD, Post-graduate student
Department of Prosthodontics, crown and bridge & implantology
C.S.M.S.S Dental College & Hospital , Aurangabad , India

Abstract **:**Modern implant therapy offers significant functional and biologic advantages for many patients when compared to conventional fixed or removable prostheses, and it also produces excellent long-term results, as evidenced by numerous 10-year studies with success and survival rates above 95%. As a result, it is a treatment modality that is frequently used in daily practice for fully and partially edentulous patients. Biomimetic agents, also known as biometric agents, are substances that may be implanted and copy or imitate certain bodily functions or structures (physiology). The specifications for implantable biometric agents Ability to promote the development of the proper cells to improve new bone production, reduced danger of infectious contagious disease transfer by simple synthesis or manufacture that avoids extraction from allografts, avoiding issues with implant loss owing to coating delamination by resorbability in response to osteogenic activity, chemical stability prior to implant insertion, no immune reaction generation. A bone-creating implant being tested in clinical settings by Nobel Biocare. The bone morphogenic protein rhBMP-2 (a recombinant form of a naturally occurring human protein) will be used to treat this implant, and the researchers assert that this treatment will fundamentally alter the indications for dental implants. Bovine bone filler demonstrated a low substitution rate and is widely used, not only for contour augmentation in early implant placement but also for internal augmentation. Bone fillers not only mechanically support barrier membranes to reduce risk of membrane collapse during healing, but they also have biologic properties, such as osteogenic potential to activate new bone formation, and a high or a low substitution rate, which will influence the stability of augmented bone. Implants are the latest trend to improve aesthetics and longevity of prosthesis.

Index terms: Dental implant, Biomimetic agents, Bone fillers, biometric agents

Introduction

In the past 50 years, implant dentistry has transformed from an experimental procedure to a highly predictable choice for replacing lost teeth with implant-supported prostheses. Modern implant therapy offers significant functional and biologic advantages for many patients when compared to conventional fixed or removable prostheses, and it also produces excellent long-term results, as evidenced by numerous 10-year studies with success and survival rates above 95%. As a result, it is a treatment modality that is frequently used in daily practice e for fully and partially edentulous patients. The revelation that implants made of commercially pure titanium could attain anchoring in the bone with direct bone-to-implant contact was the catalyst for this advancement in dental rehabilitation fifty years ago.(1)

For the predicted attainment of osseointegration, only the most fundamental surgical criteria existed until the middle of the 1980s. In order to prevent warming of the bone during preparation, these recommendations called for a low stress surgical procedure for implant bed preparation, implant placement with enough primary stability, and a recovery time of three to six months without functional loading . The essential tenets of implant surgery were agreed upon by both research teams. As a result of this development, towards the end of the 1980s, the top implant systems mostly supplied two-piece titanium screw-type implants with either a machined or a rough titanium plasma-sprayed surface.

Midway through the 1980s, the use of implant treatment in patients with incomplete dentition marked the beginning of the next stage in implantology. Around 1990, the first clinical articles were published, and they showed positive results for implants. Since then, patients who are missing some or all of their teeth have taken over as the major patient population; at certain competence centres, they now account for more than 90% of all implant patients. As a result, it became more vital to meet the need for implant-supported restorations that are both functional and aesthetically acceptable to replace missing teeth. Angulated abutments, attractive single-tooth abutments, and cementable abutments are a few examples of the prosthetic implant components that the industry responded by making more of. To better the state of soft and hard tissues, clinical research was encouraged.(2) To enhance the state of both soft and

hard tissues, clinical research was promoted. At order to address local bone inadequacies in possible implant sites, bone-augmentation methods were developed in response to this aesthetically driven desire. The best-documented surgical methods for bone augmentation were sinus floor elevation and directed bone regeneration with barrier membranes. Around 1990, preclinical experiments on the guided bone-regeneration technology were started.

Advances in implants

Biomimetic agents, also known as biometric agents, are substances that may be implanted and copy or imitate certain bodily functions or structures (physiology). The specifications for implantable biometric agents Ability to promote the development of the proper cells to improve new bone production, reduced danger of infectious contagious disease transfer by simple synthesis or manufacture that avoids extraction from allografts, avoiding issues with implant loss owing to coating delamination by resorbability in response to osteogenic activity, chemical stability prior to implant insertion, no immune reaction generation. and An acceptable cost-effectiveness ratio.(3)

Calcium phosphate and hydroxyapatite phase are bioceramics.

The term "bioactive proteins" Type 1 collagen, growth factors, and the RGD peptide sequence are examples of bone morphogenic proteins.

- Fluoride Ions
- Chitosan polymers

The manufacturers and researchers listed below have made improvements in implant surface treatment(4-5)

1.Straumann (SLA active New Hydrophilic Implant Surface Treatment)

The surface of Straumann's SLA implants has undergone improvement. The scientifically validated SLA is the foundation of SLA active. Surface topography, but displays a far better surface chemistry. The chemically active and hydrophilic SLA active surface's perfect conditioning substantially aids in the process of osseointegration.

2.Straumann (Biofunctional Implant Coating)

An Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD)-peptied modified polymer (PLL-g-PEG/PEG-RGD) is applied to the surface of their modified sandblasted and acid etched (SLA) structure. In a research by Danny Buster, implants with RGD coating showed considerably greater percentages of bone-to-implant contact than controls at two weeks. The binding of RGD peptides to integrin receptors on the PEGylated surface is thought to act as a catalyst for the adherence of cells of mesenchymal origin, such as osteoblasts, to the implant surface.

3. Straumann (Mussel-Based Implant Adhesive Coating)

Mussels have evolved a peculiar adhesive throughout the course of evolution that not only bonds strongly and permanently, but also only functions under water. It is this specific protein that gives the relationship its power. Today, dental implants are anchored directly into the jawbone without the need of glue. Because of the spaces that are frequently left between the metal's gums, germs might enter the cause infections. An excellent defence against virulent microorganisms would be glue that securely attaches the gums to the implant. However, conventional products are not appropriate for this use since they would eventually disintegrate in the humid climate of the mouth. A growth protein is also needed for the use of this chemical in medicinal applications, and it may be synthesised using the traditional method of solid-phase peptide synthesis.

4. University of Montreal (Intelligent Metal Surfaces direct Osteoblast Cell Activity)

Montreal University When compared to untreated smooth metal surfaces, researchers have changed titanium surfaces to create a sponge-like pattern of micro pits that stimulate stem cells, promote bone cell proliferation, and reduce the formation of undesirable cells. The expression of genes necessary for cell attachment and proliferation also increased when the cells came into touch with the nanoporous surfaces.

5. The university of Brown: (Carbon Nanotubes)

Zinc and titanium oxide nanosurfaces have been demonstrated to lessen the amount of germs, according to experts from Brown University. Bump diameters were as small as 0.023 microns on discs with nanostructured surfaces. Bump sizes on discs with microstructured surfaces were around 5 microns. Compared to nanostructured zinc oxide discs, microstructured zinc oxide discs were home to 1000 times more microorganisms. On titanium oxide discs, identical but less spectacular results were obtained.

6.Bicon: (HA splutter coated titanium surface with NanoTite coating)

The innovative surface procedure NanoTiteTM was created by Bicon. Target surface that has been coated with HA is the target of an ion beam with high energy. As a result of the HA being ejected from the target/substrate by these high energy ions, a molecular cloud is formed, and molecules in this cloud form bonds with the surface of the Bicon Ti6Al-4V ELI implant.

7. Osteohealth:

GEM 21S, a recombinant human platelet-derived growth factor (rhPGDF-BB)

Growth factor enhanced matrix, or GEM 21S, is a bone regeneration and wound healing technology from OsteoHealth (GEM). It is currently accessible for clinical usage. This graft material was composed of an osteoconductive (bone scaffold) matrix and a concentrated solution of pure recombinant human platelet-derived growth factor (rhPGDF-BB), the body's primary natural wound healing stimulant.

8. Biomet/3i (Nanotite Implant)

Nanotite, Biomet/3i's improved surface, has been made public. Their prior is featured in Nanotite. A more complicated surface topography was produced on the osseotite surface by the discontinuous crystalline deposition of calcium phosphate (CaP).

9.Density Fluent (BioPore Srucuring)

The next-generation implant surface from Densply Friadent uses a thermal etching technique called BioPore Structuring, and the company claims that the etching acid they employ produces the optimum physical, chemical, and biological environment for luring osteoblasts to the implant surface.

10. Nobel Biocare (Ti-Unite to feature BMP-2 coating)

According to Nobel Biocare, TiUnite (r) will serve as the basis for the subsequent generation of dental implants, with the potential to do away with the requirement for grafting and the usage of membranes. A bone-creating implant being tested in clinical settings by Nobel Biocare. The bone morphogenic protein rhBMP-2 (a recombinant form of a naturally occurring human protein) will be used to treat this implant, and the researchers assert that this treatment will fundamentally alter the indications for dental implants.

Advancements in implant design: (5-7)

a) Operation guided by prosthetics (Noble Biocare)

Systems for diagnosis, treatment planning, and guided surgery -implant placement that is predictable and safe at each and every clinical sign, planning based on prosthetics, a less invasive procedure, therapeutic components and support from a single provider.

b) Switching Platforms

Using an abutment that is smaller than the implant is all that Platform Switching entails. You won't be able to perform this on all platforms, though. It is claimed to function because it decreases microgaps, which prevents bacterially driven bone loss.

c) Single-Use Devices For Locator Implant Attachment Systems:

Locator Men: If Locator nylon males are accidentally used again, the Overdenture's retention may suffer owing to wear from prior usage or damage sustained during removal using the Locator Core Tool.

Locator Abutments: Reusing Locator abutments unintentionally increases the risk of patient infection and subsequent retention band wear. This would cause the device to perform improperly and lose its ability to retain the prosthesis due to incorrect fit and function.

d) Reverse abutments (MIS implants): The Reverse Abutment is a novel method for supporting implant-supported restorations. This innovative lab and clinical approach is based on the understanding that, in the presence of abutments, permanent or temporary restorations can be created prior to implant installation.

e) The Noble Biocare "All on Four" concept:

The All-on-Four treatment concept uses 4 implants, 2 of which are axially orientated in the anterior area and 2 of which are slanted in the posterior, to offer edentulous arches and immediate/post extraction individuals with an instantaneously loaded, fixed prosthesis. The idea is to employ four implants that have been set with angled and straight multiunit abutments to support a temporary, fixed, fully loaded prosthesis that is put the same day as surgery.

f) Titanium implant with a conic zirconium collar

A novel zirconium collared implant has been released by T.B.R. Group. It has a zirconium trans-gingival emergence collar and a titanium implant that is placed in one step. They assert that as compared to titanium, zirconia improves fibroblast and osteoblast cell adhesion and proliferation, reduces bacterial colonisation at the collar, and exhibits indications of creeping gingival attachment to the zirconium collar.

g) Zirconium Implants and Surgical Instruments from Z-Systems

In order to insert these implants, Z-Systems has developed a set of surgical instruments consisting of 95 percent zirconium dioxide and 5 percent yttrium tri-oxide. One of five metals that have been demonstrated to assist osseointegration is zirconium (the others are: Titanium, Niobium, Tantalum and platinum).

h) The Roxolid TiZr Alloy Implant from Straumann:

Roxolid, a novel substance, was unveiled by Straumann (Rock Solid). An alloy of titanium and zirconium that is 50% stronger than pure titanium. The removal torque values above Straumann's existing SLActive titanium implants have significantly increased, according to preliminary animal research. North America and Europe are now conducting human trials.

i) The Cool Implant Surface from Nobel Biocare:

Ti-Unite surface has undergone enhancement by Nobel Biocare. They assert that adding tiny grooves to the surface increases the implant's stability by up to 30%, enabling faster bone ingrowth. In contrast to Astra's (Osseospeed) and Straumann's (SLActive) chemical surface modifications, this surface enhancement employs a mechanical strategy to increase Bone-to-implant contact.

j) Straumann's newly announced "Bone Level" implant: Straumann has unveiled their newest implant. Up to the top of the implant, it has the SL- Active surface. The platform-switching abutments have anatomically correct shapes. This design seems to be a reaction to worries about aesthetics and recession with implants in the aesthetic zone.

k) Straumann's New Asymmetrical Implant: A root-form implant with an asymmetrical shoulder has been submitted for US patent protection by Straumann. With the addition of a sloping shoulder arrangement that is higher on the palatal side and lower on the facial side, it resembles their current TE implant design.

l) Anatomic Implant system by Innova

Introducing the Anatomic implant, the first asymmetric dental implant for the most convincing aesthetic result

m) Customized Healing Abutment PEEK:

A personalised healing abutment that was intended to mould soft tissue has been rejected by BEGI Implant System GmbH & Co. According to the circumferential form of the tooth that is to be replaced, the emergence profile of the PEEK blank may be customised at the chairside to be elliptical or even triangular. It is comprised of an implanted polyether-ether ketone abutment, which is highly durable and entirely biocompatible (PEEK). To form the peri-implant soft tissue emerging profile, this material is utilised in place of a traditional healing abutment and is cut into the desired shape using a handpiece at the chairside.

n) Zirconia Abutments With Injection Moulding:

A technique for creating zirconia abutments via injection moulding has been developed by Morgan Advanced Ceramics. At their injection moulding plant in Southampton, United Kingdom, Morgan

Additional Implantology Advancement (8)

1)Implant Location System

2)MIS Crest Widener Kit

3)Drug Delivering Prosthetic Implant

4)Implant Guidance System

5)Robotic Smart Drill

6)The Baha System, an Osseointegration

7)Implant simulator

Discussion

In an effort to maximise the primary and secondary aims of implant treatment, the dental research community worked to advance implant therapy. The main goals of implant therapy are to achieve two things: first, successful treatment outcomes from a functional, aesthetic, and phonetic point of view with high predictability and good long-term stability; and, second, to have a low risk of complications during healing and during the follow-up period. Low discomfort and morbidity during healing, quick healing times, quick overall treatment times, and acceptable excellent efficacy are some of the secondary goals of implant therapy. Despite the fact that these goals are plainly less significant than the core goals, they are nonetheless highly important to patients.(1-3)

In the majority of aesthetic implant locations, especially on the face side, bone augmentation must be done to make up for postextraction bone loss. Using the guided bone-regeneration approach, which was further improved after the to augment the buccal soft tissues, connective tissue grafts can be employed as an alternative in locations with mild bone shortages. (9)The Millennium Change performs this regional bone augmentation. In addition to the switch to resorbable collagen membranes, preclinical research has begun to concentrate on bone substitutes and grafts for guided bone regeneration techniques. Bovine bone filler demonstrated a low substitution rate and is widely used, not only for contour augmentation in early implant placement but also for internal augmentation. Bovine bone filler demonstrated a low substitution rate and is widely used, not only for contour augmentation in early implant placement but also for internal augmentation. Bone fillers not only mechanically support barrier membranes to reduce risk of membrane collapse during healing, but they also have biologic properties, such as osteogenic potential to activate new bone formation, and a high or a low substitution rate, which will influence the stability of augmented bone.(4-5)

A new 9-year follow-up research has indicated that implant therapy in smokers with a history of periodontal disease seems to be prone to further bone loss. The decision to use prosthetic restorations that are predominately cement- or screw-retained has been a point of contention from the time of the founding fathers. Clinicians have tended to choose cementable solutions due to the pursuit of better aesthetic results and the practicality of digitally produced abutments. Recent claims that cement fragments may cause peri-implantitis, on the other hand, go against this progression.(10-12)

The next few years will reveal if this intriguing platelet concentration technique will live up to its expectations and result in a clinical breakthrough in the routine practise of implant surgery. Clear evidence for any beneficial effects on bone formation in postextraction or in peri-implant sites are still lacking.(13-15) The emergence of peri-implant mucosal recessions is one growing problem surrounding osseointegrated implants in terms of function. Mucosal recessions can alter the aesthetic result and patient satisfaction even though they typically have little impact on long-term implant care. Mucosal recessions appear to have a variety of causes, including the thickness of the hard and soft tissues around the osseointegrated implant, improper implant location, and/or subpar prosthetic reconstructions.

CONCLUSION

The changes in the discipline of prosthodontics over the last few decades, largely as a result of developments of new materials and methods, but also of prevention and improved dental health, have been momentous. Such developments and probably some new initiatives as well, will most certainly continue to occur. The revolution of dental implants, as well as other newer technologies, of which adhesive, high-strength ceramic and CAD/CAM technologies are notable examples, are in various stages of establishing themselves as part of mainstream prosthodontics.

While such developments have led to substantial changes in clinical prosthodontics, education in many respects seems to lag behind. Nevertheless, a concentration on advancing high technology in prosthodontics and related areas is likely to continue in many centres. In most parts of the world, however, including large sectors within even the wealthiest of countries, conventional prosthodontic treatment, including removable dentures, will continue to play an essential role in everyday dentistry, partly reflecting socio-political disparities.

How the prosthodontic profession will respond to this macro perspective is not easy to foretell. Whatever happens, it should at least be hoped that these factors are reflected in the future development of education and research in prosthodontics even if available data and current trends do not convincingly point yet in this direction

References:

1. Misch CE. Contemporary implant dentistry. Implant Dentistry. 1999 Jan 1;8(1):90.
2. Steigenga JT, Al-Shammari KF, Nociti FH, Misch CE, Wang HL. Dental implant design and its relationship to long-term implant success. Implant dentistry. 2003 Dec 1;12(4):306-17.
3. Lindhe J, Karring T, Lang NP. Periodontologia clinica e implantologia odontologica/Clinical Periodontology and Implant Dentistry. Ed. Médica Panamericana; 2009 Jun 30.
4. Lang NP, Lindhe J, editors. Clinical periodontology and implant dentistry, 2 Volume Set. John Wiley & Sons; 2015 Mar 25.
5. Puleo DA, Nanci A. Understanding and controlling the bone–implant interface. Biomaterials. 1999 Dec 1;20(23-24):2311-21.
6. Kohn DH. Overview of factors important in implant design. The Journal of Oral Implantology. 1992 Jan 1;18(3):204-19.
7. Cochran DL. A comparison of endosseous dental implant surfaces. Journal of periodontology. 1999 Dec;70(12):1523-39.
8. McGlumphy EA, Mendel DA, Holloway JA. Implant screw mechanics. Dental Clinics of North America. 1998 Jan 1;42(1):71-89.
9. Van Noort R. Titanium: the implant material of today. Journal of Materials Science. 1987 Nov;22(11):3801-11.
10. McGlumphy EA, Mendel DA, Holloway JA. Implant screw mechanics. Dental Clinics of North America. 1998 Jan 1;42(1):71-89.
11. Kasemo B, Gold J. Implant surfaces and interface processes. Advances in dental research. 1999 Jun;13(1):8-20.
12. Humphrey S. Implant maintenance. Dental Clinics. 2006 Jul 1;50(3):463-78.
13. Ellegaard B, Baelum V, Karring T. Implant therapy in periodontally compromised patients. Clinical Oral Implants Research. 1997 Jun;8(3):180-8.
14. Narayan R. Fundamentals of medical implant materials. ASM handbook. 2012.
15. Derks J, Håkansson J, Wennström JL, Tomasi C, Larsson M, Berglundh T. Effectiveness of implant therapy analyzed in a Swedish population: early and late implant loss. Journal of dental research. 2015 Mar;94(3_suppl):44S-51S.