



Effect of Organic Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Growth, Yield And Quality of Green Gram (*Vignaradiata L.*)

Seva Ram Muwal^{1*}, Gautam Singh Dhaked^{2**}

¹Dept. of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, Mewar University, Gangrar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (312 901), India

² Assistant Profesor , Head Dept. of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, Mewar University, Gangrar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan (312 901), India

Abstract

The study was carried out with the objectives to determine the impact of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield attributes and quality of green gram, to assess the influence of organic and inorganic fertilizer on soil fertility and to work out the economics and profitability of organic and inorganic fertilizer management practices in green gram.

Replacement of 50% inorganic fertilizer by organic sources increased 0.96-40.6% dry matter than RDF, whereas, 75% inorganic fertilizer replacement harvest 1.65-14.5% lower dry matter. Integration of organic and chemical sources showed 53.9-382.9% and solely organic sources recorded 20.5-146.5% higher crop growth rate as compared to control treatment. Organic sources coupled with 50% inorganic fertilizer showed 0.15-7.75% increment in nodule number compared to RDF treatment. Plots treated with VC 1t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN recorded highest number of pods per plant (25.15), highest pod length (9.80 cm), most seeds per pod (13.05) and greater seed yield (935.0 kg ha⁻¹). VC 1t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treatment produced 48.2-54.5% and 8.53% higher yield compared to 100% organic and RDF treatment. Higher nutrient and protein content (221.5-262.0 mg g⁻¹) also recorded in integrated treatments. Maximum gross return (₹68021.00) and was recorded in VC 1t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treatment, whereas, higher benefit-cost ratio (2.45) was recorded in RDF treated plots. Lowest gross return benefit-cost ratio (1.25) was observed in VC @ 1.75t ha⁻¹ treated plots.

Economically, it is apparent that organic manuring in green gram is not a profitable venture, owing to high input cost. Use of inorganic fertilizer with recommended dose is profitable.

Key words: Greengram, manuring, vermicompost, yield, economics

1. Introduction

Greengram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) also known as moong or mung, is the thirdmost important pulse crop in India after gram and redgram (Miachieo *at al.*, 2019). It belongs to the "Leguminosae" family and subfamily "Papilionaceae". Greengram is thought to have originated in India and Central Asia. It extends from India to China, Iraq, Japan, Africa, and other countries. Greengram is primarily grown in Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, and Uttar Pradesh in India.

Pulses are significant in India's agricultural economy not only for their worth as human food, but also for animals because of their high protein content. Due to pulses deep roots and great ground cover, pulses are drought tolerant and minimize soil erosion and are known as a "Marvel of Nature" because of these positive qualities (Srikant, 2010). Pulses are cultivated all year round in India due to the diverse agro-climatic conditions. Pulses are produced in large quantities in India, which makes it the world's largest producer. In India, total pulse production is expected to reach 25.58 million tonnes (MT) in 2020-21, adding 2.55 MT from the previous year's 23.03 MT (DES, Ministry of Agriculture, 2022). India produces 25% of the world's pulses, consumes 7% of the world's consumption, and imports 14% of the world's pulses (FAO, 2019).

The green gram seed is high in copper, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium and important vitamins, and contains 20-25% protein, 1.3% fat, 15.4% fibre and 3.7% ash (FAO, 2019). It seeds are high in lysine (4600 mg g⁻¹ N) and tryptophan (60 mg g⁻¹ N) and may be consumed as whole grain or as 'dal' in variety of purposes. Mung bean seeds, as compared to other pulses, are more delicious, nutritionally digestible, and non- flatulent (Anjum *et al.*, 2006). Ascorbic acid (vitamin C), riboflavin, and thiamine are all rich in sprouted mung bean seeds (Choudhary, 2010).

The inorganic fertilizers, no doubt, are the important source of nutrients in crops which can meet the nutrient requirement but their imbalance and continuous use causes environmental pollution and deterioration of soil health. Another issue for the farmer is the availability of fertilizer at reasonable rates. Under these circumstances, farmers should not depend on single source of plant nutrients like inorganic fertilizers. A balanced use of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and bio-fertilizers are required to develop an integrated plant nutrition supply system.

Among organic manures, FYM is rich in organic matter and is a good source of plant nutrients. It helps to buffer soils against rapid chemical changes. FYM can potentially be used as a source of energy for soil microorganisms. Improvement in physical properties of soil, organic carbon and available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium was found due to long term application of FYM and fertilizer (Babulkar *et al.*, 2000). Application of FYM to crops also avoids its wasteful use for burning purposes.

Vermicompost is a sustainable bio-fertilizer produced from organic wastes by the use of earthworms. Vermicompost is a rich source of primary nutrients N, P, K and micronutrients. The

combined use of inorganic fertilizer and organic manure has been shown to be highly promising, not only in terms of crop yield but also in terms of crop production stability (Nambiar and Abrol, 1992).

Integrated management of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure may be an important strategy for sustainable production of crops. This may not only improve the efficiency of inorganic fertilizers along with their minimal use in crop production besides providing stability in crop production with higher crop yield and improved major and minor nutrients availability (Rautaray et al., 2003). So, the greengram yield and quality can be improved by the balanced use of fertilizers and also by managing the organic manures properly.

With the above information in mind a field experiment was conducted at the Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University with the objectives to determine the impact of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield attributes, quality, economics and profitability of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer management practices in green gram.

2. Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at the instructional farm of Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University Gangrar, Chittorgarh. The climate is subtropical, with high rainfall, high humidity, and a lengthy winter. The year is dominated by a prolonged winter or dry *rabi* season and a protracted rainy season. The average annual rainfall ranges from 800 to 900 mm. During the wet months of June to September, the south-west monsoon accounts for around 80% of total rainfall. The temperature range is extensive. The lowest temperature is 7.1–8.0°C, while the highest temperature is 24.8–32.2°C. The region is often humid and warm, however there is a cold spell from December to February. The agro-ecological situation is increasingly complicated and dynamic as a result of the changing climate. The investigate the “Effect of Organic Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Growth, Yield and Quality of Green Gram (*Vigna radiate* L.)” in the southern region during the summer of 2022 having ten treatments of vermicompost and FYM with following treatments *viz.*, T₁-Control (no fertilization), T₂-Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) @ 25:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ N, P₂O₅, K₂O, T₃-Farm yard manure (FYM) @ 4.47t ha⁻¹, T₄-Vermicompost (VC) @ 1.75t ha⁻¹, T₅-FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.87t ha⁻¹, T₆-FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN, T₇-VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RD, T₈-FYM 3.35t ha⁻¹ + 25% RDN, T₉-VC 1.31t ha⁻¹ + 25% RDN, T₁₀-FYM 1.12t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.44t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN and replicated by three times. The recommended dose of 20 kg N ha⁻¹ and 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ as rainfed greengram was applied and sown in lines adopting standard package of practice. The soils of the experimental field was loamy sand having low in organic carbon (0.43%), available N (226 kg ha⁻¹), medium in P₂O₅ (28.0 kg ha⁻¹) and was sufficient in available K (370 kg ha⁻¹), slightly alkaline with pH 8.11 and EC 0.27 d Sm⁻¹.

Table 2.1 Physico-chemical properties of soil of the experimental field

Particulars	Values	Method employed
A. Physical Properties		
Textural Composition		
Sand (%)	62.05	International Pipette method (Piper, 1950)
Silt (%)	20.47	
Clay (%)	17.48	
Bulk Density gm/cc	1.48	Core Sampler method (Piper, 1950)
Field Capacity (%)	37.89	Field sampler method (Piper, 1950)
B. Chemical Properties		
pH (1:2.5, soil: water suspension)	5.68	pH meter (Jackson, 1967)
Organic Carbon (%)	0.89	Walkley and Black Method (1934)
Organic Matter (%)	1.01	Walkley and Black Method (1934)
Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	150.53	Alkaline permanganate Method (Subbaiah and Asija, 1956)
Available Phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	22.52	Bray & Kurtz P_1 method (Bray & Kurtz, 1945)
Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})	110.85	Neutral normal ammonium acetate method (Schollenberger and Simon, 1945)

The greengram variety IPM 02-14 (Shreya) was sown on 28/01/2022 rows opened at 30 cm (inter row) × 10 cm (intra row). Before sowing seeds in the field, green gram seeds were treated with a *Rhizobium* culture @ 5-7 g/kg of seeds. The treated seed was dropped by hand in furrows done by hand tynes @ 20-25 kg seed ha⁻¹ in all rows opened at 30 cm (inter row) × 10 cm (intra row). The sowing depth was kept at 2-3 cm. All other standard cultural practices were followed during the cropping season. The required nutrients were applied through Urea and DAP in which half full dose of nitrogen and phosphorus was applied at the time of sowing and nitrogen as urea doses were applied as basal and the rest 50% were applied as split dose 30 DAS (days after sowing), vermicompost and FYM applied before sowing at field preparation time. Observation on growth parameters, yield attributes, yield of greengram upon different nitrogen sources and levels was recorded and their significance was tested by the variance ratio and relative economics was calculated as per the prevailing market prices of the inputs and produced during zayad season. Statistical analysis was done as per process suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

3. Results and Discussion

The current study, titled “Effect of Organic Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Growth, Yield and Quality of Green Gram (*Vigna radiate* L.)” was performed during the summer season of 2022 on different growth and yield attributes, yield, quality parameters, nutrient content and nutrient uptake were statistically analysed and the results were given below in the form of tables and graphs as appropriate. The observed results have been described after the data has been evaluated for their test of significance.

3.1 Growth attributes

3.1.1 Plant height

Successive increment in plant height of green gram was noticed with age of the crop. Plant height influenced significantly at 20, 40, 60 DAS and at harvesting stage with all the organic and inorganic fertilizer treatments. Plots treated with VC 0.87 t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN were exhibited higher plant height (11.47-48.43 cm) followed by FYM 1.12 t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.44 t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN (10.55-48.30 cm) and FYM 2.24 t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN (10.50-48.18 cm) treated plots. Sole or combine application of organic fertilizer failed to attain height over inorganic fertilizer. Shorter plants (7.28 cm, 20.57 cm, 31.93 cm and 39.10 cm at 20, 40, 60 DAS and at harvest respectively) were observed in control (no fertilizer) treatment (Table 4.1). Organic fertilizer in combination with 50% RDN produced 0.2-1.69 cm taller plant compared to plots treated with RDF. Fully organic fertilizer treated plots were recorded 2.90-7.67 cm taller plants than control plots. The combination of organic and chemical fertilizers increased plant height than use of inorganic fertilizer alone as RDF (Meena *et al.*, 2015; Verma *et al.*, 2017).

Table3.1Effectof organic manureandinorganic fertilizeron plantheight ofgreengram

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			
	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest
T ₁	7.28	20.57	31.93	39.10
T ₂	10.48	27.17	40.53	47.67
T ₃	10.19	25.13	38.17	45.00
T ₄	10.25	25.93	38.72	45.33
T ₅	10.39	26.39	39.60	46.07
T ₆	10.50	28.17	41.70	48.18
T ₇	11.47	28.83	42.22	48.43
T ₈	10.39	26.40	39.80	46.37
T ₉	10.40	26.77	40.17	47.13
T ₁₀	10.55	28.30	42.10	48.30
SEM	0.57	1.11	1.90	1.54
LSD (≤0.05)	1.68	3.31	5.64	4.59
CV%	9.60	7.30	8.30	5.80

SEM: standard error of mean; LSD: least significant difference; CV:coefficient of variation;

4.1.1 Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Table 4.2 indicated that nutrient management treatment had significant effect on LAIwiththeadvancementoftheperiodicalgrowthstages. Organicandinorganic fertilizer significantlyincreasedLAI overcontrol.

Table3.2Effectoforganic manure andinorganic fertilizer onleafareaindexofgreengram

Treatments	Leaf area index			
	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest
T ₁	0.347	1.57	2.20	1.87
T ₂	0.665	2.75	3.48	2.83
T ₃	0.622	2.63	3.05	2.72
T ₄	0.633	2.67	3.26	2.77
T ₅	0.640	2.70	3.30	2.78
T ₆	0.669	2.77	3.52	2.84
T ₇	0.677	2.87	3.60	2.90
T ₈	0.644	2.70	3.38	2.81
T ₉	0.661	2.74	3.47	2.82
T ₁₀	0.670	2.84	3.56	2.89
SEM	0.06	0.21	0.24	0.16
LSD (≤0.05)	0.17	0.61	0.71	0.47

CV%	16.30	13.60	12.60	10.00
-----	-------	-------	-------	-------

The maximum LAI recorded in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treated plots and it was at par with all the nutrient management treatments at all the growth stages of green gram. Organic sources of nutrients produce 38-84% higher LAI over control, whereas, integration of organic and chemical sources harvest 50-95% higher LAI. Control plots were recorded lowest LAI (0.347-2.20) at all the growth stages crops. Highest LAI (3.60) observed in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treatment at 60 DAS among different crop growth stages of green gram. Barkha *et al.* (2020) also reported, application of 50% RDF + bio-compost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ + PSB @ 2.5 l ha⁻¹ in summer mungbean performed better as compared to 100% RDF.

3.2 Yield attributes and yield

3.2.1 Pods per plant

Plots treated with VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN recorded highest number of pods per plant (25.15) which was closely followed by FYM 1.12t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.44t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN (25.06), FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN (24.97) and RDF (21.35) treated plots. Combination of organic manure with 25% or 50% inorganic fertilizer exhibited higher number of pods per plant (15.77-25.15) compared to 100% organic treatments (15.16-15.56). RDF treated plots observed 110.97% higher pods (21.35) per plant than control treatment. Plots received the treatment of VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN recorded 148.52% higher pods compared to organic fertilizer treatment plots and 17.80% higher pods than 100% RDF treatment. Lowest number of pods (10.12) per plant was recorded in control plots. (Tyagi *et al.*, 2014).

3.2.2 Pod length

The pod length under diverse organic and chemical nutrient sources ranged 6.33 cm to 9.80 cm. Highest pod length (9.80 cm) was recorded in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treated plots. The lowest pod length (5.33 cm) was recorded under control treatment. 50% replacement in inorganic fertilizer exhibited 1.39-4.81% higher pod length compared to 100% RDF treatment, whereas 75% replacement produced 18.82-19.47% lower pod length. Plots with 100% organic treatments recorded 35.08-39.77% higher pod length than control and 20.32-23.0% lower pod length compared to RDF treatment (Table 4.3). Increased fertilizer supply in a more synchronized manner at the treatments led to an improvement in pod length and other yield attributes (Patra and Sinha, 2012).

3.2.3 Seeds per pod

The plot treated with VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treatments produced the most seeds per pod (13.05). RDF treated plots recorded higher number of seeds (12.51) per pod than 100% organic treatments (9.45-9.54). Combination of organic and chemical sources also produced greater number of seeds (9.60-13.05) per plant compared to organic treatments (Table 4.3). Similar findings also reported by Meena *et al.*, 2013, Yadav *et al.*, 2016 and Rekha *et al.*, 2018.

3.2.4 Testweight

Testweight(1000seed)wassignificantlyinfluencedby theintegratednutrientmanagement.Highertestweight39.25gwasrecordedinVC0.87tha⁻¹+50%RDN treated plots. Test weight of RDF treated plots were 38.40 g, which was 18.81% higher thancontrol treatment. Combination of organic and chemical treatments recorded test weight of37.00-39.25 g whereas, 100% organic treatments exhibited 36.51-36.95 g of 1000 seedweight(Table 3.3).

Table3.3Effectoforganic manure andinorganic fertilizer onyieldattributesofgreengram

Treatments	Yield attributes			
	Pods per plant	Pod length (cm)	Seeds per pod	Test weight (g)
T ₁	10.12	5.33	7.08	32.32
T ₂	21.3	9.35	12.51	38.40
T ₃	15.16	7.20	9.45	36.51
T ₄	15.44	7.33	9.50	36.72
T ₅	15.56	7.45	9.54	36.95
T ₆	24.97	9.48	12.62	38.73
T ₇	25.15	9.80	13.05	39.25
T ₈	15.77	7.53	9.60	37.00
T ₉	16.22	7.59	10.00	37.37
T ₁₀	25.06	9.58	12.71	39.02
SEM	1.59	0.57	0.76	1.17
LSD (≤ 0.05)	4.72	1.71	2.25	3.48
CV%	14.90	12.30	12.40	11.0

Increasedseedweightoccurredfromthetranslocationandaccumulationofphotosynthatesintheeconomicisnks(pateletal.,2003;Sutariaetal.,2010).Betterchlorophyll synthesis in leaves, on the other hand may be the reason since organic manurescontain significant amounts of Mg in addition to other minerals, which may have aidedchlorophyllsynthesis(Patraand Sinha, 2012).

3.2.5 Seedyield

Significantly higher seed yield was recorded with combined application of organicand chemical as compared to organic fertilizer alone. When compared to 100% organic andchemical treatments, VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN produced considerably greater seed yield(935.00 kg ha⁻¹) and was determined to be 48.24-54.54% and 8.53% higher respectively. Incomparison to RDF treated plots, 75% inorganic fertilizer substitution yielded 23.92-26.04%lower yield, whereas, 50% replacement yielded 1.11-8.53% greater yield. RDF treated plotshadayield increaseof115.74%over controlplots.

Table 3.4 Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on yield and harvest index of greengram

Treatments	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)	Biological yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
T ₁	399.33	1028.83	1428.16	27.83
T ₂	861.51	2255.60	3126.63	27.83
T ₃	605.03	1586.19	2191.22	27.58
T ₄	625.10	1617.10	2242.20	27.83
T ₅	630.73	1657.18	2287.92	27.80
T ₆	871.03	2258.57	3120.08	27.70
T ₇	935.00	2407.25	3342.25	27.88
T ₈	637.13	1662.71	2299.84	27.85
T ₉	655.43	1666.57	2322.01	28.15
T ₁₀	884.10	2354.70	3238.80	27.31
SEM	68.96	184.22	248.01	0.82
LSD (≤ 0.05)	204.89	547.33	736.87	NS
CV%	16.80	17.30	16.80	5.10

Channa veer swami (2005) found similar results in peanut, while Rajkhowa *et al.* (2002) reported similar results in greengram. Higher seed yield may be attributed due to higher pods per plant seeds per pod and seeds per plant (Tyagi *et al.*, 2014; Pate *et al.*, 2020).

3.2.6 Stover yield

The effects of chemical and organic nutrient management on the stover yield were found to be considerable. The plots treated with VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treatments produced highest stover yield (2407.25 kg ha⁻¹). RDF treated plots recorded higher stover yield (2255.60 kg ha⁻¹) than 100% organic treatments (1586.19-1657.18 kg ha⁻¹). Combination of organic and chemical sources also produced greater stover yield (1662.71-2407.25 kg ha⁻¹) compared to organic treatments. Similar findings were reported by Yadav *et al.*, 2017 and Sanbharisha *et al.*, 2019.

3.2.7 Biological yield

VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN produced a higher biological yield (3342.25 kg ha⁻¹) than the 100% chemical and organic fertilizer treatments, with yields of 6.90% and 46.08-52.53%, respectively. The control treatment had the lowest biological yield (1428.16 kg ha⁻¹), resulting in enhanced solubility of nutrients and their consequent availability to plants. Rahman *et al.*, 2014 also reported better vegetative and reproductive growth with the respective treatments may be the reason of higher biological yield. Organics help in enhancing the activity of microorganisms in

3.2.8 Harvestindex

Harvestindexwasnotincreasedwiththeapplicationofinorganicandorganicsources of nutrients. The maximum harvest index recorded (28.15%) with treatment VC1.31t ha⁻¹ + 25% RDN and minimum obtained (27.31%) under FYM 1.12t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.44tha⁻¹+50%RDNtreatment.Fully organic treatments produce higher harvest index as compared to RDF and treatments comprises of 75% organic +25% inorganic fertilizers.

3.3 Nutrient concentration

3.3.1 Nitrogen content in seed and straw

The analysis of the data in table 4.9 indicated that during the research, organic and chemical nutrient management treatments caused significant variation in the N content in seed. Plots treated with VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN recorded highest N content (4.19% in seed and 2.48% in straw) which was at par with FYM 1.12tha⁻¹+VC 0.44t ha⁻¹+50%RDN(4.12% and 2.42%), FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹+ 50% RDN(4.09% and 2.39%) and RDF(4.07% and 2.33%) treated plots.

3.3.2 Phosphorus content in seed and straw

Plots treated with VC 0.87tha⁻¹+50%RDN recorded highest P in seed (0.420%) and straw (0.236%) which was at par with FYM 1.12t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.44t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN, FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN and RDF treated plots. RDF treated plots observed 36.71% and 77.87% higher P content in seed and straw, respectively than control treatment.

Table 3.5 Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on nutrient content of green gram

Treatments	Nutrient Content (%)					
	Nitrogen		Phosphorus		Potassium	
	Seed	Straw	Seed	Straw	Seed	Straw
T ₁	3.00	1.16	0.286	0.122	0.74	0.95
T ₂	4.07	2.33	0.391	0.217	1.39	1.23
T ₃	3.42	1.58	0.328	0.164	1.10	1.10
T ₄	3.47	1.67	0.333	0.167	1.21	1.13
T ₅	3.51	1.74	0.337	0.172	1.24	1.16
T ₆	4.09	2.39	0.393	0.224	1.41	1.24
T ₇	4.19	2.48	0.420	0.236	1.55	1.31
T ₈	3.54	1.80	0.377	0.174	1.33	1.17
T ₉	3.60	1.86	0.383	0.214	1.34	1.23
T ₁₀	4.12	2.42	0.416	0.227	1.44	1.24
SEM	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.03
LSD (≤0.05)	0.37	0.38	0.03	0.03	0.34	0.10

CV%	5.80	11.30	5.50	10.90	15.50	4.90
-----	------	-------	------	-------	-------	------

3.3.3 Potassium content in seed and straw

Significantly higher K content was recorded with combined application of organic and chemical as compared to organic fertilizer alone. When compared to 100% organic and chemical treatments, RDF recorded considerably greater K in seed and straw and was determined to be 12.10-26.33% and 6.03-11.82% higher respectively. In comparison to RDF treated plots, 75% inorganic fertilizer substitution recorded 3.73-5.13% lower K whereas, 50% replacement observed 0.81-11.51% greater K content. RDF treated plots had 87.84% (seed) and 29.47% (straw) higher K content over control plots.

Application of 50 and 100 % NPK of RDF significantly improved the nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents in seed and straw over control. Further, seed protein content of green gram also increased significantly by using 100% RDF over control. Significant increase in N, P and K content due to chemical sources of nutrients under the present study are in close agreement with the findings of Borse *et al.* (2002), Ikraam (2002) and Yakadri *et al.* (2004).

3.4 Nutrient uptake

3.4.1 Nitrogen uptake

The N uptake under diverse organic and chemical nutrient sources ranged 23.97 to 98.86 kg ha⁻¹. Highest N uptake (98.86 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ +50% RDN treated plots. The lowest N uptake (23.97 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under control treatment.

3.4.2 Phosphorus uptake

Significantly higher P uptake was recorded with combined application of organic and chemical as compared to organic fertilizer alone (Table 4.6). When compared to 100% organic and chemical treatments, RDF showed considerably greater P uptake (8.33 kg ha⁻¹) and was determined to be 72.11-73.91% higher. In comparison to RDF treated plots, 75% inorganic fertilizer substitution exhibited 40.83-41.41% lower uptake, whereas, 50% replacement showed 0.98-11.15% greater uptake of phosphorus. RDF treated plots had 271.05% higher P uptake over control plots.

Table 3.6 Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on nutrient uptake of green gram

Treatments	Nutrient Content (%)		
	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium
T ₁	23.97	2.38	18.76
T ₂	88.94	8.33	41.91
T ₃	48.98	4.84	30.20
T ₄	49.20	4.90	33.40
T ₅	51.14	5.03	37.10
T ₆	89.81	8.56	42.47
T ₇	98.86	9.49	47.87
T ₈	52.11	5.13	37.71
T ₉	52.63	5.66	39.43
T ₁₀	91.07	8.64	42.74
SEM	7.03	0.54	3.30
LSD (≤ 0.05)	20.87	1.60	9.79
CV%	18.80	14.80	15.40

3.4.3 Potassium uptake

K uptake was significantly increased with the application of inorganic and organic sources of nutrients (Table 4.10). The maximum K uptake recorded (47.87 kg ha^{-1}) with treatment VC $0.87 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 50\% \text{ RDN}$ and minimum obtained (18.76 kg ha^{-1}) under the control. Fully organic treatments showed lower K uptake ($30.20\text{-}37.10 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) as compared to RDF (41.91 kg ha^{-1}) and treatments comprised of $75\% \text{ organic} + 25\% \text{ inorganic fertilizers}$ ($37.71\text{-}39.43 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$). Similar findings were observed in black gram by Reddy *et al.* (2000).

3.5 Soil nutrient status after harvest

3.5.1 Available nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium

The data presented in Table 4.11 revealed that significantly higher available nitrogen was observed with chemical and organic nutrient treatment as compared to control plot. Soil residual nitrogen increased perceptibly with the application of VC $0.87 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 50\% \text{ RDN}$ was higher (172.8 kg/ha) and it was at par with treatments of FYM $1.12 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + \text{VC} 0.44 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 50\% \text{ RDN}$, FYM $2.24 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 50\% \text{ RDN}$ and $100\% \text{ RDF}$ ($164.5, 164.0$ and 163.6 kg/ha respectively). Significantly higher residual P was recorded with combined application of organic and chemical as compared to organic fertilizer alone (Table 4.11). When compared to $100\% \text{ organic}$ and chemical treatments, RDF showed considerably greater available P (23.92 kg ha^{-1}) and was determined to be $24.74\text{-}41.24\%$ higher. The greatest potassium status was in VC $0.87 \text{ t ha}^{-1} + 50\% \text{ RDN}$ ($120.20 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) treated plots and it was comparable

to all other nutrient management treatments.

Table 3.7 Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on available nutrient in soil after harvest of greengram

Treatments	Available nutrient (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium
T ₁	107.0	12.32	92.16
T ₂	163.6	22.74	116.32
T ₃	132.4	16.10	105.57
T ₄	134.1	16.53	111.58
T ₅	134.6	18.23	112.97
T ₆	164.0	23.09	117.17
T ₇	172.8	23.92	120.20
T ₈	136.1	22.63	114.52
T ₉	136.8	22.69	115.97
T ₁₀	164.5	23.80	118.27
SEM	8.29	1.04	3.73
LSD (≤ 0.05)	24.64	3.09	11.08
CV%	9.90	8.90	5.70

Vermicompost includes significant levels of major and micronutrients in the most appropriate pH range, which may have resulted in their enhanced status in soil following mungbean harvest. Rasa *et al.* (2002) also found that vermicompost had a favorable impact on soil characteristics and nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium mineralization.

3.6 Protein content

Plots treated with VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN had the highest protein content in seed (262.0 mg g⁻¹), which was at par with FYM 1.12t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.44t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN, FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN, and RDF (25:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ NPK) treatments. When compared to 100% organic treatments, the protein level of RDF treated plots was 13.22-15.92% greater.

Table 3.8 Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on protein content of greengram

Treatments	Protein content (mg g ⁻¹)
T ₁	187.70
T ₂	254.90
T ₃	213.81
T ₄	217.30
T ₅	219.90
T ₆	256.10
T ₇	262.00
T ₈	221.50
T ₉	225.50
T ₁₀	257.80
SEM	7.71
LSD (≤ 0.05)	22.91
CV%	5.80

3.7 Economics

Economic analysis was done on the basis of local minimum support price (MSP) of the produce. From the table 4.13, it is found that, VC @ 1.75t ha⁻¹ treatment had higher cost of cultivation (₹36450.00), because of high cost of vermicompost. Higher gross return (₹68021.00) recorded in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treated plots due to higher yield. On the other hand, plot treated with FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN had higher net return (₹37364.00). Benefit-cost (B:C) ratio of 2.45 recorded in RDF treated plot to other treatments. Whereas, in spite of getting higher yield in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treatment, the B:C ratio was low due to high cost of cultivation. In the current study, the improved net returns may be explained by enhanced yield due to the effect of inorganic fertilizer sources. Furthermore, the benefit-cost ratio was reduced as a result of the use of organic sources (vermicompost) and FYM of the higher cost of using organic sources. Rajkhowa *et al.* (2003) reported that using 100% inorganic fertilizer (N:P₂O₅ @ 15 and 35 kg ha⁻¹) enhanced green gram economics significantly over control. Yakadri *et al.* (2004) and Yadav *et al.* (2006) reported similar findings.

Table 3.9 Effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on economics of green gram

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Gross return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Net return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Benefit-cost ratio
T ₁	20450.00	29052.00	8602.00	1.42
T ₂	25557.00	62675.00	37118.00	2.45
T ₃	33727.00	44016.00	10289.00	1.31
T ₄	36450.00	45476.00	9026.00	1.25
T ₅	31450.00	45886.00	14436.00	1.46
T ₆	26004.00	63368.00	37364.00	2.44
T ₇	31004.00	68021.00	37017.00	2.19
T ₈	25227.00	46351.00	21124.00	1.84
T ₉	26450.00	47683.00	21233.00	1.80
T ₁₀	28504.00	64318.00	35814.00	2.26

4. Conclusion

Plant height influenced significantly at 20, 40, 60 DAS and at harvesting stage with all the organic and inorganic fertilizer treatments. Plots treated with VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN were exhibited higher plant height (11.47-48.43 cm). Highest LAI (3.60) observed in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treatment at 60 DAS among different crop growth stages of green gram. Plots received the treatment of RDF showed 15.71-57.81% additional trifoliolate leaves per plant as compared to control plots. Replacement of 50% inorganic fertilizer by organic sources increased 0.96-40.66% DM than RDF, whereas, 75% inorganic fertilizer replacement produce 1.65-14.59% lower dry matter throughout the growing period. Solely organic sources of nutrients produce less quantity of dry matter compared to RDF. Highest DMA m⁻² (19.20-437.36 g m⁻²) was recorded in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treated plots which was 123.79-372.39% higher compared to control plots.

Combination of organic manure with 25% or 50% inorganic fertilizer exhibited higher number of pods per plant (15.77-25.15) compared to 100% organic treatments (15.16-15.56). Plots with 100% organic treatments recorded 35.08-39.77% higher pod length than control and 20.32-23.0% lower pod length compared to RDF treatment. Combination of organic and chemical sources also produced greater number of seeds (9.60-13.05) per plant compared to organic treatments. In comparison to RDF treated plots, 75% inorganic fertilizer substitution yielded 1.79-14.27% lower yield, whereas, 50% replacement yielded 23.92-26.04% greater yield. RDF treated plots had a yield increase of 115.74% over control plots. VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN produced a higher biological yield (3342.25 kg ha⁻¹) than the 100% chemical and organic fertilizer treatments, with yields of 6.90% and 46.08-52.53%, respectively. The N uptake under diverse organic and chemical nutrient sources ranged 23.97 to 98.86 kg ha⁻¹. Highest N uptake (98.86 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treated plots. The lowest N uptake (23.97 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under control treatment. In comparison

to RDF treated plots, 75% inorganic fertilizer substitution exhibited 40.83-41.41% lower uptake, whereas, 50% replacement showed 0.98-11.15% greater uptake of phosphorus. Vermicompost includes significant levels of major and micronutrients in the most appropriate pH range, which may have resulted in their enhanced status in soil following mungbean harvest. Plots treated with VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN had the highest protein content in seed (262.0 mg g⁻¹), which was at par with FYM 1.12t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.44t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN, FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN, and RDF (25:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ N:P₂O₅:K₂O) treatments. Our data showed that for seeds harvested from organic treatments, the TPC was, 91.59-95.06% higher compared to the control. The highest TPC concentrations of 45.0 mg GAE g⁻¹ was measured in FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ treated plots. A higher TFC was found in green gram seeds harvested from FYM 2.24t ha⁻¹ + VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ treated plots, on average, 122.55% higher than in seeds harvested from control plots. Economic analysis was done on the basis of local minimum support price (MSP) of the produce. Higher gross return (₹68021.00) recorded in VC 0.87t ha⁻¹ + 50% RDN treated plots due to higher yield. Benefit-cost (B:C) ratio of 2.45 recorded in RDF treated plots to other treatments.

On the basis of the experimental findings the following conclusions may be drawn. Pure organic manure fails to produce significant effect on growth, yield attributes and yield. Whereas, organic fertilizers accelerate biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, which induces the acetate shikimate pathway, resulting in the highest production of flavonoids and phenolics. Integration of organic nutrients with inorganic fertilizer significantly affects the growth and yield attributes and produce higher yield. Inorganic fertilizer alone or in combination with organics had an optimistic effect on available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in the soil after harvest of green gram, which was appreciably higher in comparison to single organic sources. Organic manuring in green gram is not a profitable venture, owing to high input cost. Use of inorganic fertilizer with recommended dose is economically profitable.

6. References

1. Barkha Arvadia M K, Joshi N and Vaghela T D 2020 Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and soil nutrient status of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) under south Gujarat conditions. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* **8**(5): 2675-2678.
2. Biswash R Md, Rahman W Md, Haque M Md, Sharmin M and Barua R 2014 Effect of potassium and vermicompost on the growth, yield and nutrient contents of mungbean (BARI Mung 5). *Open Science Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* **1**(3): 33-39.
3. Channaveerswami A S 2005 Studies on integrated nutrient management and planting methods on seed yield and quality of groundnut. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
4. Dhakal Y, Meena R S, De N, Verma S K and Singh A 2015 Growth yield and nutrient content of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) in response to INM in Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany* **44**(3): 479-482.
5. Kacha R P, Patel A S, Nayee P J and Patel M R 2020 Nutrient management through organic source in summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) *International Journal of Chemical Studies* **8**(4): 3576-3578.
6. Machieo K, Sahare H, Sharma R, Kumari P and Thaneshwari 2019 Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the growth of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) under Punjab condition. *Research on Crops* **20**(2): 28-31.
7. Meena R S, Ramawatar K, Meena V S and Ramu K 2013 Effect of organic and inorganic source of nutrients on yield, nutrient uptake and nutrient status of soil after harvest of greengram. *Asian Journal of Soil Science* **8**(1): 80-83.
8. Patel J J, Mevada K D and Chotaliya R L 2003 Response of summer mungbean to sowing dates and level of fertilizers. *Indian Journal Pulses Research* **16**(2): 122-124.
9. Patra P S and Sinha A C 2012 Effect of organics on yield, uptake and economics of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) production under terai region of West Bengal. *Legume Research* **35** (2): 169 - 172.
10. Rajkhowa D J, Saikia M and Rajkhowa K M 2002 Effect of vermicompost with and without fertilization of greengram. *Legume Research* **25**(4): 295-296.
11. Rekha K, Pavaya R P, Chaudhary N and Patel S 2018 Effect of FYM, Phosphorus and PSB on Growth, Yield and Quality of Greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] on Loamy Sand. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management* **9**(2):220-223.
12. Shrivastava G K, Lakpale R, Verma A K, Choubey N K, Singh A P and Joshi B S 2003 Effect of farmyard manure, phosphorus and zinc on blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo*) wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping sequence under vertisols of Chhatisgarh plains. *Indian Journal of*

Agricultural Sciences **73**(2):72-74.

13. Sutaria G S, Kabari K N, Vora V D, Hirpara D S and Padmani D R 2010 Response of legume crops to enriched compost and vermicompost on Ustochrept under rainfed Agriculture. *Legume Research* **33**(2): 128-130.
14. Tyagi P K and Upadhyay A K 2015 Effect of integrated nutrient management on Yield, Quality ,Nutrients uptake and Economics of Summer Green gram . *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* **17**(3): 242-247.
15. Verma G, Singh M, Morya J and Kumawat N 2017 Effect of N, P and biofertilizers on growth attributes and yields of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] under semi- arid tract of central India. *International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology* **8**(2): 31-34.
16. Yadav J K, Sharma M, Yadav R and Yadav S K (2017) Effect of different organic manures on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **6**(5): 1857-1860.
17. Yadav S K, Babalad H B, Sharma S K, Choudhary R S and Kumar N(2016) Impact of organic nutrient management practices on yield attributes and yield of summer green gram. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management* **7**(5):1136-1139.

