ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Common Fixed Point Theorem In Intuitionistic Menger Spaces

Prashant Namdeo¹, Subhashish Biswas² ¹ Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Kalinga University, Raipur (C.G.) ² Supervisor, Department of Mathematics, Kalinga University, Raipur (C.G.)

Abstract:- The main purpose of this paper is to proof some common fixed point theorem in Instuitionistic Menger Space.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 54H25, 47H10

Key words: Fixed point, Common Fixed point, Menger Space, instuitionistic Menger Space, Continuous mapping, Compatible and weakly compatible Self mapping

Introd<mark>uctio</mark>n

There have been a number of generalization of metric space, one such generalization is Menger Space introduced in 1942 by Menger, who was used distribution function instead of non negative real numbers as values of the metric. The concept of Fuzzy sets was introduced initially by Zadeh [1] in 1965. Since then, to use this concept in topology and analysis, many authors have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and applications. In metric fixed point theory, various mathematicians weakened the notation of compatibility by introducing the notation of weak commutatively, compatibility and weak compatibility and produced the number of fixed point theorems using these notations. Recently, many mathematicians formulated the definition of weakly computing , compatible and weakly compatible maps in instuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and prove a number of fixed point theorem in instuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

In this paper, we prove the common fixed point theorem for six maps under the condition of weak compatibility and compatibility in instuitionistic Menger spaces.

Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 A binary operation $\star : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t - norm if \star is satisfying the following condition:

- (1) * is commutative and associative,
- (2) * is continuous,
- (3) $a \star 1 = a \text{ for all } a \in [0,1]$
- (4) $a \star b \leq c \star d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Examples of t - norm are $a \star b = min\{a, b\}$ and $a \star b = ab$.

Definition 2.2 A binary operation ∇ : $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous $t - \text{conorm if } \nabla$ is satisfying the

following condition:

- (1) ∇ is commutative and associative,
- (2) ∇ is continuous,
- (3) $a \nabla 1 = a \text{ for all } a \in [0,1]$
- (4) $a \nabla b \leq c \nabla d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Examples of t – conorm are $a \nabla b = max\{a, b\}$ and $a \nabla b = min\{1, a + b\}$.

Definition 2.3 A distribution function is a function $F: [-\infty, \infty] \rightarrow [0,1]$ which is left continuous on \mathcal{R} , non decreasing and $F(-\infty) = 0$, $f(\infty) = 1$.

We will denote by Δ the family of all distribution function on $[-\infty,\infty]$, \mathcal{H} is a special element of Δ defined by,

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad t \leq 0 \\ 1 & if \quad t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

If X is a nonempty set, $F: X \times X \to \Delta$ is called a probabilistic distance on X and F(x, y) is usually denoted by F_{xy} .

Definition 2.3 The ordered pair (X, F) is called the probabilistic metric space (shortly PM-space) if X is a nonempty set and F is a probabilistic distance satisfy the following conditions: for all $x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0,

- (1) $F_{xy}(t) = 1 \Leftrightarrow x = y;$
- (2) $F_{xy}(0) = 0$
- $(3) \qquad F_{xy} = F_{yx}$
- (4) $F_{xz}(t) = 1, F_{zy}(s) = 1 \Rightarrow F_{xy}(s+t) = 1$

The order triple (X, F, \star) is called Menger space if (X, F) is a PM-space, \star is a t – norm and the following condition is also satisfies: for all $x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0,

(5)
$$F_{xy}(t+s) \ge F_{xz}(t) \star F_{zy}(s).$$

Definition 2.4 Let (X, F, \star) be a Menger space and \star be a continuous t - norm, then

- (a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be converges to a point x in X, iff for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_nx}(\epsilon) > 1 \lambda$ for all $n \ge n_0$.
- (b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy sequence converges to a point x in X, iff for every

 $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_n x_{n+p}}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n \ge n_0$ and p > 0.

(c) A Menger space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Definition 2.5 Self map A and B of a Menger space (X, F, \star) are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points that is if Ax = Bx for some $x \in X$ then ABx = BAx.

Definition 2.6 Self map A and B of a Menger space (X, F, \star) are said to be compatible if $F_{ABx_nBAx_n}(t) \to 1$ for all t > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is sequence in X such that Ax_n , $Bx_n \to x$ for some $x \in X$ as $n \to \infty$.

Definition 2.7 A 5- tuple (X, M, N, \star, ∇) is said to be intuitionistic Menger space, if X is arbitrary set, \star is a continuous t - norm, ∇ is a continuous t - conorm and M, N are PM-space on $X \times X \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $M_{x,y}(t) + N_{x,y}(t) \le 1$. For all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0,
- (2) $M_{x,y}(o) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (3) $M_{x,y}(t) = 1$, for all $x, y \in X$, and t > 0 if and only if x = y.
- (4) $M_{x,y}(t) = M_{y,x}(t)$ For all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0

(5) $M_{x,y}(t) \star M_{y,z}(s) \leq M_{x,z}(t+s)$ For all $x, y \in X$ and s, t > 0

- (6) For all $x, y \in X$, $M_{x,y}(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \to [0,1]$ is left continuous.
- (7) $\lim_{t \to \infty} M_{x,y}(t) = 1, \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0,$
- (8) $N_{x,y}(0) = 1$, for all $x, y \in X$,
- (9) $N_{x,y}(t) = 0$, for all $x, y \in X$, for all $x, y \in X$, and t > 0 if and only if x = y.
- (10) $N_{x,y}(t) = N_{y,x}(t)$ For all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0
- (11) $N_{x,y}(t) \nabla N_{y,z}(s) \ge N_{x,z}(t+s) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } s, t > 0$
- (12) For all $x, y \in X$, $N_{x,y}(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \to [0,1]$ is right continuous.
- (13) $\lim_{t \to \infty} N_{x,y}(t) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0,$

Then (M, N) is called intuionistic Menger space on X. The function $M_{x,y}(t)$ and $N_{x,y}(t)$ denote the degree of nearness and degree of non nearness between x and y with respect to t.

Lemma 2.8 Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Menger space (X, F, *) with continuous $t - norm * and t * t \ge t$. If there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that,

 $F_{x_{n},x_{n+1}}(kt) \geq F_{x_{n-1},x_{n}}(t)$

for all t > 0 and $n = 1, 2, ..., then {x_n} is a Cauchy sequence in X.$

Main Result,

Theorem:3.1 Let A, B,S, T, P and Q be self mapping of an intuitionistic Menger space, X into itself, with continuous, t - norm, \star and continuous $t - conorm \nabla$ defined by $t \star t \ge t$ and $(1 - t)\nabla(1 - t) \le (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, satisfying the following condition:

(1) $P(X) \subset ST(X), Q(X) \subset AB(X),$

(2) There exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that,

$$M_{Px,Qy}^{2}(kt) \star \left[M_{ABx,Px}(kt), M_{STy,Qy}(kt)\right] \geq \left[\alpha M_{ABx,Px}(t) + \beta M_{ABx,STy}(t)\right] M_{ABx,Qy}(2kt)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0, where $0 < \alpha$, $\beta < 1$ such that $(\alpha + \beta) = 1$ and

 $N_{Px,Qy}^{2}(kt) \nabla \left[N_{ABx,Px}(kt),N_{STy,Qy}(kt)\right] \leq \left[\alpha N_{ABx,Px}(t) + \beta N_{ABx,STy}(t)\right] N_{ABx,Qy}(2kt)$

for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0, where $0 < \alpha$, $\beta < 1$ such that $(\alpha + \beta) = 1$.

- (3) If one of P(X), ST(X), AB(X), Q(X) is a complete subspace of X then :
- (a) P and AB have a coincidence point and
- (b) Q and ST have a coincidence point.
- $(4) \qquad AB = BA, ST = TS, PB = BP, QT = TQ,$
- (5) The pair {P, AB} and {Q, ST} are weakly compatible,

Then A, B, S, T, P, and T have unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X, then by (1) there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that,

 $Px_0 = STx_1 = y_0 \text{ and } Qx_1 = ABx_2 = y_1.$

Inductively, we can construct sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that, $Px_{2n} = STx_{2n+1} = y_{2n}$ and $Qx_{2n+1} = ABx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1}$ for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

On taking $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (2), we have

 $M_{Px_{2n},Qx_{2n+1}}^{2}(kt) \star \left[M_{ABx_{2n},Px_{2n}}(kt) \cdot M_{STx_{2n+1},Qx_{2n+1}}(kt) \right]$

 $\geq \left[\alpha M_{ABx_{2n},Px_{2n}}(t) + \beta M_{ABx_{2n},STx_{2n+1}}(t)\right] M_{ABx_{2n},Qx_{2n+1}}(2kt)$

And

$$N_{Px_{2n},Qx_{2n+1}}^{2}(kt) \nabla \left[N_{ABx_{2n},Px_{2n}}(kt) \cdot N_{STx_{2n+1},Qx_{2n+1}}(kt) \right]$$

$$\leq \left[\alpha N_{ABx_{2n},P} \frac{1}{x_{2n}}(t) + N_{ABx_{2n},STx_{2n+1}}(t)\right] N_{ABx_{2n},Qx_{2n+1}}(2kt)$$

ICR

$$M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}^2(kt) \star \left[M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(kt), M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt)\right]$$

$$\geq \left[\alpha M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t) + \beta M_{y_{2n},y_{2n-1}}(t)\right] M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt)$$

And

$$\begin{split} N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}^{2}(kt) \nabla \left[N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(kt) \cdot N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \right] \\ &\leq \left[\alpha N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t) + \beta N_{y_{2n},y_{2n-1}}(t) \right] N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt) \\ M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}^{2}(kt) \star \left[M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(kt) \cdot M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \right] \geq \left[\alpha + \beta \right] M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t) \cdot M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt) \end{split}$$

And

$$N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}^{2}(kt) \nabla \left[N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(kt) \cdot N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \right] \leq [\alpha + \beta] N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t) \cdot N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt)$$
$$M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \cdot \left[M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(kt) \star M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \right] \geq [\alpha + \beta] M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t) \cdot M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt)$$

And

$$N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \cdot \left[N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(kt) \nabla N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt)\right] \leq [\alpha + \beta] N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t) \cdot N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt)$$

$$M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt).M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt) \geq [\alpha + \beta]M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t).M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt)$$

And

$$N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \cdot N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt) \leq [\alpha + \beta] N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t) \cdot N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2kt)$$
$$M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \geq M_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t) \text{ and } N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \leq N_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t)$$

Similarly we can prove that,

$$M_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(kt) \geq M_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(t) \text{ and } N_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(kt) \leq N_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(t)$$

For $k \in (0,1)$ and all t > 0. Thus by lemma 2.8, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Now suppose AB(X) is a complete. Note that the subsequence $\{y_{2n+1}\}$ is contained in AB(X) and has a limit in AB(X) call it 'z'. let $w \in AB^{-1}(z)$, then ABw = z. we shall use the fact that subsequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ also converges to 'z'.

By putting x = w abd $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (2) and taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$M_{Pw,z}^{2}(kt) \star \left[M_{z,Pw}(kt) \cdot M_{z,z}(kt) \right] \geq \left[\alpha M_{z,Pw}(t) + \beta M_{z,z}(t) \right] M_{z,z}(2kt)$$

And

$$N_{Pw,z}^2 (kt) \nabla \left[N_{z,Pw}(kt) \cdot N_{z,z}(kt) \right] \leq \left[\alpha N_{z,Pw}(t) + \beta N_{z,z}(t) \right] N_{z,z}(2kt)$$

Thus if follows that,

$$M_{z,Pw}(kt) \geq \left[\alpha M_{z,Pw}(t) + \beta\right] \text{ and } N_{z,Pw}(kt) \leq 0$$

Also

$$M_{z,Pw}(kt) \geq \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha} = 1 \text{ and } N_{z,Pw}(kt) \leq 0$$

Hence z = Pw. since ABw = z, thus we have Pw = z = ABw that is w is coincidence point of P and ABw. Since $P(X) \subset ST(X)$, Pw = z implies that, $z \in ST(X)$. Let $v \in ST^{-1}z$ then STv = z.

By putting $x = x_{2n}$ and y = v in (2) and taking $n \to \infty$ we have,

$$M_{z,Qv}^2\left(kt\right) \star \left[M_{z,z}(kt), M_{z,Qv}(kt)\right] \geq \left[\alpha M_{z,z}(t) + \beta M_{z,z}(t)\right] M_{z,Qv}(2kt)$$

And

$$N_{z,Qv}^2(kt) \star \left[N_{z,z}(kt) . N_{z,Qv}(kt) \right] \leq \left[\alpha N_{z,z}(t) + \beta N_{z,z}(t) \right] N_{z,Qv}(2kt)$$

Thus we have $M_{z,Qv}(kt) \ge 1$ and $N_{z,Qv}(kt) \le 0$. Thus z = Qv, since STv = z, we have Qv = z = STv that is v is coincidence point of Q and ST. this proves (b), the remaining two cases pertain essentially to the previous cases. Indeed if P(x) or Q(x) is complete, then by (1), $z \in P(X) \subset ST(X)$ or $z \in Q(X) \subset AB(X)$, thus (a) and (b) are completely established.

Since the pair (P, AB) is weakly compatible therefore P and AB commute at there coincidence point that is P(ABw) = AB(P)w, that is Pz = ABz.

Since the pair (Q,ST) is weakly compatible therefore Q and ST commute at there coincidence point that is Q(STv) = ST(Q)v, that is Qz = STz.

By putting x = z, and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (2) and taking limit at $n \to \infty$, we have

$$M_{Pz,z}^{2}(kt) \star \left[M_{ABz,Pz}(kt), M_{z,z}(kt)\right] \geq \left[\alpha M_{ABz,Pz}(t) + \beta M_{ABz,z}(t)\right] M_{ABz,z}(2kt)$$

And

$$N_{Pz,z}^{2}(kt) \star \left[N_{ABz,Pz}(kt), N_{z,z}(kt)\right] \geq \left[\alpha N_{ABz,Pz}(t) + \beta N_{ABz,z}(t)\right] N_{ABz,z}(2kt)$$

Thus we have $M_{z,Pz}(kt) \ge 1$ and $N_{z,Pz}(kt) \le 0$. Thus z = Pz. So Pz = ABz = z.

By putting $x = x_{2n}$, y = z in (2) and taking limit at $n \to \infty$ we have $M_{z,Qz}(kt) \ge 1$ and $N_{z,Qz}(kt) \le 0$ thus, z = Qz, so Qz = STz = z.

By putting x = z, y = Tz in (2) and using (4) we have $M_{z,Tz}(kt) \ge 1$ and $N_{z,Tz}(kt) \le 0$, thus z = Tz. since STz = z therefore Sz = z. to prove Bz = z we put x = Bz, y = z in (2) and using (4) we have $M_{Bz,z}(kt) \ge 1$ and $N_{Bz,z}(kt) \le 0$. Thus z = Bz since ABz = z, therefore Az = z. by combining the above results we have

Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = Pz = Qz = z.

That is z is a common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P, Q.

UNIQUENESS:-

Let 'w' is another fixed point of A, B, S, T, P, Q different from 'z' then On taking x = z and y = w in (2), we have $M_{z,w}(kt) \ge 1$ and $N_{z,w}(kt) \le 0$. Hence z = w for all $x, y, \in X$ and t > 0. Therefore 'z' is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P, Q.

This complete the proof.

Acknowledgement:

The authors are gratefull to the referee for his / her valuable suggestion to improve the manuscript.

Reference:-

- 1. L.A, Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform Control, 8, (1965) 335-3534,.
- 2. Z. K. Deng, Fuzzy psedo-metric spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 86, (1982) 74 95:
- 3. M. A. Ereeg. Metric space in fuzzy sets theory. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 69,(1979) 338-353,

4. J. X. Fang. On fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy sets and systems 46, (1992) 107 - 113:.

5. A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy sets and systems, 64, (1994) 395-339,

- 6. O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, On fixed fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy sets and systems, 12, (1994) 215-229,
- 7. I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. Kybernetica, 11(1975) 326-334,
- 8. J. H. Park. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1986)87 96,

9. Banach: S. "Surles operation dansles ensembles abstraites etleur application integrals" Fund. Math. 3(1922) 133-181

10. Brouwder, F.E. "Non-expansive non-linear operators in Banach spaces" Proc Nat.Acad. Sci. U.S.A.54 (1965) 1041-1044

11. Ciric, L.B. "A generalization of Banach contraction principle" Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.45 (1974), 267-273

12. Diminni C., Gahler S. and White A. G. ' strictly Convex linear 2- normed spaces, Math, NAcher, 59 (1974), 319-324

13. Ehret R. ' linear normed spaces, Dissertation, St. Louis university 1969

14. GuptaO.P and Badshah V.N "fixed Point theorem in Banach and 2- Banach spaces" Jnanabha 35 (2005)

15. Gahler S. '2-metrische Reume und iher topologische struktur' Math Nacher, 26 (1963/64) 115-148.

16. Gahler S. 'linear 2- normierte raume, Math NAcher, 28 (1965) 1-43

17. Hadzic, O. ' On common fixed point in 2- metric spaces.' Univ. Novom sadu. Zb. Rad. Prirod - Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 12(1982), 7-18

18. Hadzic, O. 'Some theorems on the fixed point in Probabilistic metric and random normed spaces, Bull. Union Mat. Ital. (6), 1-B(1982), 381-391.

19. Iseki K. ' fixed point theorem in Banach space' math. Sem. Notes kobe univ. 2 (1974), 11-13

20. Iseki K. ' on non – expansive mapping in strictly convex linear 2- normed space, this notes 3 (1975), XVII

21. Kanan M. ' fixed point theorem in 3-metric space' pure math. Manuscript vol. 5(1986) 77-82

22. Khan M.S. and Imdad M. "fixed and coincidence Points in Banach and 2- Banach spaces" Mathemasthical Seminar Notes. Vol 10 (1982)

23. Schweizer, B., Sklar, A., ' Probabilistic Metric Spaces' Elsevier North- Holland, 1983.

24. Sehgal, V., M., Bharucha-Reid, A., T., 'Fixed points of contraction mappings in probabilistic metric spaces', Math. Systems Theory6 (1972), 97-102.

25. White A.G. '2- Banach Sp<mark>ace, M</mark>ath NAch<mark>er, 42 (1</mark>969<mark>), 43-60.</mark>

