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Types of Bakeries

## Need and importance

Hyderabad, the city of Nizams is quite celebrated for its delicacies across the globe, Hyderabadi biryani, Mutton Haleem, Osmania cookies and Karachi biscuits to name a few.

The recently opened Hyderabad patisseries have culinarily advanced Hyderabad in the course of the decade yet at the same time it has plethora of traditional 'mithai shops'. On one hand you will see choux buns and cheesecakes being served and a kilometer further mithai Wala selling 'double ka meetha' and 'rasmalai'. As Hyderabad is quickly becoming one of the biggest business centers of India, individuals from across the world
are fleeing to the city and to cater to the new customers, bakers across Hyderabad have likewise brought the culinary world to their kitchens.

Hyderabad, with a population nearly 6.9 million, has an area of about 625 square kilometers, and in this 625 sq. km. has nearly 1.9 k places serving desserts and baked items. Of these 1955 places, 134 are cafes, 555 offering dine-out and takeaways. The remaining 1545 only offer delivery. But not all of these can be considered bakeries just because they offer desserts and baked items. A lot of these places are only serving readily available and commercially manufactured ice-creams and another lot sourcing dessert from other places, and the actual number is bakeries is 920 , which is like 3 bakeries in a $2 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$ area and a bakery per 7500 people.

The main objective of the project is to lay out a plan for opening a new bakery in Hyderabad. Even though Hyderabad has a plethora of bakeries and restaurants, it is growing very speedily, and newer areas are being added. A lot of areas which were, a few years ago, in the outskirts of Hyderabad are now an integral part. With the government aimed at making Hyderabad the technology hub of growing India, the population is going to rise in the upcoming years. With the rise of population, the demand for culinary goods will increase. Not only this, but the influx of skilled engineers and workers into the city will raise the living standards of people in Hyderabad, thereby leading to an increased spending power of Hyderabadis. With this, the demand will increase exponentially.

The project covers decision making regarding various aspects of opening a new bakery business, which begins by deciding on various factors including the ones listed below, but not limited to these.

- the kind of bakery to be opened
- the products to be sold
- the right pricing for the products
- the location of the bakery
- the ways to leverage existing markets to ensure the success of the bakery
- the marketing strategies for baked goods
- the innovation techniques to make the bakery stand out
- the methods of keeping the business relevant and growing, once opened


## About the Industry

## A Brief History of Baking

The first instance of baking was people cooked a paste of wild grass grains absorbed with water on a hot stone, rendering a bread-like product. Afterward, when people aced fire, the mix was simmered on hot coals, which made bread-production simpler, as it could now be made whenever fire was made. The Ancient Egyptians prepared bread utilizing yeast, which they had recently been using to mix beer. Bread heating started in Ancient Greece around 600 BC , prompting the innovation of encased ovens. Baking thrived during the Roman Empire. Starting around 300 B.C., the baked good cook turned into an occupation for Romans and turned into a regarded calling since baked goods were viewed as debauched, and Romans cherished party and festivity. Subsequently, cakes were frequently cooked particularly for huge feasts, and any cake cook who could develop new kinds of delectable treats was profoundly valued.


Heating strategies improved with the advancement of an encased preparing utensil and afterward of stoves, making conceivable thicker prepared cakes or portions. A portion of the impacts of the microbiologically instigated changes were viewed as attractive, and a progressive obtaining of authority over the procedure prompted conventional strategies for making raised bread portions.

Fermenting and baking were firmly associated in early human advancements. Maturation of a thick slop brought about a mixture reasonable for heating; a slenderer squash created a sort of lager. The two methods required information on the "riddles" of maturation and a stock of grain. Expanding information and experience showed the craftsmen in the heating and fermenting exchanges that grain was most appropriate to preparing, while wheat was best for baking.


Fig: Ancient ovens

The Egyptians developed the first ovens. In the initial a few centuries after the establishing of Rome, baking stayed a domestic skill with few changes in processing methods and instrument. Around then upgrades in heating innovation started to quicken quickly, attributable to the more elevated level of innovation for the most part. Computerization of blending, moving, forming, aging, and preparing forms started to supplant group handling with consistent tasks. The enhancement of bread and other pastry shop nourishments with nutrients and minerals was a significant achievement of the mid-twentieth century heating industry.

## A Brief History of Baking in India

One of the largest segments of the food processing industry in India is Bakery Industry with more than 2,000 industrial bakeries producing around 1.4 million tons of the bakery products and $1,000,000$ small-scale bakeries producing 1.8 million tons of bakery products. India is the second largest producer of biscuits in the world after the USA.

India is the world's second largest producer of food next to China and has the potential of being the biggest with its food and agricultural sector. The Indian bakery industry is one of the biggest sections in the country's processed food industry. India's organized bakery sector produces about 1.3 million ton of bakery products (out of three million tons) while the balance is produced by unorganized, small-scale local manufacturers.


The Bakery industry is a huge industry employing a large number of people. The Bakery sector is the largest of all the segment of India's food processing sector. A large part of this market is captured by bread and biscuits which are items of mass consumption. In addition to this, growth in the fast-food chains further stimulates the demand for breads as they are used for sandwiches, burgers, snacks, etc. Moreover, introduction of value-added bakery products is giving a boost to the market growth. Apart from this, busy lifestyles, changing eating habits and western influence has reflected in a strong demand for bakery products in India.

## Trends in Indian Bakery

One clear pattern that has come up in the baking industry is the inclination for lighter and more beneficial nourishments. Presently one can have multigrain bread, brown bread, sweet bread or even without gluten bread if someone can't tolerate proteins found in grains like wheat, rye, etc. They have spread over the length and broadness of the nation, and it isn't just breads which have gotten more beneficial. The equivalent can be said of bread rolls, cakes, baked goods and numerous different things. The ascent of diabetes in the nation has
additionally prompted an ascent in the interest in sugar free and solid bakery items. Overall, this trends towards healthier items have been driven by increasing health awareness.

Another trend that has emerged in recent years is the preference for homemade items. The pure variety of homemade chocolates that becomes available during festivals points towards the growing demand for such items in the country. The demand is also growing for varieties of bakery items. This shows that nowadays people are not worried about the money if they get the product of their choice, healthier, tastier and hygienic. This is especially true in urban India, though the trend is catching fast in other areas of the country as well. All these diviners well for small unorganized bakery stores in India because they can now concentrate on producing quality products without bothering much about whether they would be able to make reasonable profit out of the products that could be priced slightly higher.


1. Whether the expansion will be adequately able to fulfill the new age needs. For instance, to fulfill the need for healthier food, store or shop need to put resources into making the offices increasingly sterile and furthermore employing new individuals with information on such items.
2. Whether the bakery industry can keep up with the Guidance Document on Food Safety Management System" delivered by Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI). It states the significance of food establishments to have hygienic surrounding and adequate number and separate cleanly structured toilets for male and female workers.
3. Developing new items is another huge test for industry in perspective on expanding rivalry in the market. There is additional need to build mindfulness about the advanced advances and accommodation of internet-based life stages that can help pastry shops in the sloppy part arrive at a more extensive market.


## Types of Baking Goods

Everyone has experienced the pleasant sensation of walking into a bakery and smelling sweet aromas of fresh baked goods right out of the oven. During a time where prepackaged and solidified nourishments overwhelm the retail basic food item industry, bakeries give an invigorating other option and wide assortment of flavorful treats.

The widely used bakery product are as follows -

## Breads



## Pies



## Pastries



Breads are one of the oldest forms of food in the world and are made by baking a flour and water mixture. Bakeries produce a variety of breads including rye, Italian and pumpernickel. Other ingredients such as salt, fat, milk, sugar, baking soda and yeast can be ādded. Breads come in a variety of forms, including rolls and loaves.

Bakeries sell pies as dessert items. A pie is a baked dish consisting of layers of pastry dough that form a shell and have sweet or sour fillings. Pies can also be filled with meat. Some traditional varieties of pies include apple, strawberry, cherry, cream, custard and key lime.

Pastries refer to baked goods made with ingredients that often include butter, sugar, shortening, flour, baking powder and eggs. Pastries, higher in fat content than breads, include small desserts and quiches. Other types include Danish pastry and croissants.

## Cookies



The "cookies" or "biscuits" are loved the world over. They can be molded, rolled and cut, baked into bars, sandwiched with fillings, and decorated with colorful icings. They can range from simple to elaborate, a snack or important family tradition.

## Frozen Desserts

While ice cream might be the hands-down favorite, frozen desserts, in myriad
 forms-from gelato, frozen yogurt, sorbet and granita to semifreddo, frozen soufflés, and ice cream cakes, pies, and sandwiches capture our hearts.


## Types of Bakeries

## 1. Bakery Cafe

A bakery bistro, or a plunk down pastry shop, kitchen that incorporates a feasting zone for the most of their food. For opening a bakery will need an area with open space.


## 2. Counter Service Bakery

A counter assistance bakery is like a plunk that the model requires a space for clients to see have a dedicated place to eat.

## 3. Home Bakery


down foundation such your items. They don't

Heating at home is ideal for business visionaries who don't have as much funding to put resources into their new business. All one needs to begin a home pastry kitchen is the best possible hardware, satisfactory space, and the important certifications.

## 4. Bakery Food Truck

Pastry shop food trucks, like cupcake trucks, are to obtain, and they give you the opportunity to areas to sell one's products.



## Review of Literature

Martínez-Monzó, J. and other scholars have explored the effects of culinary trends on product innovation in the bakery industry. The fundamental patterns in bread, pastry kitchen, and cake development are identified with wellbeing, joy, and accommodation. They dissect what culinary patterns mean for item development in bread and other baked items. New cooking and driving gourmet experts consider bread and pastry shop not exclusively a supplement to the dishes served in their eateries, but also a crucial part of their advancement contributions.

Angela Dettori, Michela Floris and Cinzia Dessì explored how consumers saw quality being influenced by the advancement in customary items in the bread and cake industry. They survey whether enhancing conventional items is a successful technique, particularly in culinary businesses. The outcomes demonstrated the negative connection between client saw quality and enhancing customary items in conventional ventures implanted in shut settings. The current examination explains the shadowy side of development in conventional businesses, for example, the bread, bread kitchen and cake industry, and it uncovers how custom assumes a significant part in those areas.

Dogan Gursoy and others have analyzed the effect of the ongoing pandemic on the hospitality industry. While the hospitality business is gradually recuperating, the Coronavirus emergency keeps on applying significant effects on how neighborliness organizations work. Cordiality organizations are required to roll out generous improvements to their tasks in the Coronavirus business climate to guarantee workers' and clients' wellbeing and security, and upgrade clients' eagerness to belittle their business. People are expressing clear dissent to dine in at restaurants and some visible sanitization efforts may change this behavior, but it will take time to get things to pre COVID-19 times.

Messner, Wolfgang and others have researched that Web based buyer assessment stages empower clients to communicate their perspectives and encounters. Drawing on online survey information from 43,397 carrier travelers from 26 nations and 116,241 lodging visitors from 46 nations, this examination investigates the exchange among public culture, affinity to post, conclusion, and length of free-text online audits. Results show that clients from individualistic societies are bound to post audits, and their surveys are longer. Besides, clients compose longer audits when they pick higher assistance levels and when they pay with their own cash. Promoting directors subsequently would be very much encouraged to perceive that the way clients give criticism on online stages is part of the way socially decided.

Patrick A. Barbro and others observe that as multinational firms keep on selling brands across country borders on the web, online survey stages make an incentive for buyers and for firms yet contrasts in language and investigating style raise doubt about the similarity of audit content across nations. Expanding on past examination on nation contrasts and online verbal, it inspects the impact of language and country on the volume, valence, and support of client surveys. An investigation of 32,555 surveys across five nation locales of Amazon.com challenges past presumptions about verbosity contrasts between nations. Likewise discover proof of reaction predispositions that influence star rating valence and the supportiveness of surveys. Finally, it exhibits and clarify how utilizing a global dataset to reproduce a formerly distributed, single-country study can yield new bits of knowledge into customer auditing conduct.

Sreejesh S., Anushree M R and Abhilash Ponnam have found evidence in the hotel industry of how online reviews and comments impact the consumers perception towards a business, suggesting that user's view of high disappointment seriousness and audit concurrence on the equivalent could antagonistically affect the disposition towards the lodging and decrease support goal towards such business. They recommend this antagonistic impact could be moderated by conveying fluctuating internet techniques. For fluctuating degrees of saw disappointment seriousness and audit understanding, diverse procedures are to be sent in order to incite great results, for example, improved demeanor and support aims. They found that the utilization of changing web-care techniques to produce great results is intervened by equity insights.

Shikha Sota, Harish Choudhary and Manish Kumar Srivastava study the review and classification of consumer relationship management in the hospitality industry. They audit research articles distributed in the region of Client Relationship management (CRM) in the cordiality business to efficiently survey the whole accommodation CRM writing to distinguish effective subjects and patterns. The examination papers were investigated and grouped dependent on their time of distribution, diary of distribution, information bases, subjects and key topics that arose throughout the long term. The holes and patterns were likewise recognized to recommend further extent of examination.

## Limitations

1. Customers in India are not much aware of the food certification practices and hence because of irregular visit of the bakeries hence their opinions were subjective, but our research aims to target various sectors of the society, tapping those with vast culinary experiences.
2. Now a days the concept of Customer Relationship Management is in its emerging stage in the culinary sector of hospitality and there is a lot of lack of information regarding this now speedily emerging industry.
3. The culinary industry, even though rapidly growing now, is a relatively newer industry in India and the only opinions of the taste and style of bakery goods they prefer is inspired heavily by the fewer older bakeries and hence the responses of the consumers are heavily impacted by that.
4. Due to timing constraints and financial constraints, the researcher is restricted to select a broader sample, but with this study the target is the direct people being impacted by the project.


## CHAPTER 3

## Research Methodology

## Problem Statement

Analyse the various bakeries in Hyderabad and their customers to ease the opening of a new bakery and leverage this heavily existing competition to your leverage. The project should be able to answer the below question.

- what kind of bakery needs to be opened
- what products are to be sold
- the right pricing for the products
- the location of the bakery
- how to leverage existing markets to ensure the success of the bakery
- marketing strategies for baked goods
- innovation techniques to make your bakery stand out
- how to keep your business relevant and growing, once opened



## OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

1. To understand the performance of bakery industry in general and with specific reference to user reviews from sample bakeries.
2. To examine and evaluate various factors that influence the bakery business in Hyderabad with regard to location, pricing, distribution, sales and profit, product mix.
3. To evaluate the customer perception and their buying behavior towards the bakery products in Hyderabad city, focusing on developing marketing strategies to lure them.
4. To report the findings analyzed from the field survey and present the results of the same.


## Hypothesis

1. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no significant difference between gender, age group and visiting frequency at the bakery café.
2. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no significant difference between gender, age group and visiting time at the bakery café.
3. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no significant difference between gender, age group and hours spent at the bakery café.
4. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no significant difference between gender, age group and consumer preference of baked goods.
5. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no significant difference between gender, age group and preference of the ideal bakery type.
6. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no significant difference between gender, age group and money spent per person by consumers at a bakery.


## Data sources

## Primary data

The study is mainly based on primary data, which is obtained through open and commercial sources. The open sources include the usage of openly available data such as ratings on Zomato. The commercial sources include contacting the various restaurants and bakeries to gather data not available on open-source platforms, the branching data to name one. The customer survey study is only based on primary data, which is obtained through canvassing a structured questionnaire and open discussions with customers for eliciting the customer's perceptions and reflections. A comprehensive questionnaire was prepared to cover various aspects affecting consumer behaviour in the bakery industry.

## Secondary data

The secondary data is sourced from open-source platforms, such as google and Swiggy and gives an insight of the same data but for take away.


## Scope of the study

- This study is completely conducted for the bakeries in Hyderabad only.
- The study is composed of real data of the various bakeries in Hyderabad.
- This study is based on realistic and time bounding setting.
- This study is completely conducted for the consumers in Hyderabad only.
- This study is based on realistic and time bounding consumer data.


## Period of the study

The study is intended to cover more than $80 \%$ of the bakeries or similar institutions in the city and it was conducted between year 2019-2021.


## Sampling - Method, Technique and Size

Purposive random sampling method from non-probability sampling methods will be used for the present study. It involves the researcher using their judgement to select a sample that is most useful to the purposes of the research.

Convenience random sampling method, an easy and inexpensive method from non-probability sampling methods will be used for the present study.

Sample Size - Market sample is composed of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ bakeries in Hyderabad located in different regions, of different types and selling wide variety of baked goods. Consumer sample is composed of $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ customers from Hyderabad with different incomes, occupations, genders and age groups to be a true representation of the actual data of city consumers.


## Statistical and other qualitative tests used for the study

1. Factor analysis
2. Descriptive analysis
3. Chi-square test

## Tools used for analysis

The following tools and technologies were used to collect and present the data in various forms such as tabular, graphs, pie charts and histogram.

1. Google docs
2. Microsoft Excel
3. IBM SPSS
4. Microsoft word


## Study Limitations

1. The culinary experience in Hyderabad is in its emerging stage, with a lot of old bakeries with higher ratings despite upcoming newer bakeries with better products.
2. The ratings and reviews obtained from known open sources may not be an accurate representation of the consumer behavior and maybe subjected to interference by restaurants and bakeries to retain or gain popularity.
3. The culinary experience in Hyderabad is in its emerging stage, leading to a lot of customer hostility in expressing their unbiased opinions.
4. Customers were not quite aware of the taste of a lot of products; because of which their opinions are subjective.
5. Due to time and financial constraints, the sample size has been restricted and with the use of convenience sampling method, but there is no way to tell if the sample is representative of the population, so it can't produce generalizable results.


## CHAPTER 4




## 1. Analysis of area distribution of main branches of bakeries in Hyderabad

Table 1 -Represents the main branch distribution in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

| Main Branch Distribution in Hyderabad |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Bakeries | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative \% |
| Amberpet | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Ameerpet | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| Banjara Hills | 16 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 19.6 |
| Basheer Bagh | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 20.6 |
| Begumpet | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 22.5 |
| Bowenpally | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 24.5 |
| Charminar | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 25.5 |
| Dilsukhnagar | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 26.5 |
| Falaknuma | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 27.5 |
| Filmnagar | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 29.4 |
| Gachibowli | 11 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 40.2 |
| Gandipet | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 42.2 |
| Himayath Nagar | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 47.1 |
| Hitech | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 52.0 |
| Jubilee Hills | 13 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 64.7 |
| Kompally | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 66.7 |
| Kondapur | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 69.6 |
| Kothapet | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 71.6 |
| Kukatpally | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 74.5 |
| Lakdikapul | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 75.5 |
| Madhapur | 7 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 82.4 |
| Maredpally | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 83.3 |
| Mehdipatnam | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 85.3 |
| Nalakunta | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 86.3 |
| Nampally | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 89.2 |
| Nizampet | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 90.2 |
| Punjagutta | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 91.2 |
| Sainikpuri | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 94.1 |
| Secunderabad | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 96.1 |
| Somajiguda | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 99.0 |
| Uppal | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

A graphical representation of the distribution of bakeries across different localities in Hyderabad -


Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021
A pie chart representation of the same -
Figure 2 -Represents the main branch distribution in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021
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Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$15 \%$ of the bakeries in Hyderabad are located in Banjara hills, while $13 \%$ are in jubilee hills. $11 \%$ bakeries lie in Gachibowli, 8\% in Madhapur and remaining areas occupy $53 \%$ of the bakery share.

## Inference:

From the graphs, above it has been understood that how the bakeries are distributed across the city. As is evident from Figure 1, most of the bakeries have their main branches or are only present in Banjara Hills, Jubilee Hills and Gachibowli, which is in line with our understanding that the new bakeries have opened to
cater to the new population that has migrated to the city over the past decade, as these are the areas where the migrated population can now be mostly found.

The same can be visualized as a bar chart in the Figure 2 below. More than $50 \%$ the major bakeries are in the Banjara Hills, Jubilee Hills and Greater Hyderabad area. The rest of them are distributed across the city with areas like Somajiguda, Ameerpet, Kukatpally having major share in the rest with $3 \%$ share each.



Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021
The share for the rest of the places in bakery percentage can be visualized in the pie chart in Figure 4 below.

Figure 4- Represents Majority Percentage Bakery Coverage By Area in 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

Of the remaining areas, Himayat nagar comprises of $10 \%$ of the bakeries, followed by Ameerpet, Kondapur, Kukatpally, Nampally, Sainikpuri and Somajiguda at $6 \%$ each. The rest of the bakeries lie in the remaining $54 \%$ area.

## Inference:

An interesting thing to note about the above data is that although it gives a distribution of main branches of major bakeries in Hyderabad, it isn't a true representation of the real bakery spread across the city. To study the same, additional dataset is taken for the same 103 bakeries. Keeping this in count, the number of dataset entries for next section increases to 395 . That means an average of $\sim 3$ branches (apart from the main one) per bakery.


## 2. Analysis of bakery locations distribution in Hyderabad

Table 2 -Represents the bakery location Distribution in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

| Bakery Location Distribution in Hyderabad |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Responses |  | Cumulative \% |
|  |  | \# Bakeries | Percent |  |
|  | Banjara_Hills | 29 | 7.3\% | 29.0\% |
|  | Gachibowli | 35 | 8.9\% | 35.0\% |
|  | Jubilee_Hills | 24 | 6.1\% | 24.0\% |
|  | Himayath_Nagar | 21 | 5.3\% | 21.0\% |
|  | Madhapur | 18 | 4.6\% | 18.0\% |
|  | Ameerpet | 15 | 3.8\% | 15.0\% |
|  | Hitech_City | 13 | 3.3\% | 13.0\% |
|  | Kondapur | 20 | 5.1\% | 20.0\% |
|  | Kukatpally | 26 | 6.6\% | 26.0\% |
|  | Nampally | 9 | 2.3\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Sainikpuri | 15 | 3.8\% | 15.0\% |
|  | Somajiguda | 6 | 1.5\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Begumpet | 15 | 3.8\% | 15.0\% |
|  | Bowenpally | 13 | 3.3\% | 13.0\% |
|  | Film_Nagar | 12 | 3.0\% | 12.0\% |
|  | Gandipet | 7 | 1.8\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Kompally | 8 | 2.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Kothapet | 7 | 1.8\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Mehedipattnam | 7 | 1.8\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Secunderabad | 16 | 4.1\% | 16.0\% |
|  | Amberpet | 5 | 1.3\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Basheer_Bagh | 5 | 1.3\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Charminar | 11 | 2.8\% | 11.0\% |
|  | Dilsukhnagar | 7 | 1.8\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Falaknuma | 4 | 1.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | Lakdi_Ka_Pul | 6 | 1.5\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Marredpally | 8 | 2.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Nalakunta | 6 | 1.5\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Nizampet | 8 | 2.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Punjagutta | 8 | 2.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Uppal | 11 | 2.8\% | 11.0\% |
| Total |  | 395 | 100.0\% | 395.0\% |

Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

The same is represented in the bar graph and pie chart below.
Figure 5- Represents the bakery location distribution in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 6- Represents the bakery location distribution in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

## Bakery Location Distribution in Hyderabad Share Percentage



[^0]Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

|  |  | New |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Old |  |  |
| Mean | 3.23 | 3.23 |
| Median | 2.8 | 2 |
|  | 0.018 | 1 |

## Interpretation:

If branching is taken into picture, $8 \%$ of all the bakeries lie in Banjara hills, $9 \%$ in Gachibowli, $6.6 \%$ in Kukatpally, $6.1 \%$ in jubilee hills and the rest is fairly distributed among the rest.

## Inference:

If compared these to earlier graphs and pie charts from distribution of main branches, it can be seen that the data has lesser standard deviation which is also evident from the shift of the new median closer to the average.


## 3. Analysis of branches of bakeries of Hyderabad

As discussed earlier about multiple branches businesses have, it was nearly 3 branches per a main bakery franchisee. Below is the data for the branching statistics with ' 0 ' representing the bakery has no branches.

Table 3- Represents the bakery branching in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

| Bakery Branching Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Additional Branches | No of Bakeries | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| 0 | 46 | 45.1 | 45.1 | 45.1 |
| 1 | 15 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 59.8 |
| 2 | 8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 67.6 |
| 3 | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 72.5 |
| 4 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 74.5 |
| 5 | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 77.5 |
| 6 | 4 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 81.4 |
| 7 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 82.4 |
| 8 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 83.3 |
| 9 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 85.3 |
| 10 | 4 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 89.2 |
| 12 | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 92.2 |
| 14 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 93.1 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 94.1 |
| 18 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 95.1 |
| 21 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 96.1 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 97.1 |
| 50 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 98.0 |
| 56 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 7- Represents the bakery branching analysis in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$45 \%$ of the bakeries do not have any branches, and $15 \%$ have another branch in the city. $8 \%$ have two branches while 5\% have three branches.

## Inference:

From the data, there are 46 bakeries with no branches and 3 bakeries with more than 50 outlets in the city. On further look, the number of bakeries is higher for lesser number of branches.


## 4. Analysis of types of bakeries in Hyderabad

Figure 8- Represents the bakery type analysis in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$50 \%$ of the bakeries are Over the counter, $49 \%$ are cafes as well and only $1 \%$ are delivery only bakeries.

## Inference:

In the beginning of this section, it can be seen number of bakeries in Hyderabad, which stands at around 920, but are all these bakeries the same? No. So, now go over the types of bakeries there are in Hyderabad from the data that was previously collected. As can be noted from Figure 8, the cafes and OTC (Over the Counter bakeries) have almost equal market share whereas, the delivery only places are only numbered in the comparison.


## 5. Analysis of cost for two at bakeries in Hyderabad

It is now time to visualize how each of these places' prices their products, more importantly how customers view their pricing. Note here, that a piece of bread priced same at two different bakeries, doesn't translate to the customer viewing the places as similarly priced. When customer reviews any pricing, he/she takes a lot of other factors in account.

The data for pricing can be seen in the Table 4 below, followed by the statistical analysis of the same in Table 5.

Table 4- Represents the cost for two in bakery in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

| cost for two |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Bakeries |  | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| 110 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 150 | 7 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.8 |
| 200 | 8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 15.7 |
| 250 | 6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 21.6 |
| 300 | 12 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 33.3 |
| 350 | 6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 39.2 |
| 400 | 18 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 56.9 |
| 450 | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 59.8 |
| 500 | 12 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 71.6 |
| 550 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 72.5 |
| 600 | 6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 78.4 |
| 650 | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 81.4 |
| 700 | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 86.3 |
| 750 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 87.3 |
| 800 | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 92.2 |
| 1000 | 3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 95.1 |
| 1200 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 97.1 |
| 1400 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 98.0 |
| 1600 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 99.0 |
| 2000 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

Table 5- Represents the cost for two in bakery in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N | Cost for Two |  |
|  | Valid |  |
|  | Missing | 102 |
| Mean | 482.94 |  |
| Median | 400.00 |  |
| Mode | 400 |  |
| Std. Deviation | 310.221 |  |
| Variance | 96236.808 |  |
| Skewness | 2.164 |  |
| Std. Error of Skewness | .239 |  |
| Range | 1890 |  |
| Minimum | 110 |  |
| Maximum | 2000 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 9- Represents the cost for two in bakery in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

Histogram
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## Interpretation:

At $17.6 \%$ of the bakeries, the cost for two is rupees 400 and $24 \%$ it is 300 and 500 dividedly equally.

## Inference:

It can be observed that most of the bakeries are priced at 400 , which is right around the average price of Rupees 482. However, note the high range of the data, there are bakeries priced at 110 for two and on the contrary, some charging 2000 for two.

It can be seen the same results presented in the histogram in Figure 9. There are a very few bakeries in the high price range and most of them exist in pocket friendly categories. However, a couple of bakeries in midhigh range priced around rupees 1000 for two.


## 6. Analysis of type of baked goods sold at bakeries in Hyderabad

For the sake of simplification, the products have been classified and sold into a few broad categories - Bread, Cakes, Pastries, Ice-cream, Milkshakes, Desserts. Bread and Cakes are categories for places selling the same respectively as their major product. Pastries is an interesting section and has to do with the international culinary cuisine, where as "Desserts" refers to more ordinary items being served.

Table 6 - Represents the distribution of types of baked goods sold in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

| Distribution of types of baked goods sold |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | No. of Bakeries | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Pastries | 28 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 27.5 |
| Cakes | 30 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 56.9 |
| Bread | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 58.8 |
| Ice-cream | 12 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 70.6 |
| desserts | 25 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 95.1 |
| milkshakes | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 10- Represents the distribution of types of baked goods sold in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

## No. of Bakeries
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## Interpretation:

$29 \%$ of the bakeries sell cakes, $27 \%$ sell pastries, $25 \%$ sell desserts and $12 \%$ sell ice-creams. $5 \%$ sell milkshakes, while only $2 \%$ sell handmade breads.

## Inference:

From these, it can be observed that there are almost equal number of major bakeries selling cakes, pastries and desserts. There are very few milkshake franchises and sole bread bakeries but there are a handful of icecream ones.


## 7. Analysis of ratings and user reviews of bakeries in Hyderabad

Moving on to the last part of the market research, how customers have reviewed each of these bakeries and importantly, how many customers have reviewed? It's important to assume, for part of our analysis, that the number of reviews each bakery has, gives a rough representation of its share in Hyderabad customers.

For this purpose, first look at the bakery ratings of the main branch of each bakery in Table 7 below.

Table 7-Represents the bakery rating in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

| Bakery Rating |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rating (out of 5) | No. of Bakeries | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative \% |
| 3.2 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 3.5 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
| 3.6 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.9 |
| 3.7 | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 10.8 |
| 3.8 | 6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 16.7 |
| 3.9 | 7 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 23.5 |
| 4.0 | 18 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 41.2 |
| 4.1 | 16 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 56.9 |
| 4.2 | 7 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 63.7 |
| 4.3 | 10 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 73.5 |
| 4.4 | 10 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 83.3 |
| 4.5 | 4 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 87.3 |
| 4.6 | 7 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 94.1 |
| 4.7 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 95.1 |
| 4.9 | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

The statistical analysis for this data is displayed in table.
Table 8- Represents the bakery rating in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

|  | Statistics |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Rating |  |  |
| N | Valid | 102 |
|  | Missing | 0 |
| Mean |  | 4.143 |
| Median | 4.100 |  |
| Mode | .3414 |  |
| Std. Deviation | .117 |  |
| Variance | .009 |  |
| Skewness | .239 |  |
| Std. Error of Skewness | 1.7 |  |
| Range | 3.2 |  |
| Minimum | 4.9 |  |
| Maximum |  |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 11- Represents the bakery rating distribution in Hyderabad conducted during 2019-2021

Bakery Rating Distribution
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## Interpretation:

Only $5 \%$ bakeries are rated 4.9 or above, $12 \%$ are rated above 4.4 but below 4.9. $20 \%$ bakeries are rated between 4.3 and 4.4. While $36 \%$ are bakeries are in range 4 to 4.1.

## Inference:

From table 7 it can be observed that the average rating of the main branches of bakeries is more than 4 . This implies that most of the customers have highly rated them. Also, note that most of the bakeries are 4 rated, which is a very good rating on a scale of 5 .

Now looking at the histogram of the same data to see the rating spread of bakeries in Hyderabad. From the figure 12 , the graph peak at rating 4 is quite evident, which further corroborates our understanding of the ratings in Hyderabad.

In the above as assumed that all branches of the bakeries are rated the same, which is not necessarily true. However, the rating of each bakery branch is not very different from others and hence, our assumption above is fair.


## Leverage Your Competition

In the previous section, a detailed market survey can be seen. Now see how this market survey can be used to leverage the competition to own benefit.

## 1. Identifying Customer Problems and Needs

One should ask their customer open-ended inquiries to know what the customer is expecting from business and what change need to be done to enhance the product. One's product will naturally begin to sell more the minute they fill the void that their rivals are deficient of. The more one starts finding and gathering customer problems, the better the chances to improve products.

## 2. Have a Specialty

To minimize the existing competition in a market it is very important to enter market with one's own specialty. This can help the business in customer acquisition and leads the sale of other products similarly which is further going to make profit for business. Specialization consistently prompts an adaptable and effective business. A specialty advertise is dependable, and the possibilities are simpler to target.

## 3. Right Estimation

Each market is isolated into three fragments - the lower, center and high society. The initial step is to distinguish the class you are focusing on. When you find a solution to that, it will be a lot simpler to set a value that your crowds would pay.

## 4. Development and Innovation

Change is only constant thing in this fast-moving world. Continuously recall that your rivals are normally developing, and new participants are upsetting the commercial center. Your business ought to enhance frequently and in a way that the challenge discovers hard to pursue.

## 5. Improving Customer Support

Individuals love organizations that give remarkable client assistance. Staff ought to welcome clients with a satisfying grin and should show appreciation. It is basic to help the solidarity of your staff and contract cooperative individuals. Make sure to reward the cooperative individuals, as representatives need steady inspiration to beat others. Offering personalized service is the key to quality customer service, where customers feel they are being valued and are looked after.

## 6. Social Media

By joining one's rivals' social systems, communicating with them, and remarking on their updates one won't just build up a relationship legitimately with them, but also gain introduction to their group of spectators.

## 7. Joint Ventures

Instead of considering others as contenders, consider them partners. In the online world the pieces of the overall industry are tremendous and there's sufficient space for everybody. So as opposed to battling one another, why not unite and make joint endeavors? For instance, you could make a workshop together, where you circulate one issue, and your partner covers another.


## Customer Survey

## Research and Analysis

For this part of the research, the data has been collected in the form of a questionnaire using convenience sampling method, i.e., sourcing data from people most accessible to the researcher. No doubt, this is a cheap, easy and effective way to gather information, but it comes with its drawback. The data does not necessarily guarantee a true generalized representation of the entire population. So, to overcome the same and yet avail the benefits of convenience sampling method, I got my accessible contacts to have the questionnaire filled by their friends, family and acquaintances. This not only increased the sample size but also imparted a lot of randomizations to the data, thereby bringing it closer to the larger population.

The questionnaire is composed of 25 questions ranging from trivial personal details, such as gender, salary, occupation to more wider questions such as dietary preferences, factors luring them to bakeries, etc. Since, the questionnaire is so large, I have divided it into sub-categories for ease of analysis based on what purpose


## 1. Analysis of age group of consumers

Table 9 - Represents the age of respondents for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| $16-25$ | 34 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 30.6 |
| $26-35$ | 47 | 42.3 | 42.3 | 73.0 |
| $36-45$ | 21 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 91.9 |
| $46-55$ | 6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 97.3 |
| $56-65$ | 3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021
Figure 12- Represents the age group distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021
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## Interpretation:

From Table 8 and Figure 14, most of the bakery goers belong to the age group 26-35, followed by 16-25 at $30 \%$. The elderly forms the least of this at only $2.7 \%$.

## Inference:

Majority of the consumers are young and are of the age between 26 years to 35 years old.


## 2. Analysis of gender of consumers

Table 10- Represents the gender distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021

|  | Gender |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| Female | 53 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 |  |
| Male | 58 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 15- Represents the gender distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021

## GENDER DISTRIBUTION



Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

Of the total sample, $47.7 \%$ are women while the rest of the population is male, which can be seen in Table 9 and the chart in figure 16.

## Inference:

The consumer population is dominated by male population but the variation is not a lot and the males are only very slightly larger in number as compared to the female population.


## 3. Analysis of occupation of consumers

The sample size is very varied in terms of occupation. For the ease of analysis, I included only the occupation sectors that reflect true population of Hyderabad bakery goers.

Table 11- Represents the occupation for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Occupation |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Software Industry | 28 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 |
| Medical | 8 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 32.4 |
| Unemployed | 33 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 62.2 |
| Self employed | 19 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 79.3 |
| Engineer | 12 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 90.1 |
| Banking | 11 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 16- Represents the sample occupation distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021

## Sample Occupation Distribution



Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$29.9 \%$ of the respondents are unemployed, while $25.2 \%$ respondents are working in software industry. $17.1 \%$ are self-employed, $10.80 \%$ are engineers and only $9.9 \%$ work in banking sectors and $7.2 \%$ in the medical departments.

## Inference:

Out of the total respondents, the majority is unemployed, almost a third of the sample size. This falls in line with a lot of colleges and universities in Hyderabad and hence, it can be safely assumed that this unemployed sector is comprised of students and fresh graduates. The next major occupation of the bakery customers is software industry which is $25.20 \%$ and this as well inclines with the fact that Hyderabad is India's growing technology center and there are a already a lot of software companies such as Microsoft, Amazon, Google, Apple, etc. $17.10 \%$ of the respondents are self-employed, $10.80 \%$ are engineers, while $9.90 \%$ work in banking sector and $7.20 \%$ in medical services.


## 4. Analysis of income group of consumers

Table 12- Represents the income distribution of respondents for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Income group distribution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| dependent | 33 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 29.7 |
| $1-10$ lacs | 40 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 65.8 |
| $11-20$ lacs | 27 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 90.1 |
| $21-30$ lacs | 2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 91.9 |
| $31-40$ lacs | 3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 94.6 |
| $41-50$ lacs | 6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 17- Represents the Income distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$36 \%$ earn less than 10 lakhs, while $29.7 \%$ are dependent on others. $24.3 \%$ of the respondents earn between 11 to 20 lakhs. Only $5.4 \%$ earn in the range of 41 to 50 lakhs.

## Inference:

Of the total respondents, salary range of most lies between 1-10 lakhs. The second highest are the ones dependent on their families. Similarly, $24.3 \%$ of respondents have salary ranging from 11 to 20 lakhs. There are very less people with income in range 20-40 lakhs with $1.8 \%$ people earning 21-30 lacs and $2.7 \%$ earning $31-40 \%$. However, there are $5.4 \%$ who earn more than 40 lakhs.


## 5. Analysis of visit frequency of consumers

Table 13- Represents the visit frequency of respondents for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Visit frequency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative \% |  |  |
| everyday | 3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |  |
| every couple of days | 18 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 18.9 |  |
| weekly | 37 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 52.3 |  |
| monthly | 24 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 73.9 |  |
| occasionally | 29 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 18- Represents the visit frequency for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$33 \%$ of the respondents visit bakeries weekly, $26.1 \%$ visit every day and $21.6 \%$ visit monthly. Only $16.2 \%$ visit every couple of days and $2.7 \%$ visit occasionally.

## Inference:

The table above shows that majority of the respondents prefer to visit bakeries on a weekly basis. A $33 \%$ of the sample prefers going weekly while, around $26 \%$ of respondents visit only occasionally. $21.6 \%$ of total respondents visit monthly. There are a very few respondents ( $2.70 \%$ ) who visit every day and $16.2 \%$ of respondents visit every couple of days.


## 6. Analysis of visiting time of consumers

Table 14- Represents the visiting time frequency distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Visiting time |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| morning | 3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| afternoon | 29 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 28.8 |
| evening or late night | 54 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 77.5 |
| no preference | 25 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 19- Represents the visiting time frequency distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$48.6 \%$ of the respondents visit during evening or late night, $26.1 \%$ visit in the afternoon, while $22.5 \%$ have no preference time for visiting.

## Inference:

The bar graph displays the preference of visiting times of respondents. In the bar graph, most of the respondents like visiting café in evening or late night. On the other hand, almost equal number of respondents, around $23-27 \%$, who like to visit in afternoon and those who don't have any preference. The percentage of respondents visiting in morning is very less at $3 \%$.


## 7. Analysis of time spent at bakery by consumers

Table 15- Represents the Frequency distribution of hours spent at bakery for the study carried during 2019-2021

|  | Time spent at bakery |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| 1 hour | 36 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 |  |
| 1.5 hours | 12 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 43.2 |  |
| 2 hours | 54 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 91.9 |  |
| 2.5 hours | 5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 96.4 |  |
| 3 hours | 4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 20- Represents the Frequency distribution of hours spent at bakery for the study carried during 2019-2021
Frequency distribution of hours spent at bakery

$=1$ hour
$=1.5$ hours
$=2$ hours
$=2.5$ hours
$=3$ hours

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$48.6 \%$ spend 2 hours, $32.4 \%$ spend only 1 hour and $10.8 \%$ spend 1.5 hours at any bakery. Only $3.6 \%$ spend up to 3 hours.

## Inference:

The table above shows that nearly half of the total sample size spends 2 hours at bakery café. Similarly, around a third of respondents generally spend only an hour. There are $10 \%$ who spend 1.5 hours at any café, while only nearly $5 \%$ of respondents stay in the café for more than 2 hours. Also, very few respondents, were most likely to stay for longer durations of up to 3 hours.


## 6. Analysis of visiting partners of consumers

Table 16- Represents the visiting partners frequency distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Visiting partner |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| Brother | 1 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
| Colleague | 18 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 17.1 |
| Family | 16 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 31.5 |
| Friends | 58 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 83.8 |
| Spouse | 18 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 21- Represents the visiting partners frequency distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$52 \%$ of the population visits bakeries with their friends. $16.2 \%$ visit with their life partners and their colleagues each. $15 \%$ visit with family members.

## Inference:

From the table and chart above, it can be observed that most of the respondents like visiting bakeries with their friends. A sixth of the respondents like visiting with their spouse and another sixth with their colleagues. A little over $15 \%$ like visiting bakeries with their family or family members.


## 7. Analysis of baked goods preference of consumers

Table 17-Represents the preference of baked goods distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021

|  | Preference of baked goods |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent |
| cakes and pastries | 65 | 58.6 | 58.6 | 58.6 |
| breads | 13 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 70.3 |
| ice creams | 18 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 86.5 |
| pies and tarts | 15 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 22- Represents the preference of baked goods distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$58.6 \%$ of the respondents' preferred choice of food at a bakery is cakes and pastries. While $16.2 \%$ prefer icecreams and $13.5 \%$ prefer pies and tarts.

## Inference:

From the chart above that majority of the respondents, 65 of 111, prefer cakes and with ice-cream being preferred food for a sixth of the respondents, pies and tarts for a few and breads for only 13 of 111 respondents, a fifth of that of cakes and pastries.


## 8. Analysis of ideal bakery type of consumers

Table 18- Represents the ideal bakery type distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Ideal bakery type |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
|  |  | 10 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| over the counter | 87 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 87.4 |  |
| home delivery | 14 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 23- Represents the ideal bakery type distribution for the study carried during 2019-2021

## Ideal bakery type distribution



Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$78 \%$ of the total respondents' ideal bakery is café. $13 \%$ prefer home delivery only and only $9 \%$ of the respondents prefer OTC.

## Inference:

The ideal bakery type of three-quarters of the total respondents is a café setting. The other two categories do not even make up a third of this with home delivery being the ideal type for only $13 \%$ of the respondents and over the counter being that of $9 \%$ of the respondents.


## 9. Analysis of money spent per person by consumers

Table 19- Represents the money spent per person for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Money spent per person |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| $1-200$ | 26 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
| $201-400$ | 38 | 34.2 | 34.2 | 57.7 |
| $401-600$ | 27 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 82.0 |
| $601-800$ | 13 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 93.7 |
| $801-1000$ | 7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 13-Represents the money spent per person for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$34.2 \%$ respondents spend between 201 to 400 rupees. $24.3 \%$ spend between 401 to 600 rupees. $23.4 \%$ respondents spend less than 200 rupees. $11.7 \%$ spend between 601 to 800 rupees and only $6.3 \%$ spend more than 800 respondents.

## Inference:

Of the total respondents, more than a third, $34.2 \%$ spend between Rupees 201 to 400 . While $24.3 \%$ spend Rs. 401-600 and $23.4 \%$ spend less than rupees 200 . Those spending between rupees 601-800 are less than $12 \%$, while those spending more than rupees 801 is only $6.3 \%$.


## 10. Analysis of impact of a new bakery on the visit frequency of consumers

Table 20- Represents the visit frequency for newly opened bakery for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Impact of a new bakery on their visit frequency |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent |
| Yes | 86 | 77.5 | 77.5 | 77.5 |
| No | 25 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 14- Represents the visit frequency for newly opened bakery for the study carried during 2019-2021


## Interpretation:

$77 \%$ respondents believe that their visiting frequency would be impacted because of opening of a new bakery while $23 \%$ believe in no impact.

## Inference:

More than three-quarters of the respondents are positive that the opening of a new bakery in their proximity would impact their visiting frequencies. While only $23 \%$ do not think that this would have any impact on how often they go to a bakery.


## 11. Analysis of the breads consumers usually buy

Table 21- Represents the bread buying preference for the study carried during 2019-2021

|  | Bread buying preference |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| commercially available branded <br> bread | 59 | 53.2 | 53.2 | 53.2 |
| fresh baked unbranded bread | 42 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 91.0 |
| do not consume bread | 10 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 22 - Represents the bread buying preference for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$53 \%$ of respondents buy commercially available branded bread. $37 \%$ of respondents buy fresh baked unbranded bread and $9 \%$ do not consume bread.

## Inference:

Over half of the respondents buy commercially available branded bread, such as Britannia, Spencer's, etc. $38 \%$ of the respondents buy fresh baked bread from bakeries. While $9 \%$ of the respondents do not consumer bread at all.


## 12. Analysis of the special diets consumers follow

Table 22- - Represents the special diet followed for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Special diet followed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative \% |  |
| Vegan | 3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |  |
| Gluten free | 7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 9.0 |  |
| Diabetic | 11 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 18.9 |  |
| None | 88 | 79.3 | 79.3 | 98.2 |  |
| lactose intolerance | 2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure - Represents the special diet followed for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

79.3 \% of the respondents do not follow any special diet. $9.9 \%$ follow a diabetic lifestyle. $6.3 \%$ eat gluten free food and $2.7 \%$ are vegan, while $1.8 \%$ are lactose intolerant.

## Inference:

Of the total respondents, $79 \%$ do not follow any special diet. As can be seen in the pie of pie chart above, of the remaining $21 \%$, a tenth of the respondents are Diabetic and $6 \%$ follow gluten free diet. There are $3 \%$ following vegan lifestyles and $2 \%$ are lactose intolerant.


## 13. Analysis of consumer willingness to pay higher price for products made with organic ingredients

Table 23- Represents consumer's will for spending higher for organic products for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Higher prices for Organic products |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| yes | 73 | 65.8 | 65.8 | 65.8 |
| no preference | 38 | 34.2 | 34.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 15- Represents consumer's will for spending higher for organic products for the study carried during 2019-2021


## Interpretation:

$65.8 \%$ of the respondents are willing to pay higher price for products made with organic ingredients while $34.2 \%$ are not willing to do so.

## Inference:

Nearly two thirds of the respondents are willing to pay higher prices for products made with organic ingredients. While only less than $35 \%$ do not have any preference of organic ingredients over non-organic materials and hence, will not be willing to pay higher prices for organic ingredients.


## 14. Analysis of consumer preference of healthier baked goods over sugary desserts

Table 24- Represents the preference for healthier alternatives for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Preference for healthier alternatives |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| yes | 91 | 82.0 | 82.0 | 82.0 |
| no | 20 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 16- Represents the preference for healthier alternatives for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$82 \%$ of the respondents prefer healthier baked goods over sugary desserts while $18 \%$ have no such preference.

## Inference:

Here, the majority of the respondents, i.e., $82 \%$, would prefer healthier alternatives offered at a bakery. While only $18 \%$ do not have any preference between healthy desserts and sugar rich pastries.


## 15. Analysis of additional products consumers like to be offered at a bakery

Table 25- Represents the additional product preference for the study carried during 19-21

| Additional product preference |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other products ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
|  | Coffee and Tea | 93 | 38.3\% | 83.8\% |
|  | Sandwich, burger and Pizza | 80 | 32.9\% | 72.1\% |
|  | Baked Savory goods | 70 | 28.8\% | 63.1\% |
| Total |  | 243 | 100.0\% | 218.9\% |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021
a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1 .

Figure 17-Represents the additional product preference for the study carried during 19-21


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$38.3 \%$ of the respondents would like to be offered coffee and tea at a bakery. $32.9 \%$ would like to be offered sandwich, burger and pizzas, while $28.8 \%$ would like to be offered other baked savory goods.

## Inference:

In this multiple-choice question, over $80 \%$ of respondents would like to be offered coffee and tea as an additional product, while over $70 \%$ would like to be offered sandwiches, burger and pizza. $60 \%$ of respondents also chose baked savory goods as additional food preference.


## 16. Analysis of factors attracting consumers to bakeries

Table 26- Represents the important factors luring visit behavior for the study carried during 2019-2021

| affecting factors ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Factors luring visit behavior |  |  | Percent of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Affordability | Responses |  |  |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
|  |  | 47 | 10.9\% | 42.3\% |
|  | Quality | 105 | 24.2\% | 94.6\% |
|  | Service | 79 | 18.2\% | 71.2\% |
|  | Ambience | 91 | 21.0\% | 82.0\% |
|  | Location | 54 | 12.5\% | 48.6\% |
|  | Parking | 57 | 13.2\% | 51.4\% |
| Total |  | 433 | 100.0\% | 390.1\% |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021
a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1 .

Figure 18- Represents the important factors luring visit behavior for the study carried during 2019-2021


[^5]
## Interpretation:

$94 \%$ of respondents believe that quality of food always matters. $82 \%$ of the respondents prefers going to café with better ambience. $71 \%$ of the respondents want better service at the bakeries. $51.4 \%$ of the respondents look for the better parking space, $48.2 \%$ of respondents for better location and only $42 \%$ for the affordability.

## Inference:

From the column chart and table above, the quality of food offered is the most likely factor that would lure potential customers to the bakery. $90 \%$ of the respondents chose this option. This is followed closely by ambience with over $80 \%$ respondents choosing this one. Next, $70 \%$ of respondents believe that the service offered by the bakery would be a qualifying factor luring them to bakeries. About a half of population also chose parking and location. The least chosen category was affordability at a little over $40 \%$.


## 17. Analysis of consumer preference of must be available products at any bakery

Table 27- Represents the must available products for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021
a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1 .

Table 28 - Represents the must available products for the study carried during 2019-2021

| OTHER Must available products |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|  | 96 | 86.5 | 86.5 | 86.5 |
| Donuts | 7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 92.8 |
| Ice-cream | 3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 95.5 |
| Milkshake | 4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 99.1 |
| Thick shakes | 1 | .9 | .9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 19- - Represents the must available products for the study carried during 2019-2021
Must available products

- Cakes and Pastries
- Pies and tarts
- Freshly Baked Bread
- Cookies
- Donuts
- Ice-cream
- Milkshake
- Thick shakes




## Interpretation:

$80 \%$ of the respondents want cakes and pastries when they visit bakery. $79 \%$ of the respondents believes pies and tart would be their favorite food to have at café. $63 \%$ of respondents thinks that their must be freshly bake bread at café, while $62 \%$ wants to go to bakery for cookies. Another $13 \%$ thinks that product like donuts, icecream, milkshake and thick shakes should be available at bakeries.

## Inference:

From the above table and column of pie chart, $80 \%$ of respondents would definitely like to see cakes and pastries in a bakery, followed closely by pies and tarts at a little less than $80 \%$. Next, there are categories icecream and cookies at almost $60 \%$. While $13.5 \%$ of responses included other minor categories, Donuts at $6.3 \%$, milkshake at $3.6 \%$, ice-cream at $2.7 \%$ and lastly thick shakes at less than a percent.


## 18. Analysis of consumer favorite bakery in Hyderabad

Table 29 - Represents the favorite bakery in Hyderabad for the study carried during 2019-2021

|  | Favorite Bakery in HYD |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| Karachi bakery | 11 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 |  |
| Labonel | 17 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 25.2 |  |
| Concu | 27 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 49.5 |  |
| Churrolto | 21 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 68.5 |  |
| KS bakers | 20 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 86.5 |  |
| others | 15 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Table 30 - Represents the other favorite bakery in Hyderabad for the study carried during 2019-2021

| Other favorite bakery in HYD |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Feu | 88 | 79.3 | 79.3 | 79.3 |
| Almond House | 4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 82.9 |
| Feranoz | 3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 85.6 |
| Feu Dessert | 4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 89.2 |
| Guilt trip | 4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 92.8 |
| Pista House | 4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 96.4 |
| Total | 4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Table 2- - Represents the favorite bakery in Hyderabad for study carried during 2019-2021
Favorite Bakery in HYD
collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021


## Interpretation:

$24.3 \%$ respondents' favorite bakery is Concu, and that of $18.9 \%$ s' is Churrolto. $18 \%$ like KS bakers and 15.30\% prefer Labonel. 9.9\% like Pista House.

## Inference:

From the pie of pie chart above, about a quarter of respondents' favorite bakery in Hyderabad is Concu, which is also the highest rated bakery in Hyderabad. The next most favorite bakery of respondents is Churrolto, followed closely by KS bakers with numerous branches in Hyderabad. About 15\% respondents' favorite bakery is Labonel, followed by Karachi bakery at around $10 \%$. Around $20 \%$ of respondents, chose the other category and named their favorite bakeries. These were Feu, Guilt trip, Pista House, Feranoz at 3.6\% and Almond House at $2.7 \%$.


## 19. Analysis of consumer awareness of the food quality certification for restaurants

Table 31- Represents the FQC awareness for the study carried during 2019-2021

|  | FQC Awareness |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|  | 92 | 82.9 | 82.9 | 82.9 |
| No | 19 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 20- Represents the FQC awareness for the study carried during 2019-2021


## Interpretation:

$82.9 \%$ are aware of food quality certification, while $17.1 \%$ are not.

## Inference:

Of the total respondents, majority are aware of the food quality certification that the restaurants need to obtain to guarantee good food quality. Only $17.10 \%$ of the respondents are not aware of any such certification.


## 20. Analysis of additional amenities consumers would like to be offered at a bakery

Table 32- Represents the additional amenities preference for the study carried during 2019-2021

|  | Additional amenities' preference |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| live music | 20 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| board games | 32 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 46.8 |
| books and magazines | 33 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 76.6 |
| live sports screening | 13 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 88.3 |
| child friendly café | 13 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 21- Represents the additional amenities preference for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

A $29.7 \%$ and $28.8 \%$ would like books and magazines and board games at bakery café respectively. $18 \%$ would like live music and live sports and child friendliness by $11.7 \%$ each.

## Inference:

In the additional amenities' preference distribution, it can be seen that about a third of respondents would like books and magazines being offered to them and another third would like to be offered board games. Next, it can be seen that $18 \%$ of respondents with preference to live music over other options. Lastly, there are categories live sports screening and child friendliness, and an equal number of respondents displaying preference for these at $13 \%$.


## 21. Visit frequency, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation

Table 33- Represents Visit frequency, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation for the study carried during the year 2019-2021

| Visit frequency, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  | Age group |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  | 16-25 | 26-35 | 36-45 | 46-55 | 56-65 |  |
| Female | Visit frequency | every couple of days | Count | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | 9.4\% | 7.5\% | 3.8\% | .0\% | 20.8\% |
|  |  | weekly | Count | 3 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 5.7\% | 20.8\% | 1.9\% | .0\% | 1.9\% | 30.2\% |
|  |  | monthly | Count | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 11.3\% | 3.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | .0\% | 18.9\% |
|  |  | occasionally | Count | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 13.2\% | 5.7\% | 5.7\% | 5.7\% | .0\% | 30.2\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 16 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 53 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 30.2\% | 39.6\% | 17.0\% | 11.3\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Male | Visit frequency | everyday | Count | 0 | 2 | 1 |  | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | 3.4\% | 1.7\% |  | .0\% | 5.2\% |
|  |  | every couple of days | Count | 0 | 4 | 2 |  | 1 | 7 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | 6.9\% | 3.4\% |  | 1.7\% | 12.1\% |
|  |  | weekly | Count | 4 | 13 | 4 |  | 0 | 21 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 6.9\% | 22.4\% | 6.9\% |  | .0\% | 36.2\% |
|  |  | monthly | Count | 6 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 14 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 10.3\% | 8.6\% | 3.4\% |  | 1.7\% | 24.1\% |
|  |  | occasionally | Count | 8 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 13 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 13.8\% | 3.4\% | 5.2\% |  | .0\% | 22.4\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 18 | 26 | 12 |  | 2 | 58 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 31.0\% | 44.8\% | 20.7\% |  | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 39- Represents the Visit frequency, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation for the study carried during 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consümer survey carried during 2019-2021


## Interpretation:

A 37\% of male and $30 \%$ female respondents of different age group likes to visit café weekly. $30 \%$ female and $23 \%$ male of different age group visits bakery occasionally. On the other hand, $24 \%$ male and $19 \%$ female of different age visits monthly and $21 \%$ female and $13 \%$ male visit every couple of the days. Only $5 \%$ male visits café every day. From the graph, 26-35 age group seems to visit cafes frequently.

## Inference:

From the cross tabulation of age, gender and visit frequency, it can be inferred that the highest visit frequency is weekly, which is further dominated by the male respondents. Of these respondents, the majority are an almost equal number of young male and female respondents. Interestingly, all the ladies of age between 56 to 65 years like to visit weekly. The next largest visitors are the occasional ones, with female respondents leading this series. No male of age 46-65 visits the bakery occasionally, while the rest of the series is fairly distributed among age groups $16-25,26-35$ and $36-45$. This is followed by the monthly series lead by male respondents with age group 16-25 years forming the largest share for both men and women. A lot of women like to visit every couple of days more than monthly actually, while the number of male respondents in this category is very less, pushing the series below monthly series. The least number of respondents visit bakeries daily. In fact, no female respondent visits daily and the male respondents visiting daily belong to age groups 26-35 and 36-45.

Table 34- Represents the chi square tests for the study carried during the year 2019-2021

Chi-Square Tests

| Gender |  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2- <br> sided) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | Pearson Chi-Square | $23.396^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 12 | .025 |
|  | Likelihood Ratio | 27.550 | 12 | .006 |
|  | Linear-by-Linear Association | 1.868 | 1 | .172 |
|  | N of Valid Cases | 53 |  |  |
|  | Pearson Chi-Square | $18.296^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 12 | .046 |
|  | Likelihood Ratio | 21.348 | 12 | .021 |
|  | Linear-by-Linear Association | 5.312 | 1 |  |
|  | N of Valid Cases | 58 |  |  |

a. 18 cells $(90.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .19 .
b. 16 cells ( $80.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .10 .

Source- Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey carried during 2019-2021

Table 35 - Represents the Symmetric measures table for the study carried during the year 2019-2021

## Symmetric Measures

| Gender |  |  | Value | Asymp. Std. Errora | Approx. $\mathrm{T}^{\text {b }}$ | Approx. Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Interval by Interval | Pearson's R | -. 190 | . 136 | -1.378 | . $174{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Ordinal by Ordinal | Spearman Correlation | -. 248 | . 143 | -1.825 | . $074{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | N of Valid Cases |  | 53 |  |  |  |
| Male | Interval by Interval | Pearson's R | -. 305 | . 117 | -2.399 | .020 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Ordinal by Ordinal | Spearman Correlation | -. 353 | . 126 | -2.821 | . $007{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | N of Valid Cases |  | 58 |  |  |  |

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
c. Based on normal approximation.

Source- Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$90 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 19 for females and for males $80 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 10 . Reading the likelihood ratio, the significance ratio for females and 0.006 while for that of males is 0.046 .

## Inference:

The significance ratio shows a value of 0.006 for females and 0.046 for males, which are both smaller than the significance ratio of 0.05 , thus the assumption is not correct i.e., association is statistically significant thus suggests that there is a positive association between gender, age group and visit frequency at the bakery café. Hence, the hypothesis is rejected.


## 22. Visiting time, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation

Table 36- Represents the visiting time, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021

| Gender | Visiting time, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 16-25 | 26-35 | 36-45 | 46-55 | 56-65 |  |
| Female | Visiting time | morning | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | .0\% | . $0 \%$ | 1.9\% | .0\% | 1.9\% |
|  |  | afternoon | Count | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 15.1\% | 7.5\% | 5.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 32.1\% |
|  |  | evening or late night | Count | 7 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 24 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 13.2\% | 18.9\% | 7.5\% | 5.7\% | .0\% | 45.3\% |
|  |  | no preference | Count | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 1.9\% | 13.2\% | 3.8\% | 1.9\% | .0\% | 20.8\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 16 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 53 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 30.2\% | 39.6\% | 17.0\% | 11.3\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Male | Visiting time | morning | Count | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | .0\% | 3.4\% |  | .0\% | 3.4\% |
|  |  | afternoon | Count | 6 | 5 | 1 |  | 0 | 12 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 10.3\% | 8.6\% | 1.7\% |  | .0\% | 20.7\% |
|  |  | evening or late night | Count | 10 | 13 | 6 |  | 1 | 30 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 17.2\% | 22.4\% | 10.3\% |  | 1.7\% | 51.7\% |
|  |  | no preference | Count | 2 | 8 | 3 |  | 1 | 14 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 3.4\% | 13.8\% | 5.2\% |  | 1.7\% | 24.1\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 18 | 26 | 12 |  | 2 | 58 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 31.0\% | 44.8\% | 20.7\% |  | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 22- Represents the visiting time, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021


## Interpretation:

A 3.4\% of male population, all belonging to age group 36-45 years and $1.9 \%$ females of the age group 46-55 years visit bakeries in the morning. Of the afternoon female visitors, $15.1 \%$ belong to $16-25$ years age group, $7.5 \%$ belong to $26-35$ years, $5.7 \%$ to $36-45$ years and $1.9 \%$ to older than 56 years. Of the male afternoon visitors, $10.3 \%$ are below 25 years, $8.6 \%$ are in $26-35$ years and $1.7 \%$ in $36-45$ years.

## Inference:

From the cross tabulation of age, gender and visiting time, it can be observed that those respondents are most likely to visit during evening time or late night, which is further dominated by the male respondents. Of these respondents, the majority belong to age groups 16-25 and 26-35 with male respondents only very slightly larger in number. Interestingly, none of the ladies of age between 56 to 65 years like to visit during this time. The next largest visitors are the afternoon ones, with female respondents leading this series. No male of age 46-65 and 56-65 visits the bakery in the afternoon, while most of the rest of the series is fairly distributed among age groups 16-25 and 26-35 age groups. There are a few, belonging to the age group 36-45, who like to visit in the afternoon as well. This is followed by the respondents who have no preference of visiting the bakery, lead slightly by male respondents with age group 26-35 years forming the largest share for both men and women. A very small number of respondents visit in the morning time with only few women of age 4655 and few men of age $36-45$ frequenting in the early hours.

Table 37: Represents the chi square tests for the study carried during the year 2019-2021
Chi-Square Tests

| Gender |  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Pearson Chi-Square <br> Likelihood Ratio <br> Linear-by-Linear Association <br> N of Valid Cases | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16.439 \mathrm{a} \\ 13.522 \\ .001 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | 12 12 1 | .172 .332 .971 |
| Male | Pearson Chi-Square <br> Likelihood Ratio <br> Linear-by-Linear Association <br> N of Valid Cases | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 12.755^{\dagger} \\ 11.996 \\ .875 \\ 58 \end{array}$ | 9 9 1 | .174 .214 .350 |

a. 16 cells ( $80.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .02 .
b. 11 cells ( $68.8 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .07 .

Source- Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey carried during 2019-2021

Table 38: Represents the symmetric measures table for the study carried during the year 2019-2021
Symmetric Measures

| Gender |  | Value | Asymp. Std. Error ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Approx. $\mathrm{T}^{\text {b }}$ | Approx. Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Interval by Interval Pearson's R | . 005 | . 148 | . 035 | . $972^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Ordinal by Ordinal Spearman Correlation | . 096 | . 143 | . 686 | . $496{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | N of Valid Cases | 53 |  |  |  |
| Male | Interval by Interval Pearson's R | . 124 | . 131 | . 934 | . $354{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Ordinal by Ordinal Spearman Correlation | . 156 | . 131 | 1.181 | . $242^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | N of Valid Cases | 58 |  |  |  |

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
c. Based on normal approximation.

Source- Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$80 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.02 for females and for males $68.8 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.332 . Reading the likelihood ratio, the significance ratio for females and 0.332 while for that of males is 0.214 .

## Inference:

The significance ratio shows a value of 0.332 for females and 0.214 for males, which are both larger than the significance ratio of 0.05 , thus the assumption is correct i.e., association is not statistically significant thus suggests that there is no positive association between gender, age group and visiting time at the bakery café. Hence, the hypothesis is accepted.


## 23. Hours spent, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation

Table 39- Represents the hours spent, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021

| Hours spent, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  | Age group |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  | 16-25 | 26-35 | 36-45 | 46-55 | 56-65 |  |
| Female | Hours spent | 1 | Count | 8 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 15.1\% | 11.3\% | 5.7\% | 3.8\% | .0\% | 35.8\% |
|  |  | 1.5 | Count | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | 7.5\% | 1.9\% | .0\% | .0\% | 9.4\% |
|  |  | 2 | Count | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 25 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 11.3\% | 17.0\% | 9.4\% | 7.5\% | 1.9\% | 47.2\% |
|  |  | 2.5 | Count | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 1.9\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | 1.9\% |
|  |  | 3 | Count | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 1.9\% | 3.8\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 16 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 53 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 30.2\% | 39.6\% | 17.0\% | 11.3\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Male | Hours spent | 1 | Count | 6 | 7 | 3 |  | 1 | 17 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 10.3\% | 12.1\% | 5.2\% |  | 1.7\% | 29.3\% |
|  |  | 1.5 | Count | 3 | 3 | 0 |  | 1 | 7 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 5.2\% | 5.2\% | .0\% |  | 1.7\% | 12.1\% |
|  |  | 2 | Count | 9 | 12 | 8 |  | 0 | 29 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 15.5\% | 20.7\% | 13.8\% |  | .0\% | 50.0\% |
|  |  | 2.5 | Count | 0 | 3 | 1 |  | 0 | 4 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | 5.2\% | 1.7\% |  | .0\% | 6.9\% |
|  |  | 3 | Count | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | 1.7\% | .0\% |  | .0\% | 1.7\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 18 | 26 | 12 |  | 2 | 58 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 31.0\% | 44.8\% | 20.7\% |  | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 23-Represents the hours spent, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

Of the females spending 2 hours at bakery, $11.3 \%$ are younger than 25 years, $17 \%$ belong to $26-35$ years age group, $9.4 \%$ in $36-45$ years, $7.5 \%$ in $46-55$ years and remaining $1.9 \%$ are older than 56 years. The corresponding numbers in male categories are $15.5 \%, 20.7 \%$, and $13.8 \%$ in categories younger than $25,26-$ 35 years and $36-45$ years respectively. $1.9 \%$ young girls spend more than 3 hours at bakery while $3.8 \%$ belong to age group 26-35 years.

## Inference:

From the cross tabulation of age, gender and hours spent at a bakery, that the highest number of respondents like to spend around 2 hours at any bakery café, which is very slightly dominated by the male respondents. Of these respondents, the majority belong to age groups $16-25$ and $26-35$ with male respondents only very slightly larger in number. Interestingly, all the ladies of age between 56 to 65 years like to spend around 2 hours. The next largest category is of those who spend an hour, with female respondents leading this series. No male of age $46-65$ spends an hour, while most of the rest of the series is fairly distributed among age groups 16-25 and 26-35 age groups. The remaining three categories do not form much of the share with mostly respondents of age $26-45$ spending an hour and a half. A few women of age $16-25$ spend 2.5 and 3 hours along


## Chi-Square Tests

| Gender |  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Pearson Chi-Square <br> Likelihood Ratio <br> Linear-by-Linear Association <br> N of Valid Cases | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11.234^{\mathrm{a}} \\ 13.924 \\ .155 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | 16 16 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .795 \\ & .604 \\ & .694 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Pearson Chi-Square <br> Likelihood Ratio <br> Linear-by-Linear Association <br> $N$ of Valid Cases | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 9.874^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 12.731 \\ .019 \\ 58 \end{array}$ | 12 12 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .627 \\ & .389 \\ & .890 \end{aligned}$ |

a. 21 cells ( $84.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02 .
b. 15 cells $(75.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .03 .

Source- Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey carried during 2019-2021

Table 41: Represents the symmetric measures table for the study carried during the year 2019-2021

Symmetric Measures

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

Source- Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$84 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.02 for females and for males $75 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.03 . Reading the likelihood ratio, the significance ratio for females and 0.604 while for that of males is 0.389 .

## Inference:

The significance ratio shows a value of 0.604 females and 0.389 for males, which are both larger than the significance ratio of 0.05 , thus the assumption is correct i.e., association is not statistically significant thus suggests that there is no positive association between gender, age group and hours spent at the bakery café. Hence, the hypothesis is accepted.


## 24. Preference of baked goods, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation

Table 42-Represents the preference of baked goods, age group and gender crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021

| Gender |  | nce of baked g | ds, Age | oup and | Gender | Crossta | bulation |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 16-25 | 26-35 | 36-45 | 46-55 | 56-65 |  |
| Femal e | Preference of baked goods | cakes and pastries | Count | 9 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 32 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 17.0\% | 24.5\% | 11.3\% | 5.7\% | 1.9\% | 60.4\% |
|  |  | breads | Count | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | .0\% | 3.8\% | 5.7\% | 3.8\% | .0\% | 13.2\% |
|  |  | ice creams | Count | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 7.5\% | 5.7\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | 13.2\% |
|  |  | pies and tarts | Count | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 5.7\% | 5.7\% | .0\% | 1.9\% | .0\% | 13.2\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 16 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 53 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 30.2\% | 39.6\% | 17.0\% | 11.3\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Male | Preference of baked goods | cakes and pastries | Count | 11 | 15 | 6 |  | 1 | 33 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 19.0\% | 25.9\% | 10.3\% |  | 1.7\% | 56.9\% |
|  |  | breads | Count | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 1.7\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% |  | 1.7\% | 10.3\% |
|  |  | ice creams | Count | 6 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 11 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 10.3\% | 8.6\% | .0\% |  | .0\% | 19.0\% |
|  |  | pies and tarts | Count | 0 | 4 | 4 |  | 0 | 8 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | .0\% | 6.9\% | 6.9\% |  | .0\% | 13.8\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 18 | 26 | 12 |  | 2 | 58 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 31.0\% | 44.8\% | 20.7\% |  | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 24- Represents the preference of baked goods, age group and gender crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

A total $60 \%$ of females and $56.9 \%$ males prefer cakes and pastries. The share for categories $16-25$ years, 2635 years, $36-45$ years, $46-55$ years and older is $17 \%, 24.5 \%, 11.3 \&, 5.7 \%$ and $1.9 \%$ respectively for women and $19 \%, 25.9 \%, 10.3 \%, 0 \%$ and $1.7 \%$ for males. Of the bread lovers, $3.8 \%$ are females in $26-35$ years and $46-55$ years age group each and $5.7 \%$ in $36-45$ years. The men bread lovers belong to the age group 16-25, $26-35$ and $36-45$ in $1.7 \%, 3.4 \%$ and $3.4 \%$ respectively.

## Inference:

From the cross tabulation of preference of baked goods, gender and age, it can be inferred that most of the respondents prefer cakes and pastries, which is further dominated by the female respondents. Of these respondents, the majority belong to age groups 26-35 with male respondents only very slightly larger in number, followed by 16-25 age group. Interestingly, no man of age between 46 to 55 years likes cakes. Majority of the respondents of age group 36-45 like cakes and pastries. The rest of the categories do not form that significant a share. A lot of respondents in age groups 16-25 and 26-35 like ice cream, making it the second largest category. This is followed by pies and tarts, with an almost equal number of male and female respondents with most belonging to age group 26-35 and few females of 16-25 and men of $36-45$ age groups. The least preferred baked good is bread with only around $10 \%$ men in the category and slightly more females. The male category is fairly divided among all age groups except for 46-55.

## Chi-Square Tests


a. 17 cells ( $85.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .13 .
b. 13 cells ( $81.3 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .21 .

Source- Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey carried during 2019-2021

Table 44: Represents the symmetric measures table for the study carried during the year 2019-2021

Symmetric Measures

| Gender |  | Value | Asymp. Std. Error ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Approx. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Approx. Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Interval by Interval Pearson's R Ordinal by Ordinal Spearman Correlation N of Valid Cases | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-.171 \\ -.126 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .128 \\ & .137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-1.236 \\ -.910 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .222^{c} \\ & .367 c \end{aligned}$ |
| Male | Interval by Interval Pearson's R <br> Ordinal by Ordinal Spearman Correlation <br> N of Valid Cases | $\begin{gathered} \hline .066 \\ .104 \\ 58 \end{gathered}$ | .113 .127 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .499 \\ & .786 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .620^{\circ} \\ & .435^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
c. Based on normal approximation.

Source- Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$85 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.13 for females and for males $81.3 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.21 . Reading the likelihood ratio, the significance ratio for females and 0.149 while for that of males is 0.039 .

## Inference:

The significance ratio shows a value of 0.149 for females which is larger than the significance ratio of 0.05 , thus the assumption is correct i.e., association is not statistically significant thus suggests that there is no positive association between females, age group and preference of baked goods. But for males, the ratio is 0.039 which is less than the significance ratio of 0.05 , thus the assumption is not correct i.e., suggests that there is a positive association between age group and preference of baked goods for males. Hence, the hypothesis is rejected.


## 25. Ideal bakery type, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation

Table 45- Represents the ideal bakery type, age group and gender crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021

| Gender |  | Ideal bakery type, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Age group |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  | 16-25 | 26-35 | 36-45 | 46-55 | 56-65 |  |
| Female | Ideal bakery type | over the counter | Count | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> Total | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | .0\% | .0\% | .0\% | 7.5\% |
|  |  | cafe | Count | 10 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 42 |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> Total | 18.9\% | 34.0\% | 15.1\% | 9.4\% | 1.9\% | 79.2\% |
|  |  | home delivery | Count | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> Total | 7.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | .0\% | 13.2\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 16 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 53 |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> Total | 30.2\% | 39.6\% | 17.0\% | 11.3\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Male | Ideal bakery type | over the counter | Count | 4 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> Total | 6.9\% | 3.4\% | .0\% |  | .0\% | 10.3\% |
|  |  | cafe | Count | 11 | 22 | 10 |  | 2 | 45 |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> Total | 19.0\% | 37.9\% | 17.2\% |  | 3.4\% | 77.6\% |
|  |  | home delivery | Count | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 | 7 |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> Total | 5.2\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% |  | .0\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 18 | 26 | 12 |  | 2 | 58 |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> Total | 31.0\% | 44.8\% | 20.7\% |  | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 25- Represents the ideal bakery type, age group and gender crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

A total $79.2 \%$ of females and $77.6 \%$ males prefer café as their ideal bakery setting. The share for categories 16-25 years, 26-35 years, 36-45 years, 46-55 years and older is $18.9 \%, 34.0 \%, 15.1 \%, 9.4 \%$ and $1.9 \%$ respectively for women and $19.0 \%, 37.9 \%, 17.2 \%, 0 \%$ and $3.4 \%$ for males. Of the home delivery choosers, $7.5 \%$ are females younger than 26 years, $1.9 \%$ females in 26-35 years, 36-45 years and 46-55 years age group each. Among the males who prefer home delivery, $5.2 \%$ are lesser than 25 years old and $3.4 \%$ in 26-35 and 36-45 years each.

## Inference:

From the cross tabulation of ideal bakery type, gender and age, it can be observed that most of the respondents' ideal bakery type is a café setup, which is further dominated by the female respondents. Of these respondents, the majority belong to age groups 26-35 with male respondents only very slightly larger in number, followed by 26-35 age group in both men and women. Interestingly, no man of age between 46 to 55 years prefers a café setup. The next largest age group in this category is the youngsters who compose approximately $19 \%$ of both male female populations each, followed by the age group 36-45. Notably, all the respondents, men and women, of age group 56-65 prefer café setup. The remaining two categories do not form that significant a share. A few respondents in age groups 16-25 and 26-35, both men and women, like home delivery options. A few respondents in 36-45 age groups also showed a preference for home delivery bakeries. Over the counter is the least preferred bakery type, with only young few men and women and a few more, belonging to 26-35 years, in the category.


Table 46: Represents the Chi- Square test carried during 2019-2021
Chi-Square Tests

| Gender |  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Pearson Chi-Square <br> Likelihood Ratio <br> Linear-by-Linear Association <br> $N$ of Valid Cases | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 5.721^{\mathrm{a}} \\ 7.016 \\ .025 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | 8 8 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .678 \\ & .535 \\ & .874 \end{aligned}$ |
| Male | Pearson Chi-Square <br> Likelihood Ratio <br> Linear-by-Linear Association <br> N of Valid Cases | $\begin{array}{r} 6.235^{b} \\ 7.367 \\ .823 \\ 58 \end{array}$ | 6 6 1 | .397 .288 .364 |

Source: Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey conducted during 2019-2021
a. 12 cells $(80.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .08 .
b. 9 cells $(75.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .21 .

Table 47-Represents the symmetric measures table for the survey carried during 2019-2021
Symmetric Measures

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
c. Based on normal approximation.

Source: Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey conducted during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$80 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.08 for females and for males $75 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.21 . Reading the likelihood ratio, the significance ratio for females and 0.535 while for that of males is 0.288 .

## Inference:

The significance ratio shows a value of 0.535 for females and 0.288 for males which are both larger than the significance ratio of 0.05 , thus the assumption is correct i.e., association is not statistically significant thus suggests that there is no positive association between gender, age group and preference of the ideal bakery type. Hence, the hypothesis is accepted.


## 26. Money spent per person, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation

Table 48- - Represents the money spent per person, age group and gender crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021

| Gender | Money spent per person, Age group and Gender Crosstabulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 16-25 | 26-35 | 36-45 | 46-55 | 56-65 |  |
| Female | Money spent per person | 1-200 | Count | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 7.5\% | 7.5\% | 3.8\% | 1.9\% | .0\% | 20.8\% |
|  |  | 201-400 | Count | 7 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 19 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 13.2\% | 13.2\% | 3.8\% | 5.7\% | . $0 \%$ | 35.8\% |
|  |  | 401-600 | Count | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 1.9\% | 11.3\% | 5.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 22.6\% |
|  |  | 601-800 | Count | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 3.8\% | 5.7\% | 1.9\% | . $0 \%$ | .0\% | 11.3\% |
|  |  | 801-1000 | Count | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 3.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | . $0 \%$ | 9.4\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 16 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 53 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 30.2\% | 39.6\% | 17.0\% | 11.3\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Male | Money spent per person | 1-200 | Count | 9 | 3 | 3 |  | 0 | 15 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 15.5\% | 5.2\% | 5.2\% |  | . $0 \%$ | 25.9\% |
|  |  | 201-400 | Count | 5 | 10 | 4 |  | 0 | 19 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 8.6\% | 17.2\% | 6.9\% |  | . $0 \%$ | 32.8\% |
|  |  | 401-600 | Count | 4 | 8 | 3 |  | 0 | 15 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 6.9\% | 13.8\% | 5.2\% |  | . $0 \%$ | 25.9\% |
|  |  | 601-800 | Count | 0 | 4 | 1 |  | 2 | 7 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | . $0 \%$ | 6.9\% | 1.7\% |  | 3.4\% | 12.1\% |
|  |  | 801-1000 | Count | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | .0\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% |  | . $0 \%$ | 3.4\% |
|  | Total |  | Count | 18 | 26 | 12 |  | 2 | 58 |
|  |  |  | \% of Total | 31.0\% | 44.8\% | 20.7\% |  | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |

Source: Data has been
collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

Figure 26- Represents the money spent per person, age group and gender crosstabulation for the study carried during year 2019-2021


Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021


## Interpretation:

A total $35.8 \%$ of females and $32.8 \%$ males spend between 201-400 rupees at a bakery. The share for categories $16-25$ years, $26-35$ years, $36-45$ years and $46-55$ years is $13.2 \%, 13.2 \%, 3.8 \%$ and $5.7 \%$ respectively for women and $6.9 \%, 13.8 \%, 5.2 \%$ and $0 \%$ for males. Of those who spend between $401-600$ rupees, $1.9 \%$ are females younger than 26 years, $11.3 \%$ females in 26-35 years, $5.7 \%$ in $36-45$ years and $1.9 \%$ in $46-55$ years and 56-65 years age group each. Among the males in the same category, $6.9 \%$ are younger than 25 years and $13.8 \%$ in 26-35 years and $5.2 \%$ in 36-45 years age group.

## Inference:

From the cross tabulation of age, gender and money spent per person, it can be inferred that the most respondents spent between Rs. 201-400 in a bakery, with male respondents trailing the category by a very fine margin. Of the female respondents here, the majority is fairly divided between age groups 16-25 and 26-35, while in male respondents, $26-35$ being the largest contributors. Notably, no respondents of age between 56 to 65 years and no men of age 46-55 years spent this amount. Next, there are an almost equal number of respondents spending Rs 1 to 200 and Rs 401 - 600, with female respondents trailing in both series. A fair share of respondents aged between 26-35 years was seen spending 401-600 rupees, while in the other category, the lead is taken by young respondents. Lastly, there are those spending between $601-800$ and $801-1000$ in that order. Respondents of age group 26-35 take lead in spending Rs 601-800. All the male respondents of age $56-65$ spend in this range. An equal number of males in age group 26-35 years and $36-45$ years comprise the Rs, 801-1000 category.

Table 49: Represents the Chi- Square test for the survey carried during 2019-2021
Chi-Square Tests

a. 23 cells ( $92.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .09 .
b. 16 cells ( $80.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .07 .

Source: Compiled on SPSS Version 22.0, based on survey conducted during 2019-2021

Table 50: : Represents the Symmetric Measures table for the survey carried during 2019-2021
Symmetric Measures

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
c. Based on normal approximation.

Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

## Interpretation:

$92 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.09 for females and for males $80 \%$ of cells have expected count less than 5 where the minimum expected count is 0.07 . Reading the likelihood ratio, the significance ratio for females and 0.847 while for that of males is 0.043 .

## Inference:

The significance ratio shows a value of 0.847 for females which is larger than the significance ratio of 0.05 , thus the assumption is correct i.e., association is not statistically significant thus suggests that there is no positive association between age group and money spent per person by female consumers at a bakery. But for males, the ratio is 0.043 which is less than the significance ratio of 0.05 , thus the assumption is not correct i.e., suggests that there is a positive association between age group and money spent per person by male consumers at a bakery. Hence, the hypothesis is rejected.

## CHAPTER 5

## Findings,

## Suggestions

and

## Conclusions

## Findings

1. From the analysis, the ideal bakery type of most of the respondents is a café setting. So, ideally one should open a café bakery. But things have changed since covid-19 and people are reluctant to visit restaurants and cafes. Given the situation a home delivery would be an ideal option.
2. Taking the total sample size surveyed, $58 \%$ of samples prefers to see cakes and pastries at bakery followed by pies and tarts at $13.5 \%$, so these would be the ideal products at a bakery.
3. Over $80 \%$ of the sample would like to be offered some kind of beverage at a bakery with their desserts. So, one can think of including tea and coffee, which are very inexpensive options to incorporate.
4. A whopping $82 \%$ of the respondents want healthier desserts over sugar rich pastries and cakes, giving us an insight of the future bakery behavior trend.
5. From the analysis part, it was observed that most of the respondents paid between 200-600 rupees per person on their visit to any bakery. It was observed that $2 / 3 \mathrm{rd}$ of the respondents is willing to pay higher prices for goods made with organic ingredients.
6. From the analysis, $33 \%$ of sample prefers visiting bakery weekly and $26 \%$ likes to visit occasionally.
7. From the market research, most of the bakeries are present in Banjara hills, Gachibowli area, and Jubilee hills. So, a person keen on opening a new bakery should choose a location apart from these but not very far either.
8. It can be found out that books and magazines, and board games are the most preferred amenities by the consumers.
9. From our customer survey, it can be observed that majority of the respondents lie in the age groups $16-25$ years and $26-35$ years. This is the new generation and is very active digitally via various social media platforms.

## Chi-square test summary

(Significance value: 0.05)

F: Female
M: Male

| Hypothesis | Significance | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Values |  |  |
| $H_{0}:$ There is no significant difference between gender, age <br> group and visit frequency at the bakery café. | $\mathrm{F}: 0.006$ |  |
| $\mathrm{M}: 0.046$ |  |  |$\quad$ Rejected

## Suggestions

1. In the current scenario, opening a home delivery bakery would be the ideal option.
2. The product range should majorly be cakes and pastries apart from pies and tarts. There should also be an option for beverages.
3. There should be a significant proportion of healthier alternatives and organic products as well.
4. The pricing should be such that the cost per person should lie in the range of 200 to 400 rupees.
5. The location of the bakery should be around Financial district or Hitech city.
6. To reach most of the younger and newer generation bakery customers, the need to use various social media platforms for promotions, such as Instagram, Facebook, Twitter and WhatsApp are inevitable. Other important platforms for promoting business are Zomato, Swiggy, Dunzo, Dineout, Little App, to name a few.


## Conclusion

Hyderabad already has a plethora of bakeries and cafes and so it offers a very tough competition to the new bakers. But with right research, marketing techniques and other strategies, one can use this to their advantage. As established earlier, Nanakramguda and Financial district are key locations for opening a new bakery. Given the covid-19 situation, it is ideal to open a bakery offering home delivery options to reduce costs and limit risks.

For the product catalogue, it can be observed a very strong preference for cakes and pastries, so having a wide variety of those can be helpful in letting the bakery stand out from others. The product catalogue must also contain varieties of pies and tarts, part from offering savory goods to relieve the consumers of all the sugar taste. Offering tea and coffee or other beverages, such as milkshakes or mocktails can be very inexpensive ways of adding new products to catalogue.

To stay relevant in these tough times, one must employ the usage of social media platforms and food
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## Search Engines

1. Google
2. Yahoo
3. MSN
4. Bing

## Social Media Apps Used

1. Zomato
2. Google
3. Swiggy
4. Dunzo
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| Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rat } \\ & \text { ing } \end{aligned}$ | Primary <br> Location | User <br> Vote <br> Count | Cost <br> for <br> Two | No. of Branches | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Typ } \\ & \text { e } \end{aligned}$ | Baked Goods Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concu | 4.9 | Jubilee <br> Hills | 2804 | 1000 | 2 | Café | Pastries |
| Churrolto | 4.9 | Filmnagar | 2144 | 1200 | 0 | Café | Pastries |
| Huber\&Holly | 4.4 | Banjara <br> Hills | 1594 | 700 | 0 | Café | Pastries |
| Van Lavino | 4.6 | Jubliee Hills | 1362 | 1200 | 0 | Café | Pastries |
| Stikstof | 4.9 | Madhapu r | 1506 | 500 | 4 | Café | Pastries |
| Ci Gusta | 4.6 | Madhapu r | 1455 | 1400 | 0 | Café | Ice-cream |
| Eclaire | 4.3 | Banjara Hills | 715 | 600 | 0 | Café | Ice-cream |
| Euphoria | 4.6 | Sainikpuri | 2807 | 500 | 10 | Café | Pastries |
| Naturals | 4.9 | Jubliee Hills | 4683 | 200 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deli } \\ & \text { very } \end{aligned}$ | Cakes |
| Pista House | 4.4 | Nampally | 1743 | 250 | 10 | OTC | Ice-cream |
| Feu | 4.3 | Jubliee Hills | 424 | 110 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Feranoz | 4.4 | Banjara <br> Hills | 394 | 600 | 0 | Café | Pastries |
| Creamstone | 4.6 | Jubliee <br> Hills | 1776 | 350 | 21 | Café | Pastries |
| Delhi9 | 4.4 | Gachibow <br> li | 1557 | 700 | 0 | OTC | Ice-cream |
| Karachi Bakery | 4.7 | Banjara Hills | 1857 | 450 | 23 | Café | Pastries |
| Icekraft | 3.9 | Banjara <br> Hills | 569 | 300 | 1 | OTC | Cakes |


| Eclat | 4.1 | Gachibow li | 775 | 700 | 0 | Café | Ice-cream |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Little Things | 4.2 | Banjara Hills | 355 | 800 | 9 | Café | Bread |
| Nimrah | 4.9 | Charmina $r$ | 1294 | 250 | 1 | Café | Pastries |
| Subhan Bakery | 4.4 | Nampally | 2199 | 150 | 0 | Café | Cakes |
| Famous Ice Cream | 4.4 | Nampally | 1104 | 150 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Corner Bakers | 4.3 | Kothapet | 851 | 500 | 2 | OTC | Ice-cream |
| Terrasesen Café | 4.3 | Banjara Hills | 359 | 800 | 0 | Café | Cakes |
| Ks Bakers | 4.4 | Uppal | 1841 | 300 | 12 | Café | Pastries |
| Café Niloufer | 4.6 | Lakdikap ul | 1054 | 150 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| King And <br> Cardinal Bakery | 4.6 | Himayath <br> Nagar | 1518 | 350 | 0 | Café | Cakes |
| Gourmet <br> Bakalava | 4.5 | Banjara Hills | 617 | 500 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Frozen Desserts | 4.2 | Hitech | 318 | 400 | 1 | OTC | Pastries |
| Sahara Bakers | 4 | Nalakunt <br> a | 627 | 200 | 0 | Café | Pastries |
| Velvet Patisserie | 4.1 | Banjara Hills | 184 | 250 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Vac's Pasteries | 4.4 | Jubliee Hills | 1292 | 400 | 1 | Café | Pastries |
| Labonel | 4.5 | Gachibow li | 649 | 1000 | 2 | Café | Pastries |
| Indulge Ice Cream | 4.4 | Banjara Hills | 442 | 250 | 3 | OTC | Cakes |
| Old Madras <br> Baking Company | 4.1 | Gachibow li | 559 | 700 | 1 | OTC | Ice-cream |


| Dunkin' Donuts | 3.7 | Banjara Hills | 625 | 550 | 1 | Café | Bread |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bakers Q | 4 | Amberpe <br> t | 480 | 400 | 0 | OTC | Desserts |
| Ghrelin Café And Patisserie | 4.1 | Basheer <br> Bagh | 251 | 650 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Baba Bakery | 4 | Falaknum a | 462 | 150 | 0 | Café | Pastries |
| Oh So Stoned | 4.3 | Banjara Hills | 847 | 400 | 5 | OTC | Cakes |
| Ocean Of Bakes | 4.2 | Nizampet | 522 | 400 | 0 | OTC | Ice-cream |
|  <br> Patisserie | 4 | Filmnagar | 333 | 650 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| The Belgian Waffle Co. | 4.2 | Begumpe <br> t | 359 | 350 | 8 | Café | Pastries |
| Tipsy Topsy Bakers | 4.1 | Dilsukh Nagar | 527 | 400 | 15 | Café | Desserts |
| Tempteys | 4.3 | Gachibow li | 391 | 350 | 14 | OTC | Cakes |
| The Willow Bake Shop | 4.1 | Banjara Hills | 175 | 500 | 0 | OTC | Ice-cream |
| Guilt Trip | 4.5 | Somajigu da | 538 | 800 | 1 | Café | Pastries |
| Sanjos Donuts | 4.4 | Somajigu da | 586 | 400 | 10 | Café | Pastries |
| London Bubble Co. | 4.1 | Jubliee Hills | 287 | 400 | 4 | Café | Desserts |
| 5th Avenue Bakers | 4.2 | Sainikpuri | 710 | 400 | 0 | Café | Pastries |
| Gelatissimo | 4.1 | Jubliee Hills | 223 | 350 | 3 | Café | Desserts |
| Flavoroso | 4.1 | Gandipet | 171 | 300 | 0 | OTC | Ice-cream |


| Tri-La Patisserie | 4.3 | Bowenpal ly | 582 | 500 | 3 | OTC | Cakes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stop N Go <br> Bakers | 4.1 | Begumpe <br> t | 370 | 450 | 0 | Café | Pastries |
| Amul | 4.3 | Gachibow li | 385 | 150 | 2 | OTC | Cakes |
| Cake Zone | 4.1 | Madhapu <br> r | 2971 | 200 | 2 | OTC | Ice-cream |
| Almond House | 4.3 | Himayath <br> Nagar | 486 | 300 | 5 | OTC | Cakes |
| Eskimos | 4 | Gandipet | 268 | 400 | 1 | OTC | Desserts |
| Haagen Dasz | 3.7 | Jubliee Hills | 152 | 800 | 1 | OTC | Desserts |
| Piccolo Gelato | 4.1 | Kondapur | 176 | 250 | 0 | OTC | Desserts |
| The Chocolate Room | 4 | Somajigu da | 424 | 600 | 7 | OTC | Ice-cream |
| Le Café Novotel | 3.9 | Hitech | 238 | 1000 | 0 | Café | Desserts |
| Brown Bear | 3.8 | Himayath <br> Nagar | 234 | 500 | 9 | Café | Pastries |
| Frozen Bottle | 4.1 | Gachibow li | 265 | 300 | 12 | OTC | Cakes |
| Cake Bank | 4.1 | Himayath <br> Nagar | 362 | 200 | 0 | OTC | Milkshakes |
| Finom | 4 | Panjagutt <br> a | 92 | 800 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Cream <br> Chemistry | 4 | Secunder abad | 230 | 400 | 6 | Café | Pastries |
| Bonito | 3.2 | Jubliee Hills | 73 | 600 | 0 | Café | Desserts |
| Cake Exotica | 3.8 | Ameerpet | 199 | 200 | 2 | Café | Pastries |
| Paradise Bakery | 4 | Secunder abad | 354 | 200 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |


| Buona Dolce | 4 | Jubliee Hills | 153 | 300 | 1 | OTC | Cakes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morine Bakery | 4.1 | Mehdipat nam | 344 | 150 | 0 | Café | Desserts |
| Oven Secretz | 3.7 | Mehdipat nam | 212 | 300 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Roma's Bake Shop | 4.1 | Bowenpal ly | 94 | 500 | 0 | OTC | Desserts |
| The London Shakes | 3.9 | Kothapet | 236 | 500 | 3 | OTC | Cakes |
| Swiss Castle | 4 | Banjara Hills | 174 | 200 | 12 | Café | Milkshakes |
| Country Oven | 3.8 | Ameerpet | 274 | 500 | 6 | OTC | Cakes |
| Café Coffee Day | 3.8 | Himayath <br> Nagar | 211 | 600 | 56 | OTC | Cakes |
| Thinespo <br> Dessert Shack | 3.8 | Kompally | 144 | 400 | 0 | Café | Desserts |
| Mycreamchip | 3.8 | Kondapur | 146 | 200 | 1 | Café | Desserts |
| Dark Stone | 4 | Kukatpall <br> y | 185 | 350 | 5 | OTC | Desserts |
| Cupcake House | 4 | Maredpal ly | 230 | 150 | 0 | Café | Desserts |
| Café Cupz | 3.7 | Kukatpall <br> y | 85 | 600 | 0 | OTC | Desserts |
| Temptations | 3.9 | Kompally | 195 | 500 | 6 | Café | Desserts |
| Keventers | 3.5 | Banjara Hills | 137 | 400 | 10 | OTC | Desserts |
| Whatta Waffle | 4 | Banjara Hills | 112 | 300 | 1 | OTC | Milkshakes |
| Coffe N Cakes | 3.2 | Sainikpuri | 109 | 400 | 0 | Café | Desserts |
| Daily Treats - <br> The Westin | 3.7 | Hitech | 148 | 2000 | 0 | Café | Desserts |


| Cakesclub.Com | 4.3 | Gachibow li | 3837 | 400 | 3 | Café | Pastries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZUCI Chocolates | 4 | Jubliee Hills | 111 | 650 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Quik Cakes | 4 | Madhapu r | 2684 | 500 | 1 | Café | Desserts |
| Sweet Truth | 3.9 | Gachibow li | 1862 | 300 | 18 | OTC | Cakes |
| 7th Heaven | 3.5 | Ameerpet | 116 | 300 | 1 | OTC | Pastries |
| Jarlie Desserts | 3.9 | Gachibow li | 206 | 300 | 0 | OTC | Desserts |
| Fabelle | 4 | Gachibow li | 68 | 700 | 0 | OTC | Desserts |
| Cake L'amore | 3.9 | Kondapur | 1538 | 250 | 2 | Café | Pastries |
| Café Aura | 4.6 | Jubliee Hills | 1899 | 1600 | 0 | Deli very | Cakes |
| The Thickshake Factory | 4.2 | Kukatpall y | 671 | 300 | 50 | Café | Pastries |
| The Makers Of Milkshakes | 4.2 | Madhapu $r$ | 377 | 400 | 56 | OTC | Milkshakes |
| Puff House Bakery | 4 | Hitech | 137 | 400 | 0 | OTC | Milkshakes |
| Ohri's Cake Shop | 3.6 | Hitech | 441 | 450 | 6 | Café | Desserts |
| Here's What's Cookin' | 4.5 | Madhapu r | 1251 | 750 | 0 | OTC | Cakes |
| Cakelush | 3.6 | Madhapu $r$ | 257 | 400 | 1 | Café | Desserts |



## Market research and analysis of opening a new bakery in Hyderabad

1. What age group do you belong to?

Mark only one oval.
2. What is your gender?

Mark only one oval.FemaleMaleOther: $\qquad$
3. What is your occupation?
4. If employed, what range does your annual income fall in?

Mark only one oval.below 5L5L-10 L10L-20LAbove 20L

Mark only one oval.Everydayonce a week2-3 times a weekonce a monthOnly for special occasions such as holidays, birthdays, guests visiting
Never
6. What time of the day do you prefer visiting bakery cafe?

Mark only one oval.Morning
AfternoonEvening or Late NightNo preference
9. What would be the most likely reason for you to visit any bakery cafe?
7. How much time do you typically spend in a bakery cafe?

Mark only one oval.Grab and GoFinish food and leaveSit and EnjoyAny of the above depending on the place
8. Who are you most likely to visit the bakery cafe with?

10. What types of baked goods would you be interested in purchasing?

Mark only one oval.Cakes and pastriesBreadsIce-creamPies and Tarts
11. What type would your ideal bakery be?

## Mark only one oval.

Counter ServiceCafeHome Delivery12. How much is your typical cost per person (INR) during your regular visit to a bakery?

Mark only one oval.
$\square<=300$300-500500-1000Above 1000
13. Would opening a new bakery shop in your proximity increase the frequency of your visis??

Mark only one oval.YesNo

14 kind of bread do you usually buy?
Mar only one oval.Commercially available Branded breadfresh baked Unbranded breadDo not consume bread
15. Do you follow any special diet?

Check all that apply.

## Vegan

Gluten-freeDiabetic (Sugar-free)None16. Would you be willing to pay higher prices for better-quality or organic products? (Yes/No)

17. Would you prefer eating healthy alternatives to traditional sugar rich pastries? (Yes/No)

18. What is the most important factor that attracts you to any bakery cafe?

Check all that apply.AffordabilityQualityServiceAmbienceLocationParking

19
products would you definitely like to see at a bakery?
Chec all that apply.Cakes and pastriesPies, tarts and other dessertsFresh Baked BreadCookies
Other: $\square$ $\longrightarrow$
20. What else would you like offered at a bakery cafe?

Check all that apply.
Coffee, tea and other drinks
Sandwiches, Burgers and PizzasBaked Savory goods
Other: $\qquad$
21. What's your favorite bakery of the following in Hyderabad?

Mark only one oval.Karachi BakeryLabonelConcuChurroltoKS BakersOther: $\qquad$
22. State the reason for the above being your favorite bakery.
else would you like to see the most in a bakery cafe?
Mar only one oval.Live MusicBoard GamesBooks and MagazinesLive Sports screeningChild-friendly seatingOther:

24. Are you aware of food Quality Certification?



[^0]:    Area
    EBanjara_Hills
    Eachibowli

    - Jubilee_Hills

    Himayath_Nagar
    ■Madhapur
    Ameerpet
    EHitech_City
    Kondapur
    Kukatpally
    E Nampally
    Nampally
    Sainikpuri
    Begumpet
    Begumpet
    Bowenpally
    Film_Naga
    Gandipet
    Kompally
    Kothapet

    - Secunderabad
    - Amberpet

    Basheer_Bagh
    믐 Charminar
    Dilsukhnagar
    Falaknuma
    ELakdi_Ka_Pul
    Marredpally
    Nalakunta

[^1]:    Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

[^2]:    Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

[^3]:    Source: Data has been collected from the market survey carried during 2019-2021

[^4]:    Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

[^5]:    Source: Data has been collected from the consumer survey carried during 2019-2021

