



## On GPR-Compactness in topological spaces

*\*<sup>1</sup>Dattatreya Hegde and \*<sup>2</sup> Mahesh Bhat*

*\*Assistant professor Department of Mathematics, G.F.G.C.Sirsi ,Karnataka ,India -581401*

*\*\*Assistant professor Department of Mathematics,S.D.M.College , Honnavar ,Karnataka ,India -581334*

*Abstract: The purpose of this paper to introduce a new class of continuous functions like GPR-compactness functions and study some of their properties.*

**Key words:** GPR-continuity, gpr-continuity, gpr-continuous, ect.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Maki et al [5,6] defined  $\alpha$ -generalized closed sets and the notion of generalized preclosed set (briefly gp closed) sets in topological which are weaker forms of closed it is observed that every  $\alpha$ g-closed set is gp-closed [2] first defined a new closed set using regular-open set known as generalized pre regular closed. Palaniappan and Rao [8] defined the notion of regular generalized (briefly rg-closed) Gnanambal closed set (briefly gpr-closed). In this chapter we study the properties of gpr-closed sets and gpr-continuous functions

### II PRELIMINARIES :

Throughout this dissertation work  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma)$  and  $(Z, \eta)$  represent non-empty topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated, and they are simply written X, Y and Z respectively. For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , the closure of A, the interior of A with respect to  $\tau$  are denoted by  $\text{cl}(A)$  and  $\text{int}(A)$  respectively. The complement of A is denoted by  $A^c$ . The  $\alpha$ -closure of A is the smallest  $\alpha$ -closed set containing A and this is denoted by  $\alpha\text{cl}(A)$ .

**Definition :** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a

1. semi-open set [3] if  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$  and semi-closed set if  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$ .
2. pre-open set [4] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$  and pre-closed set if  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq A$ .
3.  $\alpha$ -open set [7] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$  and  $\alpha$ -closed set if  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq A$ .
4. semi pre open set [1] if  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))$  and semi pre closed [1] if  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A))) \subseteq A$ .
5. regular open set [9] if  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$  and a regular closed set if  $A = \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$ .

### III GPR-Compactness in topological spaces.

In this section we study the concept of GPR-compactness and GPR-connectedness using gpr-open sets and studied some of their characterizations.

**Definition 3.1:** A collection  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of gpr-open sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a gpr - open cover of a subset  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$  if  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  holds.

**Definition 3.2 :** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-compact if every gpr-open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover.

**Definition 3.3 :** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be GPR -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , if for every collection  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of gpr-open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  there exists a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} A_i$ .

**Definition 3.4 :** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called GPR-compact if  $A$  is GPR-compact of the subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.5 :** A gpr -closed subset of a GPR-compact space  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be gpr-closed subset of a GPR-compact space  $X$ . Then  $X - A$  is gpr-open. Let  $\Omega$  be a gpr-open cover for  $A$ . Then  $\{\Omega, X - A\}$  is a gpr - open cover for  $X$ . Since  $X$  is GPR-compact, it has a finite subcover, say,  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\} = \Omega_1$ . If  $X - A \notin \Omega_1$ , then  $\Omega_1$  is a finite subcover of  $A$ . If  $X - A \in \Omega_1$ , then  $\Omega_1 - (X - A)$  is a sub cover of  $A$ . Thus  $A$  is a GPR -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.6:** The image of a GPR-compact space under GPR-continuous map is compact.

**Proof:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be gpr-continuous map from a GPR-compact space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \mu)$ . Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an open cover of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f$  is gpr -continuous,  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  is an gpr-open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-compact, the  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  has a finite subcover say  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)$ , which implies  $f(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ , this implies  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  that is,  $\{A_1, A_2 \dots A_n\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(Y, \mu)$ . Hence  $(Y, \mu)$  is compact.

**Theorem 3.7 :** If a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is gpr-irresolute and a subset  $S$  of  $X$  is GPR-compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , then the image  $f(S)$  is GPR-compact relative to  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of gpr-open sets in  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $f(S) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ . Then  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i)$ , where  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  is gpr-open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $S$  is GPR-compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , there exist finite subcollections  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  such that  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)$ . That is  $f(S) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Hence  $f(S)$  is GPR-compact relative to  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Definition 3.8 :** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be GPR-connected if  $(X, \tau)$  cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty gpr-open sets.

A subset of  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected if it is GPR-connected as a subspace.

**Theorem 3.9 :** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following are equivalent

- (i)  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected.
- (ii) The only subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  which are both gpr-open and gpr-closed are the empty set  $\phi$  and  $X$ .
- (iii) Each gpr-continuous map of  $(X, \tau)$  into a discrete space  $(Y, \mu)$  with at least two points is a constant map.

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $G$  be an gpr-open and a gpr-closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X - G$  is also both gpr-open and gpr-closed. Then  $X = G \cup (X - G)$ , a disjoint union of two non-empty gpr-open sets which contradicts to the fact that  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected. Hence  $G = \phi$  or  $X$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that  $X = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint non-empty gpr-open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $A = X - B$  then  $A$  is both gpr-closed and gpr-open. By assumption  $A = \phi$  or  $X$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \mu)$  be a gpr-continuous map, where  $(Y, \mu)$  is discrete space with at least two points. Then  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$  is gpr-closed and gpr-open for each  $y \in Y$ . That is  $(X, \tau)$  is covered by gpr-closed and gpr-open covering  $\{f^{-1}(\{y\}) : y \in Y\}$ . By assumption,  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \phi$  or  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . If  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \phi$  for each  $y \in Y$ , then  $f$  fails to be a map. Therefore there exist at least one point say  $f^{-1}(\{y_1\}) \neq \phi$ ,  $y_1 \in Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(\{y_1\}) = X$ . This shows that  $f$  is a constant map.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $G$  be both gpr-closed and gpr-open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Suppose  $G \neq \phi$ . Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \mu)$  is gpr-continuous map defined by  $f(G) = \{a\}$  and  $f(X - G) = \{b\}$  where  $a \neq b$  and  $a, b \in Y$ . By assumption,  $f$  is constant and so  $G = X$ .

**THEOREM 3.10 :** EVERY GPR-CONNECTED SPACE IS CONNECTED.

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an GPR-connected space. Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is not connected. Then  $X = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint non-empty open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are gpr-open disjoint sets and  $X = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint non-empty gpr-open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . This contradicts to the fact that  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected and so  $(X, \tau)$  is connected.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.11 :** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is not GPR-connected space but it is connected space because every subset of  $X$  is gpr-open. The only clopen sets of  $X$  are  $\phi, X$ . Therefore  $X$  is connected.

**Theorem 3.12 :** If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is gpr-continuous surjection and  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is connected.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is not connected. Let  $Y = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint non-empty open subsets in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f$  is gpr-continuous,  $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$  where  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $f^{-1}(B)$  are disjoint non-empty gpr-open subsets in  $(X, \tau)$ . This contradicts to the fact that  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected. Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$  is connected.

**Theorem 3.13 :** If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is gpr-irresolute surjection and  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is GPR-connected.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is not GPR-connected. Let  $Y = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint non-empty gpr-open subsets in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f$  is gpr-continuous and surjection,  $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$  where  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $f^{-1}(B)$  are disjoint non-empty gpr-open subsets in  $(X, \tau)$ . This contradicts to the fact that  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-connected. Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$  is GPR-connected.

#### IV REFERENCES

- [1] **D. Andrijevic**, Semi-pre-open sets, Mat. Vesnik, 38(1) (1986), 24- 32.
- [2] **Y. Gnanambal** On generalized pre-regular closed sets in topological spaces, Indian. J. Pure appl. Math., 28(3) (1997), 351-360.
- [3] **N. Levine**, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [4] **A. S. Mash hour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. EL-Deeb**, On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings, Proc. Math and Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53 (1982), 47-53.
- [5] **H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran**, Associated topologies of generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets and  $\alpha$ -generalized closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 15(1994), 51-63.
- [6] **H. Maki, J. Umehara and T. Noiri**, Every topological space is pre- $T_{1/2}$ , Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 17(1996), 33-42.
- [7] **O. Njastad**, on some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific. J. Math., 15(1965), 961-970.
- [8] **M. Palaniappan and K. C. Rao**, Regular generalized closed sets, Kyungpook Math. J., 33(2) (1993), 211-219.
- [9] **N. V. Velicko**, H-closed topological spaces, Mat. Sab. (Russian) (N.S), 70(112)(1966),98-112.