



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

CORPORATE CRIMES: PRESENT LOCAL & GLOBAL SCENARIO

Kishan Tiwari, Priyanshi Chaturvedi
LLM. Amity University, Noida (U.P.) INDIA

Abstract - Corporate associations all things considered, over the world are adequately defenseless to lawful offense at any period. Various endeavors are complicit in ignoring human rights and condition. As the open market continues pushing forward the overall economy holding Organizations at risk for their out of line rehearses become inconvenient. Amazingly Companies are working more tenaciously than at some other time to cover bad behaviors instead of stopping them. For any state or country stable economy is significant. Corporate gives adjusted economy, they help any state or country for remarkable future, it brings work, framework in any Country. In 21st century the corporate marvel is significance.

Index Terms – Corporate Crimes, Corporate Frauds, Scandals, Legislative Framework, Companies Act 2013, International Laws

Introduction

Corporate crimes are crimes committed in the course of otherwise legitimate working procedures in respectable organizations'. Although corporate crimes can cause many forms of physical, moral and financial damage, in practice the administration of criminal justice is rather lenient. Hundreds of companies routinely commit crimes that injure the public much more than street crimes in many ways: economically, socially, physically and environmentally. Yet corporate crimes are generally dealt with by civil and administrative law, with penalties such as fines but not prison. In environmental law, e.g., many industries control themselves. The law is applied differently for different people.

White collar crimes are committed by individuals for themselves in the course of their occupations for personal gain. It is committed without the knowledge. The most common white collar crime is when an employee steals from the employer or who cheats customers and pockets the difference. Corporate crime is rather a broad concept and it refers to different types of frauds and abuses related to business. The concept of corporate crime refers to acts committed to harm or benefit a company and includes criminal acts ranging from different types of frauds, misuse of assets, corruption, money laundering, tax evasion, forgeries, to fraudulent financial reporting. Corporate crime refers to acts perpetrated by individuals or companies which enable them to obtain certain benefits which they would not be able to obtain otherwise in regular business circumstances. Therefore, companies resort to different types of corporate crime in order to reach their goals, or to enable individuals who are creators of such acts & who are involved in them to reach their aims.

Corporate crime is an activity carried out on “behalf of and for the benefits of the company” by the individuals who in that way promote their personal interest, so in that situation the company interests and individual interests are integrated. However, when personal interests overpower the company interest and when individuals strive to fulfil only their personal interest which may cause damage to the company, so in that situation the company is seen as a victim and the damaged party. Therefore, corporate crime acts are a creation of individual or a group of people who can use their professional competencies to reach the goals of the company, hereby they appear as preparators and beneficiaries of the fraud. However, we should not neglect the fact that persons who are creators and preparators can equally use their abilities to harm the company and for their personal benefits. When a Corporation is held criminally liable it not only affects the business of corporation but also the individuals in the corporation who are engaged in criminal conduct it may make them suffer criminally and financially. However, in case of punishment to be imposed on corporation it has been suggested that fine should be imposed rather than imprisonment.

A Corporation is a separate legal entity, which is established through some process of registration and legislation. Apart from share holders, even corporation has separate rights and liabilities. There are some corporations, who have facilities and assets in other countries from that of their own country. These corporations are known as Multi – national Corporations. These multinational corporations play a vital role in the human life day to day. Over years this has gained so much power that it has even been compared to the entire nations. Therefore, it is of paramount importance to impose some kind of accountability and control over these corporations. The Doctrine of Corporate Criminal Liability is one essential superior doctrine, imported into criminal law from tort law. According to this doctrine, a Corporation is liable and can be convicted for any of the unlawful acts of its agents, provided, agents act within the scope of their actual and apparent authority. The authority presumed by the agents to have by an average reasonable person is the apparent authority, and on the other hand, the authority which the corporation itself assigns to its agents is the actual

authority. In case, if any, coherent kinship is being established between the criminal conduct of the agent and the corporate, then the corporation is held criminally liable for the employee's conduct. "A company can only act through human beings and a human being who commits an offence on account of or for the benefit of a company will be responsible for that offence himself. The importance of incorporation is that it makes the company itself liable in certain circumstances, as well as the human beings" – this is proposed by Glanville Williams. Corporations to have their own entity, that is, separate legal entity from their members is very much sufficient to hold them liable.

Criminal liability means legally accountable or responsible to the society for any criminal act done, enforceable by punishment. Similarly, corporate criminal liability is the doctrine under which a corporate is liable for the act done by it, that is, its agents. It defines the extent to which it can be made liable for its acts or omissions. The expansion of corporate sector through globalisation, information and technological development has led to the development of doctrine of Corporate Criminal Liability. Once there was a time when there was no criminal liabilities over companies, but today the world has come to a situation where corporates are held liable for their crimes. The Criminal liability of the Corporation is decided on the basis of the extent to which it can be held responsible for the wrongs of its employees or agents. Nowadays, Corporations have become an intrinsic part of the society. Further, with various development they have gained significant role in our economy, which means there is a threat to the society of being victimized by these corporations and therefore they should be deterred. Imposition of punishment is only for the offence under criminal but deterrence is the principle applied for economic entities like corporations.

PRESENT LOCAL & GLOBAL SCENARIO OF CORPORATE CRIME

All the while organization has their Social obligation similarly towards the network, state and countries. For any state or Country Corporate huge businesses are important then Corporate ought not overlook that if the Customer isn't there in advertise than their item is useless. Presently a days in news paper we see at least one Corporate Scandal including legislators additionally by submitting misrepresentation bogus explanation. Condition wrongdoing, etc, the rundown isn't reached a conclusion. By taking the cash of middleclass social orders they are gotten tycoon. Numerous businesses for the purpose for benefits by giving minimal effort of item to the costumer misdirection the client's confidence. In perilous businesses not follows' the standards of Security and the poor specialist lost their life additionally some time. Everything goes under the top of corporate wrongdoing the individual ought to be rebuffed.

The present scenario of Corporate Crime is very worst. To show the scenario of local and global the researcher put present some scam or scandal of Corporate.

I. Legislative framework in the world to deal with corporate crime

The current day criminal law gives exact meanings of wrongdoing, along with suitable discipline for that wrongdoing. Till the occasions the world saw the customary arrangement of bad behaviors, the standards of criminal law were sufficient to manage crimes of the transgressor in the general public. The lawful frameworks world over have perceived that companies as such can be held at risk for wrongdoing and the lawful framework are reclassifying the ideas and hypotheses as for wrongdoing and guiltiness of the organizations.

Still the penological standards are lingering behind to enough react to the requirement for fitting assents for corporate guilt's.

As per normal procedure the criminal law isn't worried till the corporate adhered to their typical business inside the administrative limits. In the event of infringement, the guidelines and endorsed punishments are sufficient to deal with circumstances. Maybe it has required some investment to appreciate that corporate can be liable of wrongdoing in the exacting sense and should be rebuffed to ensure the bigger enthusiasm of the general public and the State. Further what way or mode is required to decide the disciplines which could be fitting and defended according to existing penological standards. Indeed, even there could be having to grow new standards and arrangements for corporate discipline.ⁱ

In 1976, the Netherlands got one of the principal Western European nations to receive enactment authorizing complete corporate criminal obligation. The enactment made companies subject for all offenses. The 1976 enactment additionally shed the prerequisite that obligation be predicated on the activities of characteristic people following up for the partnership's benefit, which was a necessity of the past existing law. Obligation might be predicated on lacking dynamic structures inside the partnership or on the total information on different people. It was distinctly in late 2003, that Switzerland forced criminal risk on organizations, in the wake of having recently dismissed such obligation on numerous occasions for doctrinal reasons. Swiss criminal risk depends on the idea of 'auxiliary obligation', for example a partnership can be held subject for offenses submitted for its benefit just if shortcoming can't be credited to a particular individual 'in light of a sloppiness inside the venture.' The offense must be 'in advancement of a business action steady with the end goal of the endeavor,' a prerequisite which without a doubt should be characterized by the courts. Criminal fines can go up to 5 million Swiss francs.ⁱⁱ

Germany rehearses a kind of regulatory approval to degenerate enterprises and doesn't perceive criminal obligation of partnerships. The Canadian Federal Criminal Codeⁱⁱⁱ was corrected as far back as in 1909 whereby a fine could be fill in for a sentence of detainment, made the corporate criminal risk conceivable. The European Council in 1988 made a proposal to the part states to do fundamental alterations in their separate criminal resolutions to guarantee corporate risk. While, the United Kingdom follows the adjust personality or recognizable proof way to deal with fix corporate obligation in criminal cases.

The situation of law with respect to the equivalent in U.S. is that the discipline of corporate wrongdoing depends on the tenet of 'Respondent Superior', whereby specialist's direct is ascribed to the organization. An organization might be held criminally obligated for the demonstrations, exclusions, or disappointments of an operator acting inside the extent of his employment.^{iv} The idea of spiritual lawful elements requires courts look to workers of the partnership as a methods for ascribing expectation, or mens rea,^v just as the

liable demonstration, or actus reus,^{vi} to the company. Courts hold an enterprise vicariously at risk for the demonstrations of its representatives if the individual: (I) acted inside the extension and nature of his employment;^{vii} (ii) acted, at any rate partially, to profit the organization;^{viii} and (iii) the demonstration and aim can be ascribed to the company.^{ix} In US an organization might be rebuffed by fine or seizure of its property which can be imposed by an execution request gave by the court. The way that the punishment accommodated the infringement of a resolution is a fine or detainment, or both in the carefulness of the court, doesn't render it inapplicable to an organization, and a similar principle applies where the rule making the offense accommodates detainment if the fine forced not paid. Now and again, a resolution giving that the punishment to a specific wrongdoing is detainment might be perused related to a general rule permitting the inconvenience of a fine, and the fine might be forced on the company in lieu of detainment.

In India if there should arise an occurrence of commission of wrongdoing, an organization might be rebuffed by fine, in light of the fact that the main discipline that can be forced on an enterprise for a criminal wrong is a fine or relinquishment of its assets which can be forced by an implementation gave by the court. An organization can nor be detained nor arraigned, for any offense which is rebuffed with the death penalty or detainment. Be that as it may, the way that the punishment accommodated the infringement of a rule is a fine or detainment, or both in the carefulness of the court, doesn't render it inapplicable to an organization, and a similar principle applies where the rule making the offense accommodates detainment if the fine forced not paid. According to the statute advanced till at that point, under the current Indian law it is hard to force fine in lieu of detainment however organization is remembered for the meaning of individual under Indian Penal Code. It is additionally beneficial to make reference to that our Parliament has likewise comprehended this issue and proposed to correct the IPC in such manner by including fine as an other to detainment where companies are engaged with 1972. Be that as it may, the Bill was not passed yet slipped by. Such a crucial change in the criminal law is an administrative capacity and consequently the Parliament ought to perform it at the earliest opportunity by likewise considering the accompanying contentions that the creator has realized. In India, certain resolutions like the Indian Penal Code talk about sorts of disciplines that can be forced upon the convict and according to Section 53 incorporate passing, life detainment, thorough and straightforward detainment, relinquishment of property and fine. In specific cases the segments talk just of detainment as a discipline like if there should be an occurrence of offense under Section 420.^x

II. Corporate Crime at Globe

There is corporate wrongdoing in a wide range of industry and business association.^{xi} There have been incalculable occurrences of corporate wrongdoing all through the world particularly in the created world. The insights of corporate wrongdoing is shocking with its enormous impact which compromises rule of law and great administration. However, formally recorded corporate wrongdoing speaks to just the tip of a huge icy mass.^{xii} Partnerships with its huge force have outperformed the administration and the state. These establishments are presently essentially constrained by the corporate hands. Everything is shown adhering to the standard set to the partnerships. Indeed, even the human personalities are directed along these lines. A particular and vital attribute of corporate lawbreakers is their capacity to carry out wrongdoings over the constraints of national outskirts.^{xiii}

In the United States, certain business exercises have been viewed as unlawful since the start of the nineteenth century. Tricky promoting, limitation of exchange, bank extortion, defective assembling of risky items, fake protections deals, patent infringement, and ecological contamination are models. The first of numerous administrative laws passed by the United States central government was the Sherman Antitrust Act of 1890. This demonstration was planned to forestall value fixing and the development of restraining infrastructures. The policing of corporate infringement essentially happens by government and state administrative organizations. A few instances of such organizations are the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Administrative organizations have various authorizations that are utilized to implement their laws. These incorporate admonitions, reviews, orders, directives, money related punishments, and criminal punishments.

Universal outrages related with American-based global companies drove the U.S. Congress to pass the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (1977), which disallows the installment of pay-offs so as to get business contracts. In 1996 the United States and 26 different nations consented to ban pay off. Such pay off of open authorities had spoiled worldwide business, in this manner bargaining reasonable exchange and prompting defilement of open office.^{xiv}

Bangladesh, being a creating nation, is viewed as a place of refuge for corporate wrongdoing. There have been controls of the financial exchange in the ongoing past in Bangladesh. Little speculators have been plundered by the syndicate. Some of the time the corporate workers pay off the administration specialists to get administrations and to build up their business in a specific region. Some of the time pay-offs are given numerous delicate names like hadia, blessing, kharach pati, bokhshish and so on as though those are not violations. Benefits mongering corporate individuals don't have any morals. In numerous perspectives now everything can be managed^{cc} by cash. It isn't hard for the rich individuals to dodge the law. Corporate wrongdoing in Bangladesh shows up as far reaching defilement. Also, the occasions of Rana Plaza and Tazreen Garments killed thousands which are certainly Corporate guiltiness influencing the own representatives. Analytical offices are not well prepared in information and methods to research corporate defilements and record cases. Investigators and the legitimate framework need comprehension of the complexities engaged with money related violations. Absence of expertise, interagency collaboration and inbuilt defilement inside the offices has brought about a virtual free rule for the culprits. By and large, social good and moral gauges have disintegrated to a level that such lawbreakers are taken a gander at with esteem. Some of the time they are dealt with like saints or sovereignty. There is no history of excellent disciplines up until this point.^{xv}

The most genuine corporate wrongdoing in Thailand is monetary and banking wrongdoing. In 1997, Thailand confronted a basic monetary emergency which made genuine harm the nation. Its effect was far more prominent than that of customary wrongdoings.^{xvi} Corporate wrongdoing in Thailand as a rule identifies with financial wrongdoing; in another words when an enterprise carries out a wrongdoing, it typically damages monetary laws.^{xvii} At present, there are different sorts of monetary wrongdoing happening in Thailand. Instances of financial wrongdoing are violations comparable to protected innovation, business, banking and account, condition and general wellbeing. Be that as it may, as per the measurements of the Royal Thai Police, financial wrongdoing in Thailand is for the most part wrongdoing corresponding to business and account and banking. It is said that from 1993 to 2003 the misfortune in capital markets and money related markets were 50,000 million baht. Out of this number, 40,000 million baht was lost in the monetary market. From 1992 to 2002, there were 46 cases recorded corresponding to banking and money related violations; 27 cases were documented under the Commercial Banking Act and 19 cases were recorded under the Act on the Undertaking of Finance Business. The aggregate sum of misfortune was 42,678.1 million baht.^{xviii}

The Shell case in Brazil is a case of criminal conduct of companies. In the seventies, the creation of aldrin, dieldrin and endrin by Shell Chemical Company was restricted in the US, when it was recognized that these organochlorine pesticides were cancer-causing and identified with DDT. In 1977, an auxiliary of Shell Chemical in Brasil, the "Shell Química do Brasil," began to deliver these equivalent pesticides - aldrin, dieldrin and endrin, three of the 12 POPs denounced by the Stockholm show - at the Recanto plant, in a local location of the city of Paulínia in the province of São Paulo. The offer of these farming poisons was restricted in Brazil in 1985, yet creation for send out proceeded until 1990. In 1993, when Shell was selling different of its joins to Cyanamid, an ecological examination was directed in the Recanto plant. The review detailed not just sully of the land and of the stream Atibaia yet additionally a toxicological test demonstrated that 80 percent of the inhabitants of the close by region introduced shifting degrees of interminable maladies as a result of the tainting of the territory. Shell faces a claim, yet at the same time has not been considered criminally dependable. The Thalidomide Scandal and the Ford Pinto case are infamous occasions of corporate wrongdoing against the shopper.

In France, while corporate criminal obligation existed, a legal plan that grants judicially- affirmed settlements with organizations in outside pay off cases was as of late authorized in Fall 2016. This Sapin II enactment calls for guideline of corporate consistence programs, the making of another French enemy of pay off organization to issue such guidelines, just as the appropriation of explicit arrangements in regards to legal audit and endorsement of conceded indictment understandings in criminal cases.^{xix} The French administrators unequivocally dismissed the proposition to receive a U.S.- style model in which conceded arraignment concurrences with partnerships could be entered to a great extent out of court. Rather, the Sapin II enactment embraces a model more along the lines of the U.K. approach in which legal survey is required, yet additionally with greater inclusion and guideline by a managerial office supervising hostile to defilement endeavors.

GLOBAL CASES OF CORPORATE FRAUDS AND ACCOUNTING FAILURE

Money related embarrassments have tormented noteworthy job in the public arena since before the Industrial Revolution. During the most recent couple of decades, there have been various monetary cheats and outrages, which were achievements with chronicled importance. For example, during the 1970s, the value financing outrage was revealed.

- **Waste Management Scandal (1998) US^{xx}:**

Waste Management Inc. is a traded on an open market US squander the executives organization. In 1998, the organization's new CEO, A Maurice Meyers, and his supervisory group found that the organization had announced over \$1.7 billion in counterfeit earnings. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) found the organization's proprietor and previous CEO, Dean L Buntrock, In certain occasions, Enron was the main provider of specific items, which left the entryway open to value control. The speculators in unique reason elements included Enron representatives who benefitted from the offer of gas holds. The substance of the basic exchanges including the different unique reason elements was significant however not sufficiently uncovered and in this way comprised deception and extortion.^{xxiii}

- **WorldCom Scandal 2002^{xxiv}:**

In 2002, the WorldCom embarrassment got one of the biggest bookkeeping fakes in history when the organization uncovered its bad behavior and was along these lines compelled to declare financial insolvency and discount \$50 billion in misfortunes. The outrage started when WorldCom CEO Bernie Ebbers utilized a business technique of accomplishing development through acquisitions. He gained MCI Communications and afterward proposed a merger with Sprint, yet had to relinquish the Sprint merger in 2000. Resolved to show expanded income regardless of a log jam in mergers and acquisitions, Ebbers controlled the books to fulfill Wall Street's desires. The plan was recognized when a capital consumptions review uncovered suspicious diary passages. WorldCom's inner review group found inappropriate bookkeeping in costs more than five quarters. The WorldCom bookkeeping embarrassment was a circumstance in which corporate administration fizzled and the top managerial staff were gotten uninformed. WorldCom's bookkeeping framework was flawed and Ebbers' cozy relationship with outer bookkeeping firm Arthur Andersen introduced an irreconcilable circumstance wherein the examiners couldn't practice proficient suspicion when playing out their reviews.^{xxv}

- **Tyco Scandal (2002)^{xxvi}**

Tyco International was an American blue-chip security frameworks organization based out of Princeton, New Jersey. In 2002, it was found that CEO, Dennis Kozlowski, and CFO, Mark Swartz, had taken over \$150 million from the organization and had inflated the organization's profit by over \$500 million in their reports. Kozlowski and Swartz had siphoned off cash utilizing unapproved credits and stock deals. The outrage was found when the SEC and the office of the District Attorney of Manhattan completed examinations identified with certain faulty bookkeeping rehearses by the organization. Kozlowski and Swartz were both condemned to 8 to 25 years in jail. A class-action suit constrained them to pay \$2.92 billion to financial specialists.

- **Lehman Brothers Scandal (2008)^{xxvii}**

Lehman Brothers was a worldwide financial administration firm based out of New York City, New York. It was one of the biggest speculation banks in the United States. During the 2008 financial emergency, it was found that the organization had covered up over \$50 billion in advances. These credits had been veiled as deals utilizing bookkeeping escape clauses. As indicated by a SEC examination, the organization had offered harmful resources for banks in the Cayman Islands on a transient premise. It was comprehended that Lehman Brothers would repurchase these benefits. This gave the feeling that the organization had \$50 billion more in real money and \$50 billion less in poisonous resources. In the result of the outrage, Lehman Brothers failed.^{xxviii}

- **Olympus^{xxix}**

The Olympus money related embarrassment detonated in late 2011 when then president and CEO Michael Woodford offered facts uncovering false bookkeeping rehearses in the association. Woodford had possibly filled in as CEO for about fourteen days when he uncovered the money related misbehavior. The extortion is one of the most critical corporate defilement outrages throughout the entire existence of Japan. In 2000, measures in Japan changed essentially after the disappointment of Yamaguchi Securities in 1997. The new bookkeeping gauges required misfortunes on specific resources for be noted toward the finish of each bookkeeping period. As opposed to agree to the norms and uncover mounting misfortunes, Olympus built a confounded arrangement of concealing its awful resources. The organization started offering terrible resources at over the top costs to recently made substances under its influence without perceiving misfortunes from the deals. The Olympus extortion shows that tone at the top issues. Woodford composed letters to the board about his interests and was accordingly terminated. This exemplified the organization's deceptive culture. C-level officials must act as indicated by the standards expected of workers at all levels and over the endeavor.

- **FIFA Scandal (2015)^{xxx}**

Prominent games are large business in numerous nations. Tragically for the International Federation of Association Football (FIFA), claimed defilement and tax evasion implies its large business worked with almost no oversight. The FIFA embarrassment included the

agreement between FIFA administrators, sports promoting officials and authorities of mainland football bodies. The outrage emitted in May 2015 when Swiss specialists attacked an inn in Zurich and a few FIFA officials were captured. The U.S. Division of Justice (DOJ) has referred to in excess of 40 respondents in the FIFA embarrassment. A few charges included offers for World Cups and for promoting and communicate bargains that added up to almost \$150 million. Future World Cups are presently being referred to — the outrage has caused the offering procedure for the 2026 World Cup to be suspended. Proposed changes have been made, yet the truth will surface eventually in an association that has truly managed pay off and defilement.^{xxxi}

- **Adelphia^{xxxii}**

John Rigas paid \$100 for a satellite TV establishment during 1952 and Adelphia was founded in 1966. It was run as a privately-owned company and developed consistently from 25 clients in 1952 to 6 000 clients in 1972. During 1986, Adelphia was recorded on the NASDAQ stock trade. The organization ventured into web and media communications during the 1990s. It utilized a blend of money, stock and obligation to finance the acquisitions. During 2002, Tim Rigas, the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), uncovered that John Rigas and other relatives, through different associations, owed the organization \$2.3 billion, which were not all revealed in the records of Adelphia in 1997. The United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") propelled an examination and Adelphia sought financial protection later in 2002. Van Peurse et al sorted a portion of the extortion exercises at Adelphia as follows :

- Debts were camouflaged in unconsolidated auxiliaries. The organization made invented reports as verification that obligations had been reimbursed
- Key execution markers, for example, the quantity of link supporters, were exaggerated by including the quantities of endorsers for web and different administrations
- The Rigas family profited fundamentally from getting \$1.3 billion in organization stock, utilizing \$241 million of organization assets to reimburse individual obligations, the installment of \$26.5 million for "timber rights on a Rigas property to protect the view outside the Rigases' family home" and burning through \$12.8 million of organization assets to assemble a fairway and club house for restrictive use by relatives.

- **HIH Insurance^{xxxiii}**

During 1968, Ray ("Williams") and Michael Payne built up a little protection business. HIH was recorded on the Australian Stock Exchange during 1992. From 1998, HIH set out on an arrangement to build its piece of the overall industry through extension. HIH bought FAI Insurances Limited ("FAI") without board interview or the culmination of a due steadiness report. FAI's advantages were over-esteemed and a premium was paid to deal with the organization. As indicated by Mak et al (2005), the organization developed too rapidly and was overextended on obligation after the FAI procurement. HIH paid A\$300 million for FAI, which required HIH to get widely to subsidize the obtaining. During 2001, the Australian Stock Exchange suspended exchanging HIH. The collected misfortune from the breakdown of HIH was A\$5.3 billion. HIH had in excess of 250 auxiliaries at the hour of its liquidation. Van Poursem et al demonstrated that the accompanying prompted the disappointment of HIH:

- Business factors, for example, over-valued corporate acquisitions and corporate luxury through a culture of "cash was for spending" including individual costs charged to Visas, liberal corporate blessings and unreasonable travel costs
- Accounting disappointments brought about by absence of satisfactory arrangements for future cases, acquisitions without suitable due constancy systems and the executives extortion.^{xxxiv}

- **Parmalat (Itlay)^{xxxv}**

Parmalat, a worldwide nourishment and dairy combination, is Italy's eighth-biggest organization and the No. 3 supplier of dairy (and treat producer) in the US. In Dec. 2003, a bank account with Bank of America holding 3.9 billion was uncovered not to exist. More than 50 individuals were explored. They were associated with submitting extortion and false financial bookkeeping, which added to the organization's liquidation. The organization recognized a multi-billion-dollar hole in its asset report accounts. Parmalat's jailed founder evaluated the size of insufficiency in its funds at \$10 billion, and conceded that he moved \$620 million from the organization's coffers to unrewarding travel businesses that were constrained by his family.

By and large, it very well may be guaranteed that the above bookkeeping outrages happened due to 'incorporated' factors, for example, absence of reviewer freedom, powerless law implementation, exploitative administration, frail inner control, and failure of CG component in checking the executives practices. Lamentably, it is additionally evident that most cheats are executed by individuals in places of trust in the bookkeeping, money, and IT capacities.

Organizations and administrative bodies are right now attempting to dissect and address any current deformities in their detailing framework. Furthermore, conversation on the pertinence of criminological bookkeeping in distinguishing bookkeeping embarrassments has risen in ongoing year. The extortion cases portrayed above infers that these organizations have neglected to supply exact data to their speculators, and to give proper exposures of any exchanges that would affect their budgetary position and working outcomes. To cite Razaee, "The ongoing bookkeeping outrages have incited an emergency of trust in money related revealing practice and adequacy of Corporate Governance systems. In like manner, various endeavors have been led to forestall the chance of comparable outrages in the imminent future."

Conclusion

After establishment of WTO and after entering into the General Agreement for Trade and Tariff, business in the world has got due importance. Industrialization has boosted the trade and commerce across the universe. There is a need to control criminal activities of the company for which separate legislation is to be enacted in all the countries. In this regard USA has enacted separate comprehensive criminal legislation, that is Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations, to curb corporate crimes.

In India there is no separate legislation to deal with corporate crimes. The new Act which has been enacted by the parliament of India in the year 2013 that is the Companies Act, 2013 has no provision to deal with criminal acts of the company. In India the Concept of corporate criminal liability is still in the process of development, and judiciary has divergent view on it. The theory of Identification is adopted by the courts in India and UK, where as the theory of vicarious liability is adopted in USA. Vicarious theory has an advantage from the point of criminal justice because, the company is held liable for every act of its servants committed during the course of employment. It means that every act of the company comes under the scanner of criminal justice system which is good from the perspective of state and community. It has the added advantage of more deterrent on the company because, it takes care that none of the employee shall indulge in the criminal activity on behalf of the company. Company is to be very careful while appointing its employees and has to exercise constant supervision over the acts of its servants.

On the other hand the theory of identification has limited application because, it is applicable to only the acts committed by the responsible officers of the company, who are the main organs of the company. It means that, it is only action of the Chairman, Managing Directors and other higher officers, who have the power to act independently on his own and having discretionary power which came under the scanner of criminal justice system. Therefore, only few acts of the company are subjected to criminal law but not the act of every servant of the company. This theory protects the company and ignores the interest of state and community because, criminal act committed by the subordinate servant of the company during the course of employment is not covered under the criminal liability of corporations. Obviously, the theory does not work as deterrent factor on the company. The company has to supervise the action of only higher officer but not the lower officer. Moreover, it is lower officer, who deal with customer of the company and they have more opportunity to commit crime. Therefore, this theory has many loopholes. Still now the Indian Judiciary is not unanimous over the concept of corporate criminal liability

References :

- i. file:///C:/Users/ASUS/Desktop/dessertation%20download/Corporate%20criminal%20liability%20an%20analytical%20study%20with%20special%20reference%20to%20penal%20laws%20in%20India/12_chapter%206pdf
- ii. <https://www.lawctopus.com/academike/corporate-criminal-liability/>
- iii. Section 718 of the Canadian Criminal Code imposes fine to corporate offenders and Section 720 provides special enforcement procedure for fines on corporations.
- iv. N.Y. Cent. & Hudson River R.R. Co. v. United States, 212 U.S. 481, 491-95 (1909) (finding corporation liable because it acts only through its agents or employees whose knowledge and purpose may be attributed to the corporation); see also **United States v. Photogrammetric Data Serv.**, 259 F.3d 229, 242 (4th Cir. 2001) (holding that a corporation can act only through the conduct of its agents). For an in-depth discussion of the development of corporate criminal liability before N.Y. Cent., see generally Shaun P. Martin, *Intracorporate Conspiracies*, 50 STAN. L. REV. 399, 406 (1998)
- v. See N.Y. Cent., 212 U.S. at 493 ("[A] corporation may be liable criminally for certain offenses of which a specific intent may be a necessary element." (quoting *Telegram Newspaper Co. v. Commonwealth*, 52 N.E. 445, 446 (Mass. 1899))); see also **United States v. Sain**, 141 F.3d 463, 475 (3d Cir. 1998) (noting if agent of corporation conspires with another, corporation may take on mental state of agent through doctrine of respondeat superior and be criminally liable for conspiracy); *United States v. Sun-Diamond Growers*, 964 F. Supp. 486, 490 (D.D.C. 1997) (noting many circuits have required government to "establish, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the agent acted within the scope of his employment with the intent to benefit the corporation" in order to hold corporation liable for acts of its employees).
- vi. See N.Y. Cent., 212 U.S. at 494 (holding corporation can be held responsible for illegal act of authorized employee of company). Actus reus, or the "guilty act," is defined as "[a] wrongful deed that comprises the physical components of a crime and that generally must be coupled with mens rea to establish criminal liability; a forbidden act." BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (8th ed. 2004)
- vii. *United States v. One Parcel of Land*, 965 F.2d 311, 316 (7th Cir. 1992) (stating agent's knowledge of illegal act may be imputed to corporation if agent was "acting as authorized and motivated at least in part by an intent to benefit the corporation" (citing **Zero v. United States**, 459 U.S. 991 (1982))).
- viii. *id.*
- ix. *In re Hellenic, Inc.*, 252 F.3d 391, 395 (5th Cir. 2001) ("An agent's knowledge is imputed to the corporation where the agent is acting within the scope of his authority and where the knowledge relates to matters within the scope of that authority.").
- x. <https://acadpubl.eu/hub/2018-120-5/1/9.pdf>
- xi. Slapper, G. and Tombs, S., (1999) *Corporate Crime*, Harlow: Pearson Education, p. 50
- xii. Gobert, J. and Punch, M. (2003) *Rethinking Corporate Crime*, London: Butterworths, p. 12.
- xiii. Holler Branco, above note 2, p. 50
- xiv. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/corporate-crime>
- xv. Rashid, Mamun, "Combating Financial Crimes in Bangladesh", *The Daily Star*, Vol. 5, Num 1037, May 032007. www.prp.org.bd/Media/3May2007.pdf
- xvi. Sudti-Autasilp, Bhorntip, *Corporate Crime And The Criminal Liability Of Corporate Entities In Thailand*, Resource Material Series No.76, 137th International Training Course Participants' Papers, p. 94.
- xvii. *ibid.*, p. 98.
- xviii. See Sudti-Autasilp, Bhorntip, *Corporate Crime And The Criminal Liability Of Corporate Entities In Thailand*, Resource Material Series No.76, 137th International Training Course Participants' Papers, p. 99.

- xix.** <https://www.whitecase.com/publications/alert/update-sapin-ii-law>
- xx.** the Waste Management, Inc. 1998 scandal
- xxi.** <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/knowledge/other/top-accounting-scandals/>
- xxii.** The Case Analysis of the Scandal of Enron
- xxiii.** <https://assets.kpmg/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2016/05/Corporate-Failures.pdf>
- xxiv.** **Securities and Exchange Commission v. WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-CV-4963 (JSR).**
- xxv.** <https://www.acfeinsights.com/acfe-insights/2017/1/18/10-infamous-fraud-cases-of-the-21st-century>
- xxvi.** Corporate Scandal Primer. Washington Post. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/business/scandals/primer/index.html>
- xxvii.** Nicholas, Tom, and David Chen. "Lehman Brothers." Harvard Business School Case 810-106, February 2010. (Revised September 2011.)
- xxviii.** <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/knowledge/other/top-accounting-scandals/>
- xxix.** Lorsch, Jay W., Suraj Srinivasan, and Kathleen Durante. "Olympus (A) ." Harvard Business School Case 413-040, October 2012. (Revised July 2013.)
- xxx.** "Fifa officials arrested on corruption charges as World Cup inquiry launched". The Guardian. 27 May 2015. Retrieved 27 May 2015.
- xxxi.** <https://www.acfeinsights.com/acfe-insights/2017/1/18/10-infamous-fraud-cases-of-the-21st-century>
- xxxii.** Fink, James, "Adelphia Gets Bigger with Purchase of Jones Cable," Business First of Buffalo, August 28, 1989,
- xxxiii.** Westfield, Mark (2003). *HIH: The Inside Story of Australia's Biggest Corporate Collapse*. John Wiley & Sons Australia. ISBN 1-74031-064-0
- xxxiv.** <https://assets.kpmg/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2016/05/Corporate-Failures.pdf>
- xxxv.** "Parmalat: sindacati, ad Bernier ha confermato centralita' attivita' in Italia". Radiocor. 8 February 2019.