ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance in Bread Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) Genotypes in Southwestern Ethiopia

Tewodros Biru* and Haile Negash Department of Plant Science, College of Agriculture and Natural Resource Science, Mizan-Tepi University, Ethiopia

ABSTRACT

Forty-nine bread wheat genotypes were studied under rainfed conditions at three locations namely, Chena, Masha and Shey Bench, each of varied agroecologies in southwestern Ethiopia during 2017 and 2018 with the objective of estimating their genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance using 7x7 simple lattice design. Data were collected for 12 agronomic characters. The analyses of variance showed highly significant differences (p<0.01) among wheat genotypes for all traits studied in all the locations except for the number of effective tillers per plant at Chena and biomass yield at Masha wherein genotypes had significant differences only at p<0.05 level and the number of spikelets per spike at Shey Bench wherein genotypes had expressed a non-significant difference. The phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) were relatively greater than those of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) for most of the characters studied at all the three locations; however, the magnitudes of the differences were relatively high for the number of tillers, number of kernels per spike, biomass yield and grain yield. High heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance (as percent of mean) were observed for days to heading, days to maturity, spike length and grain filling period at all locations. Therefore, any improvement of these characters would result in a substantial increment in grain yield in regions of southwestern Ethiopia which are otherwise rich in natural resources but poor in their efficient utilization.

Keywords: Bread wheat, GCV, genetic advance, heritability, PCV, variability

Introduction

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), a self-pollinating annual plant, is the largest cereal crop extensively grown as staple food sources in the world (Mollasadeghi *et al.*, 2011) which accounts for 20% of nutritional sources of the people (Khabiri *et al.*, 2012). It provides nearly 55% of carbohydrates, 20% of the daily protein and 21% calories for about 40% of the global population (Khan and Naqvi, 2012). An estimated 1.2 billion poor people depend on wheat, a crop that is particularly vulnerable to climate change (USDA, 2017). To meet this demand, it was estimated that global wheat grain production must increase by 2% annually till 2050 (Rosegrant and Agcoili, 2010). However, the current trend shows lower rates of wheat yield increases (0.9% per year) which may result in no change to the per capita wheat harvest by 2050. World wheat production in 2017 was 743.2 million tons with average yield of 3.34 t ha⁻¹ accounting for nearly 30% of global cereal production (FAO, 2017a,b).

Ethiopia is the second largest wheat producer in sub-Saharan Africa and it is cultivated on 1.7 million hectares of land with the production of 4.54 million tons and productivity of only 2.67 t ha⁻¹ (CSA, 2017) as compared to the world average yield of 3.34 t ha⁻¹ (FAO, 2017a,b). Wheat production self-sufficiency is only 75% and the remaining 25% of wheat is imported and also obtained through food aid. However, cereal consumption has been increasing by 20% in recent years, making it the second most consumed cereal in Ethiopia after corn (USDA, 2017). Although the productivity of wheat has increased in the last few years in Ethiopia, it is still very low as compared to other wheat producing countries. The low yield productivity is attributed to many factors, such as unavailability of quality seed of varieties that are high yielding as well as adapted to wide range of agro-ecologies of the country. Hence, the first step in the development of varieties is assessing the genetic variability of available genotypes for the characters of agronomic interest (Rahman *et al.*, 2016).

The knowledge of genetic variability and the extent of variations among genotypes in a population are essential not only for selection of better genotypes but they also help in designing crop improvement through combination breeding. In addition, genetic improvement to develop varieties with high yield potential and tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses, with acceptable end use quality, is the viable and environmentally-friendly option to sustainable increase in

wheat yield. Despite Bench Shako, Kaffa and Sheka Zones being potential wheat-producing areas in southwestern Ethiopia, the potential of the area for wheat crop is not exploited due to the lack of improved varieties and detailed information on the extent of adaptability and production status of the improved bread wheat varieties in the area (CSA, 2012). The present study was, therefore, conducted with the objectives of quantifying the variability, estimating heritability and genetic advance among genotypes of bread wheat for yield and yield-contributing characters.

Materials and Methods

Experimental materials

Experimental materials comprised forty-nine bread wheat genotypes released from different agricultural research centers of the country (Table 1).

Description of the study area

The experiments were conducted in Chena, Masha and Shey Bench woredas (districts) of Bench Bonga, Sheka and Shako zones, respectively (Table 2). ICR

Experimental design and management

The experiment was laidout in 7x7 simple lattice design. Each genotype was planted in a plot consisting of six rows of 2.5 m long and 1.2 m wide with spacing of 20 cm between rows. The distances between plots, blocks and replications were 0.5, 0.5 and 1.5 m, respectively. A seed rate of 150 kg ha⁻¹ and fertilizer rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹ containing NPS were used. Weeding and other agronomic practices were carried out as per recommendations of the respective sites.

Data collection

Ten randomly selected plants from the four central rows of each plot were used for data collection. Data on days to 50% heading, days to physiological maturity, plant height, productive tillers per plant, number of kernels per spike, number of spikelets per spike, spike length, grain yield, biomass yield and harvest index were collected.

Statistical analysis

The mean values of the genotypes were subjected to analysis of variance based on triple lattice design. Analysis of variance was done using Proc lattice and Proc GLM procedures of SAS 9.3 (SAS, 2011) after testing the ANOVA assumptions. Mean separations were estimated using least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% and 1% levels of significance.

Estimation of variance components and associations

Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variability were computed according to Burton and Devane (1953) :

Genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV)= $\frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2 g}}{x^-}$ x 100

Phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV) = $\frac{\sqrt{\sigma 2p}}{x}$ x 100

Environmental coefficient of variability (ECV)= $\frac{\sqrt{\sigma 2e}}{x^{-}}$ x 100

Where, σ_{g}^{2} = Genotypic variance, σ_{p}^{2} = Phenotypic variance, σ_{e}^{2} = Environmental variance and x = General mean of character.

Heritability (broad-sense heritability) was estimated based on the ratio of genotypic variance to the phenotypic variance and was expressed in percentage (Falconer and Mackay, 1996) :

$$h_B^2^2 = \frac{V_g}{V_P} \times 100$$

Where, h_B^2 = Heritability, V_g = Genotypic variance, V_p = Phenotypic variance

Genetic advance (GA) in absolute unit and percent of the mean (GAM), assuming selection of superior 5% of the genotypes was estimated according to Johnson *et al.* (1955) :

_

 $GA = K \sigma_p h^2$

 $GAM = (GA/x) \times 100$

Where, K= Intensity of selection at 5% (K= 2.06, K is selection differential), σ_p = Phenotypic standard deviation, h²= Heritability, \bar{x} = General mean of the character.

Table 1. Wheat genotypes used in the study.

1
F

Location	Altitude	Average	Average	Global position			
	(m.a.s.l *)	rainfall (Min/Max)	temp. (Min/Max)	Latitude	Longitude		
Shey Bench	1400-2485	1547-2000 mm	22-29°C	5 [°] 30 [°] - 7 [°] 00''N	34 ⁰ 00''- 36 ⁰ 00''E		
Chena	500-3350	1600-2200 mm	18–21°C	6 ⁰ 24' - 7 ⁰ 70' N	35 [°] 69' - 36 [°] 78' E		
Masha	1900-2750	1800-2200 mm	12-29 °C	7 ⁰ 24' - 7 ⁰ 52' N	35 ⁰ 13'- 35 ⁰ 35'E		

Table 2. Description of testing locations.

* m.a.s.l= Meter above sea level

Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance showed highly significant differences (p<0.01) among wheat genotypes for all the traits studied in all locations except for the number of effective tillers at Chena, biomass yield at Masha wherein genotypes had significant differences only at p<0.05 level of significance and the genotypes had shown a non-significant difference for the number of spikelets per spike at Shey Bench (Table 3). Significant genetic variation among genotypes for the studied characters suggests that ample scope of selection for different quantitative characters exists for the improvement of wheat crop. By analogy, a number of workers have also reported significant differences among wheat genotypes for yield and yield-related traits for most of the characters studied in our experiment (Alemu, 2016; Kifle *et al.*, 2016a,b; Birhanu *et al.*, 2017a,b; Obsa *et al.*, 2017).

Locations Chena Masha Shey Bench CV Trait MSG MSE Mean CV MSG MSE Mean MSG MSE CV Mean DH 114.78** 5.25 69.35 4.36 123.83** 4.09 3.75 129.25** 6.34 9.31 71.62 67.35 DM 156.48** 132.9 159.58** 135.2 5.28 162.5** 5.86 4.64 5.89 7.69 129.4 8.84 GFP 46.06** 5.12 47.24** 5.82 63.98 2.96 53.25** 6.28 6.52 61.65 3.57 59.36 92.85** 19.32 PH 112.21** 1.52 92.5 6.37 16.24 95.4 7.76 118.85** 96.18 8.32 2.18** 0.09 NT 1.79* 0.78 2.32 11.03 2.28** 0.15 1.56 10.41.21 13.98 4.19 79.14** 83.89** NGS 12.09 47.65 4.8 23.44 45.33 53.89** 21.25 39.56 7.75 NSS 6.95** 0.92 15.62 8.92 3.92** 0.91 14.15 8.31 1.45^{ns} 0.98 13.25 8.87 SL 3.82** 0.45 10.25 5.14 2.27** 0.23 8.95 4.53 2.97** 0.19 7.25 8.09 TKW 61.84** 0.43 34.63 5.64 35.69** 7.02 34.1 5.03 29.63** 8.24 28.45 7.91 BMY 2.21** 0.762 9.86 14.96 1.77* 1.26 9.29 14.3 2.57** 1.18 7.62 16.59 HI 78.15** 0.51 45.62 10.26 35.54 43.49 11.6 49.26** 6.91 38.95 13.21 61.62** 2.39** 2.27** 2.18** GY 0.21 3.85 12.75 0.25 3.75 12.1 0.12 2.86 15.7

Table 3. Mean squares for 12 characters of 49 bread wheat genotypes at three experimental sites.

*, ** Significant at $p \le 0.05$ and $p \le 0.01$ probability levels, respectively and ns= non-significant, MSG= Mean squares of genotypes, MSE= Mean squares of error, CV= Coefficient of variation, DH= Days to heading, DM= Days to maturity, GFP= Grain filling period, PH= Plant height, NT= Number of productive tillers per plant, NGS= Number of grains per spike, NSS= Number of spikelets per spike, SL= Spike length, TKW= Thousand-kernels weight, BMY= Biomass yield, HI= Harvest index, GY= Grain yield (t ha⁻¹). Further, the genotypes showed a considerable mean and range variations for each studied characters across locations. The mean grain yield of the genotypes ranged from 2.69-4.18 t ha⁻¹, 2.94-4.93 t ha⁻¹, and 2.19-4.23 t ha⁻¹ with a mean value of 4.01 t ha⁻¹, 3.95 t ha⁻¹ and 3.06 t ha⁻¹ at Chena, Masha and Shey Bench, respectively (Table 4; top, middle, bottom). The range and mean values suggest the existence of sufficient variability among the tested genotypes for the majority of the characters providing ample scope for selecting superior and desired genotypes for further improvement of bread wheat in the study areas.

Estimates of variance components

The results of statistical analyses for the studied characters have been presented in Table 4. Phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) ranged from 6.75% for days to maturity to 28.97 % for grain yield and genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) ranged from 6.55 % to 25.43% for the same character, respectively at Chena. At Masha, PCV value ranged from 6.75% for days to physiological maturity to 26.54%, for the number of productive tillers/plant and GCV ranged from 5.44% for biomass yield to 21.28% for grain yield. At Shey Bench, PCV ranged from 7.08% for days to physiological maturity to 36.67% for the number of productive tillers/plant, while GCV ranged from 3.66% for the number of spikelets per spike and 26.85% for the number of productive tillers/plant.

Among the characters under study the lowest PCV (6.76%, 6.76% and 7.09%) and GCV (6.75%, 6.45% and 6.84%) values were recorded for days to maturity and, plant height (8.16%, 7.74% and 8.64) and (8.05%, 6.49% and 7.33%) and grain filling period (8.21%, 8.11% and 9.19%) and (7.34%, 7.17% and 8.16%) at Chena, Masha and Shey Bench, respectively. In addition, biomass yield at Chena and Masha and the number of spikelets per spike at Masha and Shey Bench exhibited low GCV and PCV values. The results indicate that the environmental factors had more influence on the expression of these characters than the genetic factors, suggesting the limited scope for improvement of these characters by direct selection of high performing genotypes. This is in agreement with the reports of Kifle *et al.* (2016a,b) and Berhanu *et al.* (2017a,b).

In general, the PCV were relatively greater than those of GCV for most of the characters at all the locations, however, the magnitudes of the difference were small for days to heading, days to maturity, grain filling period, spike length, thousand-seed weight, plant height and harvest index (Table 4). This suggests that the marked influence of

<u>_ \ \ </u>

environmental factors for the phenotype expression of genotypes was low and the chances of improvement of these traits through selection of genotypes based on the phenotype would be higher. The magnitude of difference between PCV and GCV was relatively high for the number of tillers, number of kernels per spike and biomass yield. This implies greater influence of environmental factors for the phenotypic expression of these characters that makes difficult or practically impossible to exercise selection based on phenotypic performance of the genotypes to improve the characters. These results are in close agreement with the findings of several workers (Desalegn and Kumar, 2016; Kifle *et al.*, 2016a,b; Berhanu *et al.* 2017a,b). The results obtained also revealed moderate GCV and PCV indicating that there is still some possibility for the improvement of the characters.

Table 4. Estimates of ranges, mean, phenotypic and genotypic variances, phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variations (PCV and GCV), heritability (broad-sense heritability) and genetic advance for 12 characters of 49 bread wheat genotypes tested at three locations.

						Chena site				
Traits	Range	Mean	$\sigma^2 e$	$\sigma^2 g$	σ ² ph	PCV(%)	GCV(%)	H ² (%)	GA	GAM(%)
DH	54.5-81.5	69.35	5.25	54.77	60.02	11.17	10.67	91.25	14.56	21.00
DM	108-143	132.85	4.64	75.92	80.56	6.76	6.56	94.24	17.42	13.12
GFP	57 <mark>.85-</mark> 74.65	61.65	5.12	20.47	25.59	8.21	7.34	80.00	8.34	13.52
PH	83.5-120.35	92.45	1.52	55.35	56.8 <mark>7</mark>	8.16	8.05	97.33	15.12	16.35
NT	2.3-5.9	4.26	0.92	0.45	1.36	27.32	15.48	32.10	0.77	18.07
NGS	44.75-59.25	47.65	12.09	33.53	45.62	14.17	12.15	73.50	10.23	21.46
NSS	13 <mark>-18</mark>	15.62	0.92	3.02	3.94	12.70	11.12	76.62	3.13	20.04
SL	7. <mark>6-13.56</mark>	10.25	0.4 <mark>5</mark>	1.69	2.14	14.26	12.66	78.92	2.38	23.18
TKW	28 <mark>.02-48</mark> .08	34.63	0.4 <mark>3</mark>	30.71	31.14	16.11	16.00	98.62	11.34	32.73
BMY	6. <mark>56-1</mark> 1.58	9.86	0.7 <mark>6</mark>	0.72	1.49	12.36	8.63	48.72	1.22	12.41
HI	27 <mark>.68-61.49</mark>	45.62	0.51	38.82	39.33	13.75	13.66	98.70	12.75	27.95
GY	2.69-4.18	4.01	0.21	1.04	1.35	28.97	25.43	77.04	1.84	45.98

					Masha site					
Traits	Range	Mean	$\sigma^2 e$	$\sigma^2 g$	$\sigma^2 ph$	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	H ² (%)	GA	GAM(%)
DH	55.25-82.5	71.62	4.09	59.87	63.96	11.17	10.80	93.61	15.42	21.53
DM	108.75-144	135.2	7.69	75.95	83.64	6.76	6.45	90.81	17.11	12.65
GFP	58.6-76	63.5	5.82	20.71	26.53	8.11	7.17	78.06	8.28	13.04
PH	84.25-123.25	95.4	16.24	38.31	54.55	7.74	6.49	70.23	10.68	11.20
NT	2.05-5.65	4.56	0.65	0.82	1.47	26.54	19.80	55.63	1.39	30.42
NGS	39.5-60	45.33	23.44	30.23	53.67	16.16	12.13	56.32	8.50	18.75
NSS	13.75-18.75	14.15	0.91	1.51	2.42	10.98	8.67	62.32	2.00	14.10
SL	8.35-14.30	8.95	0.23	1.22	1.45	13.45	12.34	84.14	2.09	23.32
TKW	28.77-48.85	34.1	7.02	14.34	21.36	13.55	11.10	67.13	6.39	18.74
BMY	7.31-12.45	9.29	1.26	0.26	1.52	13.25	5.44	16.83	0.43	4.59
HI	28.43-62.24	43.49	3.54	29.04	32.58	13.12	12.39	89.13	10.48	24.10
GY	2.94-4.93	3.95	0.15	0.71	0.86	23.43	21.28	82.49	1.57	39.82

© 2020 IJCRT | Volume 8, Issue 9 September 2020 | ISSN: 2320-2882

	Shey Bench site									
Traits	Range	Mean	$\sigma^2 e$	$\sigma^2 g$	$\sigma^2 ph$	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	${ m H}^{2}(\%)$	GA	GAM(%)
DH	54-80.75	67.35	6.34	61.46	67.80	12.23	11.64	90.65	15.38	22.83
DM	107.5-135.25	129.5	5.86	78.32	84.18	7.09	6.84	93.04	17.58	13.58
GFP	57.35-72.9	59.36	6.28	23.49	29.77	9.19	8.16	78.90	8.87	14.94
PH	83-118.6	96.18	19.32	49.77	69.09	8.64	7.33	72.03	12.33	12.82
NT	1.8-3.75	3.25	0.66	0.76	1.42	36.69	26.85	53.57	1.32	40.48
NGS	37.3-44.25	39.56	21.25	16.32	37.57	15.49	10.21	43.44	5.48	13.86
NSS	12.5-16.25	13.25	0.98	0.24	1.22	8.32	3.66	19.34	0.44	3.31
SL	7.1-10.81	7.25	0.19	1.39	1.58	17.34	16.26	87.97	2.28	31.42
TKW	27.52-42.33	28.45	8.24	10.70	18.94	15.30	11.49	56.48	5.06	17.80
BMY	6.06-10.83	7.62	1.18	0.70	1.88	17.97	10.94	37.07	1.05	13.72
HI	27.18-60.74	38.95	6.91	21.18	28.09	13.61	11.81	75.40	8.23	21.13
GY	2.19-4.23	3.06	0.12	0.22	0.34	19.06	15.33	64.71	0.78	25.40

Where, $\sigma^2 e=$ Error variance, $\sigma^2 g=$ Genotypic variance, $\sigma^2 p=$ Phenotypic variance, PCV= Phenotypic coefficient of variation, GCV= Genotypic coefficient of variation, H²= Broad-sense heritability, GA= Genetic advance, GAM (%)= Genetic advance as percent of mean, DH= Days to heading, DM= Days to maturity, GFP= Grain filling period, PH= Plant height, NT= Number of tillers per plant, NGS= Number of grains per spike, NSS= Number of spikelets per spike, SL= Spike length, TKW= Thousand-kernel weight, BMY= Biomass yield, HI= Harvest index, GY= Grain yield (t ha⁻¹).

Similar results depicting closer values of the PCV to the GCV estimates for most characters thereby showing little environmental effect on the expression of the characters (Dawit *et al.* 2012).

Estimates of heritability (broad-sense heritability)

Heritability estimate for the 12 characters studied at three locations are shown in Table 4 (top, middle, bottom) which ranged from 32.17% for the number of productive tillers to 98.70 % for harvest index at Chena, from 16.83% for biomass to 93.63% for days to heading at Masha and from 19.34% for the number of spikelets per spike to 93.04% for days to maturity at Shey Bench, respectively.

In general, high heritability estimates were obtained for most of the characters studied at experimental locations. High estimates of heritability have been also reported by other researchers for most of these characters (Obsa *et al.*, 2017; Berhanu *et al.* 2017a,b). On the contrary, low estimates of heritability have been reported for days to heading, days to maturity and grain filling period (Kifle *et al.*, 2016a,b). However, medium estimates of heritability were obtained for biomass yield (48.72%) at Chena and for the number of productive tillers (55.63%) and the number of kernels per spike (56.32%) at Masha and for the number of productive tillers (53.57), number of kernels (43.44%) and thousand-kernel weight (56.48%) at Shey Bench. Low estimates of heritability were obtained for the number of productive tillers

(32.10%) at Chena and biomass yields (16.83% and 37.07%) at Masha and Shey Bench accompanied by the number of spikelets per spike (19.34%).

Estimates of genetic advance

The present study revealed high heritability is coupled with moderate genetic advance for days to heading, days to maturity, spikelet length and grain filling period at all the locations. This indicates that it is most likely that the heritability of these characters is due to additive gene effects, and selection might be effective for these characters (Salman *et al.*, 2014; Rahman *et al.*, 2016). Salman *et al.* (2014) also reported high and moderate heritability and genetic advance for days to heading, days to maturity, and thousand-seed weight. Besides, high heritability estimates together with genetic advance were observed for grain yield at Chena, for thousand-kernel weight, harvest index and grain yield at Masha and for harvest index and grain yield at Shey Bench. A number of workers have also reported similar findings for high heritability associated with high genetic advance for grain yield and harvest index which support the present findings. (Mohammed *et al.*, 2011; Dergicho *et al.*, 2015; Berhanu *et al.* (2017a,b).

A low value for both heritability and genetic advance was computed for biomass yield at Masha and the number of spikelets per spike at Shey Bench. This suggests that the low heritability of traits due to the influence of environment limits the scope of improvement by selection. The low heritability of traits may be due to the presence of non-additive type of gene action (Ali *et al.*, 2007) and the presence of higher environmental factors along with non-additive gene action might be the possible causes for the lower values of heritability and genetic advance.

Conclusion

The study results indicated the presence of wide genetic variation among wheat genotypes at all the three locations which can be exploited to develop high-yielding varieties. Therefore, there is higher chance of selecting genotypes for different quantitative traits and exploiting high yielding varieties within the study areas and similar agro-ecologies of the south western Ethiopia. The range and mean values in this study suggest the existence of sufficient variability among the tested genotypes for the majority of the characters studied which imply that there was reasonably sufficient

variability which provides ample scope for selecting superior and desired genotypes by plant breeders for further improvement of bread wheat in the study areas.

High heritability (>80%) coupled with moderate genetic advance as percent of mean (10 to 20%) was observed for days to heading, days to maturity, spikelet length and grain filling period at all locations. This indicates that it is most likely that heritability of these characters is due to additive gene effects, and selection might be effective for these characters. Therefore, direct selection for these characters, and incorporation therein of selected individual genotypes in breeding program would bring improvement in the genotypes. Thus, selection for high performance of these traits could also result in increased grain yield and those traits could be taken as selection criteria in bread wheat improvement program.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mizan-Tepi University, Ethiopia for financial support and Melkasa Agricultural Research Center for the contribution of seeds.

Authors' Contributions

Senior author developed concept, experimental design, conducted the experiment and collected data. Second author helped in statistical analyses and manuscript preparation.

References

- Alemu, D. 2016. Estimation of genetic and phenotypic correlation coefficients and path analysis of yield and yield contributing traits of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. Intl. J. Natl. Reso. Ecol. Mgmt. 1: 145-154.
- Ali, S., S.A. Mehar, A. Hassnain, Z. Shah and I. Munir. 2007. Genotypic variation for yield and morphological traits in wheat. Sarhad J. Agric. 23: 943-946.
- Berhanu, M., W. Mohammed and Y. Tsehaye. 2017a. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of yield and grain quality traits in bread wheat (*Tritium aestivum* L.) genotypes at Axum, Northern Ethiopia. J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci 9: 175-185.
- Birhanu, M., S. Alamerew, A. Assefa, E. Assefa and D. Dutamo. 2017b. Correlation and path coefficient studies of yield and yield associated traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. Adv. Plant Agric. Res. 6: 00226. DOI: 10.15406/apar.2017.06.00226.
- Burton, G.W. and E.H. De vane. 1953. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. Agron. J. 45: 478-481.
- CSA. 2012. Central Statistical Authority: Agricultural sample survey 2012/13. Report on area and production of crops. Statistical Bulletin No 446, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- CSA. 2017. Central Statistical Authority: Agricultural sample survey 2016/17. Report on area and production of major crops for private peasant holdings, *Meher* season, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Dawit, T., T. Dessalegn, Y. Dessalegn, and G. Share. 2012. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in durum wheat germplasm (*Triticum durum Desf*). Afr. J. Biotechnol. 11: 9606-9611.
- Desalegn, N. and D. Kumar. 2016. Variability, heritability and genetic advances in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) breeding lines grown at Horro Guduru Wollega Zone, western Ethiopia. Int. J. Adv. Sci. Res. Mgmt. 1: 23-28.
- Falconer, D.S. and T.F.C. Mackay. 1996. Introduction to quantitative genetics. Essex, England: Longman.
- FAO. 2017a. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Food balance sheets. Rome: FAO. Retrieved on December 20, 2019 from: <u>http://faostat.fao.org/site/368/DesktopDefault</u>.
- FAO. 2017b. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: World cereal projections, Rome, Italy.
- Gezahegn, F., A. Sentayehu, and T. Zerihun. 2015. Genetic variability studies in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes at Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center, Southeast Ethiopia, J. Biol. Agric. Healthcare 5: 89-98.
- Johnson, H.W., H.E. Robinson and R.E. Comstock. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J. 47: 314-318.
- Khabiri, E., A.A. Imani, and H. Shahbazi. 2012. Studying the grain yield and yield component in advanced rainfed wheat genotypes. Ann. Biol. Res. 3: 5647-5650.
- Khan, N., and F.N. Naqvi. 2012. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in wheat genotypes under irrigated and nonirrigated conditions. Asian J. Agric. Sci. 4: 346-351.
- Kifle, Z., F. Mekbib and T. Dessalegn. 2016a. Estimation of association among growth and yield related traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*. L) genotypes at Gurage Zone, Ethiopia. Int. J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci. 3: 123-134.
- Kifle, Z., F. Mekbib and T. Dessalegn. 2016b. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*. *L*) genotypes at Gurage Zone, Ethiopia. Int. J. Microbiol. Biotech. 1: 1-9.
- Mohammed, A., A. Amsalu, and B. Geremew. 2011. Genetic variability, heritability and trait associations in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. durum) genotypes. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 6: 3972-3979.
- Mollasadeghi, V., and R. Shahryari. 2011. Important morphological markers for improvement of yield in bread wheat. Adv. Environ. Biol. 5: 538–542.

- Obsa, C., W. Mohammed, and F. Eticha. 2017. Analysis of genetic variability among bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) genotypes for growth, yield and yield components in Bore District, Oromia Regional State. Agric., Forest. Fish. 6: 188-199.
- Rahman, M.A., M.L. Kabir, M. Hasanuzzaman, R.H. Rumi, and M.T. Afrose. 2016. Study of variability in bread wheat *(Triticum aestivum L.).* Int. J. Agric. Res. 8: 66-76.
- Rosegrant, M.W. and M. Agcoili. 2010. Global food demand, supply and food prospects. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Salman, S., S. J. Khan, J. Khan, and R. Ullah. 2014. Genetic variability studies in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) accessions. Pak. J. Agric. Res. 27: 2-6.
- SAS. 2011. Statistical Analysis System, version 9.3 edition. USA: SAS Institute Incorporated Companies.
- USDA 2017. United States Department of Agriculture: World Agricultural Production. USDA, Washington DC, USA.

