ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

AN IMPROVED METHODOLOGY TO FIND THE IMPACTS OF LEAN MANUFACTURING CONCEPTS ON THE DISTRIBUTION INDUSTRIES

¹N.Tamilvanan,²S.Syath Abuthakeer

¹Research scholar,²Associate professor ¹Department of mechanical engineering, ¹PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract: This Lean manufacturing to consist of different tools and techniques and it will be used in the appropriate industry according to the problems faced by the industry of various sectors. It mainly depends upon the types of industry where the lean concepts were implemented. Different methodologies were prepared according to the problems. It will take a separate time for preparing the methodology for the each industry. In order to reduce the time taken for the preparation of a new methodology each time, we are going to prepare a generalized methodology for the implementation of lean concepts. That is starting from approaching the industry to implementation stage and analyzing the impacts. So this will be an as a generalized methodological platform for the companies that are going to implement the lean concepts in the distribution industries sectors in future and it also helps the researchers those who are doing research in the lean implementation platform.

Index Terms - Lean manufacturing, methodology of study, pilot implementation, survey analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper it will reveal how an impact of lean concepts on the particular industries under the particular zone/location. It also reveals an improved generalized methodology during the lean implementation according to the challenges faced by the selected distribution industries. It also based on the industry capability. That is whether the company can withstand the process and implementation or not. Although it is implemented the company will follow it or not also considered when preparing the methodological structure. So, keeping all these problems we have planned to develop a generalized methodology for distribution industries planning for the implementation of lean concepts. The developed methodology will reduce the time taken for preparing a unique methodology during every new lean implementation in distribution industries [1]. This paper doesn't say that the developed methodology suits 100% for all lean distribution industries. It tells that the developed generalized methodology can be taken as a basement and slight modification can be made on the developed generalized methodology to the industry's scenario. This paper also deals with the major challenges and problems faced during the implementation period of generalized methodology into the distribution industries under the selected zone/location [2].

II. METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

A location for the implementation of lean should be selected. Then a distribution industry should be selected under the selected location. That is a proper list of industries selected for the lean implementation. (A file should be prepared and all the distributors under the particular location to be entered with the following requirements like, Name of the industry, Distribution type, Address, Contact number. A questionnaire should be prepared to find the awareness about lean manufacturing concepts among the selected industries in the particular location. By using the questionnaire results, the level of lean awareness/lean impacts on the industries will be clearly known. Then as a next step all the companies should be segregated and arranged in the ascending order according the awareness lean percentage or rating[3]. Then a lean awareness program should be conducted in the industries which have been segregated. It will give an exposure to the lean concepts and awareness to the employees working at the industry. Then the problems should be identified by the effective lean tool like gemba_and a gemba walk into the industry and also from the management. Then the lean tools should be selected according to the problems arose. One more important thing is if there is no problem found in the industry means, we have to implement the basic lean implementation like, Lean leadership concepts to the all levels of management which will be used by the top management as an effective tool for communicating the shop floor employees and they can also use the leadership techniques if any problems or misunderstanding with the employees at any situation..5S implementation, Gemba walks, Kanban etc. Then as a next step the methodology for lean implementation according to the problems and by using the selected appropriate tools should be prepared. The schedule for the

© 2020 IJCRT | Volume 8, Issue 8 August 2020 | ISSN: 2320-2882

implementation should also be prepared when preparing the step by step methodology [4]. Before the implementation processes / processed the employees that are going to work in the particular lean environment and with the lean techniques should be given a proper training regarding the process during the implementation and after the implementation process. The training sessions will clarify all the doubts about / as to the employees if any. Then the implementation process should be started according to the planned schedule. Then it should be monitored periodically after the implementation process of a certain period in order to know the impact and results of the lean implementation in the distribution industries. Then after the time period ends we have to analyze the results like, before and after implementation of lean. Then the impact on lean on the distribution industries also was analyzed according to the results and by a separate questionnaire created for the distribution industries in order to find the feedback from the industries after the lean implementation [5].

III. PILOT IMPLEMENTATION

By keeping the above methodology as a basement we have pilot implemented the developed generalized methodology into a private automotive lubricant distribution industry. Starting from the industry selection process to the final result analysis about lean impacts on the distribution industry. The major problems we find during the implementation of the generalized methodology are the employee involvement. That is the employees working in the industry were accepting the new lean concepts into their industry or not. It was the major problem normally faced while implementation of new concepts in an industry because, the employees may think that the new and improved concepts may affect their job of the industry. So as a first step when approaching the employees their suggestion is need of new concepts. In order to overcome this problem we have planned to conduct an awareness programme at the industry. This should be an interactive session between the lean experts and the employees of the industry. Which will clearly say that the new and generalized methodology and the lean concepts won't affects their jobs in the particular industry. It also tells them that how they can improve their current working scenario of the updated work environment [6].

IV. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE INDUSTRY WHERE WE MADE A PILOT IMPLEMENTATION (BEFORE LEAN IMPLEMENTATION)

GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE 1. Are you aware of lean manufacturing and tools and techniques VES NO

Answer: YES

2. Whether you were using it before



Answer: No but we haven't used it before.

3. Any reasons behind why you haven't implemented lean manufacturing concepts at your industry



Answer: Yes the main reason time taken for the implementation.

4. Have you pilot implemented lean concepts in any specific department of your industry.



Answer: No we haven't implemented in our industry.

V.QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE INDUSTRY WHERE WE MADE A PILOT IMPLEMENTATION (BEFORE LEAN IMPLEMENTATION)

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE

ptions es o ther			Response Percent	age Responses
es lo ther				
o ther			50%	10
ther			50%	10
ther			0%	0
otal Responses: 2	20	Answered Responses: 20	Skipped Respo	inses: 0
Aean: 1.5	Std. Deviation: 0.5	Satisfaction Rate: 25	Variance: 0.25	Std. Error: 0.112
Do you remem	ber the tagline associa	ted with lean manufacturing concepts	8	See
ptions			Response Percent	age Responses
es			50%	10
lo			50%	10
otal Responses: 2	20	Answered Responses: 20	Skipped Respo	inses: 0
		Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	ion 1 and 2.	
). Are you familia	ar with lean manufactu	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	ion 1 and 2.	
). Are you familia Options	ar with lean manufactu	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta	ge Responses
). Are you familia Options Yes	ar with lean manufactu	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta 79%	ge Responses 15
). Are you familia Options Yes No	ar with lean manufactu	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	Response Percenta 79% 21%	ge Responses 15 4
Are you familia Options Yes No Total Responses:	ar with lean manufactu	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	Response Percenta 79% 21% Skipped Respon	ge Responses 15 4
Are you familia Options Yes No Total Responses: Mean: 1.211	20 Std. Deviation: 0.40	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta 79% 21% Skipped Respon Variance: 0.166	ge Responses 15 4 sees: 1 Std. Error: 0.093
Are you familia Options Yes No Total Responses: Mean: 1.211	20 Std. Deviation: 0.40 wn any industries succe	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest fing Answered Responses: 19 7 Satisfaction Rate: 21.053 reded after lean implementation	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta 79% 21% Skipped Respon Variance: 0.166	ge Responses 15 4 sses: 1 Std. Error: 0.093
Are you familia Options Yes No Total Responses Mean: 1.211 . Have you know Options	20 Std. Deviation: 0.40 wn any industries succe	ring Answered Responses: 19 7 Satisfaction Rate: 21.053 eeded after lean implementation	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta 79% 21% Skipped Respon Variance: 0.166 Response Percenta	ge Responses 15 4 ses: 1 Std. Error: 0.093 ge Responses
Are you familia Options Yes No Total Responses Mean: 1.211 . Have you know Options Yes	20 Std. Deviation: 0.40 wn any industries succe	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta 79% 21% Skipped Respon Variance: 0.166 Response Percenta 59%	ge Responses 15 4 ses: 1 Std. Error: 0.093 ge Responses 10
Are you familia Dptions fes No Total Responses: Mean: 1.211 Have you know Dptions fes No	20 Std. Deviation: 0.40	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest fing Answered Responses: 19 7 Satisfaction Rate: 21.053 reded after lean implementation	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta 79% 21% Skipped Respon Variance: 0.166 Response Percenta 59% 41%	ge Responses 15 4 uses: 1 Std. Error: 0.093 ge Responses 10 10 7
Are you familia Options Yes No Total Responses: Mean: 1.211 . Have you know Options Yes No	20 Std. Deviation: 0.40 wn any industries succe	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta 79% 21% Skipped Respon Variance: 0.166 Response Percenta 59% 41% Skipped Respon	ge Responses 15 4 sess: 1 Std. Error: 0.093 ge Responses 10 7 sess: 3
Are you familia Options fes to total Responses: Mean: 1.211 Have you know Options fes	20 Std. Deviation: 0.40	Fig. 1. Survey analysis of quest	ion 1 and 2. Response Percenta 79% 21% Skipped Respon Variance: 0.166 Response Percenta 59%	ge Responses 15 4 sess: 1 Std. Error: 0.093 ge Responses 10

Fig. 2. Survey analysis of question 3 and 4.

Options			Response Percentage	Responses	
email			10%	2	
Facebook			0%	0	
internet			35%	7	
industry people			60%	12	
Other			25% 5		
fotal Responses	a: 20	Answered Responses: 20	Skipped Responses	0	
Mean: 4.8	4.8 Std. Deviation: 1,512 Satisfaction Rate: 67.308 Variance: 2.286		Variance: 2.286	Std. Error: 0.297	
. Have you vis	ited a lean implementatio	n industry		See Go	
Options			Response Percentage	Responses	
Yes			30%	6	
No	70%		14		
Total Responses	s: 20	Answered Responses: 20	Skipped Responses	0	
Mean: 1.7	Std. Deviation: 0.458 Satisfaction Rate: 70 Variance: 0.21 Std. E		Std. Error: 0.102		
When you this	k of lean concente what	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques	tion 5 and 6.		
When you thin	ik of lean concepts what	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques	tion 5 and 6.		
When you thin	k of lean concepts what	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage	Responses	
When you thin ptions ficient methodo	ik of lean concepts what	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage 85%	Responses 17	
When you thin otions ficient methodo	k of lean concepts what	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage 85% 15%	Responses 17 3	
When you thin ptions ficient methodo ghtly efficient at efficient	ak of lean concepts what	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage 85% 15% 0%	Responses 17 3 0	
When you thin ptions ficient methodo ightly efficient of efficient ther	k of lean concepts what	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage 05% 15% 0% 5%	Responses 17 3 0 1	
When you thin ptions ficient methodo lightly efficient at efficient ther	ak of lean concepts what	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage 85% 15% 0% 5% Skipped Responses	Responses 17 3 0 1	
When you thin ptions ficient methodo ightly efficient at efficient ther stal Responses:	ak of lean concepts what logy 20 Std. Deviation: 0.703	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage 85% 15% 0% 5% Skipped Responses Variance: 0.494	Responses 17 3 0 1 3 50 Std. Error: 0.153	
When you thin ptions ficient methodo ightly efficient of efficient ther stal Responses istal Responses ther stal Responses	20 Std. Deviation: 0.703	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20 Satisfaction Rate: 9.524 think lean manufacturing and it's conce	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage 85% 15% 0% 5% Skipped Responses Variance: 0.494	Responses 17 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 1 3 0 1 1 1 1	
When you thin ptions hiclent methodo gittly efficient at efficient her tal Responses: ean: 1.35 Which segmen ptions	20 Std. Deviation: 0.703 nt of the industry do you	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20 Satisfaction Rate: 9.524 think lean manufacturing and it's conce	tion 5 and 6. Response Percentage		
When you thin Mons Incient methodo ghtly efficient Int eff	20 20 Std. Deviation: 0.703 Int of the industry do you	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20 Satisfaction Rate: 9.524 think lean manufacturing and it's conce	tion 5 and 6.	Ene 54 Responses 17 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 1 5 0 1 1 5 0 5 1 1 5 0 5 1 1 5 0 1 1 5 0 1 1 5 0 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1	
When you thin tions icient methodo ghtly efficient t efficient tal Responses: san: 1.35 Which segmen tions rvice industries inufacturing inc	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20 Satisfaction Rate: 9.524 think lean manufacturing and it's conce	tion 5 and 6.	Responses 17 3 0 1 3 0 1 50 Std. Error: 0.153 Responses 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1	
When you thin when you thin when the test test test test test test which segment which s	20 Std. Deviation: 0.703 Int of the industry do you	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20 Satisfaction Rate: 9.524 think lean manufacturing and it's conce	tion 5 and 6.	Responses 17 3 0 1 3 0 1 50 Std. Error: 0.153 Edde 10 0 2 0 1 0 1	
When you thin tions icient methodo ghtly efficient it efficient it efficient at Responses: ani: 1.35 Which segmen tions rvice industries inufacturing inc stribution indus the above	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20 Satisfaction Rate: 9.524 think lean manufacturing and it's conce	tion 5 and 6.	Ease 3 Responses 17 3 0 1 1 3 0 1 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 6 6 7 7 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
When you thin tions icient methodo phtly efficient t efficient tal Responses: san: 1.35 Which segmen tions vice industries inufacturing indus tribution indus the above ier	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20 Satisfaction Rate: 9.524 think lean manufacturing and it's conce	tion 5 and 6.	Responses 17 3 0 17 3 0 117 3 0 1 1 Std. Error: 0.153 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1	
When you thin stions incient methodo gittly efficient at efficient tal Responses: ean: 1.35 Which segment stribution industries anufacturing inco stribution industries ther tal Responses: tal Responses:	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Fig. 3. Survey analysis of ques is the first thing comes to your mind Answered Responses: 20 Satisfaction Rate: 9.524 think lean manufacturing and it's conce	tion 5 and 6.	Responses 17 3 0 17 3 0 1 3 0 1 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 3 0 1 3 0 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Fig. 4. Survey analysis of question 7 and 8.

options			R	esponse Percenta	age Res	onses
Low scale indus	stries		0%			0
fedium scale industries				15%		3
High scale industries				20%		4
All the above				70%		14
Dther				0%		0
Total Responses: 20 Ansi		Answered Responses: 20	Skipped Responses: 0		nses: 0	
Mean: 3.7	Std. Deviation: 0.752	Satisfaction Rate: 63.095	action Rate: 63.095 Variance: 0.566 Std.		Std. Error: 0.1	64
0. Do you thin	ık lean manufacturing and	l it's concepts will be useful for your in	ndustry			See G
Options			Response Percentage		age Resp	onses
Yes			95%			19
No			5% 1			1
	s: 20	Answered Responses: 20		Skipped Respo	nses: 0	
Total Response						

VI. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE INDUSTRY WHERE WE MADE A PILOT IMPLEMENTATION (AFTER LEAN IMPLEMENTATION)

plions			Response Percentage	Responses
1			0%	0
2			18%	3
3			12%	2
4			71%	12
Mean: 3.529	Aean: 3.529 Std. Deviation: 0.776 Satisfaction Rate: 84.314		Variance: 0.602 Std. Error: 0.188	
. What should b	e change in our lean imp	lementation in order to live up to your	expectations.Suggest any?	Responses
Answered		41%	7	

Fig. 6. Survey analysis of question 1 and 2.

www.ijcrt.org

© 2020 IJCRT | Volume 8, Issue 8 August 2020 | ISSN: 2320-2882

Options			Response Percentage	Responses		
Highly satisfied			phy satisfied 57%			
Satisfied			36% 5			
unsatisfied		0% 0				
Highly unsatisfied		14%	2			
Total Responses: 17		Answered Responses: 14	Skipped Responses	:3		
Mean: 1.857	Std. Deviation: 1.005	Satisfaction Rate: 24.444	Variance: 1.011	Std. Error: 0.26		

		1000000	
Highly satisfied			11
Satisfied			5
Unsatisfied			0
		6%	1
ses: 17 Answered Responses: 17		Skipped Respons	es: 0
Std. Deviation: 0.776	Satisfaction Rate: 15.686	Variance: 0.602	Std. Error: 0.188
	Std. Deviation: 0.776	Answered Responses: 17 Std. Deviation: 0.776 Satisfaction Rate: 15.686	29% 0% 6% Answered Responses: 17 Skipped Response Std. Deviation: 0.776 Satisfaction Rate: 15.686 Variance: 0.602

	teer traine training that the	- The second s		
Options			Response Percen	tage Responses
asy		100%	17	
Fough			0%	0
otal Response	s: 17	Answered Responses: 17	Skipped Resp	onses: 0
Mean: 1	Std. Deviation: 0	Satisfaction Rate: 0	Variance: 0	Std. Error: 0
				- Carlos
ptions			Response Percen	tage Responses
options			Response Percen	tage Responses
Options lighly satisfied Gatisfied			Response Percen 53% 41%	tage Responses
Options Highly satisfied Satisfied Unsatisfied			Response Percen 53% 41% 0%	tage Responses
Options Highly satisfied Satisfied Insatisfied Highly unsatisfi	ed		Response Percen 53% 41% 0% 6%	tage Responses
Options Highly satisfied Satisfied Josatisfied Highly unsatisfi	ed s: 17	Answered Responses: 17	Response Percen 53% 41% 0% 6% Skipped Resp	tage Responses 9 7 0 1 0 0

Fig. 8. Survey analysis of question 5 and 6.

Slightly recommend

Not recommend

© 2020 IJCRT | Volume 8, Issue 8 August 2020 | ISSN: 2320-2882

0%

0%

0 0

Options			Response Percentage	Responses	
			0%		
2			0%	.0	
3			24%	4	
4			18%	3	
5			59% 10		
l'otal Responses:	17	Answered Responses: 17	Skipped Response	s: 0	
Mean: 4.353 Std. Deviation: 0.836 Satisfaction Rate: 83.824		Satisfaction Rate: 83.824	Variance: 0.699	Std. Error: 0.203	
. Will you recom	nmend the lean manufact	turing service for other distribution indus	tries?	These C	
Options			Response Percentage	Responses	
Highly recommen	d		71%	12	
Recommend			29%	5	

Total Responses: 17		Answered Responses: 17		Skipped Responses: 0	
Mean: 1.294	Std. Deviation: 0.456	Satisfaction Rate: 9.804	Vari	ance: 0.208	Std. Error: 0.111

14		Fig. 9. Survey analys	is of question 7 and 8.		
			100		<u>}</u>
QUESTIONS	MEAN	STANDARD	SATISFACTION	VARIANCE	STANDARD
100	3	DEVIATION	RATE		ERROR
QUESTION 1	1.5	0.5	25	0.25	0.112
QUESTION 2	1.5	0.5	50	0.25	0.112
QUESTION 3	1.211	0.407	21.053	0.166	0.093
QUESTION 4	1.412	0.492	41.176	0.242	0.119
QUESTION 5	4.8	1.512	67.308	2.286	0.297
QUESTION 6	1.7	0.458	70	0.21	0.102
QUESTION 7	1.35	0.703	9.524	0.494	0.153
QUESTION 8	3.5	0.958	58.333	0.917	0.209
QUESTION 9	3.7	0.752	63.095	0.566	0.164
QUESTION 10	1.05	0.219	5	0.048	0.049

Table. 1. Survey analysis values of technical questionnaire before lean implementation

					-
QUESTIONS	MEAN	STANDARD	SATISFACTION	VARIANCE	STANDARD
		DEVIATION	RATE		ERROR
QUESTION 1	3.529	0.776	84.314	0.602	0.188
QUESTION 2	-	-	-	-	-
QUESTION 3	1.005	0.407	24.444	1.011	0.26
QUESTION 4	1.471	0.776	15.686	0.602	0.188
QUESTION 5	1	0	0	0	0
QUESTION 6	1.588	0.771	19.608	0.595	0.187
QUESTION 7	4.353	0.836	83.824	0.699	0.203
QUESTION 8	1.294	0.456	9.804	0.208	0.111
		State of the second			

Table. 2. Survey analysis values of technical questionnaire after lean implementation



Fig. 10. 3D line representation of survey analysis before lean implementation





Fig.12. Cluster column representation of survey analysis before lean implementation



Fig.13. Cluster column representation of survey analysis after lean implementation

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper a generalized methodology has been developed for the distribution industries after a successful pilot implementation in a selected lubricant distribution industry and survey analysis also done for the industry was pilot implementation is executed. As a next step it will be implemented in all selected distribution industries at a particular zone/location. We hope that the developed generalized methodology will reduce the time for preparing the methodology may not be used as a 100% implementation methodology. By keeping it as a basement the modifications can be done according to the implementation and the industry scenario and working environment.

REFERENCES

1. Salem, R., Musharavati, F., Hamouda, A. M., & Al-Khalifa, K. N. (2015). An empirical study on lean awareness and potential for lean implementations in Qatar industries. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 82(9-12), 1607–1625.

2. Anvari A, Zulkifli N, Yusuff RM (2013) A dynamic modeling tomeasure lean performance within lean attributes. Int J Adv ManufTechnol 66(5–8):663–677.

3. Karim A, Arif-Uz-Zaman K (2013) A methodology for ef-fective implementation of lean strategies and its performanceevaluation in manufacturing organizations. Bussiness ProcManag J 19(1):169–196.

4. Moori RG, Pescarmona A, Kimura H (2013) Lean manufacturingand business performance in Brazilian firms. J Oper Supply ChainManag 6:91–105.

5. Sahoo AK, Singh NK, Shankar R, Tiwari MK (2008) Lean philos-ophy: implementation in a forging company. Int J Adv ManufTechnol 36(5-6):451-462.

6. Karim A, Arif-Uz-Zaman K (2013) A methodology for ef-fective implementation of lean strategies and its performanceevaluation in manufacturing organizations. Bussiness ProcManag J 19(1):169–196.